	FILED FEB 20, 2017 DOCUMENT NO. 02050	0-17	
	FPSC - COMMISSION		000001
1	FLORIDA	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
2			
3	In the Matter of:		
4		DOCKET NO. 160251-EI	
5	PETITION FOR LIMITED PROCEEDING FOR RECOVERY OF INCREMENTAL STORM RESTORATION COSTS RELATED TO HURRICANE MATTHEW BY FLORIDA POWER & LIGHT COMPANY.		
6			
7			
8		/	
9			
10	PROCEEDINGS.	COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA	
11	11002221100.	ITEM NO. 3	
12	COMMISSIONERS	CHAIRMAN JULIE I. BROWN	
13		COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM COMMISSIONER RONALD A. BRISÉ	
14		COMMISSIONER JIMMY PATRONIS COMMISSIONER DONALD J. POLMANN	
15	DATE :	Tuesday, February 7, 2017	
16			
17	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148	
18		4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida	
19	REPORTED BY:	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR Official FPSC Reporter	
20		(850) 413-6734	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
	FLORIDA	A PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	

## PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Circling back to Item 3. Good morning.

**MR. SLEMKEWICZ:** Good morning. John Slemkewicz with Commission staff.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Item No. 3 is Docket 160251-EI, Florida Power & Light Company's petition for a limited proceeding for the recovery of its storm restoration costs related to Hurricane Matthew. FPL is requesting authority to implement a preliminary storm restoration recovery charge of \$3.36 on a monthly 1,000 kilowatt-hour residential bill for a 12-month period beginning March 1st, 2017. This request is based on a 2012 rate case agreement that was previously approved by the Commission which provided for a preliminary charge of up to \$4 on a monthly 1,000 kWh residential bill. FPL is seeking to recover a total of \$318.5 million in restoration costs, of which approximately 71 percent was expended to restore the distribution systems that directly provide service to its customers.

Once all the actual costs are known, FPL will submit documentation of the actual storm costs for Commission review. The actual costs will be compared to the amount collected from the preliminary storm restoration charges and customer bills adjusted to

000003 reflect the difference. Staff recommends approval of 1 the preliminary storm restoration recovery charge. 2 3 Staff would note that the Office of Public Counsel has intervened in this docket and is here to 4 address the Commission. Representatives of FPL are also 5 present, and staff is available to answer any of the 6 7 Commission's questions. CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you very much. 8 9 We'll start with FPL, followed by OPC. 10 MR. BUTLER: John Butler appearing on behalf 11 of FPL. We support the staff recommendation. And I may 12 not have any trouble with Mr. Rehwinkel's comments, but 13 we'll see. 14 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. 15 MR. BUTLER: If I may reserve a little bit of 16 time if I need to respond, please. 17 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Absolutely. Ms. Daniel (sic), welcome. 18 19 MS. CHRISTENSEN: Good afternoon, Commissioners. Patty Christensen --20 21 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Christensen. 22 MS. CHRISTENSEN: -- on behalf of the Office 23 of Public Counsel. 24 CHAIRMAN BROWN: I'm off today. 25 MS. CHRISTENSEN: No problem. Now while

Mr. Butler doesn't believe he'll have issues with Mr. Rehwinkel's comments, I don't think he'll have any issues with mine as well.

(Laughter.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

At the outset, we are not objecting to the implementation of the 2017 interim storm restoration charge subject to refund that's before you today. However, we wanted to briefly address and let you know that yesterday our office filed a request that this matter be set for a full evidentiary hearing pursuant to Section 120.57(1), *Florida Statutes*, once those costs have been finalized.

OPC believes that FPL's request to recover the 318.5 million from customers for storm cost recovery raises disputes of fact and requires that Citizens be given the opportunity to review the evidence, put on testimony as needed, and cross-examine witnesses in a full evidentiary proceeding.

Further, we also recognize that the hearing process cannot move forward until those costs for Hurricane Matthew are finalized and complete; therefore, we commit that we will work with FPL and your staff and other parties to work out the most efficient hearing schedule and true-up mechanism in this matter since this will be the first time that this process has been

000005 implemented in nearly seven years the mechanism has been 1 2 included in company settlement agreements. At this 3 time, all companies have this mechanism in place. And 4 that concludes our remarks. Thank you. CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. 5 Mr. Butler, have you seen the motion? 6 7 MR. BUTLER: I have seen the motion and obviously just heard the comments. We don't have any 8 9 objection to scheduling a hearing at the appropriate 10 time, if that's what OPC or other parties are interested 11 in having. Sort of thought that would probably be 12 something that the parties would want to do. 13 CHAIRMAN BROWN: What about the issues laid 14 out in the Citizens' motion? 15 MR. BUTLER: And by those, you are referring to the issues of fact to be resolved? 16 17 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Uh-huh. 18 MR. BUTLER: Yeah. I think they are pretty 19 much on track. I mean, we had a set of issues in our 20 original petition that actually tracked fairly closely 21 with what Public Counsel had laid out. I think that, 22 you know, ultimately what we're going to need to do is to have a review of the actual costs that FPL incurred 23 24 for reasonableness and compliance with the rule 25 requirements on which costs are eligible for recovery.

And then those amounts, the actual amounts that are determined to be appropriate, are going to have to be compared to the actual revenues we collect under this interim storm charge, and the difference between the two, whichever way it is, would end up being a true-up that the Commission -- we would bring back to the Commission for approval.

**CHAIRMAN BROWN:** Okay. So just to be clear, does that mean that that would be at the conclusion, a hearing would occur at the conclusion of the 12-month period?

MR. BUTLER: I think that's one of the things that we're going to be discussing with staff and Public Counsel. That is our view, that it makes the most sense. I think that if we don't wait until then, there's going to be the possibility for sort of doubling up because we obviously won't know the exact amount of our recovery until the one-year period is up.

We could potentially address the level of the costs sooner than that, but the true-up or the actuals to actuals would await the total or the final numbers on the amounts of revenues received. So, yes, that would be our proposal, to wait until after we have all the information submitted to the Commission and have the hearing on that, but we're flexible.

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1

2

000007 CHAIRMAN BROWN: I'd like to hear from Public Counsel on that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CHRISTENSEN: Yes. And we can agree with that, and I think, as we stated today, we're willing to work with the parties to have this proceed in a way forward that makes the most sense and is the most efficient use of the Commission's time as well as the parties' time.

And as stated in our motion, we just wanted to know when those costs would be finalized. I think some of the process can begin before the 12 months has expired and we have those final costs.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: So do I.

MS. CHRISTENSEN: We'll need to have some time after that to make sure that we have time to review those costs and come up and evaluate the true-up mechanism. But I don't need -- that could be probably done in a relatively short period. But I think we can work with FPL and Commission staff to kind of determine how much time we think we would need at the back end before a hearing to have those good numbers to present to the Commission in a full evidentiary hearing.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: I appreciate that, Ms. Christensen. And just to be clear then, does Public Counsel support at least this form of cost recovery for

## hurricane restoration?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. CHRISTENSEN: I believe it was part of the stipulation, and we've adopted that in several company stipulations that have gone forward. We are obviously not objecting to this mechanism as an interim mechanism to collect this amount of money over the year period as set forth in that stipulation.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Thank you for that clarity.

FPL, when does FPL estimate it will have all of the final costs for restoration? I believe you're probably still incurring costs as a result of Hurricane Matthew?

MR. BUTLER: Still incurring some costs and we're still collecting final information on invoicing for costs that were incurred. But I think that, say, by the end of the second quarter of the year we'll probably be in a pretty good position to, you know, to be able to present final figures. That's kind of an estimate. But it will be certainly well in advance of the end of the one-year collection period. So I think that what Ms. Christensen had suggested, that we would provide information, give a period of time for parties to review it and take whatever positions they're going to take on it, and then go to hearing on the actual costs and

actual revenues, is something that could be workable.

000009

CHAIRMAN BROWN: And the prudency and reasonableness of those.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. BUTLER: That's right, yes.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: And then I just want to -- it wasn't clear either in the settlement agreement or in the staff recommendation, but the notice to customers, has there been notification to customers of the proposal of this additional charge?

MR. BUTLER: There has. We started sending, on February 1, a notice as a bill insert. Because there's a really tight character limit on what can go onto the bill, it references customers to the FPL website, where there is then a table that lays out the charge and explains what -- the \$3.36 for residential customers and, you know, what it would be representatively for commercial customers will be.

And then starting March 1, there's actually a quarterly newsletter that goes out, a different one to residential and commercial customers, and that's going to have more extensive information once the Commission has hopefully approved this and we're actually beginning to implement it.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: I appreciate that. And bill -- and this is my own personal opinion -- bill

000010 inserts are great because customers open up the bill and they see that right there rather than another form of notification. But thank you for that clarification as well.

MR. BUTLER: Certainly.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Mr. Rehwinkel.

MR. REHWINKEL: Charles Rehwinkel with the Public Counsel's Office.

Madam Chairman, just as an FYI, and I think what Ms. Christensen said is what our office is committed to, which is to work with your staff, with the company to come up with a process. We'll bring it back to the Prehearing Officer, I assume, with the staff to lay that out.

I wanted to just note for the record that I talked to representatives of Tampa Electric Company today, and I am going to ask that the staff include them in that process so -- because we're doing this for the first time, we want to get it right, and we want to make sure we have a process that the Commission can take forward and we can all understand what it's going to be like in the future. So I just wanted to put that on the record.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.

MR. REHWINKEL: They came in right behind FPL.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: And that may make sense.

Commissioner Polmann.

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, Madam Chairman.

Just a couple of questions to make sure that I understand what's being asked of us. And this is -- the customers are being asked to pay a charge. I just want to make sure that I understand what the charges cover.

In the text and in your presentation, you referred to components of charge. Could you please restate, just for clarification, the percent of the cost currently estimated that is in the distribution system?

**MR. SLEMKEWICZ:** I believe it's 71 percent approximately.

**COMMISSIONER POLMANN:** Okay. Okay. Thank you. So that's, I'll say, the majority of this cost is in the distribution system. Is that a fair statement?

MR. SLEMKEWICZ: Yes, sir, that's correct.

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. As a point of additional information, could you please explain for me what we consider to be the components of the distribution system in terms of what I'll call infrastructure? General terms, not necessarily in detail. What is the distribution system as compared to the transmission?

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

MR. SLEMKEWICZ: Being a non-engineer, I will try to explain it. I think, you know, it would be the, you know, the wires that run directly to the house, the, you know, the distribution poles, wires, probably some pole-mounted transformers and probably some pad-based transformers. You know, I believe that would be the majority of what would be in the distribution system.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

**COMMISSIONER POLMANN:** Okay. So in my words, I would say the significant damage occurred closest to the customer, if you will.

MR. SLEMKEWICZ: That's correct.

**COMMISSIONER POLMANN:** So out in the community. Thank you.

Another point, if I understand in my reading here, there currently exists a provision that allows recovery of storm costs up to \$4, if approved, and what's being asked here is a charge of \$3.36.

MR. SLEMKEWICZ: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Yeah. Okay. Thank you. And then when we say this charge is subject to refund based on a true-up, again, just for my clarification, being new to all this terminology, so at the end of the time period, the staff will do a full analysis. And what was being discussed here was requesting a hearing just to make sure that everything

000013 is properly accounted for. So the \$3.36, if that turns 1 out not to be exactly the correct amount, then the 2 3 calculations at the end of all the accounting and finance and everything will make sure that the customer 4 5 actually did pay the correct amount. Is that --6 MR. SLEMKEWICZ: That's correct. 7 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Well, thank you very much. 8 9 Thank you, Madam Chairman. 10 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. 11 Commissioners, any comments, discussion? If 12 not, we'll entertain a motion at this time. 13 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Move staff. COMMISSIONER PATRONIS: Second. 14 15 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Any further discussion? 16 Seeing none, all those in favor, say aye. (Vote taken.) 17 18 All right. The motion passes with the 19 understanding that the parties will work together with staff to set this for hearing. Thank you. 20 21 (Agenda item concluded.) 22 23 24 25 FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

1	STATE OF FLORIDA )		
2	COUNTY OF LEON ) : CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER		
3			
4	I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission		
5	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein stated. IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.		
6			
7			
8			
9	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,		
10	employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.		
11			
12	DATED THIS 20th day of February, 2017.		
13	Diffid fille zoen dag of february, zorr.		
14			
15			
16	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR		
17	Official FPSC Hearings Reporter Office of Commission Clerk		
18	(850) 413-6734		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
	FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION		