FILED 9/11/2018 DOCUMENT NO. 05945-2018 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK



Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE: September 11, 2018

TO: Carlotta S. Stauffer, Commission Clerk, Office of Commission Clerk

FROM: Takira Thompson, Engineering Specialist, Division of Engineering $\sqrt[m]{''}$

RE: Docket No. 20180000-OT - Undocketed filings for 2018.

Please file the attached, "DEF – TYSP Staff's Supplemental Data Request #4," in the above mentioned docket file.

Thank you.

TTT/pz

Attachment

Commissioners: Art Graham, Chairman Julie I. Brown Donald J. Polmann Gary F. Clark Andrew Giles Fay



DIVISION OF ENGINEERING TOM BALLINGER DIRECTOR (850) 413-6910

Public Service Commission

September 11, 2018

Mr. Bobby Pickels Duke Energy Florida robert.pickels@duke-energy.com VIA EMAIL

Dear Mr. Pickels:

Re: Review of the 2018 Ten-Year Site Plans for Florida's Electric Utilities Supplemental Data Request #4

Please electronically file all responses to the attached Staff's Supplemental Data Request #4, no later than Tuesday, October 2, 2018, via the Commission's website at www.floridapsc.com by selecting the Clerk's Office tab and Electronic Filing Web Form. Please reference 20180000-OT (Undocketed filings for 2018). In addition, please email responses to Takira Thompson at thompso@psc.state.fl.us.

If you have any questions, please contact Takira Thompson by phone at (850) 413-6592 or at the email address provided above, or contact Phillip Ellis by phone at (850) 413-6626 or by email at pellis@psc.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Take: Thoupson

Takira Thompson Engineering Specialist Division of Engineering

TTT:pz

Enclosure

cc: Office of Commission Clerk (20180000-OT - Undocketed filings for 2018)

Review of the 2018 Ten-Year Site Plans for Florida's Electric Utilities Supplemental Data Request #4

- 1. With respect to the forecasting methodology, procedures, and models developed associated with Winter and Summer Peak Demand, please specify all the differences/ modifications/ improvements, if any, between what used in DEF's 2018 Ten-Year Site Plan (TYSP) and DEF's 2017 TYSP.
- 2. For its 2018 TYSP, please identify and explain the measures and/or criteria, if any, DEF used to ensure the models of peak demand adequately explain historical volatility and to enhance the forecasting accuracy.
- 3. Please identify and explain the new measures, if any, DEF used to address the uncertainty inherent in the process of peak demand forecasting for its 2018 TYSP.
- 4. Please provide the Historical Forecast Accuracy associated with DEF's Winter Peak Demand for the period 2012/13 through 2016/17 and Summer Peak Demand for 2013 through 2017, respectively.

Forecast	Winter Peak Demand Forecast Error Rate (%) Forecasting Period Prior						
1 of coust							
Actual	5	4	3	2	1		
	2008 TYSP	2009 TYSP	2010 TYSP	2011 TYSP	2012 TYSP		
2012/13							
	2009 TYSP	2010 TYSP	2011 TYSP	2012 TYSP	2013TYSP	-	
2013/14							
	2010 TYSP	2011 TYSP	2012 TYSP	2013TYSP	2014 TYSP		
2014/15							
	2011 TYSP	2012 TYSP	2013 TYSP	2014 TYSP	2015 TYSP	-	
2015/16							
	2012 TYSP	2013 TYSP	2014 TYSP	2015 TYSP	2016 TYSP		
2016/17							

Table 1. Accuracy of Winter Peak Demand Forecasts

Table 2. Accuracy of Summer Peak Demand Forecasts

Forecast	Summer Peak Demand Forecast Error Rate (%) Forecasting Period Prior						
1 bieccust							
Actual	5	4	3	2	1		
	2008 TYSP	2009 TYSP	2010 TYSP	2011 TYSP	2012 TYSP	_	
2013							
	2009 TYSP	2010 TYSP	2011 TYSP	2012 TYSP	2013TYSP		
2014							
	2010 TYSP	2011 TYSP	2012 TYSP	2013TYSP	201 <u>4 TYSP</u>		
2015							
	2011 TYSP	2012 TYSP	2013 TYSP	2014 TYSP	2015 TYSP	-	
2016							
	2012 TYSP	2013 TYSP	2014 TYSP	2015 TYSP	2016 TYSP		
2017							

- 5. Please refer to the first three lines on page 2-40 of DEF's 2018 TYSP. What are the "utility-sponsored DR programs?"
- 6. On page 2-41of its 2018 TYSP, DEF states "[f]irst, a calculation of twenty-eight years of historical variation for economic driver variables selected in the base case energy sales model."
 - a. Please explain what the calculation is and what function it serves.
 - b. Please explain why specifically twenty-eight years variation was used, given that DEF indicated in its DEF's 2017 TYSP, page 2-41, that "a measurement of twenty-year historical variation for economic driver variables deemed best to correlate with DEF class energy sales."
- 7. Please refer to DEF's 2018 and 2017 TYSPs, page 2-13, Schedule 3.1.1 Summer Peak Demand, Base Case Forecast, for the following questions.
 - a. Referring to DEF's 2018 TYSP, Column (1), Total (MW), please explain why the 2017 actual value is significantly lower than DEF's 2017 TYSP projection (10,220 vs. 10,537).
 - b. Referring to DEF's 2018 TYSP, Column (5), Interruptible (MW), please explain why DEF projected that starting in 2022, the amount would maintain at a same level rather than decrease in trend as what had been projected in DEF's 2017 TYSP.
- 8. Please refer to DEF's 2018 and 2017 TYSPs, page 2-16, Schedule 3.2.1 Winter Peak Demand, Base Case Forecast, for the following questions.
 - a. Referring to DEF's 2018 TYSP, Column (1), Total (MW), please explain why the actual peak demand level for winter 2016/17 is significantly lower than DEF's 2017 TYSP projection (8,739 MW vs, 11,338 MW).
 - b. Given that the actual peak demand for winter 2016/17 in DEF's 2018 TYSP is significantly lower than what was projected in DEF's 2017 TYSP, please explain why DEF's Winter Peak Demand forecast is unchanged in its 2018 TYSP.
 - c. Referring to DEF's 2018 TYSP, Column (5), Interruptible (MW), please explain why DEF projected that the winter 2021/22 demand amount would not materially change through the winter 2026/27 rather than decrease in trend as projected in DEF's 2017 TYSP.

- 9. Please refer to DEF's 2018 TYSP, page 2-16, Schedule 3.2.1, Winter Peak Demand Base Case, Column (4) Retail.
 - a. Please specify to what forecasting period the forecasted 9,072 (MW) applies, if any.
 - b. Please verify whether each of the forecasted amount of Retail Winter Peak Demand presented on page 2-16 is correctly associated with its corresponding forecast year.