1	BEFORE THE			
2	FLORIDA	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION		
3	In the Matter of:			
4		DOCKET NO. 20160165-SU		
5	APPLICATION FOR S			
6	RATE CASE IN GULF COUNTY BY ESAD ENTERPRISES, INC. D/B/A			
7	BEACHES SEWER SYSTEMS, INC.			
8				
9				
10				
11	PROCEEDINGS:	COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA ITEM NO. 8		
12	COMMISSIONERS			
13	PARTICIPATING:	COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM		
14		COMMISSIONER RONALD A. BRISÉ COMMISSIONER DONALD J. POLMANN		
15	DATE:	Thursday, September 7, 2017		
16	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148		
17		4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida		
18	REPORTED BY:	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR		
19	REPORTED BI:	Official FPSC Reporter (850) 413-6734		
20		(050) 415-0754		
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

2.0

PROCEEDINGS

2	CHAIRMAN BROWN: All right.	Moving on to our
3	last item, which is Item 8.	

MR. ELLIS: Good morning, Commissioners.

Phillip --

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Just one moment while we're getting organized here. Thank you.

MR. ELLIS: Good morning, Commissioners.

Phillip Ellis with Commission staff.

Item 8 is Beaches Sewer Systems' request for a staff-assisted rate case. This item was originally scheduled for the July agenda but was deferred to allow staff time to correct a calculation error.

Beaches is a wastewater only Class C utility in Gulf County with approximately 320 customers using a flat monthly rate. Its last rate case was approximately 30 years ago, in 1987, and ownership of the utility was transferred to the current owner 15 years ago, in 2002.

Based on staff's review of the utility's data for the test year, we recommend an increase in the revenue requirement of approximately 34.35 percent, or \$45,000 annually. Customers would see an increase of approximately \$10.83 per monthly bill.

Staff is also recommending that Phase 2 pro forma be addressed in a future proceeding in the same

docket to reduce the utility's rate expense and allow 1 the utility time to complete projects and submit final 2 invoices to staff. Noticing requirements are already 3 included in the staff's calculations for the revenue 4 requirement. 5 During the course of this proceeding, staff 6 7 received four letters from customers, one of which had nine signatories, expressing concerns regarding the 8 9 amount of the rate increase. The utility is present and has indicated to staff it wishes to address the 10 Commission. Staff is prepared to answer any questions 11 12 you may have. 13 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. 14 Would the utility like to address the Commission? 15 MR. SEIFERT: Yes. My name is Frank Seifert. 16 17 I'm president and majority owner of the utility. 18 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Wait. Can you leave this on? Mr. Baez, can you please leave this on? 19 2.0 (Interruption.) 21 Thank you. Is it on? 22 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Yes. 23 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Continue, please.

24

25

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

with the staff recommendation. One is my salary, which

MR. SEIFERT: Thank you. I have two concerns

they have recommended to be less than \$20 an hour. But I can go out and hire somebody to fix my fence, to clean out the ponds, and pay them \$41 an hour. And I think that my time is, is worth more than \$20 an hour.

We don't have any employees other than my partner and myself. If something happens, we got to fix it. A good example was Saturday, a holiday weekend, 2:30 in the afternoon I got a call. Our lift station was down. I couldn't pick up the phone and call Joe Blow and say, "Go fix it. You work for us." No. I had to jump in my truck, go out there and spend the next five and a half hours getting it back up and running.

And many times during that time I thought,

"Golly, if I could clean out the ponds, I could make

\$41 an hour." Doing this on a Saturday, I don't make

overtime. I virtually make less than \$20 an hour, and I

think that's unfair.

And I realize that it's a big increase, but the utility has not gone up for 30 years. We're still going to be below what the City of Port St. Joe charges for sewer. We're still way below what the City of Mexico Beach charges. We don't get hardly any complaints about our service. The complaints that we have gotten is that people think that this increase is too much. But if you spread it out over the 30 years,

it's less than 2 percent a year. So I think it's justified.

2.0

My other comment is this was my first time to go through a rate case, and the staff-assisted, I was a little upset that I could not sit down in person and talk with the people coming up with these figures to, one, to figure out how they're coming up with the figures and justifying them or how I should offer more information that would justify information.

I mean, they looked at what we presented. A good example is we need a generator, and so they took the lowest bid generator. Well, that's fine except that will run a lift station but it won't run our plant. So what good is it, you know? We need a bigger generator. And if I could have sat down and discussed it with them, I think that would have been a big help to us and to them. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you for your comments.

Office of Public Counsel, would you like to
address the Commission?

MS. PONDER: Yes. Good morning, Madam Chairman. Virginia Ponder for OPC.

And we don't oppose the staff recommendation.

I was here simply to comment. It was our understanding that staff has commenced the rulemaking process to

address the use of the operating margin. And I just wanted to again express that we appreciate that effort and that it's been a long time coming, and OPC looks forward to participating as staff finalizes its rule.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Excellent. And I know we will be talking about that briefly in Internal Affairs today and where we are with regard to the rulemaking.

MS. PONDER: Okay. Excellent. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.

All right. We're going to bring it back to the Commissioners for questions. Commissioners, any questions on any of the issues presented or the comments that were put forth today by the utility?

Commissioner Polmann.

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, Madam Chairman.

Is it Mr. Seifert?

MR. SEIFERT: Seifert.

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, sir. A few comments in response. You brought up the issue of salaries, sir, so I'll respond on that. And I'm not sure I have a question, but I will respond, and I'll put it in this context. I understand you're a majority owner based on your comments; is that correct?

MR. SEIFERT: Correct.

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: And not to argue the point, but from my perspective, as owner and president, if I understand it correctly, you're taking a salary; is that correct?

MR. SEIFERT: Correct.

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: You're not paying yourself by the hour.

MR. SEIFERT: No. I would like to make a comment about that, though. So far this year I have not been able to take a salary because we have not had the money. We've had some unusual expenses and basically we have worked for free. But being the owner of the company, that happens.

where I'm going with this, and I appreciate that additional information. Because there are many small businesses, and I may -- if I may describe your operation in a small business context, that being an owner of a small business, you take responsibility for that and that comes with some associated risks. It's a business risk.

Now if -- because of the nature of the business you're coming here to recover costs, and if you are able to demonstrate that there are costs that you're under-recovering, that's part of the rate case analysis.

But in the context of operating a business and taking a salary, you have both responsibility and a risk. And you're not paying yourself by the hour, you're taking a salary, and this is not an hourly issue.

And to the point of describing yourself as being paid \$20 an hour, quite frankly, sir, that's irrelevant. I'll simply state that I've taken a salary for 30 years. I don't work by the hour. I don't work here by the hour. I've never worked by the hour since I was about 18 years old. So I hear your comment. That's all I'll say on that issue.

Now in terms of referencing \$41 an hour for outside, that's a contractor. They either work by the hour or they work by the task. If you want to hire a contractor on an as-needed basis or have an agreement with them to come in, then please provide that information and that will be considered. If you want to compare yourself on some cost basis to a contractor, then there's an opportunity for us to consider that as an alternative. I don't understand that that information has been provided for consideration here. So I'm listening to you and I hear what you're saying, but if we don't have that information, I don't believe it should be considered. Now if it was provided, then I would expect it was considered and there was a judgment

made on that.

Now to the extent that you did not have an opportunity to participate, we can review that with staff in terms of procedure.

As to the generator not being appropriate for your entire need, perhaps that can be reviewed and discussed, and we will do that as appropriate. I'm sorry if that's the case. And I do understand if a larger generator would be appropriate. I understand that having a pump station operate and the plant not being able to operate seems to be a disconnect, you know. If there's a major cost difference from one to the other, that logically should be addressed.

Another concern I have, Madam Chairman, if I may --

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yes, please.

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: -- on another issue, there's a question of quality of operations that I discussed with staff, and that has to do with compliance with regulatory requirements. And I'll have a question directed to either you or to staff at this point, and that is in comments from Mr. Ellis at the opening it was indicated that you took ownership or your group took ownership ten years ago. Is that correct?

MR. SEIFERT: It's been more than ten years,

yes. Seventeen.

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Mr. Ellis, do we have information that there were -- or what information do we have on the regulatory history during the time that Mr. Seifert and his company have owned, owned this utility? What information do we have on regulatory compliance during that time? I understand we're reviewing a period of time in the SARC, but what's the time frame for review on regulatory compliance?

MR. ELLIS: For regulatory compliance with DEP in other matters we look back five years. From my understanding, only the last two reports have had issues with DEP as outlined in Issue 1.

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. And is there a current issue being addressed on the percolation ponds?

MR. ELLIS: I believe the only item that is currently out of compliance, my understanding it's being worked with by the utility and DEP, is strictly the rotation of ponds.

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: And do we anticipate that that will be resolved in the near future, meaning within the year or less?

MR. ELLIS: I believe the utility may be better suited to answer that.

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay.

MR. ELLIS: But from my understanding, the pro forma -- part of the pro forma request included items associated with that.

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Mr. Seifert, can you address the remedy for the percolation ponds?

MR. SEIFERT: The remedy -- there's two remedies. One, we're supposed to rotate the ponds for a week at a time. We've got three ponds, so every three weeks a pond will be used. Our lines are not working, so at the present time only one pond is getting used.

DEP came up with a suggestion, which we're doing, in fact, we're doing it this week, and that we could pump it from our one pond to the other pond by pump. Of course, this will take more time, but that would rotate it. They're coming to inspect us next Friday to see how it's working.

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Now is that considered
a temporary --

MR. SEIFERT: They said we could do it like that forever, which surprised me. But if not, we're going to have to dig up all our lines and find out why they're not working. And they're not working because they have been broken or because turtles have gotten in there, you know, and clogged them up.

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Sure. Okay. Now will

2

3

5

7

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

25

that utilize two of your ponds or all three?

MR. SEIFERT: We could be able to use all

three of the ponds.

4

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Well, from an

environmental resource management and so forth, that's

of concern to me. And I would look forward to that 6

being reported back to the staff in terms of how that's

being resolved. So I'll simply ask you and staff to 8

coordinate on that. I mean, I understand how the ponds

work from my professional background and what the intent

is. And the one week of loading and then two weeks of

out of service, you know, as you said, is the way 12

they're intended to work. And the fact that you're

using one as a continuous loading is not the way it's

intended. So I'm glad DEP is addressing that. And, you

know, pumping from one to the other is a logical

resolution. Whether it's permanent or not, it'll work.

It's a cost issue and so forth. But please have that

addressed and coordinate with staff.

MR. SEIFERT: I will do that.

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: All right. Madam

Chairman, that's all I have. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. Just a follow-up

24 question from Commissioner Polmann on the as-needed

contractor that seems to be -- that would have -- I

mean, it would have been nice for something like that to
be considered given the scope of the utility and the
fact that they have two employees, the president and the
vice president.

Did staff ask about an as-needed contractor,

Did staff ask about an as-needed contractor, something that, similar to what Mr. Seifert was talking about?

MR. BROWN: Ma'am, there's actually one included in the rates or the revenue requirement that staff came up with. I don't know whether it's a part-time plant operator or what the actual cost --

CHAIRMAN BROWN: I saw that on page 15 of the recommendation under contractual services other.

MR. BROWN: Correct.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: I saw that it's a thousand dollars a month.

MR. BROWN: I think it might actually be more than that now. I think we included 1,100 a month for that. But they -- the utility provided a contract for that individual.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: So, Mr. Seifert, would that -- would his scope of services, would that have been something that could have been helpful on Saturday?

MR. SEIFERT: No. He's the, the operator of the plant. His job is to make sure that it's processing

correctly. He has to go there every day, do the test, check the lift station.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: He doesn't do maintenance?

MR. SEIFERT: He does not do any of the maintenance, no.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: I think that the utility owner has, has a point and it is something that the utility could benefit from. Would -- does staff agree with that assessment based on the discussions and revelations of, of -- discussed here today?

MR. BROWN: I would agree in general, but that's just -- that's not the information that we had at the time of processing the staff-assisted rate case. We knew all the way through that it's essentially a utility that has three part-time employees: The president, the vice president, and the contract operator.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: I mean, this company hasn't been in for 30 years.

MR. BROWN: Correct.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: And obviously this is his first time here. Could -- I'm just looking at some critical dates. Could we benefit from assessing that instead of having the utility come back in a limited proceeding or something to that effect to address that need?

MR. BROWN: It was actually something we could probably address in the pro forma portion of this docket. We've got an issue, I believe it's Issue 16, that addresses pro forma plant items.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Uh-huh.

MR. BROWN: That may be something that we could take up because there are several pro forma O&M items.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay.

MR. BROWN: Maybe we could take that up as a pro forma O&M in the future.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. So then just maybe proceed as is.

MR. BROWN: Yes, ma'am.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: With staff direction to consider that under pro forma.

MR. BROWN: Right. Because as it stands right now, the pro forma items, we're basically saying there's a need for these pro forma items. We don't have a great deal of confidence in some of the estimates simply because some of the estimates are old. But that may be an opportunity for the utility to provide us some additional information on what an additional contract operator or maintenance person, what that would look like, what those costs would look like, and then we

could possibly include that in the pro forma. 1 2 CHAIRMAN BROWN: I think that's a great recommendation. 3 MR. BROWN: Okay. 4 5 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Brown. Commissioners? Commissioner Polmann, I see 6 7 you have your light on. Just one comment I wanted to make on the --8 9 utilizing the operating ratio methodology. And it's something that I'm paying attention to as well as Office 10 of Public Counsel is. This is an instance where I think 11 it is appropriate. I don't have a problem with staff's 12 13 analysis of it, and I just wanted to state that clearly 14 on the record. Commissioner Polmann. 15 16 **COMMISSIONER POLMANN:** Simply a comment to 17 support Mr. Brown's response on coming back on the operation and maintenance. Percolation ponds are part 18 of the plant. 19 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Did you hear that? 20 21 Okay. All right. We're ripe for a motion at 22 this juncture. Commissioners, can I please get a motion 23 on this item? 24 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Move approval of all

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

issues in staff's recommendation.

25

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Is there a second? 1 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Second. 2 3 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Any further discussion? 4 Seeing none, all those in favor, say aye. (Vote taken.) 5 6 Motion passes. 7 Thank you. Thank you for coming up here. 8 All right. That concludes our agenda. We do 9 have Internals Affairs, which -- and we have a special guest there. So we will meet there in five minutes in 10 11 the IA room. Let's just say, so that they get set up, 12 at 10:55. And before I adjourn, I just want to again 13 14 encourage you folks to get prepared for Hurricane Irma. 15 It is a life-threatening event. Thank you so much. 16 This concludes our Agenda Conference. 17 (Agenda Conference adjourned at 10:48 a.m.) 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

TER
111
mission
in
that
es a
ngs.
tive, ties,
arties' nor am I
•