

Writer's Direct Dial Number: (850) 521-1706 Writer's E-Mail Address: bkeating@gunster.com

August 20, 2018

E-PORTAL FILING

Ms. Carlotta Stauffer, Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 20180061-EI – In Re: Petition for Limited Proceeding to Recovery Incremental Storm Restoration Costs by Florida Public Utilities Company.

Attached for filing in the above-referenced docket, please find the Direct Testimony and Exhibit MC-1 of Michael Cassel on behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company.

Thank you for your assistance with this filing. As always, please don't hesitate to let me know if you have any questions whatsoever.

Kind regards,

Beth Keating

Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A. 215 South Monroe St., Suite 601

Tallahassee, FL 32301

(850) 521-1706

MEK

Cc:// Parties of Record

1		Before the Florida Public Service Commission			
2	Docket No. 20180061-EI				
3	in r	e: Limited Proceeding to Recover Incremental Storm Restoration Costs for			
4		Florida Public Utilities Company			
5					
6	Direct Testimony of Michael Cassel				
7		Date of Filing: August 20, 2018			
8 9	Q.	Please state your name and business address.			
10	A.	My name is Michael Cassel. My business address is 1750 South 14 th			
11		Street, Suite 200, Fernandina Beach, FL 32034.			
12					
13	Q.	By whom are you employed and what is your position?			
14	A.	I am employed by Florida Public Utilities Company ("FPUC") as the			
15		Director of Regulatory and Governmental Affairs.			
16					
17	Q.	Please describe your educational background and professional			
18		experience.			
19	A.	I received a Bachelor of Science Degree in Accounting from Delaware			
20		State University in Dover, Delaware in 1996. I was hired by Chesapeake			
21		Utilities Corporation ("CUC") as a Senior Regulatory Analyst in March			
22		2008. As a Senior Regulatory Analyst, I was primarily involved in the			
23		areas of gas cost recovery, rate of return analysis, and budgeting for			
24		CUC's Delaware and Maryland natural gas distribution companies. In			

2010, I moved to Florida in the role of Senior Tax Accountant for CUC's
Florida business units. Since that time, I have held various management
roles including Manager of the Back Office in 2011, Director of Business
Management in 2012. I am currently the Director of Regulatory and
Governmental Affairs for CUC's Florida business units. In this role, my
responsibilities include directing the regulatory and governmental affairs
for the Company in Florida including regulatory analysis, and reporting
and filings before the Florida Public Service Commission ("FPSC") for
FPUC, FPUC-Indiantown, FPUC-Fort Meade, Central Florida Gas, and
Peninsula Pipeline Company. Prior to joining Chesapeake, I was
employed by J.P. Morgan Chase & Company, Inc. from 2006 to 2008 as
a Financial Manager in their card finance group. My primary
responsibility in this position was the development of client specific
financial models and profit loss statements. I was also employed by
Computer Sciences Corporation as a Senior Finance Manager from
1999 to 2006. In this position, I was responsible for the financial
operation of the company's chemical, oil and natural resources business.
This included forecasting, financial close and reporting responsibility, as
well as representing Computer Sciences Corporation's financial interests
in contract/service negotiations with existing and potential clients. From
1996 to 1999, I was employed by J.P. Morgan, Inc., where I had various
accounting/finance responsibilities for the firm's private banking clientele.

1	Q.	Have y	you ever	testified	before	the	FPSC?
---	----	--------	----------	-----------	--------	-----	-------

2 Α. Yes. I've provided written, pre-filed testimony in a variety of the Company's annual proceedings, including the Fuel and Purchased 3 4 Power Cost Recovery Clause, Docket No. 20160001-El and the Gas 5 Reliability Infrastructure Program ("GRIP") Cost Recovery Factors 6 proceeding for FPUC and our sister company, the Florida Division of 7 Chesapeake Utilities Corporation, Docket No. 20160199. I have also 8 provided written, pre-filed testimony in FPUC's electric limited 9 proceeding, Docket No. 20170150-El and most recently, in FPUC's 10 proceeding for consideration of the tax impacts associated with Tax Cuts 11 and Jobs Act of 2017, Docket No. 20180048-EI.

12

13 Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

14 A. I will support the request for and the calculation of the Company's storm
15 charge as detailed in its Limited Proceeding to Recover Incremental
16 Storm Restoration Costs, Docket No. 20180061 petition.

17

18

Q. Are you sponsoring any exhibits in this case?

19 A. Yes. I am sponsoring Exhibit MC-1, which summarizes the costs of the storms and the calculation of the storm surcharge.

21

Q. Was this schedule completed by you, or under your direct supervision?

1	Α.	Yes, it was completed under n	ny direct supervision and review.
---	----	-------------------------------	-----------------------------------

2

3

Q. Which storms affected FPUC's Electric Division territory?

4 Α. As shown on Exhibit MC-1, for the period October 2016 through October 5 2017, the majority of the charges to the storm reserve were for Hurricane 6 Hurricane Matthew also produced significant damage to our Irma. 7 system, resulting in significant costs. Somewhat less significant, but still 8 impactful, were Tropical Storms Cindy and Julia, as well as Hurricane 9 Hermine. A limited amount of charges to the reserve were associated 10 with other, more minor storms.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Q. Did FPUC identify anything particularly noteworthy as a result of the two largest storms?

A. Yes. Fortunately, FPUC's electric system was spared from the direct hit of Hurricanes Irma and Matthew, however, the impact from these storms was still significant. Skirting the Atlantic coast in October 2016, Hurricane Matthew passed uncomfortably close to FPUC's Northeast Division, Amelia Island, producing wind gusts of 87 miles per hour and sustained winds of 39 miles per hour with a storm surge of nearly 8 feet above normal. Less than a year later, in September 2017, Hurricane Irma made its way north through the center of the state, affecting both FPUC's Northeast and Northwest Divisions. With far more impact across the state, Hurricane Irma ushered in the second evacuation of Amelia

Island with its 71 miles per hour gusts, 45 to 50 miles per hour sustained
winds, and numerous tornados. Understandably, the impact of
excessive winds, storm surge, and tornados, such as experienced during
these hurricanes, left FPUC's system in quite a state of disrepair. In both
Hurricanes Matthew and Irma, FPUC's electric system lost 100% of its
customers in the Northeast Division. Additionally, FPUC's Northwest
Division lost approximately 26% of its customers during Hurricane Irma.
While damages such as downed power lines, broken poles, faulted
transformers, broken switches, and burned conductors were found after
each storm, the Company's preparation was immediately visible as the
restoration efforts began. The impact experienced from these two large,
successive hurricanes identified two significant points worth noting.
First, the FPUC crews and subcontractors were all well prepared and
trained for the monumental restoration efforts that resulted in the
Company's customers being restored in record time. Second, the efforts
made in storm hardening the Company's electric system helped to
mitigate a potentially catastrophic outcome. This was demonstrated by
the fact that none of the Company's storm-hardened facilities failed
during these hurricanes.

- Q. Were the costs in the Company's Exhibit adjusted for the FPSC's audit report findings?
- 22 A. Yes. The FPSC completed an audit of FPUC's docket on June 12, 2018.
- 23 This audit identified two findings that totaled a reduction to the

1	Company's request of \$117,500. FPUC agrees with these adjustments
2	and has made the appropriate entries to reduce the amount of the
3	request being made. The impact of these adjustments reduced the
4	Company's deficit balance from \$779,174 to \$661,674.
5	

- 6 Q. How were the impacts of the audit findings allocated to the 7 Company's request?
- 8 Α. The findings identified in the FPSC audit report decreased the costs by 9 \$117,500 and are reflected on page 1 of FPUC's attached Exhibit MC-1.

10 The breakdown of this amount by storm follows:

11	Hurricane Irma	\$104,773	Finding 1
12	Hurricane Hermine	\$6,592	Finding 2
13	Tropical Storm Julia	\$1,279	Finding 2
14	Other Minor Storms	\$4.856	Findina 2

15

16

Q. What was the balance of the reserve at December 31, 2017?

17 Α. As of December 31, 2017, the Company's reserve had a deficit balance 18 of \$779,174. As discussed above, when the impact of the FPSC's audit 19 findings is included, this brings the balance of the reserve to a deficit 20 balance of \$661,674.

1	ı	
	ı	
	٠,	

Q. What reserve balance do you think is reasonable for FPUC to
 maintain to be able to cover future storms?

A. Given the recent, increased activity of hurricanes and tropical storms,

FPUC felt it most appropriate to request replenishment of the storm

reserve to \$1,500,000, which approximates the balance that existed prior

to Hurricane Irma in 2017. The Company believes that this amount will

replenish the reserve in the most responsible period of time without

unnecessarily burdening our ratepayers.

Q. How was the surcharge computed?

As reflected on page 1 of my Exhibit MC-1, the deficit balance of \$661,674 was added to the requested reserve balance of \$1,500,000 to arrive at the revenue request of \$2,161,674. This amount was multiplied by the regulatory assessment fee multiplier of 1.00072, since the fee will be assessed on the revenue generated by the surcharge. Therefore, the total recovery requested is \$2,163,230. This amount was divided by the actual 2017 kWh sold on our electric system to arrive at a rate of \$.003444 per kWh if FPUC were allowed to recover the amount over one year. The rate drops to \$.001722 per kWh if FPUC is allowed to recover the amount over two years as requested in the petition. Page 2 of Exhibit MC-1 demonstrates the impact to the Company's general ledger

1		and page 3 of the Exhibit provides the estimated effect of the surcharge
2		by rate class.
3		
4	Q.	Does the Stipulation and Settlement Agreement regarding FPUCs
5		2014 rate case ("2014 Agreement") impact the request filed in this
6		Docket?
7	A.	No. Under the 2014 Agreement, the "Minimum Term" has expired. As
8		such, the only agreement terms remaining in effect would have been the
9		"base rates, charges and related tariff sheet terms and conditions," as
10		set forth in Section I.a. The Storm Damage provisions contained in
11		Section VII of the 2014 Agreement have, therefore, expired, as they are
12		not components of the rates, charges, or tariff sheet terms and
13		conditions. Even if the 2014 Agreement Storm Damage provisions
14		applied, however, it should be noted that the agreement provision
15		addressing Storm Damage, Section VII, clearly allows the Company to
16		seek storm cost recovery pursuant to the Commission's rule.
17		
18	Q.	Does the Stipulation and Settlement Agreement resolving FPUCs
19		2017 Petition for Limited Proceeding ("2017 Agreement") impact the
20		request filed in this Docket?
21	A.	No. Under the 2017 Agreement, the language contained in IV.e. makes
22		it clear that the terms of the 2017 Agreement do not preclude FPUC from

the ability to seek recovery of storm-related costs incurred prior to the effective date of that 2017 Agreement, which is January 1, 2018. As discussed previously, all the storm-related costs for which the Company is seeking recovery in this Docket were incurred prior to January 1, 2018, and as such, the 2017 Agreement does not apply to this request.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Α.

1

2

3

4

5

Q. Please summarize your testimony.

FPUC's system sustained damage from three major hurricanes, two tropical storms, and several more minor storms by the end of 2017. The greatest impact to our customers was the two successive years of devastating hurricanes, namely Hurricanes Matthew and Irma, which left the Company's storm reserve with a deficit balance of \$661,674, net of the FPSC audit adjustments, at December 31, 2017. The Company is seeking authority to implement a surcharge to replenish its storm reserve to a balance of \$1,500,000, which approximates the pre-Hurricane Irma balance. To overcome the deficit balance of \$661,674 and get the Company's requested reserve balance of \$1,500,000, FPUC has requested a total revenue of \$2,163,230. FPUC is very aware of the impact of any rate or bill increase to its customers. Therefore, in order to help lessen the impact to its customers, FPUC has requested this surcharge be collected over an extended two-year period at approximately \$1.72 per 1,000 kWh's, which represents an average residential customer bill. Our request is reasonable and is limited to

- 1 costs appropriately charged to our storm reserve, as well as an additional amount to replenish the reserve to a prudent level.
- 3
- 4 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 5 A. Yes.

Docket No. 20180061-EI

Petition for limited proceeding to recover incremental storm restoration costs, by Florida Public Utilities Company.

EXHIBIT MC-1

On behalf of

Florida Public Utilities Company

	Florida Public Utilities Company	EXHIBI	T: MC-1	
	Storm Cost Recovery	Docket No. 20180061-EI		
		Page 1 o		
Line	Account 228 Storm Reserve Summary			
Number		Accou	nt 228.100 Storm	
1	December Delegras Contact to 2015 (C. 110)		Reserve	
	Reserve Balance September 2015 (Credit)	\$	2,142,805	
2	Monthly Accruals to Reserve (Note A)	\$	141,890	
3	Tropical Storm Cindy (2017)	\$	(3,381)	
4	Tropical Storm Julia (2016) Adjusted for Audit Report	\$	(11,898)	
5	Hurricane Hermine (2016) Adjusted for Audit Report	\$	(20,767)	
6	Hurricane Matthew (2016)	\$	(560,897)	
7	Hurricane Irma (2017) Adjusted for Audit Report	\$	(2,228,161)	
8	Other Minor Storms - Adjusted for Audit Report	\$	(121,264)	
9	Reserve Balance Underfunded at December 31, 2017	\$	(661,674)	
10	Reserve Balance	\$	1,500,000	
41	Revenue Needed to Fund Reserve to \$1.5M Level	\$	2,161,674	
12	Regulatory Assessment Fee Multiplier		1.00072	
13	Total System Storm Losses to Be Recovered From Customers	\$	2,163,230	
14	Jurisdictional Factor		100%	
15	Jurisdictional Total System Storm Losses to Be Recovered From Customers	\$	2,163,230	
16	Total 2017 kWh	\$	628,069,401	
17	Rate Per kWh per year	\$	0.003444	
18	Rate Per 1,000 kWh Over 1 Year	\$	3.44	
19	Rate Per 1,000 kWh Over 2 Years	\$	1.72	

Note A: Per the 2015 Rate Case Settlement, storm reserve funding was diverted to fund tree trimming until October 2016.

20

Florida Public Utilities Company Summary of Rate Base Components and Expense (A)

EXHIBIT: MC-1 Docket No. 20180061-F Page 2 of 3

	FERC		Т	(1) otal System		(2)
Line No.	Account	Description	,	Note (B)	Re	etail Jurisdictional
1	Rate Base	e Components				
2	182.3	Extraordinary Property Losses-Unrecovered Eligible Restoration Costs	\$	661,674	\$	661,674
3	228.1	Accumulated Provision for Property Insurance	\$	1,500,000	\$	1,500,000
4	Expense 6	<u>Components</u>				
5	407.3	Regulatory Debits-Amortization of Unrecovered Eligible Restoration Costs	\$	661,674	\$	661,674
6	924.0	Property Insurance Expense-Replenishment of Accumulated Provision for Property Insurance	\$	1,500,000	\$	1,500,000

Notes:

⁽A) Items reflected on this schedule are provided in compliance with parts (3) and (4) of Rule No. 25-6.0431, Petition for a Limited Proceeding.

⁽B) Amounts will be removed for retail base ratemaking since these items will be reflected in the storm recovery mechanism.

Florida Public Utilities Company Storm Reserve Limited Proceeding Electric

Distribution of Revenue Requirement

EXHIBIT: MC-1

Docket No. 20180061-EI

Page 3 of 3

$(1) \qquad (2) \qquad (3)$	(1)	(2)	(3)
-----------------------------	-----	-----	-----

		2017 kWh	PERCENT	ES'	TIMATE CHARGE
LINE NO.	RATE SCHEDULE	 SALES	OF TOTAL	P	ER RATE CLASS
1	RESIDENTIAL	291,510,499	46.41%	\$	1,004,036
2	COMMERCIAL SMALL	59,742,607	9.51%	\$	205,769
3	COMMERCIAL	156,761,471	24.96%	\$	539,926
4	COMMERCIAL LARGE	85,163,496	13.56%	\$	293,325
5	INDUSTRIAL	27,380,000	4.36%	\$	94,304
6	OUTDOOR LIGHTS	 7,511,328	1.20%	\$	25,871
		628,069,401	100.00%	\$	2,163,230
	COSTS TO BE RECOVERED	\$ 2,163,230			
	RATE PER kWh 1 YEAR RECOVERY	\$ 0.003444			
	RATE PER kWh 2 YEAR RECOVERY	\$ 0.001722			

Notes:

⁽A) Items reflected on this schedule are provided in compliance with part (5) of Rule No. 25-6.0431, Petition for a Limited Proceeding.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that true and correct copies of the Direct Testimony of Michael Cassel, along with his Exhibit MC-1, which has been filed in the referenced docket on behalf of Florida Public Utilities Company, have been served by Electronic Mail this 20th day of August, 2018, upon the following:

Rachael Dziechciarz
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
rdziechc@psc.state.fl.us

J.R. Kelly / Virginia Ponder
Office of Public Counsel
c/o The Florida Legislature
111 W. Madison Street, Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400
Kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us
Ponder.Virginia@leg.state.fl.us

By:

Beth Keating

Gunster, Yoakley & Stewart, P.A. 215 South Monroe St., Suite 601

Tallahassee, FL 32301

(850) 521-1706