

STATE OF FLORIDA

COMMISSIONERS:
ART GRAHAM, CHAIRMAN
JULIE I. BROWN
DONALD J. POLMANN
GARY F. CLARK
ANDREW GILES FAY



OFFICE OF THE GENERAL COUNSEL
KEITH C. HETRICK
GENERAL COUNSEL
(850) 413-6199

Public Service Commission

May 14, 2019

Dianne M. Triplett
Duke Energy Florida, LLC
299 First Avenue North
St. Petersburg, FL 33701
Dianne.triplett@duke-energy.com

**STAFF'S SECOND DATA REQUEST
FOR APRIL 17, 2019 MOTION**
via email and U.S. mail

Matthew R. Bernier
Duke Energy Florida, LLC
106 E. College Avenue, Suite 800
Tallahassee, FL 32301
Matthew.bernier@duke-energy.com

RE: Docket No. 20170183-EI – Application for limited proceeding to approve 2017 second revised and restated settlement agreement, including certain rate adjustments, by Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

Dear Ms. Triplett and Mr. Bernier:

By this letter, the Commission staff requests that Duke Energy Florida, LLC (DEF or Company) provide responses to the following data request:

The purpose of the following data request is to address DEF's Motion to Approve Re-Allocation of Electric Vehicle Charging Station Pilot Segments (Motion), dated April 17, 2019. Staff requests DEF's responses to the following questions by May 21, 2019.

1. Please provide actual and projected capital cost, per unit and total, of Electric Vehicle Service Equipment (EVSE) for the four segments at full implementation under both the original allocation and the proposed reallocation of EVSE.
2. In response to Staff's First Data Request, dated April 29, 2019, No. 8, DEF indicated it is unlikely it will meet the revised multi-unit dwelling (MUD) segment EVSE goal by 12/31/19. Assuming DEF does not meet the revised goals and the Company reallocates remaining unsubscribed MUD EVSE to other segments at the end of 2019, how does DEF plan to install all 530 EVSE and remain under the \$8.0 M cap imposed by the 2017

Ms. Dianne M. Triplett and Mr. Matthew R. Bernier

Page 2

May 14, 2019

Second Revised and Restated Settlement Agreement, considering the higher capital costs of the DC Fast Charging (DCFC) segment units?

3. Please explain the reasons for the differences in the per unit O&M expenses for the four segments as shown in Table 2 – Program Costs, in DEF’s Electric Vehicle Charging Station Pilot Program Report, filed December 17, 2018.
4. Referring to the Motion, Attachment A, Page 3, please identify any changes in DCFC per unit costs based on assumed greater number of units per site, and how DEF plans to increase the number of DCFC units per site.
5. Please explain the meaning of the capital expenses in Table 2- Program Costs, in DEF’s Electric Vehicle Charging Station Pilot Program Report, filed December 17, 2018. Are these amounts the capital costs (total and per port), rather than annualized capital expense amounts?

Please file all responses electronically no later than May 21, 2019, through the Commission’s website at www.floridapsc.com, by selecting the Clerk’s Office tab and Electronic Filing Web Form. Please feel free to call me at (850) 413-6076 if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

/s/ Margo A. DuVal

Margo A. DuVal
Senior Attorney

MAD/cf

cc: Office of Commission Clerk