

**Brandy Butler**

---

**From:** Ruth McHargue  
**Sent:** Thursday, November 30, 2017 12:09 PM  
**To:** Consumer Correspondence  
**Cc:** Diane Hood  
**Subject:** FW: To CLK Docket 20170007  
**Attachments:** Turkey Point; Florida Power & Light Co should pay for Canal Repairs; FPL Must Pay for Canal Repairs; Public Service Commission case docket # 20170007 - FPL Turkey Point Comments; Case Docket # 20170007; Turkey point; Case # 20170007; Docket No. 20170007; FPL leaking canals☺

Customer correspondence

---

**From:** Diane Hood  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 29, 2017 2:09 PM  
**To:** Ruth McHargue  
**Subject:** To CLK Docket 20170007

Copies on file. DHood

## Brandy Butler

---

**From:** Barb Bohnsack <bohnsack@bellsouth.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 28, 2017 9:31 AM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** Turkey Point

I strongly object to Florida Power and Light's demands to pass along the \$200 million cleanup tab for fixing the leaking cooling canals at the Turkey Point power plant in southern Miami Dade County. They have known for a long time that the canals were leaking saltwater to the west and threatening our drinking water supply, and now there is tritium in Biscayne Bay.

FPL makes record profits for its shareholders, but wants us, as customers, to pick up the cleanup tab?!? It is their responsibility to make sure the cooling canals, and the power plant, function properly.

We are held captive by FPL, given that we have no option as consumers to choose our electric utility. Our only recourse is to object to FPL's outrageous scheme to make us pay for their mistakes and misdeeds while they line their pockets with excessive profits. I hope the Public Service Commission does the right thing and denies FPL's request.

Thank you,  
Barb Bohnsack

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

## Brandy Butler

---

**From:** Margaret and Gary Grizzle <gmgrizzle@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 28, 2017 11:22 AM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Cc:** Gary and Margaret Grizzle  
**Subject:** Florida Power & Light Co should pay for Canal Repairs

To whom it may concern:

As a registered voter and a resident of South Florida for over 60 years, I have seen FP&L's business grow and prosper in Florida. I am very much **AGAINST** taxpayers paying for canal repairs for FP&L. Please make FP&L responsible for paying for their own business expenses.

Thank you for listening to my opinion.

Margaret Grizzle  
850 NE 121 Street  
Biscayne Park FL 33161

## **Brandy Butler**

---

**From:** Grizzle, Margaret <mgrizzle@barry.edu>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 28, 2017 2:46 PM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** FPL Must Pay for Canal Repairs

Please mandate that FPL pays for the canal repairs NOT the taxpayers

Thank you

M Grizzle

Barry University

Miami Shores FL

Sent from my iPhone

## Brandy Butler

---

**From:** Nathan Collins <ncollins@partspak.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 28, 2017 5:13 PM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** Public Service Commission case docket # 20170007 - FPL Turkey Point Comments

Hi,

I believe FPL should be forced to pay the entire fee of cleaning up cooling water canals. Energy companies like these make record profits year after year. But then when they create an environmental disaster, they attempt to get everyone to pay except themselves.

They should not be allowed to pass the costs related to any environmental clean-up on to customers in any way. FPL knew that they were creating an environmental disaster for decades. They should have made corrections to these cooling canals immediately when they first became aware of problems in the late 70s, but chose not to.

Thanks for giving customers and local residents make comment regarding this issue.

Sincerely,  
Nate Collins  
226 Glendale Dr  
Key Largo, FL 33037  
706-473-6956



## Brandy Butler

---

**From:** alvanirene@cs.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 28, 2017 6:09 PM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** Case Docket # 20170007

Dear Sirs/Madams,

Regarding the clean up of Turkey Point at a cost of \$200 million, it is my belief that the owners of the FPL facility should bear the cost of such clean up. They are the stockholders of NextEra Energy Inc. stock and should forgo a dividend or two to cover the cost. At \$3.93 per share per year for 470.4 million shares I believe they can afford it. Customers are not responsible for the management, the mistakes, nor do they benefit from the operation of this facility.

Alva R. Royston, Sr.

## Brandy Butler

---

**From:** Mary Vazquez <mary@mtel.biz>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 29, 2017 10:24 AM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** Turkey point

I am against we having to pay their cleanup.

Sent from my iPad

## Brandy Butler

---

**From:** Donna valdes <flynomore@att.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 29, 2017 11:06 AM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** Case # 20170007

FPL should be responsible for cleaning up Turkey Point, not Customers.



## Brandy Butler

---

**From:** Cara Campbell <clc@slashconsumption.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 29, 2017 12:05 PM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** Docket No. 20170007

Dear PSC:

I am outraged (but not surprised) that FPL customers may have to pay to clean up the company's mess at its Turkey Point plant. The company made record profits last year. The costs to clean up the mess they've been creating for 40 years should be borne by FPL and the shareholders

I truly believe the company knew—and if not, certainly should have known, with due diligence—for decades that its cooling canals were the source of an underground contamination plume in the aquifer we depend on for our potable water. FPL did not act to address their problems. Instead, for decades FPL kicked the can down the road and only recently began to admit the problem's extent. It is sheer gall to ask ratepayers to shoulder the burden resulting from FPL's negligence.

FPL was recently, as usual, granted a rate hike and will also be requesting recovery over \$1 billion from customers due to storm damage that they should have mitigated beforehand by strengthening the grid. Ratepayers should not have to pay over \$200 million to FPL, thereby rewarding FPL's inaction to address the pollution its cooling canals caused.

FPL should pay to clean up its own mess, not force customers to do so. Please vote to deny FPL's unfair request to saddle us with more costs and higher bills.

Please confirm receipt of my comment.

Thank you.

Cara L. Campbell  
Ft. Lauderdale, FL

**“Smart” meters do not save energy. [They are green-wash of the deepest dye.](#) They use energy themselves, and studies have not shown any significant energy savings, “Smart” meters do not save energy. They are green-wash of the deepest dye. They use energy themselves, and studies have not shown any significant energy savings, or customer savings. The savings (that is, no meter readers to pay) goes straight to the utility.... Deployment of ‘smart’ meters is picking low-hanging fruit—meanwhile the real work of updating the grid is delayed.**

[www.stopsmartmeters.org](http://www.stopsmartmeters.org)

## Brandy Butler

---

**From:** Elizabeth Kristal <kristalmusic@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 28, 2017 2:34 AM  
**To:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** FPL leaking canals☺

Please make FPL responsible for polluting the environment. They have already cheated me on reading my meter, twice. I had to call to get money back. They did not inform the public when they changed meters to Smart meters. I have research that Smart meters make your heart flip flop. I think half this city has poverty issues and don't need higher bills due to FPL's neglect. Thank you, Elizabeth

Sent from my iPhone