

**Collin Roehner**

---

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Friday, January 20, 2017 4:14 PM  
**To:** Consumer Correspondence  
**Cc:** Diane Hood; Janet Brunson  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 160021

Customer correspondence

-----Original Message-----

From: Consumer Contact  
Sent: Friday, January 20, 2017 4:09 PM  
To: Ruth McHargue  
Subject: To CLK Docket 160021

Copy on file, see 1229362C. DHood

-----Original Message-----

From: Chris Bromfield [<mailto:skylifecb@gmail.com>]  
Sent: Tuesday, November 29, 2016 9:20 AM  
To: Consumer Contact  
Subject: FPL

Good Morning,

One thing that was hard to miss after two weeks at an election site recently, was the number of voters who were duped by the deceptive language contained in Amendment One. Many thought they were promoting solar energy in the state by voting for Amendment One, when the hidden agenda was of course to maintain the power companies monopoly by making residential solar cost prohibitive.

So as you meet today to decide on the \$811 million dollar FPL rate increase, is it possible that the PSC is being duped? After witnessing the power companies spend over \$25 million dollars of ratepayer money to deceive 51% of Florida's voters into voting for Amendment One, you have to consider the possibility that FPL is once again attempting to deceive Florida utility customers.

Thanks for listening,

Chris Bromfield  
Boca Raton, FL