

COMMISSIONERS:
JULIE I. BROWN, CHAIRMAN
ART GRAHAM
RONALD A. BRISÉ
DONALD J. POLMANN

STATE OF FLORIDA



DIVISION OF ECONOMICS
GREG SHAFER
DIRECTOR
(850) 413-6410

Public Service Commission

August 23, 2017

Kevin I.C. Donaldson
Senior Attorney
Florida Power & Light Company
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, FL 33408
Kevin.Donaldson@fpl.com

STAFF'S SECOND DATA REQUEST
via Email

Re: Docket No. 20170148-EI: Petition for determination under Rule 25-6.115, F.A.C., and approval of associated revised tariff sheet 6.300, by Florida Power & Light Company.

Dear Mr. Donaldson:

By this letter, Commission staff requests that Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) provide responses to the following data requests.

- 1) Please discuss whether the proposed new tariff provision on Sheet No. 6.300 should be removed once FPL completes its hardening (since at that point FPL will have no more non-hardened overhead feeder facilities on its system for customers to convert to underground).
- 2) Please provide a discussion how the exclusion of the Existing Facilities Cost from the CIAC calculation affects FPL's earnings and the general body of ratepayers:
 - a) for the period 2017-2020 (term of 2016 rate case settlement and base rate freeze)
 - b) in the MFRs of FPL's next rate case.
- 3) Please refer to Order No. PSC-07-0442-TRF-EI, in Docket No. 060150-E1, Attachment C, CIAC and GAF Waiver Example. Keeping the same illustrative amounts, please add a column showing the CIAC and GAF waiver calculation under proposed Sheet No. 6.300 (i.e., excluding Existing Facilities Cost).
- 4) Referring to the response to Staff's First Data Request No. 3, please state whether any of the municipalities listed also qualify for the GAF Waiver. If yes, please state whether the 25 percent GAF Waiver reduction is reflected in the CIAC amounts shown in the table (response to question 3b).

Kevin I.C. Donaldson

Page 2

August 23, 2017

- 5) Referring to the response to Staff's First Data Request No. 3b, please show the assumptions and steps of the calculation of the residential rate impact (\$14.2 million reduction in CIAC = \$0.01)
- 6) In response to Staff's First Data Request Nos. 5 and No. 6, FPL described the reliability benefits of underground facilities. Please describe, and quantify if possible, any economic benefits associated with underground facilities.
- 7) In response to Staff's First Data Request No. 6, FPL reported that its 2016 reliability report showed that the reliability indices for underground facilities have been performing better than the overhead facilities. However, on page 91 of the reliability report, it shows the L-bar for underground facilities is worse than the L-bar for the overhead facilities. Please explain why this is.
- 8) In response to Staff's First Data Request No. 7, FPL provided the municipalities that have completed the conversion from overhead to underground facilities. Please provide the reporting regions for those municipalities and the reliability indices for those regions. Please include the reliability data for 2007 through the present.

Please file all responses electronically no later than Wednesday, September 6, 2017 via the Commission's website at www.floridapsc.com by selecting the Clerk's Office tab and Electronic Filing Web Form. Please feel free to call me at 850.413.6204 if you have any questions.

Thank you.

/s/Sevini Guffey

Sevini Guffey
Public Utility Analyst 1
sguffey@psc.state.fl.us

cc: Office of the Commission Clerk