	BEFORE THE
FLORIDA	A PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
In the Matter of:	
	DOCKET NO. 20170098-EI
COMPLAINT BY RICH	
MALCOLM AGAINST F & LIGHT COMPANY.	LORIDA POWER
	/
PROCEEDINGS:	COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA ITEM NO. 3
COMMISSIONERS	
PARTICIPATING:	CHAIRMAN JULIE I. BROWN COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM
	COMMISSIONER RONALD A. BRISÉ COMMISSIONER DONALD J. POLMANN
	COMMISSIONER GARY F. CLARK
DATE:	Tuesday, October 3, 2017
PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148
	4075 Esplanade Way
	Tallahassee, Florida
REPORTED BY:	LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR Official FPSC Reporter
	(850) 413-6734

PROCEEDINGS 1 2 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Now we're getting back to 3 Item 3. All right. And we do, as I said earlier, we do have Mr. Malcolm on the phone. But, Mr. Malcolm, we 4 5 will have you muted until we turn to you. We're going to have our staff introduce the item. All right. 6 7 Good morning. MS. PAGE: Good morning, Commissioners. 8 9 Pamela Page with the Office of General Counsel.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In Item No. 3 staff --

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Ms. Page, could you speak up a little bit louder?

MS. PAGE: Sure.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you.

MS. PAGE: Sorry.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Maybe turn the microphone closer to you.

MS. PAGE: Oh, yes. Is that better?

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Yes.

MS. PAGE: All right. In Item No. 3 staff recommends that the Commission deny a formal complaint filed by Mr. Richard Malcolm concerning his service account with Florida Power & Light Company. Mr. Malcolm states in his complaint that FPL wrongfully back billed him due to meter tampering and that FPL's bill for

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

electric service is unreasonable.

Staff reviewed FPL's back billing calculations and determined that Mr. Malcolm's account was fairly and reasonably back billed. Mr. Malcolm's account was properly billed in accordance with Commission rules, statutes, and orders, as well as FPL's tariffs.

Mr. Malcolm wishes to address the Commission by telephone, and Florida Power & Light is present to answer any questions that may arise.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, Ms. Page.
Good morning, Mr. Malcolm.

MR. MALCOLM: Yes, good morning.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: We can hear you perfectly. So I will start the timer. You have three minutes to address us, and welcome.

MR. MALCOLM: Yes. Well, the first issue is the property was not mine until September or October when my dad died (unintelligible.) So, you know, there's no way it could be reasonably calculated that I could be responsible for three years' worth of light bills, because my name is not Rich Malcolm. My name is Richard Ralph Malcolm, as I've told FPL numerous times.

The account was opened not by me, but I'm assuming somebody that was related to my dad that, you know, I don't know about. And the only thing I did was

pay the bills.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Now I didn't have control of the property until October, when I contacted FPL and I tried to put the bill in my name because my dad was sick. So he subsequently died I think about a month later.

And also FPL has communicated (unintelligible) -- you know, there's no (unintelligible) anybody because, first of all, FPL claimed that there was tampering. There was no tampering with the meter. What there was was an (unintelligible) condition. Somebody put something, a metal object, and it looked like sabotage to start a meter fire.

Now the meter itself was not tampered with, and FPL could not create the same condition, so there's no way they could come up with the exact amount. And also FPL is a public monopoly. They're the ones that came up with the amount that was due. I don't think they have jurisdiction to regulate themselves. I believe a court of competent jurisdiction has to regulate them.

And not only that, FPL cannot use that evidence to fine anybody for meter tampering because I think that the (unintelligible) that, you know, FPL reports is not sufficient to substantiate theft of power. (Unintelligible). That case, you know, exceeds

FPL's jurisdiction.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

So, you know, if you go to court, it's going to be dismissed because, first of all, they violated my rights by not (unintelligible) unsafe conditions, and then they go back and try to charge three years, which is unprecedented.

So, you know, FPL, in my opinion, is grossly abusing their power (unintelligible), and there's no case that is going to support their cause in juris prudence.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you. Thank you so much for your participation and your comments, Mr. Malcolm. We are going to mute you so that we can have questions and discussion on the bench. You were on a cell phone, it was difficult to hear, but I could still kind of get some of -- most of it, I think. And I understand your argument and read your complaint too.

We're going to turn to FPL now for any response that they have.

MR. LEE: Good morning, Commissioners, Madam Chair. David Lee for Florida Power & Light Company. It sounds like the gist of Mr. Malcolm's complaint is that he doesn't believe he's responsible for the back billing at the address. And the heart of that is he claims that he's not the record owner or the person responsible for

the bills, but the record speaks otherwise.

Mr. Malcolm has owned the property, according to Miami-Dade County's Property Appraiser's website, since January 9th of 2014. The meter condition was discovered -- or the condition started on July 1st of 2014. It wasn't discovered for a couple of years later.

Mr. Malcolm throughout time has, according to Sunbiz, owned several businesses where he's either been the registered agent, the president, or the vice president, using the subject address as the address for either the corporation or himself. He's told staff and our employees that he has an office at the property and has -- that he rents the property out to tenants, collects rent, and they don't pay the utilities, that he pays the utilities. His email address -- he seems to think because the account is in Rich Malcolm and his name is Richard Malcolm that somehow that means it's not him. But his email address that he's emailed with staff and FPL multiple times is richmalcolm76@gmail.com.

Mr. Malcolm, on multiple occasions, has said that his father died in October of 2016, then said other dates as to when his father died, and then also indicated that he took over the property after two years of a protracted battle after his father passed away.

Well, that would have predated when the property

appraiser shows he took over in 2014, so I don't think he has his dates correct.

In addition, Mr. Malcolm, you know, despite saying he doesn't live there and doesn't own property there, after this was brought to light and he filed this complaint, he then attempted to call us and set up another account, tried to change the account over into a business name that he owns, according to Sunbiz.

So while Mr. Malcolm is saying he doesn't own it, all the record information indicates that he is the record owner. I haven't really heard any challenges to the adequacy of the meter tampering charges, so unless you have any questions, I won't address that. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: No, thank you, and those were helpful comments, too.

Commissioners, questions?

I have a question. And, again, I appreciate the clarification that you've provided. So if the Commission grants the recommendation and approves the recommendation, how is FPL going to pursue collection of the \$1,800? Are you going to file a civil suit to get a judgment?

MR. LEE: Well, the first step is his power is still on and in that name, so if they don't pay --

because we've been protecting the balance since the time
the complaint was filed, so we haven't attempted any
sort of collection action. But our first step is if
they don't pay, we disconnect the electrical service.
And unless they pay it, you know, we won't reconnect.

If we need to go collect, then we'll send it to a
collection agency and they'll take it from there.

2.0

CHAIRMAN BROWN: So he's just been fighting the charges and hasn't made any more payment other than his monthly charges for the most part.

MR. LEE: Right. I don't know what his outstanding balance is as we sit here today, but for the most part he's been paying his monthly bill.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: Okay. Can you elaborate on the actual tampering of the meter?

MR. LEE: Sure.

CHAIRMAN BROWN: From what I understand, talking to staff, it was pretty egregious.

MR. LEE: So the first indication was -- he has a smart meter, and so there was a, what they call a unique outage on July 1st, 2014. So what that's an indication is that more than one meter is on a transformer and only one meter goes out of service, and that is generally an indication that somebody has taken the meter out of the socket. However, we have a lot of

cases to investigate, so unfortunately we're not able to do it realtime.

So in 2016 they went out. They found the meter was not displaying anything. The meter man pulled the meter off, saw the seal was missing, and then noticed there's four, not to get too technical, but there's four blocks on the back and there was a piece of metal sort of wedged between two of those, so on the load side and the customer side, I'm sorry, the supply side. So that would decrease the amount of voltage that's being registered, the kWh that's being registered on the meter.

When we took it back to the meter shop, we then plugged it into one of our test facility -- test devices, and it came back at 73 percent. So it was registering, according to our test device, 73 percent of normal load. However, there was a retest done at the customer's request, and it came out 83 percent. So we adjusted the bill after that by that differential.

The explanation that I was given is that the device, they can't just put it into our board. They have to use like a brace -- or not a brace, but a temporary thing that they plug the meter into and then plug that into the board. Due to that piece of metal being there, and I guess they said the clamps were a

little loose, and so they think that's what made for the 1 differential. They've subsequently retested it, and 2 3 it's come back 83 percent every time. So his current, I think, bill was reduced 4 5 from -- the consumption was originally billed at \$2,323.66 plus cost of investigative charges of 547.28 6 7 for a total of 2,870.94. It was reduced where the new charges were 1,319.15 along with the same investigative 8 9 charges for a new total of 1,866.43, and that's the 10 balance that we're seeking to enforce. CHAIRMAN BROWN: Well, it sounds to me like 11 12 FPL has spent a lot of time on this particular 13 complaint, and I would support the staff recommendation. 14 Commissioners, any questions, comments? 15 If not, we're ripe for a motion. COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Move staff. 16 COMMISSIONER BRISÉ: Second. 17 18 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Any further discussion? 19 Seeing none, all those in favor, say aye. 2.0 (Vote taken.) 21 The motion passes. Thank you. 22 MR. LEE: Thank you. 23 CHAIRMAN BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Malcolm, for 24 your participation. 25 (Agenda item concluded.)

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

STATE OF FLORIDA) : CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
COUNTY OF LEON)
I, LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR, Official Commission
Reporter, do hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the time and place herein
stated.
IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;
and that this transcript constitutes a true transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative, employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I financially interested in the action.
DATED THIS 9th day of October, 2017.
Brills This sen day of decoder, 2017.
- Ginda Boles
LINDA BOLES, CRR, RPR
FPSC Official Hearings Reporter (850) 413-6734