## FILED FEB 20, 2017 DOCUMENT NO. 02052-17 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK 000001

1		BEFORE THE	00000
2	FLORIDA	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
3	In the Matter of:		
4		DOCKET NO. 150257-WS	
5	APPLICATION FOR ST		
6	RATE CASE IN MARIO EAST MARION UTILIT	•	
7		/	
8			
9	PROCEEDINGS:	COMMISSION CONFEDENCE ACENDA	
10	PROCEEDINGS:	COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA ITEM NO. 5	
11	COMMISSIONERS PARTICIPATING:	CHAIRMAN JULIE I. BROWN	
12	FARTICIPATING.	CHAIRMAN JULIE I. BROWN COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM COMMISSIONER RONALD A. BRISÉ	
13		COMMISSIONER JIMMY PATRONIS COMMISSIONER DONALD J. POLMANN	
14	DATE:	Tuesday, February 7, 2017	
15	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center	
16	I DACE.	Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way	
17		Tallahassee, Florida	
18	REPORTED BY:	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR Official FPSC Reporter	
19		(850) 413-6734	
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

## PROCEEDINGS

2	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Moving on to Item 5.
3	Mr. Smallridge, you are in town.
4	MR. SMALLRIDGE: Good afternoon.
5	CHAIRMAN BROWN: I'm not trying to give you a
6	hard time. You ran into some traffic, traffic on the
7	interstate?
8	MR. SMALLRIDGE: That's what it was.
9	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Mike, mike.
10	MR. SMALLRIDGE: Oh, sorry. Now is it on?
11	CHAIRMAN BROWN: All right. We are going to
12	have staff do an introduction at this time of this item.
13	And to see Mr. Rehwinkel on a SARC, I think that's the
14	first since I've been here. Second.
15	MR. VOGEL: Good morning, Commissioners.
16	Matthew Vogel with Commission staff.
17	Item 5 is the application for a staff-assisted
18	rate case in Marion County by East Marion Utilities,
19	LLC. East Marion is a Class C utility that serves
20	approximately 103 water and 92 wastewater customers in
21	Marion County.
22	Staff is recommending a water increase of
23	\$4,607, or approximately 19 percent, and a wastewater
24	increase of \$3,667, or approximately 10 percent.
25	Staff does have an oral modification for

Issue 6 that has been provided to you. I'd like to go over that at this time, if you desire.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Please.

2.5

MR. VOGEL: Okay. The utility incurred three prudent expenses relating to Florida Department of Environmental Protection licenses and permits. These expenses were believed to be included in staff's original recommendation as they are recurring by nature. The expenses increased miscellaneous expense by \$100 for water and by \$702 for wastewater. Changing the recommended amount in miscellaneous expense will affect the fallout issues regarding rate base, operating expense, revenue requirement, rates, and temporary rates. The oral modification also corrected a scrivener's error in the recommendation statement for Issue 6.

Commissioners, Mr. Rehwinkel, from the Office of Public Counsel, is here on behalf of the customers, and Mr. Smallridge is here on behalf of the utility. At this time, staff is prepared to answer any questions. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Vogel.

Mr. Smallridge, would you like to address the Commission?

MR. SMALLRIDGE: Yes, ma'am. Thank you.

First of all, I want to thank staff for being gracious
and able to work with me on these little things that we
found out about, and we were able to get them corrected.

That was great.

There was one issue that I wanted to address with the Commissioners, and that was I had engaged a consulting company to look at my whole operation as far as salaries for staff and myself and come up with a -- I'm going to call it a neutral submission that I can make to staff that kind of kept me out of the loop. We were able to get the American -- AWWA compensation survey and submit to staff that.

When the staff report came out, we noticed that the two thousand -- that staff had used the 2015 AWWA study, and so we resubmitted back to them with the 2016 small system AWWA study. And the particular issue was for -- the whole study was for my whole company in its entirety, but the particular issue I'm talking about now is for my salary, which is what we submitted.

The number was -- there was some difference between what we submitted and what staff came up with in the initial report. And so I think being able to submit the 2016 actual numbers --

CHAIRMAN BROWN: What's that amount?

MR. SMALLRIDGE: I don't remember, to be

1	honest with you.
2	MR. VOGEL: Is that for the 2016 AWWA?
3	MR. SMALLRIDGE: What we submitted, yeah.
4	MR. VOGEL: What the utility submitted the
5	compensation study, and they had requested \$93,800 for
6	the officer's salary. But updating the 2016, the
7	methodology that I used running my analysis, I updated
8	it to \$72,704 up from the \$70,023.
9	CHAIRMAN BROWN: So just about a 2,000
10	MR. VOGEL: About \$2,700 basically, yes.
11	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Do you have an
12	objection to that?
13	MR. VOGEL: I don't. He was able to give me
14	the 2016, like the full 2016. I was able to go through
15	it, and I'm agreeable to that.
16	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Fair enough. Anything
17	else?
18	MR. SMALLRIDGE: I have an objection to that.
19	I mean, that's pretty insulting to me.
20	CHAIRMAN BROWN: All right, Mr. Smallridge.
21	Any other issues you'd like to address in the staff
22	recommendation?
23	MR. SMALLRIDGE: No.
24	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Thank you.
25	Mr. Rehwinkel.

. .

MR. REHWINKEL: Thank you, Madam Chairman.

Charles Rehwinkel with the Office of Public Counsel.

And I'm not here today to speak specifically in opposition to the staff's recommendation. The result that the staff came up with we find to be reasonable under these circumstances.

I am here today to make a brief statement to the Commission. Hopefully I won't have to make this every time a case like this comes out, but we will if we have to.

Me believe that the use of the operating margin as incorporated in the staff's recommendation possibly is a violation of *Florida Statutes* in that it is an unadopted rule. The *Lake Osborne* case that the Commission staff cites and that you have been using was adopted on March 13th, 1996, a few weeks short of 21 years ago. And Section 120.54(1) says that this Commission shall adopt rules, and it has exceptions, which a 21-year-old order is no longer incipient policy. So we are here today to make the first stake in the ground that we will be saying this again, and we will, of course, be glad to work with you and your staff about a rulemaking process that can incorporate the operating margin appropriately.

I would commend the Commission and staff and

the parties to the dissent of Commissioner Deason in the Lake Osborne case. We think those issues exist today, the objections that were phrased in that dissent, in that 3-to-2 decision, and we would urge that the Commission take it seriously.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Our problem with adopting a policy this way in a staff-assisted rate case where it is impossible to litigate improper application of policy, even if it's incipient policy, is not the way to do rulemaking. think the legislature expects the Commission to do rulemaking, and that rulemaking ought to occur in a forum where all parties can bring their issues to the Commission. We can't go to court and say, "Stop this." It wouldn't be fair to the company and what Mr. Smallridge is doing to improve the system and to serve customers. But if a lawyer sneezes, it doubles -it materially increases the cost, as you've seen in the addendum, for -- that the customers have to bear. So we have to find another alternative, and we will be looking for an alternative to challenge this process if we can't work it out as a rule.

So kudos to staff for what they've done to -for the customers, and we just wanted to make that
statement for the record. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Rehwinkel. I

did not expect that coming, but I -- we hear -- I hear

your concerns on it. You did not propose necessarily an

alternative to Issue 7. It sounds like you're still

supportive of the staff recommendation on all issues.

MR. REHWINKEL: We have no objection to the

2.5

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MR}}\xspace$  . REHWINKEL: We have no objection to the staff recommendation. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Staff, legal,
Mr. Hetrick or Ms. Helton, do you want to respond?

MR. HETRICK: Yeah. Madam Chair, I mean, this is the first we've heard of this. We're going to look into it and we'll get right back to you. We take that very seriously. I, above all --

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Uh-huh.

MR. HETRICK: -- won't tolerate any kind of unadopted rule. So we'll look into it, but we need time to look into it. It's the first we've heard of it today.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.

Any further comment on that? All right.

Commissioners, any questions? Does anybody want to address Issue 6, which -- the salaries and wages issue that staff said that they didn't have an objection to updating the president's salary? They recommended, in the recommendation, 70,000. Updating it would be 72,704; is that correct?

MR. VOGEL: That's correct.

.

now?

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Mr. Smallridge, we did hear from you. Do you have an additional point to make?

MR. SMALLRIDGE: Yes, ma'am, I do.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Sure.

MR. SMALLRIDGE: Yes, ma'am, I do. I -- the difference between what the utility submitted and what staff is coming up with is, you know, the low point and the high point of what the AWWA study came out with. The reason that we asked for the higher end of the range of that, that I did, and I want the Commissioners to know this, is that I don't take any health benefits from the customers at all. The only thing I get is a straight salary. So none of those costs are incurred against, you know, against the customers. And all the filings, all the regulatory work, I do all that stuff in-house. So if I separated all that out, you know, there would be a lot more cost to the customers.

And the last thing I wanted to say is there's a big difference between running a utility with 2,000 customers as opposed to running ten utilities with 2,000 customers. The multiplier is there. So I have a lot more of the work, so I hope you --

CHAIRMAN BROWN: How many companies do you own

MR. SMALLRIDGE: That you've approved? I 1 think we're at nine. 2 3 CHAIRMAN BROWN: We just approved one today. 4 MR. SMALLRIDGE: Okay. So ten. CHAIRMAN BROWN: How many? 5 MR. SMALLRIDGE: Ten. 6 7 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Ten? Was -- Mr. Smallridge, was there a time when -- I think it was within the past 8 9 year or two that you represented to the Commission that 10 we -- that you weren't going to buy any more? 11 MR. SMALLRIDGE: No. You tried to get your 12 little unconstitutional thing through, but you guys 13 approved it to -- because you changed the rules. You 14 changed the rules to say that the utility had 90 days 15 to -- to let you know of the transfer. 16 CHAIRMAN BROWN: All right. I must be 17 dreaming. What about this credit card? So your -- some 18 of your miscellaneous service fees are going up, it 19 looks like, uniformly across the different sister 20 utilities. 21 MR. SMALLRIDGE: Yes, ma'am. 22 CHAIRMAN BROWN: But we just approved -- I 23 thought we had just approved for, like, a convenience 24 charge. It was just last month for \$3, and now it's

2.5

already jumped up.

MR. SMALLRIDGE: You did, and the credit card companies raise them literally every month.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay.

MR. SMALLRIDGE: Yeah. So whenever -- so what I do is -- what you're seeing there is a reflection of -- I've brought a new utility online, and I went to the company and said, "We want to be able to accept payments with debit cards or credit cards," and that's the fee that they give me. So that's basically an update. But that stuff literally goes up every month.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yeah. I mean, I think it's reasonable. I just wanted to understand that a little bit more.

Commissioners, do -- oh, Commissioner Polmann.

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, Madam

Chairman. I just want to clarify with staff, the salary

analysis is based on the AWWA survey and data; is that

correct?

MR. VOGEL: Yes, sir. Staff performed three different analyses, came up with a good solid range.

And the AWWA seemed to fit the range the best, and I could get a reasonable, appropriate number using that system.

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. And using the 2016 data, the revision is up to 72,704?

MR. VOGEL: Yes, sir. 1 2 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: And are we -- are we able to reproduce in our calculations the value that 3 4 Mr. Smallridge has provided? MR. VOGEL: For his requested salary? 5 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Yes, his requested 6 7 salary. Can we recalculate that? Do we know -- do we understand where that came from? 8 9 MR. VOGEL: Yes, sir. The requested salary 10 was actually the maximum range for a utility in the 11 rural water for 2016 for his position. So they give you 12 a minimum range, a midpoint of the minimum and maximum, 13 and then a maximum. And his was -- I want to say it was 14 around \$23 or \$30 below the maximum amount. I think it 15 was \$93,823. 16 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Okay. And the 17 staff value, is that in the middle or the lower? 18 MR. VOGEL: For my recommendation? 19 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Yes, sir, your 20 recommendation. 21 MR. VOGEL: It falls between the minimum and 22 midpoint of the average salary range that they provide. 23 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Thank you. 24 Madam Chairman, may I ask a follow-up? 2.5 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Please.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Mr. Smallridge, can you please explain to the Commission why it would be appropriate for your salary to be at the maximum of the range?

MR. SMALLRIDGE: Well, please understand that I hired a consultant to do this. I didn't have any input into this whatsoever. So the consultant looked at my total company, looked at all the tasks that I perform, and so this is a big picture sort of number. The consultant -- the number that he submitted takes into account the fact that I don't take any -- any retirement or health insurance benefits from the customers, and that a lot of the work that a lot of utilities hire out through contractors or consultants I do myself in-house. So it saves the customers, you know, a lot of money going down the road as opposed to contracting stuff out. For instance, all these -- all the stuff before the Commission and water management districts and whatever regulator, I do all that, with the obvious exception of if I need an attorney or an engineer or something I'm not licensed to do. But a vast majority of that is done in-house. And, you know, our overhead is, you know, is pretty low.

So what the consultant come up with, looking at the whole big picture, was the -- because we do so

much stuff in-house, the upper end of the pay scale was 1 warranted because it's something I do all myself and I 2 3 don't take other benefits from the customers. 4 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, Mr. Smallridge. 5 MR. SMALLRIDGE: Did I answer your question? 6 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Well, let me make an 7 observation, if you will. You're indicating that the 8 9 consultant did this work for you. Is it the 10 consultant's opinion that the upper range, to the best 11 of your knowledge, the upper range of this bracket is 12 appropriate for your salary and that you have no opinion 13 on that? I think that's what I heard you say. 14 MR. SMALLRIDGE: Yes, sir, that is correct. 15 And I did that intentionally to keep me and my personal 16 feelings, you know, out of this scenario. 17 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Okay. I think in earlier discussion, if I heard correctly, you said 18 19 you were insulted. 20 MR. SMALLRIDGE: Well --21 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: That's all. Thank you. 22 CHAIRMAN BROWN: So you still take it 23 personal. Commissioner Brisé. 24 25 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you, Madam Chair.

So to staff, so you would probably characterize the range or the number that you selected for the salary to be in the lower quartile; right?

MR. VOGEL: You could look at it that way. Actually the 50th percentile of salaries, like the median salary, is around 69,000 for that position.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. So it's above that.

MR. VOGEL: On the 2015, on the 2015.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay.

MR. VOGEL: But it -- the number for the AWWA is pretty small, the sample size, so that's not really a good barometer of the average salary range. But, yes, I took about the 20th percentile of the average salary range, yes.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. So follow-up to that. Are there any distinguishing factors that exist in differentiating how those salaries are -- fall into that range? Is there a set of responsibilities that exist for the company -- for the CEOs or presidents of the companies that receive the higher range versus what they receive on the lower range to see if we are truly talking apples to apples?

MR. VOGEL: There isn't anything in the study that really distinguishes what makes an average salary

2.5

salary range was used based on the executive level employees that have obtained and performed the advanced skills required for their respective position. So it doesn't really tell you what skills each manager has and why their salary varies. It could be jurisdictional. It could be any number of things.

range on the highest or the lowest or the midpoint.

will say that the consultant says that the maximum

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Got you. So it's just these are the -- this is a group of similar-sized companies, this is the range, and this is where you fall on the range?

MR. VOGEL: Yes, sir.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: All right. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: And a follow-up to

Commissioner Brisé. That salary for the president,

though, that is allocated to each of the sister

companies, and that's a total salary for Mr. Smallridge

for all of the companies that he owns; is that correct?

MR. VOGEL: Yes, ma'am, that is correct. And it's the systems that we got from the audit response. He gave us an updated list. And using that ERC count, that's the updated amount. It's 5 -- just over 5 percent.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: What did we approve in the

last rate case for a sister utility for his salary? 1 MR. VOGEL: It was \$60,000. 2 3 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. MR. VOGEL: For his total salary was \$60,000. 4 5 So we're increasing it from 60 --6 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. 7 MR. VOGEL: -- to \$70,072, if it's approved. CHAIRMAN BROWN: All right. Commissioners, 8 9 any further discussion on that? And if not, is there a 10 recommendation? Personally I'm fine with updating the 11 salary to the 2016 AWWA, a little bit above the 12 midpoint. Commissioner Graham. 13 14 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: I'll make -- I'll 15 attempt a motion. 16 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: I'll move staff 17 recommendation on all issues, including the oral 18 modification and fallout issues from those oral 19 20 modifications and bumping the salary to the 72,000 -was it 704? 21 22 MR. VOGEL: Yes, sir. 23 **COMMISSIONER GRAHAM:** Okay. 24 **COMMISSIONER PATRONIS:** Second. 2.5 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Any further discussion? All

1	those in favor, say aye.
2	(Vote taken.)
3	All right. Thank you. Thank you,
4	Commissioner Graham, for that.
5	(Agenda item concluded.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	STATE OF FLORIDA )
2	: CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER COUNTY OF LEON )
3	
4	I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission
5	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein stated.
6	
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;
8	and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
9	
10	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
11	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.
12	DATED THIS 20th day of February, 2017.
13	
14	
15	
16	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR
17	Official FPSC Hearings Reporter
18	Office of Commission Clerk (850)413-6734
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
21	