

COMMISSIONERS:
ART GRAHAM, CHAIRMAN
JULIE I. BROWN
DONALD J. POLMANN
GARY F. CLARK

STATE OF FLORIDA



KEITH C. HETRICK
GENERAL COUNSEL
(850) 413-6199

Public Service Commission

January 8, 2018

FIRST STAFF DATA REQUEST

Via E-mail: John.Butler@fpl.com

John T. Butler
Florida Power & Light Company
700 Universe Blvd.
Juno Beach, Florida 33408-0420

Re: Docket No. 20180001-EI - Fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause with generating performance incentive factor.

Dear Mr. Butler::

By this letter the Commission staff requests that Florida Power & Light Company provide responses to the following data requests:

1. Please explain why FPL believes a mid-course correction is the appropriate mechanism for accounting for the SJRPP transaction?
2. Referring to Rule 25-6.0424, Florida Administrative Code ("Mid-Course Rule"), and also Appendix 1 to the Mid-Course Correction Petition, Page 3 of 81 ("FPL's Schedule"), please answer the following:
 - a. The Mid-Course Rule states that the "End of Period Total Net True-up" is a number used in order to calculate the estimated percentage of a mid-course correction. What number on FPL's Schedule is the "End of Period Total Net True-up?"
 - b. The Mid-Course Rule states that the "Current period's total actual and estimated Jurisdictional Fuel Revenue Applicable to Period" is a number used in order to calculate the estimated percentage of a mid-course correction. What number on FPL's Schedule is the "Current period's total actual and estimated Jurisdictional Fuel Revenue Applicable to Period?"
3. Please refer to the Mid-Course Petition, Page 3, Paragraph 7, Footnote 3. A portion of the footnote states "FPL did not update its forecasts or historical information." Please explain the meaning of that statement, identifying what "historical information" is referenced.
4. When the 2018 Projection filing was prepared, what day was used in order to calculate the fuel price forecasts which supported that filing?

5. Was a revised fuel price forecast prepared to support this mid-course correction filing? Why or why not?

6. When the 2018 Projection filing was prepared, FPL forecasted its estimate for megawatt sales. Was a revised megawatt sales forecast prepared to support this mid-course correction filing? Why or why not?

7. Did SJRPP close on the date FPL anticipated (January 5, 2018)? If not, please explain why not.

8. Identify the principle reason(s) why FPL believes the closure of SJRPP will result in lower fuel cost recovery factors for March-December, 2018?

9. Identify the principle reason(s) why FPL believes the closure of SJRPP will result in lower capacity cost recovery factors for March-December, 2018?

10. Please explain the link between the SJRPP plant closure and the GPIF program, and why the revision to 2018 GPIF Targets/Ranges is needed.

11. Please explain how FPL has notified its customers about the instant petition. As part of your response, please provide a copy of the notification(s) that were distributed to FPL's customers in the January 2018 billing statements.

12. Does the March billing cycle begin on March 1st? If not, please specify when it begins.

13. Is the data shown in Schedule E-10 attached to your Mid-Course Petition (Page 81 of Appendix 1) the most current and correct version of a typical 1,000 kWh residential bill? Is this data consistent with what a FPL customer would see if accessing FPL's Customer Service website? Explain any differences, and if applicable, provide the most current and correct Schedule E-10.

Please file all responses electronically no later than Tuesday, January 16, 2018, on the Commission's website at www.floridapsc.com by selecting the Clerk's Office tab and Electronic Filing web Form. Please contact me at (850) 413-6218 if you have any questions.

Very truly yours,

/s/ Suzanne Brownless

Suzanne Brownless
Special Counsel

cc: Office of Commission Clerk
Ken Hoffman (ken.hoffman@fpl.com)