## FILED JUN 12, 2017 DOCUMENT NO. 05275-17 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

000001

1	BEFORE THE		
2	FLORIDA	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
3	In the Matter of:		
4		DOCKET NO. 170001-EI	
5	FUEL AND PURCHASE		
6	RECOVERY CLAUSE WITH GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR.		
7	INCENTIVE FACTOR.	/	
8			
9			
10	PROCEEDINGS:	COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA	
11	TROCEEDINGS.	ITEM NO. 4	
12	COMMISSIONERS	CHAIRMAN JULIE I. BROWN	
13		COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM COMMISSIONER RONALD A. BRISÉ	
14		COMMISSIONER JIMMY PATRONIS COMMISSIONER DONALD J. POLMANN	
15	DATE:	Monday, June 5, 2017	
16	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center	
17		Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way	
18		Tallahassee, Florida	
19	REPORTED BY:	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR Official FPSC Reporter	
20		(850) 413-6734	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

## PROCEEDINGS

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

24

25

CHAIRMAN BROWN: We are circling back now to Item 4, and I would ask to have Duke up at the table, please.

MR. BARRETT: Good afternoon, Commissioners.

I'm Michael Barrett with the Commission staff.

Item 4 addresses the petition for midcourse correction to the fuel cost recovery factors from Duke Energy Florida, LLC. Duke is requesting that a midcourse adjustment factor be applied to current fuel cost recovery factors which were set in last year's cost recovery clause hearing. This adjustment factor is needed to recover higher fuel costs than projected amounts and is proposed to become effective with the July 2017 billing cycle.

There have been no customer comments filed in this docket, and representatives from the company are here, if needed.

Staff recommends approval on all issues and is available for your questions.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.

Commissioners, questions?

Seeing none, I have a few.

Hi. Good afternoon.

MR. BERNIER: Good afternoon, Ms. Chair. How

are you?

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. Good.

Mr. Bernier, who is with you?

MR. BERNIER: This is Chris Menendez. I apologize. He's in rates and regulatory strategy.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Oh, great.

MR. MENENDEZ: Good afternoon, Madam Chairman.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. Good afternoon.

I just want to understand what happened to your forecast. We approved it back in October or so, just a few months toward the end of December 2016. What happened? You filed this in April?

MR. BERNIER: Yes, ma'am, that's correct.

So I think what we've seen is now that we have done the upstated -- the updated projections in March, if I'm correct, what we have seen is a decrease in our projected sales coupled with an increase in gas -- or fuel prices, and that is the -- those are the two driving factors of the under-recovery.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Right, and I understand that.

And the sales are substantially lower. What is that attributed to? Conservation efforts, a warm winter?

MR. BERNIER: I don't think I could attribute it to any one. I think that those are all factors that are contributing to them, yes.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: We have a hearing coming up just in three or four months -- three months on this, on the fuel clause, and my big question is how -- and I know it's hard, it's not a perfect science, but how can you make the sales forecast more accurate?

Customers want levelized rates. They want predictability. And having fluctuations, I don't think -- I don't think folks understand that this is a passthrough of fuel cost. There's no return on it. You know, I'm just trying to understand how you could make the sales forecast more accurate. Are there tools that you have?

MR. BERNIER: And I may need Chris to help me out with this one a little bit, but we use the best available information that we have at the time, and forecasts are made using the information that is available at that time. Obviously as you move through time, those things can change and things can impact it. But I'm not aware of anything that we could do to make it more accurate. If there is anything, we would certainly be more than willing to look into it and to apply those as lessons.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: I know over the years other utilities have had, have had deviations more than 10 percent per the rule, under-recoveries, and they did

not come in seeking a midcourse correction. What would the impact be if we held -- if the Commission held off on approving the request until we take it up during this year's fuel clause?

MR. BERNIER: Sure. So the, the under-recovery itself that is being projected now, the \$182 million, could change over, over time. That could -- it could grow, it could, it could decrease, although, you know, we can't know that now. That is the projection using best available information.

If, if the midcourse was denied and we were to wait until January 2018, whatever that amount would be would go into rates along with the 2018 factors, which could -- are dependent, of course, on fuel costs then. There would be an increase in interest or carrying charges on that amount as well, which I don't know that we've quantified and I don't think we can right now.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: But somewhat nominal and
relatively --

MR. BERNIER: I think that's probably accurate, yes, ma'am.

And then there would also be the fact that we have asked to have this midcourse done for the 12 months leading up to the Citrus Unit 1 coming into effect, which we are projecting in July next year.

If we were to take the entire midcourse that we're talking about and move it into '18, we would lose the smoothing effect that we have tried to build in here with the midcourse rolling off and Citrus rolling in.

So we would end up with the, let's call it 470 that we mentioned in the petition staying on for all 12 months of '18. In addition, the roughly 425 for Citrus would go as well in July, and you would end up with a total impact there of about \$9.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Almost 10, yeah.

MR. BERNIER: Yes, ma'am. So the number one -- and that is the impact that we were trying to avoid by filing the midcourse.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: This particular midcourse correction requested is confusing because of the different components. It's not very clean.

Can you go ahead and just -- you started talking about the different timing of events. Can you walk me through the utility's request and the reasons for parsing it out?

MR. BERNIER: Yeah, I'll do my best.

So what we've asked is for the midcourse rates to go into effect in the July billing cycles, and they would stay into effect until Citrus comes in, in which case Citrus would -- it's not a cent for cent, but take

its place. In 20 -- when we set the normal 2018 fuel factors through the normal fuel clause, we would essentially be looking at two different numbers that we

2.0

would have.

We would have the regular 2018 rates without the midcourse adjustment, and then we would have the 2018 with the midcourse. The with midcourse number would be what would go into effect Jan. 1, and then when Citrus comes in, the without midcourse numbers would go into effect and Citrus would then also come on to the bill.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you for that. I appreciate that. Again, I just want more clarity for those that are, that are tuning in so that they're aware of how, how this all works.

MR. BERNIER: Yes, ma'am.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: And then the tariffs and the notification to customers will be done with the first billing cycle in July.

MR. BERNIER: We've actually already provided notification to customers with the current bills. We provided notification of the request. Obviously we didn't say it had been approved because it had not, but that way we would give a little bit more notification than we were able to. Because as the staff rec notices,

we have 23 days here between today and July bills. 1 they will get a -- they have got a notification, all 2 customers who received May and June bills have the 3 notification of the request, and then with July they 4 will get notification, if approved, of the approved new 5 rates. 6 7 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. We have Commissioners with a couple of 8 9 questions, and I may come back. Commissioner Patronis. 10 11 COMMISSIONER PATRONIS: Thank you, Madam Chair. 12 I guess I just feel like this is better to be 13 14 fleshed out during the fuel clause process. Just more information, more numbers will be available. And I'm --15 I don't know how the rest of the Commissioners feel, but 16 17 I'd be ready to put that in a motion when everybody is 18 ready. 19 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. I appreciate 20 that. 21 Commissioner Polmann. 22 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, Madam Chair. 23 The Chairman raises a couple of questions,

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

which leads me to ask are the forecast models that

you're using proprietary?

24

25

2.0

MR. MENENDEZ: I don't know offhand,
Commissioner, if the models are proprietary.

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. You've made mention several times to "best available information," and I appreciate that. I often use that phrase.

Hard to tell whether you actually have best available information, but you have what you have.

So let me ask this then: Do you actually have and, therefore, do we have sufficient information to be able to make comparisons to what we often call industry standards for the goodness of the, of the model prediction? How good is it?

MR. BERNIER: I don't want to use the phrase again but I'm going to have to, it is the best available information that we have before us and --

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Well, that's the input side. You know, the best available information goes out. But it comes back to the Chairman's question, I think, is, you know, you're forecasting ahead and there's some uncertainty, but --

MR. BERNIER: Understood. The nature of the fuel clause is such that we have to project out and use those, and the midcourse is the tool for when actuals and projections don't marry the way that we would want them to, if that answered your question.

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Is it -- the forecast is on an annual basis, or do you -- are you projecting, you know, monthly, quarterly, or --

MR. BERNIER: So it's done a couple of times during the year, and I think, Chris, you could --

MR. MENENDEZ: Yes, Commissioner. We prepare a fuel cast -- fuel forecast on a monthly basis, but it is for the entire 12-month period in the case of a projection filing.

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Commissioners?

Commissioner Brisé.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Just one question. So obviously the impact will be based upon usage, and the summer has just begun. And so is there a chance that we will be back having a conversation about refunds, or maybe not have to be having a conversation about refunds, but the company be required to provide refunds based upon the fact that we're looking at forecasts that may not be totally accurate and sort of getting ahead of ourselves with an opportunity to address this a little bit later without having the impact to consumers in the interim? So if you could respond to that.

MR. BERNIER: I can try.

Based on the current projections, that is not

1	what we are expecting. And I do know that obviously, as
2	the summer months are coming, usage patterns can change.
3	We use weather normalized projections, and we don't know
4	what the costs of natural of fuel in general will do.
5	So, again, to use the term, we're here with the best
6	available information.
7	CHAIRMAN BROWN: All right. Commissioners,
8	any further comments or questions?
9	I believe Commissioner Patronis wanted to talk
10	about the timing.
11	Commissioner Patronis.
12	COMMISSIONER PATRONIS: Thank you, Madam
13	Chairman.
14	I move that we defer this decision to the fuel
15	clause.
16	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Is there a second?
17	I support that decision as well.
18	Is there a second?
19	COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Second.
20	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Any further discussion?
21	All those in favor, say aye.
22	(Vote taken.)
23	Opposed?
24	It passes unanimously.
25	Thank you for your request. We will see you

		000012
1	in a few months.	
2	MR. BERNIER: Yes, ma'am. Thank you.	
3	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.	
4	(Agenda item concluded.)	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	••	

1	STATE OF FLORIDA ) : CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	COUNTY OF LEON )
3	
4	I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission
5	Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein
6	stated.
7	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this
8	transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
9	T BUDBURD CEDBLEY that I am not a malatica
10	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney, or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
11	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.
12	DATED THIS 12th day of June, 2017.
13	
14	
15	
16	<u>Jenda Boles</u> LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR
17	Official FPSC Hearings Reporter
18	Office of Commission Clerk (850)413-6734
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	