

Brandy Butler

From: Ruth McHargue
Sent: Monday, November 27, 2017 5:21 PM
To: Consumer Correspondence
Subject: FW: Customer correspondence for docket 20170007
Attachments: Docket # 20170007; Turkey Point cleanup; Clean up Turkey Point; Docket #20170007 - FPL Environmental; docket # 20170007; Turkey Point cleanup should not be billed to customers ; Warning.txt; Docket 20170007; Turkey Point Clean Up; Case Docket 20170007; Customers paying to clean up turkey point; Turkey Point - case docket number 20170007.; FPL Turkey Point Nuclear Plant; fpl case docket number 20170007.; FPL case docket number 20170007; FPL case docket number 20170007.

Customer correspondence

-----Original Message-----

From: Angie Calhoun

Sent: Monday, November 27, 2017 3:52 PM

To: Ruth McHargue

Subject: Customer correspondence for docket 20170007

Customer correspondence for docket 20170007.

Angie

Brandy Butler

From: snyounger@comcast.net
Sent: Thursday, November 23, 2017 11:29 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket # 20170007

Public Service Commissioners,
Florida Power and Light should not be permitted to charge the clean up for Turkey Point back to its ratepayers.

The salinity levels in the canals threaten the water quality for all who live in the area advancing at a rate of 525 to 660 feet per year with up to 600,000 pounds of salt escaping daily from the canals.

The cooling canals have been a problem for decades. Records show that FPL was been warned of this prior to 2010.

FPL have dragged their feet until they were forced into a position to be required to do so.

These cooling canals were permitted with the express requirement that FPL take responsibility. In hindsight they never should have been permitted to begin with due to the porous nature of the bedrock.

Do not reward FPL's bad behavior by allowing them to externalize the costs back to the ratepayers. They must absorb the cost of the clean up and become good stewards.

I trust you will perform your job and protect the public trust when a utility has shown they will not. Thank you for receiving comments from the public on this matter.

Sarah Younger
Florida resident

Brandy Butler

From: David B. Enfield <denfield@earthlink.net>
Sent: Friday, November 24, 2017 8:49 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Turkey Point cleanup

Thanks to the heads-up published by the Miami Herald, I now know that FPL wants to bill us for cleaning up the mess they allowed to occur in their Turkey Point cooling canals. That, after bilking us for years to finance new reactors that probably aren't needed and may never be built! They have no shame and the PSC should deny their request.

What we really need is a responsible utility that does everything possible to promote distributed solar power.

David Enfield

```
^^^^ David B. Enfield ^^^^^ |^^^^^^^ <denfield@earthlink.net> ^^^^^^^^|
      8395 SW 73rd Avenue      |      "Every CRISIS is an OPPORTUNITY"      |
          Apt. #812             |      Philosophy in the palindrome of Chinese      |
      Miami, FL 33143          |      words for both: "WEI JI" <==> "JI HUI"      |
ooooooooooooooooooooooooooooo|ooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooooo|
ooooooooooooooooooooooooooooo
```

Brandy Butler

From: Rosa Fernandez <rosa.fern831@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 24, 2017 8:34 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Clean up Turkey Point

Dear PSC Commissioners,

As a resident of Miami-Dade County, I think that FPL is responsible for the fixing the leaking canals at Turkey Point.

The \$200m+ costs should not be passed on to their customers.

Respectfully

Rosa M Fernandez
831 N W 28 Ave
Miami, Fl 33125

Brandy Butler

From: Bob Ross <bobrosspr@bellsouth.net>
Sent: Friday, November 24, 2017 8:08 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket #20170007 - FPL Environmental

Public Service Commission:

FPL should pay for clean-up of its failed canals as an ordinary business expense. Clean-up should include restoration of the property to its pre-FPL state and replacement by cooling towers. If FPL does not wish to install cooling towers at its aging facility, the PSC should do vastly more to encourage conversion to solar power so that Turkey Point may be shut down.

Thank you for listening,

Bob Ross
6701 SW 94th St.
Pinecrest, FL 33156
305-666-0012

Brandy Butler

From: John <johniturriaga@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 24, 2017 7:27 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: docket # 20170007

Good morning Public Service Commission, my wife and I thinks FPL bears the responsibility for cleaning the canals and making sure they work properly. They should not be allowed to bill the public for their mistakes in monitoring the canals.

Thanks,

Lourdes Fornias & John Iturriaga
2280 SW 32nd Ave #415
Miami, FL 33145

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

Brandy Butler

From: Kim Stone <kimstonemiami@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, November 24, 2017 6:57 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Turkey Point cleanup should not be billed to customers

FPL bears this responsibility on their own as it should have been monitored and maintained properly all along.

Brandy Butler

From: Teddy LHoutellier <loulout10@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 23, 2017 2:15 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Docket 20170007

I want to express my disagreement with the proposal of FPL to make their customers pay for the remediation of the environmental impacts caused by the Turkey Point canals plume.

I that regard, i fully support the legal action that the Southern Alliance for Clean Energy has undertaken.

Docket **20170007**

Teddy LHoutellier
10381 SW 68 lane
Miami, FL 33173

Brandy Butler

From: perezwmd@aol.com
Sent: Thursday, November 23, 2017 1:44 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Turkey Point Clean Up

Dear Commissioners,

FPL must not be permitted to pass on the cost of cleaning up Turkey Point to consumers. They wasted much time denying the obvious rather than preparing, and saving, to remedy the problems they created. Now they are running TV ads about how dedicated and wonderful they are for reestablishing connectivity after Hurricane Irma. That's money they should gear toward Turkey Point. Instead, they subtly try to trick us into believing they're more concerned about consumers than profits. They also want us to believe they expended substantial funds to restore power after Irma - they charge a fee every month to cover that and we hadn't had a storm in ten years.

The cost of cleaning up Turkey Point is part of their cost of doing business. They need to tell their stockholders there will be less profit and then move on. As consumers we have virtually no choice but pay FPL or go off the grid. They have a monopoly. Further, Florida has many disincentives for consumers to generate their own electricity. As consumers have seen virtually no increase in take home pay and as FPL is not innovating, their greed and ignorance cannot come from our sweat.

FPL can do what most Floridians do, tighten belts and/ or borrow, and/or get by with less. Their stockholders too.

William D. Perez
1771 NE 33rd Street
Oakland Park, FL. 33334

Brandy Butler

From: m g <mgoudiep@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 23, 2017 8:05 AM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Case Docket 20170007

I support the suit against FPL for violating the Clean Water Act. FPL is fully responsible for the Turkey Point cleanup and must not be allowed to pass the cleanup cost to its customers.

Magdalena Goudie
Miami Beach, Florida 33140

Brandy Butler

From: Ashley Wohl <awohl007@fiu.edu>
Sent: Wednesday, November 22, 2017 5:36 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: Customers paying to clean up turkey point

Good evening,

I am a city of homestead citizen. I see the disastrous environment that turkey point has created. And absolutely DO NOT want to pay for their mistakes. Please hold them accountable for their actions.

Sent from my iPhone

Brandy Butler

From: Chris Duggan <chris.duggan27@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 22, 2017 4:14 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Cc: jstaletovich@miamiherald.com
Subject: Turkey Point - case docket number 20170007.

Greetings:

This communication is in response to the Miami Herald's article on the "clean-up" situation at Turkey Point, case docket number is 20170007.

The focus of the article was the "passing on" of the cost, which has grown beyond FPL's initial commitment, to the consumer. Several items come to mind.

First, it is my impression that the nuclear facility has been operating beyond its design capacity for quite a while, thus exacerbating temperature conditions of the effluence. For an emergency, fine, but not as a daily standard.

Secondly, the utility had denied responsibility for the issue which not only is about temperature but also mixing salt water with fresh water. Tritium served to prove otherwise.

Thirdly, the planet is being inundated with carbon dioxide. Nuclear energy production does not add to this problem in the ways that coal, oil or natural gas do, However, such production needs to be done in a responsible fashion. Operating beyond recommended capacity and downplaying a saltwater plume do not reflect such responsibility.

If we are to consider another nuclear facility here, there must be efforts by FPL to show that the community will not be carelessly treated. Passing on cleanup costs and lying about production issues needs to be met with reparatory actions before other proposals are deemed sensible.

Thank you for your attention,

Chris Duggan
Miami Beach resident

Brandy Butler

From: Thomas Saporito <saprodani@live.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 22, 2017 3:41 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: FPL Turkey Point Nuclear Plant

The public should not bear the financial burden to clean up the cooling canals associated with the operation of the Turkey Point Nuclear Plants. The Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) appears to have been fully aware of the salt intrusion into the cooling canals for many years - but chose to ignore the matter for economic reasons.

--

Thomas Saporito

Email: saprodani@live.com
Phone: 772-607-0149

Brandy Butler

From: Beatriz Paz <bettymia2002@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 22, 2017 3:31 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: fpl: case docket number 20170007.

Dear Public Service Commission:

FPL should pay out of its own pocket the \$200 million clean-up for fixing the leaking canals at Turkey Point. We, their customer, have already paid our share for past FPL's projects.

Do not approve above FPL's petition to pass on that tab to its customers in Florida. FPL has profited for years, Let them pay at least one project.

Beatriz Paz
Miami, FL

Brandy Butler

From: Pedro Lamadrid <plamadrid2175@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 22, 2017 3:14 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: FPL: case docket number 20170007

Public Service Commission

To whom it may concern:

I am a FPL customer. It has been brought to my attention that FPL is presenting their case to you to get your approval. FPL wants to pass on to its customer the \$200 million clean-up tab for fixing the leaking canals at Turkey Point. We the consumers and FPL's customer have already paid our share for past FPL projects.

FPL should pay its own pocket that tab, not its customers.

Do not approve above FPL petition to pass on that tab to its customers in Florida.

Pedro Lamadrid
Miami, FL

Brandy Butler

From: Gloria Muniz <gmuniz2001@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 22, 2017 3:00 PM
To: Consumer Contact
Subject: FPL: case docket number 20170007.

Dear Public Service Commission:

In regards of above FPL case, FPL should pay out of its own pocket the \$200 million clean-up for fixing the leaking canals at Turkey Point. We the consumers and FPL's customer have already paid our share for past FPL projects. They have profited for years, so they should pay the clean up, not us the customers

Please, do not approve above FPL petition to pass on that tab to its customers in Florida. Enough is enough.

Gloria Muniz
Miami, FL