BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and purchased power cost recovery DOCKET NO. 20180001-EI clause with generating performance incentive factor.

DATED: September 14, 2018

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the testimony and exhibits of Intesar Terkawi on behalf of the staff of the Florida Public Service Commission was electronically filed with the Office of Commission Clerk, Florida Public Service Commission, and copies were furnished by electronic mail to the following on this 14th day of September, 2018.

Maria Jose Moncada/ Will Cox Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Blvd. (LAW/JB) Juno Beach, FL 33408 Maria.Moncada@fpl.com Will.Cox@fpl.com

James Beasley./J. Jeffry Wahlen/ Ausley & McMullen Post Office Box 391 Tallahassee, Florida 32302 jbeasley@ausley.com jwahlen@ausley.com

Matthew Bernier 106 East College Avenue, Suite 800 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Matthew.bernier@duke-energy.com

Jon C. Moyle, Jr./ Karen Putnal Moyle Law Firm, P.A. 118 North Gadsden Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 jmoyle@moylelaw.com kputnal@moylelaw.com

Ken Hoffman Florida Power & Light Company 215 S. Monroe Street, Suite 810 Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1858 Ken.Hoffman@fpl.com

Ms. Paula K. Brown Tampa Electric Company Post Office Box 111 Tampa, Florida 33601 regdept@tecoenergy.com

Dianne M. Triplett 299 First Avenue North St. Petersburg, Florida 33701 Diane.triplett@duke-energy.com

Russell A. Badders/Steven R. Griffin Beggs & Lane Post Office Box 12950 Pensacola, Florida 32591-2950 rab@beggslane.com srg@beggslane.com

Jeffrey A. Stone/C. Shane Boyett Gulf Power Company One Energy Place Pensacola, Florida 32520-0780 jastone@southernco.com csboyett@southernco.com

Beth Keating Gunster Law Firm 215 South Monroe Street, Suite 601 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 bkeating@gunster.com

James W. Brew/Laura A. Wynn Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, P.C. 1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW Eighth Floor, West Tower Washington, DC 20007-5201 jbrew@smxblaw.com law@smxblaw.com J.R. Kelly/Patricia A. Christensen/Charles J. Rehwinkel
Office of Public Counsel
111 W. Madison Street, Room 812
Tallahassee, Florida 32399
Kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us
Christensen.patty@leg.state.fl.us
Rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us

Mike Cassel Florida Public Utilities Company 1750 S. 14th Street, Suite 200 Fernandina Beach, Florida 32034 mcassel@fpuc.com

Robert Scheffel Wright/John T. LaVia, III
Gardner Bist Wiener Wadsworth Bowden Bush Dee
LaVia & Wright, P.A.
1300 Thomaswood Drive
Tallahassee, Florida 32308
schef@gbwlegal.com
jlavia@gbwlegal.com

/s/Suzanne Brownless SUZANNE BROWN SENIOR ATTORNEY

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION Gerald L. Gunter Building 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 Telephone: (850) 413-6199 sbrownle@psc.state.fl.us Docket No. 20180001-EI Tampa Electric Company Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause Hedging Activities

Witness: Direct Testimony of INTESAR TERKAWI

Appearing on Behalf of the Staff of the Florida Public Service Commission

Date Filed: September 14, 2018

1		BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
2		COMMISSION STAFF
3		DIRECT TESTIMONY OF INTESAR TERKAWI
4		DOCKET NO. 20180001-EI
5		SEPTEMBER 14, 2018
6		
7	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
8	A.	My name is Intesar Terkawi. My business address is 1313 N. Tampa Street, Suite 220,
9	Tamp	a, Florida 33602.
10	Q.	By whom are you presently employed and in what capacity?
11	A.	I am employed by the Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC or Commission) as a
12	Public	Utility Analyst in the Office of Auditing and Performance Analysis. I have been
13	emplo	yed by the Commission since October 2001.
14	Q.	Briefly review your educational and professional background.
15	A.	In 1995, I received a Master Degree of Arts with a major in Communications from the
16	Unive	ersity of Central Florida. In 2001, I received a Bachelor of Science Degree from the
17	Unive	ersity of Central Florida with a major in accounting. I am also a Certified Public
18	Accou	intant.
19	Q.	Please describe your current responsibilities.
20	A.	My responsibilities consist of planning and conducting utility audits of manual and
21	autom	nated accounting systems for historical and forecasted data.
22	Q.	Have you previously presented testimony before this Commission?
23	A.	Yes. I filed testimony in the Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause, Docket
24	Nos. 2	20140001-EI, 20150001-EI, 20160001-EI and 20170001-EI.
25	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony today?

- 1 A. The purpose of my testimony is to sponsor the staff auditor's report of Tampa Electric
- 2 | Company (TECO or Utility) which addresses the Utility's filing in Docket No. 20180001-EI,
- 3 | Fuel and Purchased Power Cost Recovery Clause, for costs associated with its hedging
- 4 | activities. We issued an auditor's report in this docket for the hedging activities on August 31,
- 5 2018. This report is filed with my testimony and is identified as Exhibit IT-1.
- 6 Q. Was this audit prepared by you or under your direction?
- 7 A. Yes, it was prepared by me.
- **Q.** Please describe the work performed in this audit.
- 9 A. I have separated the audit work into several categories.

Accounting Treatment

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We obtained TECO's supporting detail of the hedging settlements for the twelve months ended July 31, 2018. The supporting documentation was traced to the general ledger transaction detail. We verified that the accounting treatment for hedging transactions and transaction costs are consistent with Commission orders relating to hedging activities. The Utility did not enter into any new contracts between August 1, 2017 and July 31, 2018. No exceptions were noted.

Gains and Losses

We traced the monthly balances of hedging transactions from TECO's Hedging Information Report to its Mark to Market Position Report for the period August 1, 2017, to July 31, 2018. We selected all gas hedging transactions for September and October 2017 and traced them from the Mark to Market Position Report to the third-party confirmation notices and contracts. We traced a sample of the purchase prices to the Gas Daily – NYMEX Henry Hub gas futures contract rates. We traced the related settlements prices to the Gas Daily – NYMEX Henry Hub gas futures contract rate. We recalculated the gains and losses and traced them to the Utility's journal entries for realized gains and losses. No exceptions were

1	noted.
2	Hedged Volume and Limits
3	We reviewed the quantity limits and authorizations. We also obtained TECO's
4	analysis of the monthly percent of fuel hedged in relation to fuel burned for the year ended
5	July 31, 2018, and compared them to the Utility's 2016 Risk Management Plan. The Utility
6	did not file a Risk Management Plan in 2017 or 2018. No exceptions were noted.
7	Separation of Duties
8	We reviewed TECO's written procedures for separation of duties related to hedging
9	activities. There were no internal or external audits related to hedging activities. No
10	exceptions were noted.
11	Q. Please review the audit findings in this report.
12	A. There were no findings in this audit related to hedging activities.
13	Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
14	A. Yes.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

State of Florida



Public Service Commission

Office of Auditing and Performance Analysis
Bureau of Auditing
Tampa District Office

Auditor's Report

Tampa Electric Company Hedging Activities

Twelve Months Ended July 31, 2018

Docket No. 20180001-EI Audit Control No. 2018-058-2-2 August 17, 2018

> Intesar Terkawi Audit Manager

> > Linda Hill Reviewer

Table of Contents

Purpose	. 1
Objectives and Procedures	. 2
Audit Findings	4
None	. 4

<u>Purpose</u>

To: Florida Public Service Commission

We performed the procedures described later in this report to meet the objectives set forth by the Division of Accounting and Finance in its audit service request dated February 26, 2018. We applied these procedures to the schedules prepared by Tampa Electric Company in support of its filing for hedging activities in Docket No. 20180001-EI for the twelve months ended July 31, 2018.

This audit was performed following General Standards and Fieldwork Standards found in the AICPA Statements on Standards for Attestation Engagements. The report is intended only for internal Commission use.

Objectives and Procedures

General

Definition

TECO or Utility refers to the Tampa Electric Company.

Accounting Treatment

Objective: The objective was to determine whether the accounting treatment for futures, options, and swap contracts between TECO and its counterparties is consistent with Commission Order No. PSC-2002-1484-FOF-EI, issued October 30, 2002, in Docket No. 20011605-EI, and as clarified by Order No. PSC-2008-0316-PAA-EI, issued May 14, 2008, and Order No. PSC-2008-0667-PAA-EI, issued October 8, 2008, in Docket No. 20080001-EI.

Procedures: We obtained TECO's supporting detail of the hedging settlements for the twelve months ended July 31, 2018. The support documentation was traced to the general ledger transaction detail. We verified that the accounting treatment for hedging transactions and transactions costs are consistent with Commission orders relating to hedging activities. The Utility did not enter into any new contracts between August 1, 2017 and July 31, 2018. No exceptions were noted.

Gains and Losses

Objective: The objective was to determine whether the gains and losses associated with each financial hedging instrument that TECO implemented are in compliance with Commission Order Numbers PSC-2002-1484-FOF-EI, PSC-2008-0316-PAA-EI, and PSC-2008-0667-PAA-EI, relating to hedging activities.

Procedures: We traced the monthly balances of hedging transactions from TECO's Hedging Information Report to its Mark to Market Position Report for the period August 1, 2017, to July 31, 2018. We selected all gas hedging transactions from October and November 2017 and traced them from the Mark to Market Position Report to the third-party confirmation notices and contracts. We traced a sample of the purchase prices to the Gas daily NYMEX-Henry Hub gas futures contract rates. We traced the related settlements prices to the Gas Daily-NYMEX Henry Hub gas futures contract rate. We recalculated the gains and losses and traced them to the Utility's journal entries for realized gains and losses. We confirmed with the Utility that hedging transactions did not include tolling agreements. No exceptions were noted.

Hedged Volume and Limits

Objective: The objective was to determine whether the quantities of natural gas, residual fuel oil, and purchased power are hedged within the limits (percentage range) as listed in the Utility's Risk Management Plan.

Procedures: We reviewed the quantity limits and authorizations. We also obtained TECO's analysis of the monthly percent of fuel hedged in relation to fuel burned for the year ended July 31, 2018 and compared them to the Utility's Risk Management Plan filed in 2016.. No exceptions were noted.

Separation of Duties

Objectives: The objectives were to review TECO's procedures for separating duties related to hedging activities for Front Office, Middle Office, and Back Office and their internal and external auditors' workpapers.

Procedures: We reviewed the Utility's procedures for separating duties related to hedging activities. There were no internal or external audits specifically performed on the separation of duties related to hedging activities. No exceptions were noted.

Audit Findings

None