FILED 9/18/2017 DOCUMENT NO. 07730-2017 **FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK** 1 BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION 2 3 In the Matter of: DOCKET NO. 20170152-SU 4 5 REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A LATE PAYMENT CHARGE IN VOLUSIA COUNTY, BY NORTH PENINSULA 6 UTILITIES CORPORATION. 7 8 9 10 PROCEEDINGS: COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA 11 ITEM NO. 11 12 COMMISSIONERS CHAIRMAN JULIE I. BROWN PARTICIPATING: 13 COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM COMMISSIONER RONALD A. BRISÉ 14 COMMISSIONER DONALD J. POLMANN Thursday, September 7, 2017 15 DATE: Betty Easley Conference Center 16 PLACE: Room 148 17 4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida 18 LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR REPORTED BY: Official FPSC Reporter 19 (850) 413-6734 20 21 22 23 24 25

000001

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN BROWN: All right. Item 11.

MS. DANIEL: Commissioners, if I may, I'd like to introduce Matthew Sibley. He's a new analyst with the Division of Economics, and he is here to present Item 11 for you.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: And you had to put him on late payment charges.

MS. DANIEL: We know how much you all are concerned about that, so we thought this would be a good test for him. So I'll be right back here if he needs me.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Good morning, Mr. Sibley.

MR. SIBLEY: Good morning, Chairwoman. Good morning, Commissioners. My name is Matthew Sibley. I'm speaking on behalf of the Commission staff.

Item 11 addresses North Peninsula Utilities
Corporation's application to add a late payment charge
to Volusia County. The utility is a Class B utility
providing wastewater service to approximately
586 customers in Volusia County.

On July 11th, 2017, the utility filed an application to add a late payment charge to their miscellaneous service charges. Staff recommends that the late payment charge be granted to the utility. This

charges allows the utility to receive compensation when 1 processing notices for delinquent payments. 2 The utility is not present and there's been no 3 customer contact. Staff is prepared to answer your 4 questions at this time. 5 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. Commissioner 6 7 Brisé has questions and I do as well. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you very much. 8 9 think we talked about this a little bit during my briefing. 10 11 how -- the staff arrived at \$6.77, please? 12 MR. SIBLEY: Yes, sir. So we'll start with 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Can you walk us through how you arrived at

labor, which is a \$6 payment. The payment is made up of 12 hours of work times \$35 per hour for their rate of pay, which equals \$420. From there we take the number of complaints, which is 70, divided by the number, which is 420, to equal \$6 flat for the labor. Supplies is 28 cents, which is ink, paper, and envelopes, and then 49 cents for postage, which is just a stamp, so.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. And the 12 hours of work, you're assigning that specifically to, to late fees.

MR. SIBLEY: Yes, sir. They have 70 late payments every month that they have to go through. I

see that as just. 1 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. So what do -- what 2 are they doing exactly? 3 MR. SIBLEY: They're processing late payments. 4 So they receive the payment. They receive the bill that 5 is late. They have to make sure that the bill is late. 6 7 They check to make sure that everything is correct. They then copy the bill, put it into their files. From 8 9 there they make a copy of it and send it back to them. So they're processing it, making copies, and then 10 sending it back out again instead of doing something 11 12 else that they could be doing. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: So this is all literally 13 14 physical labor rather than an automated system? 15 MR. SIBLEY: Yes. COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. That's very 16 17 interesting. CHAIRMAN BROWN: At \$35 an hour. 18 19 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Right. CHAIRMAN BROWN: Commissioner Polmann. 20 21 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, Madam 22 Chairman. 23 Does the color of your tie represent your alma 24 mater? 25 MR. SIBLEY: No, sir. I'm from FSU.

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: I'm sorry. 1 2 (Laughter.) 3 MR. SIBLEY: It's okay. It's okay. COMMISSIONER POLMANN: You indicate in the, in 4 the text here the range -- or the Commission has 5 approved late payment charges ranging from \$2 to \$7.15. 6 7 This is near the top of the range. But these approvals have come since the 1990s. 8 9 Can you tell us, do you have the information of the more recent approvals? Are they all essentially 10 near the top of the range? 11 MS. FRIEDRICH: Commissioner, if I may, 12 Marissa Friedrich with staff. 13 14 Typically lately we've been seeing a lot of late payment charges ranging from \$5 and up. We don't 15 really see requests for \$2 to \$3 anymore, that lower 16 17 end. 18 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. As a follow-on, what was the previous late charge that was approved? 19 20 MS. FRIEDRICH: The last one, I believe, would 21 be UIF, and that was around -- I would say it was at the 22 higher end of \$6, if I remember properly. The highest 23 one that is at 7.15 was recently approved for KW. 24 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. More 25 specifically, what was the late charge for this utility

prior to this request?

utility will have a late payment charge.

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. All right.

MS. FRIEDRICH: This is the first time this

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Great questions,

Commissioners.

processing those.

I want to get back to that hourly rate. And we are starting to see a shift towards a higher late payment charge. Obviously the customers that are affected by that are those who are most in need. We have to find a balance for the utility as well. But we are seeing a, a jump here and -- which is why I think having this discussion, while tedious, is necessary to

get back down to the analysis that goes behind

At \$35 an hour, that's way above what we approved per hour for Utilities, Inc., a Class A utility. This is a Class C utility. We have another one that is on -- that we'll be taking up after this one. They have their labor at \$4.83 an hour compared to the labor here at \$6. It's all over the place. It almost seems like we're doing a test here to see what, what fits.

I'm not saying that one size fits all

1	necessarily, but I do think \$35 an hour to process a
2	late payment fee is way above what we this Commission
3	has done in the past. Do you have the hourly rate for
4	the Utilities, Inc.?
5	MS. FRIEDRICH: I want to say it was about
6	\$18 an hour. Remember, there was the billing specialist
7	that we included in that late payment charge.
8	CHAIRMAN BROWN: You had two people to
9	process.
LO	MS. FRIEDRICH: The two people. So the
L1	billing specialist, I want to say, was about \$18, and
L2	then the billing manager was a little bit higher than
L3	that.
L 4	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Do you remember what the
L5	billing manager
L 6	MS. FRIEDRICH: I believe do you have that
L7	paper I gave you?
L8	The billing specialist was \$18.36 per hour,
L9	and the assistant billing manager was \$28.16 per hour.
20	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yeah. And KW was at the high
21	end. What was the hourly rate for KW?
22	MS. FRIEDRICH: And I want to say it was
23	closer to \$30, but subject to check. I can't remember
24	off the top of my head.
25	CHAIRMAN BROWN: So this is even above these

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Class A utilities who are inundated probably with a much 1 more heavier load of customers here that are putting 2 late payments. Trying to find something that's more 3 reasonable for this utility, they're not being 4 efficient. It sounds like their process is a little 5 antiquated. 6 7 Do you have a -- for the -- pardon me. is a Class B. Do you have an average of the hourly rate 8 9 for Class B utilities? MS. FRIEDRICH: I do not. We don't keep an 10 average. We could do that on a going-forward basis, 11 12 definitely get that information together. CHAIRMAN BROWN: I think it would make sense 13 to have some type of barometer of what is fair and 14 reasonable for these utilities. 15 MS. FRIEDRICH: For each class? 16 17 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yeah. 18 MS. FRIEDRICH: Okay. 19 CHAIRMAN BROWN: It just seems --Commissioners? We have a couple of Commissioner 2.0 21 questions here. 22 MS. FRIEDRICH: Sure. 23 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Commissioner Brisé. 24 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Thank you. And sort of 25 following that process question, so do we know the

distinction between companies that have a more automated process versus those that use a more manual process to see if there is a difference, what the delta is between the expense per hour and then a comparison of how much the system — how much a more automated system would cost and what that would cost everyone in the system versus having the late fees be what they are because of the processing that is currently employed? Do we have any of that data?

MS. FRIEDRICH: We would just find out that data simply through data request questions and inquiring through the utility. We don't have that upfront. But after the utility, you know, requests the late payment charge at that amount, if we felt it was too high, we'd definitely dig into that and see, you know, is this an automated system or how many people do you have working on this, that sort of thing.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: But to answer my question, do we know if there is a delta right now, based upon your experience, between those that use a more automated system and those that use a more manual system?

MS. DANIEL: Commissioner, Patty Daniel on behalf of staff. We have not been tracking that.

That's something we'll certainly keep our eye on on a

going-forward basis.

I will tell you that I've seen a dramatic increase in the number of requests for late payment charges in the last few years. Part of that has to do with staff beginning to kind of talk more directly with these utilities about when they are -- when they do already come in for a case, let's, while you're here, particularly on the staff-assisted rate cases, let's explore everything and make sure you're where you need to be on a going-forward basis. So that's been a part of the influx of additional late payment charges.

This company has come to us separately. I expect that 70 late payments per month is what drove them here. I looked back. They've never filed for a rate case. They've only filed for the indexes over the last 15 years that I looked back at. So I'm sure that's hitting them hard.

Now I don't disagree with the \$35 labor concern, but we -- going back to your question, no, we've not really tracked that, but it's certainly something we'll look at on a going-forward basis, automated versus manual and what exactly they're doing.

COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: And to follow up on that, do we have a sense of how much some software to automate the process would cost so we could ballpark what that

could mean to the consumer base and sort of make a 1 determination of whether, you know, this fee on these 2 cost causers makes sense versus a fee that would impact 3 the whole system? 4 MS. DANIEL: We don't have that information. 5 And just as I sit here, when I say you -- hear you say 6 7 "automated," I'm trying to think what that would mean. Because for automated, that means they've got a billing 8 9 system --COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Right, right. 10 MS. DANIEL: -- as opposed to just manually 11 12 knocking them out using Excel or whatever program they 13 would. 14 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Right. MS. DANIEL: So there's always going to be 15 that step of manual labor, if nothing more than 16 17 reviewing what the computer --COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Uh-huh, sure. 18 19 MS. DANIEL: -- has spit out versus 20 mechanically doing that. So certainly something we're 21 going to look at on a going-forward basis. 22 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Okay. Thank you. 23 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, Commissioner 24 Brisé. 25 Commissioner Polmann.

2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, Madam Chairman.

We've talked about comparisons to other utilities and recent rising costs for that particular charge. So at \$35 per hour labor, and I understand how we got the 6, the question is, is this full-time staff that are executing this task? Do we know that?

MR. SIBLEY: Yes, sir, this is fully benefited staff. This is part of the employment agreement that they have set up.

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Do these staff perform other tasks?

MR. SIBLEY: Under my knowledge, I believe so.

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. So this is the fully loaded rate at 35. Is the \$35 being charged for this task, or is that their actual salary? Do we know?

MR. SIBLEY: Staff does not have that information at this time.

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. The other thing that comes to mind, and I think you've answered this question, but \$35 an hour, in my view, has -- would be a more appropriate rate for hiring an outside contractor as opposed to in-house and labor. So can you confirm -do we have the information that confirms that these are in-house staff?

MR. SIBLEY: Staff does not have that information at this time.

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay. Question for counsel. Is there any reason to not defer this item so that we can get additional information to answer all the questions that we've asked? Is it possible to defer for -- to the next meeting?

MS. DANIEL: Actually, Commissioner, we -let's see. Did the company file a tariff? No, they did
not file a tariff, so that 60-day suspend rate is not
necessary. They did not file the tariff. They filed a
little letter requesting it. So you may, you may defer.

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you for that answer.

a lot of questions still outstanding, and since this company does not have a late payment charge and never has, the urgency isn't immediate. I think getting that information so we can make an accurate decision is probably the best way to go. So we're going to go — defer it until the next agenda conference. And, staff, you've heard from the bench the questions and the information that we're seeking. If you can, during that period of time, get those answers so that we could have a more thorough recommendation, that would be

		00001-
1	appreciated. And welcome to the Commission.	
2	MR. SIBLEY: Thank you very much.	
3	CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. Late payment	
4	charges get us all excited.	
5	(Agenda item concluded.)	
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

STATE OF FLORIDA) : CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
COUNTY OF LEON)
I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission
Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein
stated.
IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that
the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision; and that this transcript constitutes a
true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties,
nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties' attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
financially interested in the action.
DATED THIS 18th day of September, 2017.
Linda Boles
LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR
FPSC Official Hearings Reporter (850) 413-6734