## BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In Re: Application for increase in water and wastewater rates in Charlotte, Highlands, Lake, Lee, Marion, Orange, Pasco, Pinellas, Polk, and Seminole Counties by Utilities, Inc. of Florida

**DOCKET NO. 160101-WS** 

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the corrections to Prefiled Rebuttal Testimony Deborah D. Swain has been electronically filed with the Florida Public Service Commission, Office of Commission Clerk, and a copy furnished by E-mail to the following parties, this 28th day of April, 2017:

Erik L. Sayler, Esquire Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 W. Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400 sayler.erik@leg.state.fl.us Walter Trierweiler, Esquire Office of General Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850 wtrierwe@psc.state.fl.us

Respectfully submitted this 28th day of April, 2017.

FRIEDMAN & FRIEDMAN, P.A. 766 North Sun Drive, Suite 4030 Lake Mary, FL 32746 Telephone: (407) 830-6331 Fax: (407) 878-2178

mfriedman@f-attorneys.com

/s/ Martin S. Friedman
Martin S. Friedman, Esquire
For the Firm

1		110, however, the rate is correct as filed.
2	Q.	Did you review Ms. Ramas' adjustment to remove a health insurance reserve entry?
3	A.	Yes, Ms. Ramas incorrectly concludes that an adjustment to record a health insurance
4		reserve on the Company's books at the end of the year is non-recurring. It is customary
5		practice for companies to record accruals, reserve adjustments and corrections at the end of
6		the fiscal year. I do not agree with Ms. Ramas' determination that this adjustment is not
7		appropriate. This particular entry was made because the Company reviewed the history of
8		the health insurance billing during the year, then estimated the amount that had not yet been
9		billed, and recorded it as a reserve adjustment.
10	Q.	Do you agree with Ms. Ramas' adjustment to remove a depreciation expense entry
11		reflected on Schedule B-12 for each system?
12	A.	Yes, this "Fixed Asset Clean up adjustment" appears to apply to a prior period and as such
13		should be removed.
14	Q.	Do you agree with Ms. Ramas adjustment related to the incorrect allocation of
15		transportation expenses?
16	A.	Although technically correct, the adjustment is immaterial.
17	Q.	Please describe any adjustments necessary to the proforma GIS system additions,
18		based on your review of Ms. Ramas' testimony.
19	A.	Ms. Ramas first reduced the cost of the GIS system to \$350,000 based upon Mr. Flynn's
20		Prefiled Direct Testimony. However, as provided by Mr. Flynn in Exhibit Amended PCF-
21		51, the estimate of the cost of the GIS system has been updated, and the new cost should be

applied to Labrador and Pennbrooke?A. Yes, there were errors in the allocation that requires correction. Again, the updated cost

Do you agree with the corrections to errors Ms. Ramas found in the cost of the GIS

22

23

24

25

Q.

used.

specific components, the result is that she is claiming that nearly two-thirds of the financial support for the rate base is from ADIT. This is nonsensical, and the result is that the recommended overall rate of return is 3.01%. This is particularly highlighted in this case because of the magnitude and impact of the rate base adjustments. It is unreasonable to assert that the non-used rate base was fully funded by the high cost components, and that the used and useful assets are financed by the low cost components. Although this mirrors the result for all cases with adjustments to rate base, this particular extreme case vividly depicts that it is not always reasonable or appropriate to allocate only certain capital components. It should be noted that my comments regarding the correct way Ms. Ramas should make adjustments based on Mr. Woodcock's testimony, should not be taken as an endorsement of Mr. Woodcock's determination of used & useful. The utility believes that, based on Mr. Seidman's testimony, the plant at Sandalhaven is 100% used and useful.

2.0

## Q. Please address the adjustments made by Ms. Ramas specifically for Sanlando.

**A.** As with the other systems previously mentioned, all of the proforma expenses for new employees, and related to the purchased power tariff change should be included to the extent supported by Mr. Flynn.

Ms. Ramas removed rental expenses recorded on the company's books in January 2015 for equipment rented in 2014. I disagree that the expenses associated with the prior year should be removed. Ms. Ramos also adjusted out the cost of grit removal and removal of a steel tank. This was also identified in Audit Finding 6. However, as an extraordinary expense, it is more appropriate to defer it and amortize it over five years. A test year expense of one-fifth, or \$2,600 should be added back to amortization expense, and the balance of \$10,399 (full amount minus one-year amortization) should be added to working capital as a deferred debit.

Ms. Ramas removes the proforma plant addition for the Myrtle Hill plant since it will be

1		detailed analysis. Furthermore, although it will not be purchased on a daily basis, water may
2		still be purchased if needed on an emergency basis.
3	Q	Do you agree with Ms. Ramas adjustment to the retirement of plant associated with
4		the main replacement program.
5	A.	Yes, I do. In her analysis Ms. Ramas recommends limiting the amount of the retirement to
6		the balance in the plant account for mains as of December 31, 2000 since additions after
7		that time have been other replacement projects, and the amount associated with this
8		particular replacement project would certainly be no more than the account balance at the
9		date she indicates. Ms. Ramas also makes a similar adjustment to the Northwestern Force
LO		Main Replacement, limiting the retirement to the test year end balance in account 360.2,
L1		\$28,207.
L2		Ms. Ramas also points out that certain accumulated depreciation accounts have a negative
L3		balance, and again points to Audit Finding Number 3. Consistent with Mr. Deason's
L4		testimony, I agree that the adjustment to correct accounting errors identified in Audit
L5		Finding Number 3 should be made.
L6	Q.	Do you have other corrections to the MFRs you have found as a result of your review,
L7		discovery, or any other reason?
L8	<b>A.</b>	Yes, and I have listed them below.
L9		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		Audit Adjustments - Mr. Deason has provided testimony regarding audit adjustment

25

detailed in the Commission's Official Audit Report. Those adjustments with which he

Docket No.: 160101 Summary of Adjustments Exhibit DDS-3 Page 1 of 12 Revised April 28, 2017

Exhibit DDS-3	<u>Water</u>	<u>Wastewater</u>	<u>Total</u>
Cypress Lakes			
Proforma Plant Additions			
Plant, Accumulated Depreciation, Depreciation Expense	FALLOUT CALCULATION		N
Per Exhibit PCF-51			
Working Capital Adustments			
Federal Tax Receivable / Payable should be removed - subsequently written off			35,343
<u>Depreciation Expense</u>			
B-12 allocation to all systems from prior period (87,296)	(1,574	) (1,498)	(3,072)
Rate Case Expense			
Prior Balance included twice	(15,188	) (14,419)	(29,607)
Adjustment to most updated rate case expense	TBD		
Cost of Capital (excl ADIT)			
Proforma Plant Additions - reconciliation of capital structure to rate base	FA	LLOUT CALCULATIO	N
ADIT - additions to ADIT on D1/D2			
Bonus Depreciation on Proforma Additions	FALLOUT CALCULATION		N
<u>Audit Adjustments</u>			
Findings 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 9, 10	FA	LLOUT CALCULATIO	N

Docket No.: 160101 Summary of Adjustments Exhibit DDS-3 Page 4 of 12 Revised April 28, 2017

Exhibit DDS-3	<u>Water</u>	<u>Wastewater</u>	<u>Total</u>
Lake Placid			
Proforma Plant Additions			
Plant, Accumulated Depreciation, Depreciation Expense	FA	FALLOUT CALCULATION	
Per Exhibit PCF-51, including full cost of GIS			
Working Capital Adustments			
Miscellaneous Deferred Debits - should be included			58
Federal Tax Receivable / Payable should be removed - subsequently written off			(761)
<u>Depreciation Expense</u>			
B-12 allocation to all systems from prior period (87,296)	(175)	) (178)	(353)
Fully Depreciated Assets	(525	) (956)	(1,481)
Rate Case Expense			
Prior Balance included twice	(2,586)	) (2,606)	(5,192)
Adjustment to most updated rate case expense		TBD	
Cost of Capital (excl ADIT)			
Proforma Plant Additions - reconciliation of capital structure to adjusted rate base	FALLOUT CALCULATION		N
Cost Rate Correction - 2% interest rate on customer deposits	FALLOUT CALCULATION		N
ADIT - additions to ADIT on D1/D2			
Bonus Depreciation on Proforma Additions	TBD		
<u>Audit Adjustments</u>			
Findings 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 9, 10	FA	LLOUT CALCULATIO	N

Docket No.: 160101 Summary of Adjustments Exhibit DDS-3 Page 6 of 12 Revised April 28, 2017

Exhibit DDS-3	<u>Water</u>	<u>Wastewater</u>	<u>Total</u>
Lake Utility Services			
Proforma Plant Additions			
Plant, Accumulated Depreciation, Depreciation Expense	FALLOUT CALCULATION		N
Per Exhibit PCF-51, including full cost of GIS			
Nonused & Useful CIAC			
Prepaid CIAC (CIAC associated with unconnected customers0		TBD	
Working Capital Adustments			
Federal Tax Receivable / Payable should be removed - subsequently written off			602,382
<u>Depreciation Expense</u>			
B-12 allocation to all systems from prior period (87,296)	(14,597	) (4,515)	(19,112)
Rate Case Expense			
Adjustment to most updated rate case expense		TBD	
Operating and Maintenance Expense			
Sludge Hauling Savings		(21,000)	(21,000)
Purchased Power (SECO tariff)	3,631	(9,831)	
Cost of Capital (excl ADIT)			
Proforma Plant Additions - reconciliation of capital structure to rate base	FALLOUT CALCULATION		N
ADIT - additions to ADIT on D1/D2			
Bonus Depreciation on Proforma Additions		TBD	
<u>Audit Adjustments</u>			
Findings 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 9, 10	FA	LLOUT CALCULATIO	N

Docket No.: 160101 Summary of Adjustments Exhibit DDS-3 Page 10 of 12 Revised April 28, 2017

Exhibit DDS-3	<u>Water</u>	Wastewater	<u>Total</u>
Sanlando			
Proforma Plant Additions			
Plant, Accumulated Depreciation, Depreciation Expense	FΔI	LOUT CALCULATIO	)N
Per Exhibit PCF-51, incuding correct allocation of GIS system	IAL	LOOT CALCULATIO	/I <b>V</b>
Working Capital Adustments			
Miscellaneous Deferred Debits - should be included			45,833
Federal Tax Receivable / Payable should be removed - subsequently written off			218,520
Steel Tank Cost & Removal Defer & Amortize			11,699
Depreciation Expense			11,055
B-12 allocation to all systems from prior period (87,296)	(17,226)	(13,858)	(31,084)
Rate Case Expense	(17,220)	(13,636)	(31,004)
Prior Balance included twice	(41,083)	(33,147)	(74,230)
Adjustment to most updated rate case expense	(41,003) TBD	(33,147)	(74,230)
Operating and Maintenance Expense	100		
Operating and infanitenance expense			
Defer and amortize cost of removal of steel tank over 5 years			
O&M expense		(12,999)	(12,999)
Amortization expense		2,600	2,600
Working capital - def'd debit		ABOVE	2,000
Cost of Capital (excl ADIT)		ADOVL	
Proforma Plant Additions - reconciliation of capital structure to adjusted rate base	EAL	LOUT CALCULATIO	NNI
ADIT - additions to ADIT on D1/D2	TAL	LOUT CALCULATIO	/IN
<u> </u>		TBD	
Bonus Depreciation on Proforma Additions Audit Adjustments		טסו	
	F A I	LOUT CALCULATIO	NNI
Findings 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 9, 10	FAL	LOUT CALCULATIO	VIN .

Exhibit DDS-3	<u>Water</u>	<u>Wastewater</u>	<u>Total</u>
UIF - Counties			
Plant Retirements associated with Proforma plant additions			,
Orange - Crescent Heights Water Main retirement limited to \$199,193			
331.4 (debit)	1,159,327		1,159,327
AD 331.4 (credit)	1,159,327		1,159,327
AD 331.4 (credit)	13,481		13,481
Depr exp 331 (debit)	26,961		26,961
Pasco - Water system main retirement limited to \$0			
331.4 (debit)	1,125,000		1,125,000
AD 331.4 (credit)	1,125,000		1,125,000
AD 331.4 (credit)	13,081		13,081
Depr exp 331 (debit)	26,163		26,163
Pinellas - Water system main retirement limited to \$0			
331.4 (debit)	750,000		750,000
AD 331.4 (credit)	750,000		750,000
AD 331.4 (credit)	8,721		8,721
Depr exp 331 (debit)	17,442		17,442
Seminole - Water system main retirement limited to \$886,000 (12/31/2000 balance)			
331.4 (debit)	5,527,913		5,527,913
AD 331.4 (credit)	5,527,913		5,527,913
AD 331.4 (credit)	64,278		64,278
Depr exp 331 (debit)	128,556		128,556
Seminole - Northwestern Force Main Replacement limited to \$28,207			
360.2 (debit)		196,793	196,793
AD 360.2 (credit)		196,793	196,793
AD 360.2 (credit)		3,280	3,280
Depr exp 360 (debit)		6,560	6,560
<u>Plant Retirements - Decommissioning - Pasco</u>			
Correct retirement to conform with limited proceeding			
Plant Accounts correction	1,071,092		1,071,092
AD Correction	1,511,577		1,511,577
CIAC Correction	(3,633)		(3,633)
AA Correction	(73,154)		(73,154)
Loss on Decommissioning	363,697		363,697
Working Capital (cost minus 1/2 year, 10 year amortization)			354,856
Amortization Expense	36,370		36,370
Cost of retirement net of salvage updated to \$176,826			
Working Capital (cost minus 1/2 year, 10 year amortization)			167,985
Amortization Expense	17,683		17,683
Proforma Plant Additions			
Plant, Accumulated Depreciation, Depreciation Expense	FALLOUT CALCULATION		N
Per Exhibit PCF-51, incuding correct allocation of GIS system			
Working Capital Adustments			
All - Federal Tax Receivable / Payable should be removed - subsequently written off			(29,957)
Pinellas - Allocation Correction			(3,924)
Depreciation Expense			
B-12 allocation to all systems from prior period (87,296)	(8,542)	(3,477)	(12,019)
Marion - Fully Depreciated Assets	(2,874)		(2,874)
Rate Case Expense	, ,		, ,
Prior Balance included twice			-
Adjustment to most updated rate case expense		TBD	
Cost of Capital (excl ADIT)			
Proforma Plant Additions - reconciliation of capital structure to adjusted rate base	FΔI	LOUT CALCULATIO	N
ADIT - additions to ADIT on D1/D2	. AL		<del>-</del>
Bonus Depreciation on Proforma Additions		TBD	
Audit Adjustments			
Findings 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 9, 10	FΔI	LOUT CALCULATIO	)N
1 1110111163 1, 2, 3, 7, 7, 3, 10	TAL	LOUI CALCULATIC	/14