BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Application for increase in water and wastewater rates in Charlotte, Highlands, Lake, Lee, Marion, Orange, Pasco, Pinellas, Polk and Seminole Counties by Utilities, Inc. of Florida

Docket No. 160101-WS

UTILITIES, INC. OF FLORIDA'S RESPONSE TO SUMMERTREE WATER ALLIANCE AND ANN MARIE RYAN'S MOTION TO DISMISS

Applicant, UTILITIES, INC. OF FLORIDA ("UIF") by and through its undersigned attorneys files this Response to the Motion to Dismiss ("Motion"), filed by Summertree Water Alliance and Ann Marie Ryan (jointly "SWA"), and states as follows:

- 1. UIF filed its Application on August 31, 2016 and although UIF is not certain of the exact date a presiding officer(s) was assigned, it is believed to have been either before or shortly after that date.
- 2. SWA filed its Motion to Dismiss on May 2, 2017, a mere seven days before the start of the final hearing.
- 3. Rule 28-106.204(2), *Florida Administrative Code*, which governs this proceeding provides:

Unless otherwise provided by law, motions to dismiss the petition or request for hearing shall be filed no later than 20 days after assignment of the presiding officer, unless the motion is based upon a lack of jurisdiction or incurable errors in the petition.

4. There is no otherwise applicable law which supersedes this Rule and the Motion does not challenge this Commission's jurisdiction. All of the grounds upon which SWA alleges as a basis of dismissal are what it perceives to be inadequacies in the documentation filed with the

1

application and afterwards, which if they were valid and had been timely raised in a timely manner,

would have been able to be cured. Obviously UIF denies any inadequacies.

5. Thus, it is clear that SWA's Motion is untimely.

As to the merits of SWA's Motion, the claims it makes is much like those which

this Commission addressed in denying OPC's Motion to Strike in Order No. PSC-17-0147-PCO-

WS. Much of it is irrelevant rhetoric. The rest are statements which SWA believes UIF has to

prove. The real issues in this proceeding have been identified in the Prehearing Order which the

parties are going to address in the final hearing and on which the Commission will ultimately issue

a Final Order.

6.

WHEREFORE, based upon the argument and authorities set forth above, Utilities,

Inc. of Florida, respectfully requests this Commission deny SWA and Ms. Ryan's 's Motion to

Dismiss.

Respectfully submitted this 4^{th} day of

May, 2017

Friedman & Friedman, P.A.

766 N. Sun Drive, Suite 4030 Lake Mary, FL 32746

Phone: (407) 830-6331

/s/ Martin S. Friedman

MARTIN S. FRIEDMAN

For the Firm

2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by

electronic mail this 4th day of May, 2017, to:

Erik L. Sayler, Esquire Associate Public Counsel Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 W. Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400 SAYLER.ERIK@leg.state.fl.us

Walter Trierweiler, Esquire Office of General Counsel Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0855 trierwe@psc.state.fl.us

Brian P. Armstrong, Esquire Law Office of Brian Armstrong, PLLC P.O. Box 5055 Tallahassee, FL 32314-5055 brian@brianarmstronglaw.com William S. Bilenky, Esquire
Douglas P. Manson, Esquire
Manson, Bolves, Donaldson & Varn
1101 Swann Avenue
Tampa, FL 33606
bbilenky@mansonbolves.com
dmanson@mansonbolves.com
dcantwell@mansonbolves.com

Edward de la Parte, Jr., Esquire Nick Porter, Esquire de la Parte & Gilbert P.A. 101 E. Kennedy, Suite 2000 Tampa, FL 33601 edelaparte@dgfirm.com nporter@dgfirm.com

/s/Martin S. Friedman MARTIN S. FRIEDMAN For the Firm