## FILED MAR 14, 2017 DOCUMENT NO. 03516-17 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

000001

1	BEFORE THE					
2	F'LORIDA	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION				
3	In the Matter of:					
4		DOCKET NO. 130105-WS				
5	APPLICATION FOR C					
6	TO PROVIDE WATER AND WASTEWATER SERVICE IN HENDRY					
7	AND COLLIER COUNTIES, BY CONSOLIDATED SERVICES OF HENDRY & COLLIER, LLC.					
8						
9						
10	PROCEEDINGS:	COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA				
11	COMMISSIONERS					
12		CHAIRMAN JULIE I. BROWN COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM				
13		COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM COMMISSIONER RONALD A. BRISÉ COMMISSIONER JIMMY PATRONIS				
14		COMMISSIONER JIMMI PAIRONIS COMMISSIONER DONALD J. POLMANN				
15	DATE:	Tuesday, March 7, 2017				
16	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148				
17		4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida				
18	REPORTED BY:	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR				
19		Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6734				
20		(000) 120 0.01				
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
	i 🗓					

-1	

## PROCEEDINGS

2		CHAIRMAN BROWN:	All right.	Moving on to
3	Ttem 4			

MS. KNOBLAUCH: Good morning. Emily Knoblauch for staff.

Commissioners, Item 4 is staff's recommendation for the initial water and wastewater rates and charges for Consolidated Services of Hendry & Collier, LLC, in Hendry County. The Commission granted the utility's request for an original certificate at its September 25th, 2013, agenda and waived certain rules pertaining primarily to rate settings for 36 months. Staff recommends that the Commission should approve the recommended rates and charges.

Ms. Tricia Merchant and Ms. Stephanie Morse are here on behalf of the Office of Public Counsel, and I believe they want to make a few comments. And Mr. John Wharton, Mr. Dan Delisi, and Mr. Mitch Hutchcraft are here on behalf of the utility to answer any questions. Staff is also available to answer any questions.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. It looks like

Public Counsel -- Ms. Morse -- not -- I see

Mr. Rehwinkel.

MR. REHWINKEL: This is Charles Rehwinkel from

1 the Of:

2.0

the Office of Public Counsel, and I've been nominated to provide these comments.

First of all, what I want to state upfront is the result that's in the staff recommendation is not something we take issue with. I was here at the last agenda, and I made a policy comment on behalf of the office about --

CHAIRMAN BROWN: You did.

MR. REHWINKEL: -- rulemaking.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: I remember it.

MR. REHWINKEL: And today there is an issue -I believe your staff has handled it appropriately, but
an issue has arisen about the appropriateness of a lease
payment in the form of a lease payment or a royalty
payment.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Uh-huh.

MR. REHWINKEL: And there has been a citation to Commission practice. The Silver Lake case is cited, but it's certainly not the only one. We are here again to say that if a practice is cited for purposes of establishing rates, that we think it ought to be in a rule.

We support and agree with the staff's approach to rate setting in this case, is that the utility asked for a royalty payment and a lease payment and the staff

said it's one or the other. And they chose the lease, which we think is the appropriate one.

I wanted to put the Commission on notice that we believe that even though a royalty payment is not being recommended in this case, to the extent it is part of a Commission practice, we have a severe concern about a royalty payment being the basis for cost of service. The royalty payments that we have seen in the past are not cost based. They are often the result of an affiliate transaction. And if an affiliate landowner and a utility agree among themselves on a royalty payment and there's no cost basis for it, essentially they are setting a major component of the cost of water because it's a volumetric royalty payment based on the amount of withdrawals out of the land.

So in the future, we are asking that this case not be deemed to be part of this practice. We would ask that the practice instead be addressed in a generic proceeding or a rulemaking.

When this case -- this is an original certificate case. When it comes back in for a rate case, we would ask that this not be considered precedent and that the utility -- well, we just -- we would just ask that of the Commission. That is our request. It's a matter of policy, not a matter of the result in this

case.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. So, Mr. Rehwinkel, my understanding is you don't object to the staff recommendation with regard to agreeing to the land lease cost versus the royalties. Your objection is to consideration of royalties just in general, regardless of whether we considered land lease versus royalties.

MR. REHWINKEL: That is correct. And I had come to object to the land lease itself, but your staff informed me that the company provided a market basis for the land lease. So to the extent that is correct, we are okay with that.

We think the standard should be what the cost of the land was at the time devoted -- that the -- was devoted to utility service, and so we're not raising an issue about that.

**CHAIRMAN BROWN:** Do you intend on providing written comments?

MR. REHWINKEL: What's that? I can do that.

In fact -- but I am not asking that this case be stopped, that you take any other action. But we wanted to use this opportunity to put the Commission on notice that in the future when this issue arises, we will be taking a close look at it. We have written letters in the Silver Lake case and in this case, and we appreciate

you and your staff's recognition of that.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Mr. Rehwinkel,

2.4

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Mr. Rehwinkel, I appreciate your comments and absolutely look forward to any written comments that kind of elaborate on your concerns regarding the royalties issue.

MR. REHWINKEL: We will do that in a letter.

Thank you, Madam Chairman. Thank you, Commissioners.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.

Staff, legal, any comments or anything before I get to the bench?

MS. HELTON: We've heard his comments, and we will have further discussions with Mr. Rehwinkel and OPC concerning their concerns.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Thank you. Let's go back to the actual case here.

Commissioners, any questions with this Item 4?

And if we don't, then we are ripe for a motion.

Seeing none, go for it.

Oh, Mr. -- Commissioner Graham.

COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: I want to go back to Mr. Rehwinkel's request. Assuming we pass this, is there anything that we need to do to make -- to, I guess, kind of codify what he was asking, or -- I mean, I understand what he's saying. I understand the points he's trying to get to. He doesn't want for this to set

any kind of precedent. And is there anything that we 1 need to do now or are we -- we just took it as 2 information and we're moving forward? 3 MS. HELTON: I took Mr. Rehwinkel's comments 4 as being that he's okay with what we're doing today. I 5 heard him say that he was concerned about precedent in 6 7 the future, and we acknowledge that. And we will look at our practice and see what, if any, changes need to be 8 9 made so that you can be comfortable with your decision and so that he can be comfortable with how the 10 Commission is going forward. 11 12 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. Well, then I move staff recommendation on this issue. 13 14 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. Is there a 15 second? COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Second. 16 17 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Further discussion? 18 Quick question on Silver Lake. Is that a 19 final order? MS. HELTON: I was looking. I'm assuming it's 2.0 21 final at this point. Whether it was done as a PAA or 22 not, I'm not sure. 23 MR. REHWINKEL: It was done in September. 24 It's final. 25 CHAIRMAN BROWN: It's final? Okay. Thank

1	you.
2	Any further discussion or questions? All
3	those in favor, say aye.
4	(Vote taken.)
5	The motion passes. Thank you.
6	MR. REHWINKEL: Thanks for your indulgence.
7	Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Sure.
9	(Agenda item concluded.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	STATE OF FLORIDA ) : CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	COUNTY OF LEON )
3	
4	I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission
5	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein stated.
6	
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;
8	and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
9	
10	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties,
11	nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
12	financially interested in the action.
13	DATED THIS 14th day of March, 2017.
14	
15	
16	Linda Boles
17	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR Official FPSC Hearings Reporter
18	Office of Commission Clerk (850)413-6734
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	