

Collin Roehner

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Friday, January 06, 2017 5:10 PM
To: Consumer Correspondence
Cc: Diane Hood; Janet Brunson
Subject: FW: To CLK Docket 160186
Attachments: Increase in power bill; PROPOSED INCREASE IN GULF POWER ELECTRIC SERVICE RATES IN JULY 2017; Gulf Power rate increase ; Proposed Gulf Power rate increase; Docket number 160186-EI

Customer correspondence

From: Diane Hood
Sent: Friday, January 06, 2017 4:34 PM
To: Ruth McHargue
Subject: To CLK Docket 160186

Copies on file. DHood

Collin Roehner

From: Mark Essert <essertmark@bellsouth.net>
Sent: Friday, January 06, 2017 2:14 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket number 160186-EI

Dear commissioners,

I am writing in opposition to the rate increases by Gulf Power. Not on financial grounds, but on the nature and structure of the proposed increases.

The increase in the base rate with decreases in usage rates is a thinly veiled attempt to make up for the defeat of amendment 1.

The proposed rate structure will create a perverse, disincentive for energy efficiency by lowering the cost of using power instead of discouraging such usage.

The high base rate also discourages installation of private, rooftop solar systems by drastically and unfairly increasing the pay back period of such systems.

I ask that your commission demand an accurate breakdown showing exactly what percentage of costs are devoted to power production verses transmission. The base rate should only cover the maintenance of transmission with all generation and fuel costs covered by usage rates. That is the only fair way to let the market determine the most efficient way for power to be generated.

Please don't fall for this slight of hand!

Sincerely
Mark Essert
3201 Penifield Drive
Pensacola,
FL 32503
850-916-1315

Collin Roehner

From: Anne <mike-anne@cox.net>
Sent: Friday, January 06, 2017 10:11 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Gulf Power rate increase

My husband and I are responding to the proposed Gulf Power rate increase with a resounding NO. Many seniors on fixed incomes cannot pay this additional amount, especially considering that social security recipients received .3 increase immediately absorbed by increased Medicare/Medicaid premiums. The timing is not right. Please take this into consideration before granting such an egregious increase.

Thank you.
Anne and Mike Powell

Sent from my iPad

Collin Roehner

From: carlbraddock@netzero.net
Sent: Friday, January 06, 2017 9:35 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Proposed Gulf Power rate increase

FPSC,

I received a notice in my mail regarding a proposed Gulf Power rate increase of 6.9%. This is unacceptable to all us Florida residents on a fixed income. If they need more revenue they need to get rid of their 5 corporate jets and 2 corporate helicopters. In this age of internet connect-ability the operation of a corporate flight department that large is unnecessary. the cost of operating this flight department is up in the millions taking into account cost of aircraft, etc.

Please do not grant them their rate increase.

Thanks for your consideration.

Carl Braddock
4470 Spanish Trail B32
Pensacola, FL. 32504
Phone: 850-776-4576

Warning: Don't Use Probiotics Before You See This

Gundry MD

<http://thirdpartyoffers.netzero.net/TGL3232/586fab559059d2b554f7bst51duc>



Collin Roehner

From: marlitameradith@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, January 05, 2017 8:28 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: PROPOSED INCREASE IN GULF POWER ELECTRIC SERVICE RATES IN JULY 2017

While a proposed increase in rate hikes for electric service is understandable, why would Residential Service increase by 6.9% while all other users pay so much less? Seems to me that the individual home/apartment user winds up paying more than their fair share for the proposed increase in electric service. Marlita Meradith.

Collin Roehner

From: ksmead@cox.net
Sent: Thursday, January 05, 2017 4:57 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Increase in power bill

Please do not allow this increase. It is unfair especially since oil prices are down. I believe the costs of entertaining, the perks, lobbying, advertising and the exec salaries should not be included in their costs of operation. They have not been trimming the trees or making any effort to move city electrical lines underground.

The elderly, those minimal wage earners and families should not be burdened any more than they are.

PLEASE DO NOT ALLOW THIS INCREASE!

Thank you

KSmead
Pensacola Florida