

State of Florida



Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE: January 2, 2019
TO: Adria Harper, Senior Attorney, Office of the General Counsel
FROM: Victor Cordiano, ^{ve}Engineering Specialist II, Office of Auditing & Performance Analysis
RE: Docket No. 20150216-EI – Duke’s request for confidential classification of audit staff’s work papers related to its *Review of Data Accuracy in Electric Reliability Reporting by Florida Electric IOUs* (July 2015), PA-15-01-003.

Audit staff has reviewed the confidentiality request filed by Duke Energy Florida, LLC (Duke) in DN 07554-2018 in regard to certain portions of staff’s work papers. Duke’s original justification matrix in **Exhibit C** still applies which identifies the information requested to be classified as confidential under Section 366.093(3)(b) and (f), Florida Statutes.

We concur and recommend that the information contained in DN 04425-2015 and DN 07897-2015 be protected as requested.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

RECEIVED-FPSC
2019 JAN -2 AM 9:29
COMMISSION
CLERK



Public Service Commission
CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE: January 2, 2019

TO: Division of Auditing and Performance Analysis, Office of Primary Responsibility

FROM: OFFICE OF COMMISSION CLERK

RE: CONFIDENTIALITY OF CERTAIN INFORMATION
DOCKET NOS: 20150216-EI DOCUMENT NOS: 04425-2015, 07897-2015

DESCRIPTION: Duke Energy (Bernier) - (CONFIDENTIAL) FPSC's review of data accuracy in electric reliability report, Audit No. PA-15-01-003. [x-ref DN 07897-15] [CLK note: This document moved from Docket 150000-OT to Docket 150216-EI per DN 06303-15

SOURCE: Duke Energy Florida, LLC

The above confidential material was filed along with a revised second request for confidential classification, filed on 12/18/18 (DN 07554-2018). Please complete the following form by checking all applicable information and forward it to the attorney assigned to the docket, along with a brief memorandum supporting your recommendation.

- The document(s) is (are), in fact, what the utility asserts it (them) to be.
- The utility has provided enough details to perform a reasoned analysis of its request.
- The material has been received incident to an inquiry.
- The material is confidential business information because it includes:
 - (a) Trade secrets;
 - (b) Internal auditing controls and reports of internal auditors;
 - (c) Security measures, systems, or procedures;
 - (d) Information concerning bids or other contractual data, the disclosure of which would impair the efforts of the public utility or its affiliates to contract for goods or services on favorable terms;
 - (e) Information relating to competitive interests, the disclosure of which would impair the competitive business of the provider of information;
 - (f) Employee personnel information unrelated to compensation, duties, qualifications, or responsibilities;
- The material appears to be confidential in nature and harm to the company or its ratepayers will result from public disclosure.
- The material appears not to be confidential in nature.
- The material is a periodic or recurring filing and each filing contains confidential information.

This response was prepared by Victor Cordiano on January 2, 2019, a copy of which has been sent to the Office of Commission Clerk and the Office of General Counsel.