FILED 1/16/2019 DOCUMENT NO. 00252-2019 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

Docket No. 20180049-EI
Florida Power & Light Company (FPL)
Petition by FPL for Evaluation of Storm Restoration Costs Related to Hurricane Irma.

Witness: Direct Testimony of Debra M. Dobiac,
Appearing on Behalf of the Staff of the Florida Public Service Commission

Date Filed: January 16, 2019

| 1 | | BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION |
|----|--------------|--|
| 2 | | COMMISSION STAFF |
| 3 | | DIRECT TESTIMONY OF DEBRA M. DOBIAC |
| 4 | | DOCKET NO. 20180049-EI |
| 5 | | JANUARY 16, 2019 |
| 6 | Q . 1 | Please state your name and business address. |
| 7 | A. 1 | My name is Debra M. Dobiac. My business address is 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, |
| 8 | Tallahas | ssee, Florida, 32399. |
| 9 | Q. 1 | By who are you presently employed? |
| 10 | A . 1 | I am employed by the Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC or Commission) in the |
| 11 | Office of | of Auditing and Performance Analysis. I have been employed by the Commission since |
| 12 | January | 2008. |
| 13 | Q. 1 | Please describe your current responsibilities. |
| 14 | Α. • | Currently, I am a Public Utility Analyst with the responsibilities of managing regulated |
| 15 | utility fi | inancial audits. I am also responsible for creating audit work programs to meet a specific |
| 16 | audit pu | urpose. |
| 17 | Q. 1 | Briefly review your educational and professional background. |
| 18 | A. 1 | I graduated with honors from Lakeland College in 1993 and have a Bachelor of Arts |
| 19 | degree i | in accounting. Prior to my work at the Commission, I worked for six years in internal |
| 20 | auditing | g at the Kohler Company and First American Title Insurance Company. I also have |
| 21 | approxi | mately 12 years of experience as an accounting manager and controller. |
| 22 | Q. 1 | Have you presented testimony before this Commission or any other regulatory |
| 23 | agency | ? |
| 24 | Α. | Yes. I testified in the Aqua Utilities Florida, Inc. Rate Case, Docket No. 20080121-WS, |
| 25 | the Wat | ter Management Services, Inc. Rate Case, Docket No. 20110200-WU, and the Utilities, |

- 1 Inc. of Florida Rate Case, Docket No. 20160101-WS. I also provided testimony for the Water
- 2 | Management Services, Inc. Rate Case, Docket No. 20100104-WU, the Gulf Power Company
- 3 Rate Cases, Docket Nos. 20110138-EI and 20130140-EI, the Gulf Power Company Hedging
- 4 Activities, Docket Nos. 20130001-EI and 20140001-EI, the Florida Power & Light Company
- 5 Hedging Activities, Docket No. 20180001-EI, and the Florida Public Utilities Company's
- 6 Limited Proceeding to recover incremental Storm Restoration Costs, Docket No. 20180061-EI.

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony today?

- 8 A. The purpose of my testimony is to sponsor the staff auditor's report issued on December
- 9 6, 2018, which addresses Florida Power & Light Company's (FPL or Utility) petition for
- 10 evaluation of storm restoration costs related to Hurricane Irma. This report is filed with my
- 11 testimony and is identified as Exhibit DMD-1.
- 12 Q. Was this report prepared by you or under your direction?
- 13 A. Yes, it was prepared under my direction.
- 14 Q. Please describe the work you performed.
- 15 A. I have separated the work performed into several categories.

16 Payroll, Overtime, and Related Costs

We determined regular payroll, overtime, and related costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We selected a judgmental sample of costs for detail testing and traced the amounts to payroll records. No exceptions were noted.

Contractors

7

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We determined contractor costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We selected a judgmental sample of costs for detail testing and traced the amounts to purchase orders, invoices, or contracts. No exceptions were noted.

Line Clearing

We determined line clearing costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We selected a judgmental sample of costs for detail testing and traced the amounts to purchase orders, invoices, or contracts. No exceptions were noted.

Vehicle and Fuel

We determined vehicle and fuel costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We selected a judgmental sample of costs for detail testing and traced the amounts to source documents. No exceptions were noted.

Materials and Supplies

We determined materials and supplies from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We selected a judgmental sample of costs for detail testing and traced the amounts to purchase orders, invoices, or inventory records. No exceptions were noted.

Logistics

We determined logistics costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We selected a judgmental sample of costs for detail testing and traced the amounts to purchase orders, invoices, or employee expense reports. No exceptions were noted.

Other Costs

We determined other costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We selected a judgmental sample of costs for detail testing and traced the amounts to purchase orders, invoices, or contracts. No exceptions were noted.

Capitalizable Costs

We determined capitalizable costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We requested a detailed description of the capitalizable costs, and tested these costs to determine if the Utility included for recovery only those costs that are allowed as per Rule 6.0143(1)(d), Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.). No exceptions were noted.

Third-Party Reimbursements

We determined third-party reimbursements from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We requested a detailed description of the third-party reimbursements, and tested these costs to determine if the Utility included for recovery only those costs that are allowed as per Rule 25-6.0143 (1)(b), F.A.C. No exceptions were noted.

Below-the-Line Costs

We determined below-the-line costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We requested a detailed description of the below-the-line costs, and tested these costs to determine if the Utility included for recovery only those costs that are allowed as per Rule 25-6.0143 (1)(b), F.A.C. No exceptions were noted.

Non-Incremental Costs

We determined non-incremental costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We selected a sample of costs to test and noted that FPL is not seeking any incremental recovery for the storm costs through either a surcharge or depletion of the storm reserve and stated that the Incremental Cost and Capitalization Approach (ICCA) methodology is not applicable to the Hurricane Irma storm restoration costs. FPL provided the ICCA adjustments on Exhibit KF-2 for informational

purposes only and to facilitate the review of the storm restoration costs. We determined the Utility calculated the ICCA adjustments as allowed by Rule 25-6.0143, F.A.C. No exceptions were noted.

Jurisdictional Factors

We determined that FPL used the jurisdictional factors calculated for 2018 in its filing. We verified the calculation using support documentation provided by the Utility. No further work was performed.

Accounts Payable Procedures

According to the Utility, the storm Accounts Payable Policy is no different than FPL's standard practices for recording and approving accounts payable. The storm-related policies and procedures work in conjunction with the standard operating accounts payable procedures. These policies define what items are actually recoverable during a storm event, which agree with Rule 25-6.0143, F.A.C. These policies also state the approval process from staging sites to the assigned plant accountant through accounts payable processing, which includes staff and management approval levels. Based on our review of the storm related activity, no exceptions were noted.

- Q. Please review the findings in this report.
- A. There were no findings.
- 19 Q. Does that conclude your testimony?
- 20 A. Yes, it does.

State of Florida



Public Service Commission

Office of Auditing and Performance Analysis
Bureau of Auditing
Tallahassee District Office

Auditor's Report

Florida Power & Light Storm Recovery Cost Audit – Hurricane Irma

As of May 31, 2018

Docket No. 20180049-EI Audit Control No. 2018-278-1-1 **November 30, 2018**

> Debra Dobiac Audit Manager

George Simmons

Audit Staff

Thomas Wolff Audit Staff

Marisa N. Glover Reviewer

Table of Contents

| Purpo | se | 1 |
|--------------|---|---|
| Objec | tives and Procedures | 2 |
| Audit Nor | Findings ne | 6 |
| Exhib | | |
| 1: | FPL's Hurricane Irma Final Storm Restoration Costs | 7 |
| 2: | FPL's Hurricane Irma Incremental Cost and Capitalization Approach Adjustments | 8 |

Purpose

To: Florida Public Service Commission

We have performed the procedures described later in this report to meet the objectives set forth by the Division of Accounting and Finance in its audit service request dated October 4, 2018. We have applied these procedures to the attached schedules prepared by Florida Power & Light in support of its filing for storm recovery costs in Docket No. 20180049-EI.

The report is intended only for internal Commission use.

Objectives and Procedures

General

Definition

FPL or Utility refers to Florida Power & Light.

Background

On August 31, 2018, FPL filed a petition to facilitate an evaluation of Hurricane Irma storm costs. According to the petition, FPL incurred \$1,378,404,895 in storm restoration costs and follow-up work related to Hurricane Irma. After deducting Hurricane Irma related capital, third-party reimbursements, and below-the-line costs, the remaining total amounted to \$1,270,014,570, which was charged to operation and maintenance expense. As outlined in FPL's Petition for Review of Florida Power & Light Company's Proposed Treatment of Tax Impacts Associated with Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 in Docket No. 20180046-EI, FPL is not seeking to establish a charge for the recovery of these costs, but plans to offset this expense through savings from the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017.

Expense

Payroll, Overtime, and Related Costs

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether regular payroll, overtime, and related costs were properly stated, recorded in the period incurred, and related to Hurricane Irma.

Procedures: We determined regular payroll, overtime, and related costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We selected a judgmental sample of costs for detail testing and traced the amounts to payroll records. No exceptions were noted.

Contractors

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether contractor costs were properly stated, recorded in the period incurred, and related to Hurricane Irma.

Procedures: We determined contractor costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We selected a judgmental sample of costs for detail testing and traced the amounts to purchase orders, invoices, or contracts. No exceptions were noted.

Line Clearing

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether line clearing costs were properly stated, recorded in the period incurred, and related to Hurricane Irma.

Procedures: We determined line clearing costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We selected a judgmental sample of costs for detail testing and traced the amounts to purchase orders, invoices, or contracts. No exceptions were noted.

Vehicle and Fuel

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether vehicle and fuel costs were properly stated, recorded in the period incurred, and related to Hurricane Irma.

Procedures: We determined vehicle and fuel costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We selected a judgmental sample of costs for detail testing and traced the amounts to source documents. No exceptions were noted.

Materials and Supplies

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether materials and supplies were properly stated, recorded in the period incurred, and related to Hurricane Irma.

Procedures: We determined materials and supplies from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We selected a judgmental sample of costs for detail testing and traced the amounts to purchase orders, invoices, or inventory records. No exceptions were noted.

Logistics

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether logistics costs were properly stated, recorded in the period incurred, and related to Hurricane Irma.

Procedures: We determined logistics costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We selected a judgmental sample of costs for detail testing and traced the amounts to purchase orders, invoices, or employee expense reports. No exceptions were noted.

Other Costs

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether other costs were properly stated, recorded in the period incurred, and related to Hurricane Irma.

Procedures: We determined other costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We selected a judgmental sample of costs for detail testing and traced the amounts to purchase orders, invoices, or contracts. No exceptions were noted.

Other

Capitalizable Costs

Objectives: The objectives were to determine whether the capitalizable costs have been accounted for correctly and removed in their entirety from the recoverable costs as per Rule 25-6.0143(1)(d), Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.).

Procedures: We determined capitalizable costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We requested a detailed description of the capitalizable costs, and tested these costs to determine if the Utility included for recovery only those costs that are allowed by the applicable Rule. No exceptions were noted.

Third-Party Reimbursements

Objectives: The objective was to determine whether third-party reimbursement costs have been accounted for correctly and removed in their entirety from the recoverable costs as per Rule 25-6.0143 (1)(b), F.A.C.

Procedures: We determined third-party reimbursements from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We requested a detailed description of the third-party reimbursements, and tested these costs to determine if the Utility included for recovery only those costs that are allowed by the applicable Rule. No exceptions were noted.

Below-the-Line Costs

Objectives: The objective was to determine whether below-the-line costs have been accounted for correctly and removed in their entirety from the recoverable costs as per Rule 25-6.0143 (1)(b), F.A.C.

Procedures: We determined below-the-line costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We requested a detailed description of the below-the-line costs, and tested these costs to determine if the Utility included for recovery only those costs that are allowed by the applicable Rule. No exceptions were noted.

Non-Incremental Costs

Objectives: The objective was to determine whether non-incremental costs have been accounted for correctly and removed in their entirety from the recoverable costs as per Rule 25-6.0143, F.A.C.

Procedures: We determined non-incremental costs from Account 186 – Miscellaneous Deferred Debits by function and reconciled the balances to the storm restoration costs filing. We selected a sample of costs to test and noted that FPL is not seeking any incremental recovery for the storm costs through either a surcharge or depletion of the storm reserve and stated that the ICCA methodology is not applicable to the Hurricane Irma storm restoration costs. FPL provided the ICCA adjustments on Exhibit KF-2 for informational purposes only and to facilitate the review

Docket No. 20180049-EI Auditor's Report - Storm Cost Recovery Audit Hurricane Irma Exhibit DMD-1, Page 7 of 10

of the storm restoration costs. We determined the Utility calculated the ICCA adjustments as allowed by Rule 25-6.0143, F.A.C. No exceptions were noted.

Jurisdictional Factors

Objectives: The objective was to determine the jurisdictional factors used by the Utility.

Procedures: We determined that FPL used the jurisdictional factors calculated for 2018 in its filing. We verified the calculation using support documentation provided by the Utility. No further work was performed.

Accounts Payable Procedures

Objectives: The objective was to determine whether storm preparation and restoration efforts for approving and processing accounts payable vary from standard practices for approving and processing accounts payable.

Procedures: According to the Utility, the storm Accounts Payable Policy is no different than FPL's standard practices for recording and approving accounts payable. The storm related policies and procedures work in conjunction with the standard operating accounts payable procedures. These policies define what items are actually recoverable during a storm event, which agree with Rule 25-6.0143, F.A.C. These policies also state the approval process from staging sites to the assigned plant accountant through accounts payable processing, which includes staff and management approval levels. Based on our review of the storm related activity, no exceptions were noted.

Audit Findings

None

Exhibits

Exhibit 1: FPL's Hurricane Irma Final Storm Restoration Costs

Florida Power & Light Company Hurricane Irma Final Storm Restoration Costs through May 31, 2018 (\$000s)

| | | Storm Costs By Function (A) | | | | | | | |
|-------------|---|-----------------------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|-------------|----------------------------|--------------|
| LINE NO. | | | Steam & Other | Nuclear (2) | Transmission (3) | Distribution (4) | General (B) | Customer Service (6) | Total (7) |
| 1 | Storm Restoration Costs | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Regular Payroll and Related Costs (C) | | \$520 | \$513 | \$1,656 | \$12,333 | \$1,231 | \$501 | \$16,753 |
| 3 | Overtime Payroll and Related Costs (C) | | 970 | 2,305 | 2,372 | 29,490 | 1,946 | 1,579 | 38,663 |
| 4 | Contractors | • | 9,777 | 21,187 | 20,984 | 769,381 | 3,003 | 755 | 825,088 |
| 5 | Line Clearing | | 0 | 0 | 1,120 | 138,788 | 0 | 0 | 139,908 |
| 6 | Vehicle & Fuel | | 96 | 0 | 401 | 23,366 | 13 | 1 | 23,876 |
| 7 | Materials & Supplies | | 542 | 1,357 | 7,384 | 35,181 | 628 | 214 | 45,305 |
| 8 | Logistics | | 21 | 213 | 798 | 271,303 | 144 | 517 | 272,996 |
| 9 | Other (D) | | 190 | 225 | 1,018 | 4,971 | 7,755 | 1,657 | 15,817 |
| 10 | Total Storm Related Restoration Costs | Sum of Lines 2 - 9 | \$12,116 | \$25,801 | \$35,731 | \$1,284,813 | \$14,720 | \$5,223 | \$1,378,405 |
| 11 | | | | | | | | | l l |
| 12 | Less: Capitalizable Costs (E) | | 1 | | | | | | 1 |
| 13 | Regular Payroll and Related Costs | | \$0 | \$0 | \$458 | \$5,389 | \$0 | \$0 | |
| 14 | Contractors | | 0 | 6,300 | 5,511 | 60,384 | 208 | 0 | 72,404 |
| 15 | Materials & Supplies | | 0 | 0 | 6,538 | 21,632 | 22 | 204 | 28,397 |
| 16 | Other | | 0 | 0 | 47 | 874 | 0 | 0 | 921 |
| 17 | Third-Party Reimbursements (F) | | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2,440 | 0 | 0 | -2,440 |
| 18 | Total Capitalizable Costs | Sum of Lines 13 - 17 | \$0 | \$6,300 | \$12,554 | \$85,839 | \$230 | \$204 | \$105,128 |
| 19 | | | | | | | | | |
| 20 | Less: Third-Party Reimbursements (F) | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,440 | 0 | 0 | 2,440 |
| 21 | | | | | | | | | |
| 22 | Less: Below-the-Line/Thank You Ads | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 822 | 0 | 822 |
| 23 | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | Total Storm Restoration Costs Charged to Base O&M | Lines 10 - 18 - 20 - 22 | \$12,116 | \$19,501 | \$23,177 | \$1,196,534 | \$13,667 | \$5,019 | \$1,270,014 |

Notes:

- (A) Storm costs are as of May 31, 2018. Totals may not add due to rounding.
- (B) General plant function reflects restoration costs associated with FPL's Human Resources, External Affairs, Information Technology, Real Estate, Marketing and Communications, Energy Marketing & Trading and Legal departments.
- (C) Represents total payroll charged to the business unit (function) being supported. For example, an employee that works in Legal but is supporting Distribution during storm restoration would charge their time to Distribution.
- (D) Includes other miscellaneous costs, including payroll and related overheads from affiliate personnel directly supporting storm restoration.
- (E) Includes capital associated with follow-up work. See KF-1, page 2 for additional breakout of follow-up work associated with the Transmission and Distribution functions.
- (F) Reimbursement from AT&T for net poles replaced by FPL during restoration as a result of the storm.

Exhibit 2: FPL's Hurricane Irma Incremental Cost and Capitalization **Approach Adjustments**

Florida Power & Light Company Hurricane Irma Incremental Cost and Capitalization Approach Adjustments through May 31, 2018 (\$000s)

| Γ | | | | Storm Costs By Function(A) | | | | | |
|----------|---|-----------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------|----------|------------------|
| | | | | | | | | Customer | |
| LINE | | | Steam & Other | Nuclear | Transmission | Distribution | General (B) | Service | Total |
| NO. | | | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| | | | `` | | | | | | |
| 1 | Storm Restoration Costs | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Regular Payroll and Related Costs (C) | | \$520 | \$ 513 | \$1,656 | \$12,333 | | \$501 | \$16,753 |
| 3 | Overtime Payroll and Related Costs (C) | | 970 | 2,305 | 2,372 | 29,490 | • | 1,579 | 38,663 |
| 4 | Contractors | | 9,777 | 21,187 | 20,984 | 769,381 | 3,003 | 755 | 825,088 |
| 5 | Line Clearing | | 0 | 0 | 1,120 | 138,788 | | | 139,908 |
| 6 | Vehicle & Fuel | | 96 | 0 | 401 | 23,366 | | 214 | 23,876 45,305 |
| 7 | Materials & Supplies | | 542 21 | 1,357 213 | 7,384 798 | 35,181 271,303 | | 517 | 272,996 |
| 8 | Logistics | | 190 | 213 | 1,018 | 4,971 | 7,755 | 1,657 | 15,817 |
| 9 10 | Other (D) Total Storm Related Restoration Costs | Sum of Lines 2 - 9 | \$12,116 | \$25,801 | | \$1,284,813 | | \$5,223 | \$1,378,405 |
| 11 | Total Storm Related Restoration Costs | Sum of Lines 2 - 9 | \$12,110 | 323,001 | \$33,731 | \$1,204,013 | 314,720 | \$5,225 | 31,570,405 |
| 12 | Less: Capitalizable Costs (E) | | | | | | | | |
| 13 | Regular Payroll and Related Costs | | \$0 | \$0 | \$458 | \$5,389 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5,847 |
| 14 | Contractors | | 👸 | 6,300 | 5,511 | 60,384 | • | o | 72,404 |
| 15 | Materials & Supplies | | ĺŏ | 0,500 | 6,538 | 21,632 | | 204 | 28,397 |
| 16 | Other | | i | Ö | 47 | 874 | | 0 | 921 |
| 17 | Third-Party Reimbursements (F) | | ĺ | 0 | 0 | -2,440 | 0 | 0 | -2,440 |
| 18 | Total Capitalizable Costs | Sum of Lines 13 - 17 | \$0 | \$6,300 | \$12,554 | \$85,839 | \$230 | \$204 | \$105,128 |
| 19 | | | | | | | | | i |
| 20 | Less: Third-Party Reimbursements (F) | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,440 | 0 | 0 | 2,440 |
| 21 | • | | ļ | | | | | | |
| 22 | Less: Below-the-Line/Thank You Ads | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 822 | 0 | 822 |
| 23 | | | | | | | | | |
| 24 | Total Storm Restoration Costs Charged to Base O&M | Lines 10 - 18 - 20 - 22 | \$12,116 | \$19,501 | \$23,177 | \$1,196,534 | \$13,667 | \$5,019 | \$1,270,014 |
| 25 | | | | | | | | | |
| 26 | Less: ICCA Adjustments | | | | | | | | l l |
| 27 | Regular Payroll and Related Costs (G) | | \$587 | \$179 | \$709 | \$2,215 | \$1,802 | \$1,260 | \$6,752 |
| 28 | Line Clearing: | | | | | | | | |
| 29 | Vegetation Management | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,080 | 0 | 0 | 5,080 |
| 30 | Vehicle & Fuel: | | 1 | | | | | | |
| 31 | Vehicle Utilization | | 0 | 0 | | 3,837 | | 0 | 4,192 |
| 32 | Fuel | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 0 | 133 |
| 33 | Other | | _ | | _ | | | 0 | ابيد |
| 34 | Legal Claims | | 0 | 0 | | | | - | 244 934 |
| 35 | Employee Assistance and Childcare | | 0 | 0 | | | | \$1,383 | \$17,335 |
| 36 | Total ICCA Adjustments | Sum of Lines 27 - 36 | \$587 | \$179 | \$1,063 | \$11,509 | \$2,613 | \$1,363 | \$17,333 |
| 37 | | | ŀ | | | | | | |
| 38 | Incremental Storm Losses | | -\$67 | \$333 | \$489 | \$4,729 | -\$571 | -\$760 | \$4,153 |
| 39 | Regular Payroll and Related Costs | Lines 2 - 13 - 27 Line 3 | 970 | 2,305 | 2,372 | | | 1,579 | 38,663 |
| 40 41 | Overtime Payroll and Related Costs Contractors | Line 3 Lines 4 - 14 | 9,777 | 14.887 | 15,473 | | | 755 | |
| 41 | Line Clearing | Lines 5 - 29 | 7''' | 14,007 | • | | - | 0 | 134,828 |
| 43 | Vehicle & Fuel | Lines 7 - 31 - 32 | 96 | ŏ | - | | - | i | 19,552 |
| 43 | Materials & Supplies | Lines 7 - 31 - 32 | 542 | 1,357 | 846 | | | 9 | 16,908 |
| 45 | Logistics | Line 8 | 21 | 213 | | | | 517 | 272,996 |
| 46 | Other | Line 9 - 16 - 22 - 34 - 35 | | 225 | 971 | 3,854 | - | 1,534 | 12,896 |
| 47 | Total Incremental Storm Losses | Sum of Lines 39 • 46 | \$11,530 | | | | | \$3,636 | \$1,252,680 |
| 48 | . C. Interest County Design | | 1 | , | , , | | | • | |
| 49 | Jurisdictional Factor (H) | | 0.9513 | 0.9335 | 0.9028 | 0.9999 | 0.9682 | 1.0000 | |
| 50 | | | | | | | | | l I |
| 51 | Retail Recoverable Incremental Costs | Line 48 * 50 | \$ 10,968 | \$18,037 | \$ 19,964 | \$1,184,867 | \$ 10,703 | \$ 3,636 | \$1,248,174 |

Notes:
(A) Storm costs are as of May 31, 2018. Totals may not add due to rounding.

⁽B) General plant function reflects restoration costs associated with FPL's Human Resources, External Affairs, Information Technology, Real Estate, Marketing and Communications, Energy Marketing & Trading and Legal departments.

⁽C) Represents total payroll charged to the business unit (function) being supported. For example, an employee that works in Legal but is supporting Distribution during storm restoration would charge their time to Distribution.

⁽D) Includes other miscellaneous costs, including payroll and related overheads from affiliate personnel directly supporting storm restoration.

(E) Includes capital associated with follow-up work. See KF-1, page 2 for additional breakout of follow-up work associated with the Transmission and Distribution functions.

⁽F) Reimbursement from AT&T for net poles replaced by FPL during restoration as a result of the storm.

(G) Represents regular payroll normally recovered through base rate O&M and not charged to the Storm Reserve. The amounts are charged to the employee's normal business unit, (H) Jurisdictional Factors are based on factors approved in Docket No. 160021-EI.

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Evaluation of storm restoration costs for DOCKET NO. 20180049-EI Florida Power & Light Company related to Hurricane Irma.

DATED: January 16, 2019

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the testimony of Debra M. Dobiac on behalf of the staff of the Florida Public Service Commission was electronically filed with the Office of Commission Clerk, Florida Public Service Commission, and copies were furnished by electronic mail to the following on this 16th day of January, 2019.

Jon Moyle Jr. Karen Putnal Moyle Law Firm, PA 118 North Gadsden St. Tallahassee, FL 32301 jmoyle@moylelaw.com kputnal@moylelaw.com

Ken Hoffman Florida Power & Light Company 134 West Jefferson Street Tallahassee, FL 32301 Ken.hoffman@fpl.com

J.R. Kelly Stephanie Morse Office of Public Counsel 111 W. Madison St. Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399 Kelly.jr@leg.state.fl.us Morse.stephanie@leg.state.fl.us

Robert S. Wright John T. Lavia Gardner Law Firm/ Florida Retail Federation 1300 Thomaswood Dr. Tallahassee, FL 32308 jlavia@gbwlegal.com schef@gbwlegal.com

Kenneth M. Rubin Kevin I.C. Donaldson Christopher T. Wright Florida Power & Light Company 700 Universe Blvd. Juno Beach, Florida 33408

/s/ Suzanne Brownless_

SUZANNE BROWNLESS SPECIAL COUNSEL FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION Gerald L. Gunter Building 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850 Telephone: (850) 413-6199 sbrownle@psc.state.fl.us