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NOTICE OF PROPOSED AGENCY ACTION ORDER

APPROVING PHASE II REVENUE REQUIREMENTS AND RATE INCREASE

BY THE COMMISSION:

 NOTICE is hereby given by the Florida Public Service Commission that the action discussed herein is preliminary in nature and will become final unless a person whose interests are substantially affected files a petition for a formal proceeding, pursuant to Rule 25-22.029, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.).

**Background**

 ESAD Enterprises, Inc. d/b/a Beaches Sewer Systems, Inc. (Beaches or Utility) is a Class C wastewater-only utility providing service to approximately 255 customers in Gulf County. Additionally, the Utility bills 43 customers the standby charge and 36 property owners the guaranteed revenue charge. Water service is provided by the City of Port St. Joe.

 Beaches filed its application for a staff-assisted rate case on July 12, 2016. By Order No. PSC-2017-0383-PAA-SU (PAA Order) issued October 4, 2017, the Florida Public Service Commission (Commission) approved a Phase I revenue requirement and rates.[[1]](#footnote-1) The PAA Order further provided that consideration of Phase II rates is conditioned upon Beaches completing certain pro forma operation and maintenance (O&M) expense and plant items within 12 months of the issuance of a consummating order in this docket and submitting a copy of the final invoices and cancelled checks for all of these projects within 60 days after this period. Order No. PSC-2017-0417-CO-SU (Consummating Order) was issued on October 27, 2017.[[2]](#footnote-2) Therefore, the pro forma O&M expense and plant items were to be completed by October 27, 2018, with their associated documentation to be submitted by December 27, 2018.

 The PAA Order provided that if Beaches encountered any unforeseen events that would impede the completion of the pro forma O&M expense and plant items, it should immediately notify us in writing. On October 31, 2018, Beaches notified us that it would not be able to meet the deadline for completing the Phase II pro forma O&M expense and plant items due to impacts from Hurricane Michael. The Utility requested that it be granted an extension until December 26, 2018, to complete the projects, and that it be granted an extension until January 26, 2019, to submit the associated documentation. By Order No. PSC-2018-0584-FOF-SU (Extensions Order) issued December 17, 2018, we granted Beaches’ request for deadline extensions.[[3]](#footnote-3) On February 6, 2019, Beaches provided documentation for consideration in determining Phase II rates.

 Beaches, through the Florida Rural Water Association (FRWA), has recently received disaster assistance for Hurricane Michael repairs from the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP). We identified several of Beaches’ pro forma plant items whose costs were mitigated by disaster assistance grant funding. Accordingly, we find it appropriate for adjustments to pro forma costs to prevent double recovery.

 This Order addresses the appropriate Phase II revenue requirements and rates. We have jurisdiction pursuant to Sections 367.081, 367.0814, and 367.121, Florida Statutes.

**Review and Decision**

 In the PAA Order, we identified several pro forma O&M expense and plant items to be included in the consideration of Beaches’ Phase II revenue requirement and rates. Due to concerns with the age of some project bids, a final decision on the amount of the Phase II revenue requirement and rates was conditioned on completion of the pro forma items and evaluation of their actual costs. On February 6, 2019, Beaches provided actual cost documentation for those pro forma items completed on or before the project completion deadline, December 26, 2018.[[4]](#footnote-4) The documentation provided by Beaches shall be considered for establishing Phase II rates.

 With the assistance of the FRWA, the Utility recently received disaster assistance for Hurricane Michael repairs from the DEP. We identified several of Beaches’ pro forma plant items whose costs were mitigated by disaster assistance grant funding. We find that the grant funding received by the Utility shall be used to reduce costs associated with their respective pro forma plant items. Table 1 lists the pro forma O&M expense and plant items identified in the PAA Order, their estimated costs, their actual costs, and our approved costs as modified by DEP grant funding.

**Table 1**

**Phase II Pro Forma Costs**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Pro Forma O&M** | **Estimated** | **Actual\*** | **Less:****DEP Grant\*** | **Commission Approved\*** | **Notes** |
| Landscaping | $0 | $0 | $0 | $0 | No invoice(s) provided. |
| Clear Ponds of Vegetation | 4,152 | 3,792 | 0 | 3,792 |  |
| Sand and Grit Removal | 19,010 | 23,500 | 0 | 23,500 |  |
|  Total Pro Forma O&M | $23,162 | $27,292 | $0 | $27,292 |  |
| **Pro Forma Plant** |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchase of Portable Generator | $23,756 | $1,477 | $1,477 | $0 | Purchased smaller generators. |
| Install Electrical Hookup for Generator | 4,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No invoice(s) provided. |
| Replace Lift Station Pumps (Hwy 98) | 12,200 | 17,846 | 0 | 17,846 |  |
| Replace Lift Station Pumps (Americus) | 14,000 | 15,090 | 14,425 | 665 |  |
| Replace Control Panel (Americus) | 2,581 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Included with lift station pump. |
| Replace Rail System (Americus) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | Included with lift station pump. |
| Purchase Second Blower | 2,617 | 2,733 | 0 | 2,733 |  |
| Replace Piping at WWTP/Ponds | 0 | 3,045 | 0 | 3,045 | Only pond piping replaced. |
| Repair Fencing at WWTP | 7,864 | 10,911 | 10,037 | 875 |  |
| Repair Clarifier at WWTP | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | No invoice(s) provided. |
|  Total Pro Forma Plant | $67,018 | $51,103 | $25,939 | $25,164 |  |
|  Total Pro Forma | $90,180 | $78,395 | $25,939 | $52,456 |  |

 \*Values may differ slightly due to rounding.

 Source: PAA Order and Document No. 00644-2019.

**Phase II Pro Forma O&M**

 Beaches requested three pro forma O&M items, with estimated costs totaling $23,162. Based on documentation provided by Beaches, actual project costs totaled $27,292. DEP grant funding does not offset any of the actual pro forma O&M project costs. Accordingly, we find pro forma O&M costs totaling $27,292.

***Landscaping***

 Due to a lack of bids describing the nature of the work, or a cost breakdown of materials and labor to justify the expense, Beaches’ request to install landscaping at the wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) and lift stations was not assigned an estimated cost in the PAA Order.[[5]](#footnote-5) No additional information related to this project has been provided by the Utility to date. Accordingly, we do not find that the inclusion of this project in the Phase II revenue requirement is appropriate.

***Clear Ponds of Vegetation***

 Beaches’ DEP permit requires it to rotate the use of its retention ponds weekly. According to the Utility, that had become increasingly difficult due to the growth of vegetation in and around its retention ponds. To address this, Beaches requested for inclusion a project to clear its retention ponds of vegetation, add sand, and apply a growth inhibitor to prevent unwanted vegetation in the future. This project was estimated to cost $4,152 in the PAA Order.[[6]](#footnote-6)

 A review of the invoices associated with this project shows an actual cost of $3,792. Beaches contracted with various firms for the completion of this project, with labor rates having varied from $25 per hour to $42 per hour. With one exception, the invoices appear to describe work within the scope of the project and at costs reasonable for the work performed. The Utility identified a line item in one of the invoices that was outside the scope of the project, and we have modified project costs accordingly. We find that this project shall be included in the Phase II revenue requirement. We find it is appropriate to amortize this expense over five years, or $758 per year ($3,792/5), as discussed in the PAA Order.[[7]](#footnote-7) The adjustment is reflected on Schedule No. 2-B.

***Sand and Grit Removal***

 Beaches requested for inclusion a project to remove sand and grit that had been clogging the air lines of its WWTP. Such maintenance had not been performed since the current owner took over approximately 19 years ago. Based on a project proposal that included onsite disposal of removed sand and grit, dated February 20, 2015, this project was estimated to cost $19,010 in the PAA Order.[[8]](#footnote-8)

 A review of an updated project proposal, provided to the Utility by U.S. Submergent Technologies on May 24, 2018, and the associated invoice shows an actual cost of $23,500, or $4,490 over the estimated cost. According to Beaches, the actual work performed deviated from the work outlined in the updated project proposal. The proposal provided for offsite disposal of removed sand and grit and, according to Beaches, contemplated a project duration of two days. Beaches has reported that the removed sand and grit was, in fact, disposed of onsite and the project required a full week to complete. Actual work on the project also incurred additional expenses from the purchase of water from the City of Port St. Joe. Despite changes in the scope and costs of the project, Beaches and U.S. Submergent Technologies agreed to a final cost of $23,500. We find that the scope of this project and its cost are appropriate as Phase II pro forma and, as such, find that this project shall be included in the Phase II revenue requirement. We further find it is appropriate to amortize this expense over five years, or $4,700 per year ($23,500/5), as discussed in the PAA Order.[[9]](#footnote-9) The adjustment is reflected on Schedule No. 2-B.

***Additional Part-Time Employee***

 As part of the discussion of Phase I O&M expenses at the September 7, 2017 Commission Conference, we asked about the potential of adding an “as-needed” contractor or maintenance person as part of Phase II pro forma O&M. Prior to the Commission Conference, the Utility had not expressed a desire or need to add an additional part-time contractor, nor had any support documentation been provided to us. We contacted the Utility to determine whether the Utility had added an additional employee as a result of the discussion at the Commission Conference, or whether it had plans to add a part-time employee in the near future. Based on discussion with the Utility, the president, the vice president, and the contract operator remain Beaches’ only employees. An additional employee has not been added, nor is planned, and no cost support has been provided by the Utility. As such, we did not include an additional part-time employee in its Phase II pro forma O&M calculations.

**Phase II Pro Forma Plant**

 Beaches requested 10 pro forma plant items, with estimated costs totaling $67,018. Based on documentation provided by Beaches, actual project costs total $51,103. DEP grant funding offsets actual project costs by $25,939. Accordingly, we find pro forma plant costs totaling $25,164 ($51,103 - $25,939).

***Purchase of Portable Generator***

 Beaches requested for inclusion the purchase of generators to provide power to its WWTP or lift station pumps in the event of a power outage. Based on the lowest bid provided by the Utility at the time, the purchase of a single generator was estimated to cost $23,756 in the PAA Order.[[10]](#footnote-10)

 A review of the invoices associated with this project shows an actual cost of $1,477. According to Beaches, instead of purchasing the 28 kilowatt (kW) generator associated with the $23,756 bid, the Utility decided to purchase two, identical 5.5 kW generators, priced at $738 each. The Utility has reported that it uses these generators to power its Highway 98 and Americus lift station pumps during power outages. We find that the purchase of these generators and the invoiced cost are appropriate as Phase II pro forma. Beaches received $1,477 of DEP grant funding to offset the cost of this pro forma plant item. Accordingly, we find a pro forma plant cost of $0 ($1,477 - $1,477) for this project.

***Install Electrical Hookup for Generator***

 Beaches requested for inclusion a project to upgrade the electrical equipment at its WWTP to accommodate the installation of a portable generator. This project was estimated to cost $4,000 in the PAA Order.[[11]](#footnote-11)

 Beaches has not provided documentation identifying whether the project has been completed nor, if completed, at what cost. Accordingly, we find that this project shall not be included in the Phase II revenue requirement.

***Replace Lift Station Pumps (Highway 98)***

 According to Beaches, the pumps at its Highway 98 lift station were in need of replacement due to their excessive age and poor condition. To address this, Beaches requested for inclusion a project to replace the lift station’s pumps, which was estimated to cost $12,200 in the PAA Order.[[12]](#footnote-12) The bid on which the estimated cost was based was provided to the Utility on October 15, 2014, and included the supply and installation of one pump, its rail system, and supporting electrical equipment.

 A review of the invoices associated with this project shows an actual cost of $17,846, or $5,646 over the estimated cost. While a portion of the additional cost can be attributed to the age of the bid used as a basis for this project, the actual work performed also differs from what was outlined in the original bid. The invoices show that both pumps at the Highway 98 lift station were replaced, and ancillary electrical and piping hardware was installed. Beaches has also confirmed that the rail systems for both pumps at the lift station were replaced. Unskilled labor for this project, provided by Gulf Coast Property Services, varied in cost from $25 per hour to $33 per hour, while skilled labor, provided by Roto-Rooter Plumbers, varied from $88 per hour to $125 per hour. We find that the scope of this project and its invoiced cost are appropriate as Phase II pro forma. Beaches did not receive DEP grant funding to offset the cost of this pro forma plant item. Accordingly, we find a pro forma plant cost of $17,846 for this project.

***Replace Lift Station Pumps, Control Panel, and Rail System (Americus)***

 Beaches reported that the pumps and control panel at its Americus lift station were in need of replacement due to their excessive age and poor condition. Additionally, the rail system used for servicing the pumps had completely rusted away, rendering it non-functional. To address these issues, Beaches requested for inclusion projects to replace the lift station’s pumps, control panel, and rail system. Based on bids provided by the Utility, the PAA Order estimated costs for two projects: (1) a project which combined the replacement of the lift station’s pumps and its rail system was estimated to cost $14,000; and (2) a project to replace the lift station’s control panel was estimated to cost $2,581, for a combined estimated cost of $16,581.[[13]](#footnote-13)

 A review of the invoices associated with these projects shows combined actual costs totaling $15,090. Beaches primarily engaged M&L Plumbing Inc. under a flat-rate contract for the replacement of the Americus lift station’s pumps, control panel, and rail system. Through a separate contract, M&L Plumbing Inc. provided pump truck service at a combined rent and labor rate of $250 per hour. Ancillary support was provided by Gulf Coast Property Services at a labor rate of $35 per hour. We find that the scope of these projects and their invoiced costs are appropriate as Phase II pro forma. Beaches received $14,425 of DEP grant funding to offset the costs of these pro forma plant items. Accordingly, we find a combined pro forma plant cost of $665 ($15,090 - $14,425) for these projects.

***Purchase Second Blower***

 DEP regulations require Beaches to acquire a backup blower for its WWTP in case of failure of its primary blower. Beaches, however, only had a single blower at its WWTP. To remedy this, Beaches requested for inclusion the purchase of a second blower. This project was estimated to cost $2,617 in the PAA Order.[[14]](#footnote-14)

 A review of the invoice associated with this project shows an actual cost of $2,733. Beaches contracted with AAG Electric Motors & Pumps, Inc. for the completion of this project, with a labor rate of $45 per hour. We find that the scope of this project and its invoiced cost are appropriate as Phase II pro forma. Beaches did not receive DEP grant funding to offset the cost of this pro forma plant item. Accordingly, we find a pro forma plant cost of $2,733 for this project.

***Replace Piping at WWTP/Ponds***

 Beaches requested for inclusion a project to replace and/or repair piping at its WWTP and in its retention ponds in order to meet DEP requirements for weekly rotation of the use of its retention ponds. However, due to a lack of bids describing the nature of the work to be performed or a cost breakdown of materials and labor to justify the expense, Beaches’ request was not assigned an estimated cost in the PAA Order.[[15]](#footnote-15)

 While Beaches has not provided documentation identifying whether or at what cost piping at its WWTP was replaced and/or repaired, the Utility did provide, in its February 6, 2019, filing, invoices associated with the replacement and/or repair of piping in its retention ponds. A review of the invoices shows an actual cost of $3,045. Gulf Coast Property Services provided labor at costs varying from $25 per hour to $33 per hour. The invoices appear to describe work within the scope of the project and at costs reasonable for the work performed. Given the necessity of this project in meeting DEP requirements, we find that it be included in the Phase II revenue requirement. Beaches did not receive DEP grant funding to offset the cost of this pro forma plant item. Accordingly, we find a pro forma plant cost of $3,045 for this project.

***Repair Fencing at WWTP***

 Beaches requested for inclusion a project to replace and/or repair a 300-foot section of fencing surrounding its WWTP. This project was estimated to cost $7,864 in the PAA Order.[[16]](#footnote-16)

 In October 2018, Beaches’ WWTP suffered damages due to Hurricane Michael, including the complete destruction of its perimeter fencing. In response, Beaches underwent a project to demolish its existing fencing and construct a new 1,540-foot fence surrounding its WWTP. A review of the invoices associated with this project shows an actual cost of $10,911. Beaches contracted with Breakaway Demo for the demolition portion of this project with labor rates varying from $38 per hour to $57 per hour. For the construction portion, Beaches contracted with Gulf Coast Property Services at a labor rate of approximately $11 per hour. To minimize costs of the project, Beaches installed chain-link fencing only on the section facing the road and field fencing for the remainder of the fence’s perimeter. Beaches has informed our staff that the DEP does not have any concerns over this fencing strategy. We find that the scope of this project and its invoiced cost are appropriate as Phase II pro forma. Beaches received $10,037 of DEP grant funding to offset the cost of this pro forma plant item. Accordingly, we find a pro forma plant cost of $875 ($10,911 - $10,037) for this project.

***Repair Clarifier at WWTP***

 Due to a lack of bids describing the nature of the work, or a cost breakdown of materials and labor to justify the expense, Beaches’ request to repair the clarifier at its WWTP was not assigned an estimated cost in the PAA Order.[[17]](#footnote-17) No additional information related to this project has been provided by the Utility to date. Accordingly, we do not find inclusion of this project in the Phase II revenue requirement appropriate.

**Phase II Pro Forma Adjustments**

 The pro forma plant additions are shown in Table 2, as are the adjustments to UPIS, accumulated depreciation, and depreciation expense. There is also a corresponding increase to property taxes of $117 associated with our approved pro forma plant. Adjustments to UPIS and accumulated depreciation are reflected in Schedule No. 1-B, while adjustments to depreciation expense and property taxes are reflected on Schedule No. 2-B.

**Table 2**

**Pro Forma Adjustments**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Description** | **UPIS[[18]](#footnote-18)** | **Accum. Depr.** | **Depr. Exp.** |
|
| Replace Lift Station Pumps (Hwy 98) | $17,846  | ($446) | $446 |
|  Retirement | (13,385) | 13,385  | (335) |
| Replace Lift Station Pumps (Americus) | 665 | (17) | 17 |
|  Retirement | (499) | 499 | (12) |
| Purchase Second Blower | 2,733 | (182) | 182 |
|  Retirement | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Replace Piping at WWTP/Ponds | 3,045 | (203) | 203 |
|  Retirement | (2,284) | 2,284 | (152) |
| Repair Fencing at WWTP | 875 | (32) | 32 |
|  Retirement | (656) | 656 | (24) |
|  Total  | $8,341  | ($15,943) | $357  |

 Source: Utility responses to staff data requests, our staff calculations.

 In the PAA Order, we found that the Utility’s wastewater treatment plant should be considered 64.3 percent used and useful (U&U). Beaches’ wastewater collection systems were determined to be 90.5 percent U&U.[[19]](#footnote-19) As such, the Commission made an adjustment for non-U&U plant in Phase I. We find that a similar adjustment is necessary in Phase II. Application of the U&U percentages to plant balances and associated accumulated depreciation balances results in a net increase of $304 for wastewater non-U&U components. Corresponding adjustments shall also be made to remove the non-U&U portion from depreciation expense and property taxes. Accordingly, we decreased depreciation expense by $95 and property taxes by $24 to reflect the non-U&U portion of each expense.

**Additional O&M Adjustments**

 In Phase I, we approved O&M expense of $138,009. As discussed earlier, we approved pro forma O&M of $27,292 amortized over five years, or $5,458 per year, be included in Phase II O&M expense. In addition, we find that an adjustment~~s~~ to chemicals expense shall be made to reflect the 20.31 percent reduction in customers the Utility has experienced since Hurricane Michael.[[20]](#footnote-20) This expense~~s~~ is variable in nature, and we expect that the lower number of customers would represent reduced wastewater flows being sent through the Utility’s lift stations and plant. As a result, fewer chemicals would be needed for treatment. We approved chemicals expense of $2,752 in Phase I.[[21]](#footnote-21)

As such, we find a decrease of $559 ($2,752 x 20.31 percent) to chemicals expense in Phase II to reflect the adjustment~~s~~ related to the Utility’s loss of customers. This results in a net addition to O&M in Phase II of $4,899 ($5,458 - $559). Our adjustments are reflected on Schedule No. 2-B. With the additional adjustments, we find a Phase II O&M expense of $142,908 ($138,009 + $4,899).

**Operating Ratio Methodology**

 In the PAA Order, we approved an operating margin of 7.25 percent for Beaches.Using a 10 percent margin in Phase I produced an operating margin of $13,801, which was above the suggested cap of $10,000. We found that a 7.25 percent margin was appropriate in Phase I because it resulted in a $10,000 operating margin.As noted above, we find a Phase II O&M expense of $142,908.

 Since the PAA Order in this docket, the operating ratio methodology has transitioned from “Commission practice” to a formalized rule, which now includes a 12 percent margin and a $15,000 cap.[[22]](#footnote-22) This rule went into effect on March 28, 2019. As outlined in the rule, we verified that the Utility’s rate base is not greater than 125 percent of O&M expenses, and that the use of the operating ratio methodology does not change the Utility’s qualification for a staff-assisted rate case. Accordingly, we applied the methodology established in the rule to calculate the Utility’s Phase II revenue requirement. A margin of 12 percent of the Utility’s O&M expenses is $17,149 ($142,908 x 12 percent), which is above the $15,000 cap. As such, we find that a 10.50 percent margin is appropriate in Phase II because it results in a $15,000 operating margin.

**Adjustments and Revenue Requirement**

 Based on our review of documentation provided by Beaches, the appropriate Phase II revenue requirement is $188,200. This represents an increase of $35,476, which equates to an increase of 23.23 percent.The increase includes our approved pro forma plant and O&M expense additions discussed above, as well as the billing determinant change and corresponding adjustment to test year revenues.Phase II rate base is shown on Schedule No. 1-A. The related adjustments are shown on Schedule No. 1-B. The operating income for Phase II is shown on Schedule No. 2-A. The related adjustments are shown on Schedule No. 2-B.

**Test Year Revenues for Phase II**

In Phase I of the instant docket, we approved a Phase I revenue requirement of $176,348.[[23]](#footnote-23) The Utility’s Phase I test year revenues and Phase I rates were calculated based on the Utility’s billing determinants at the time: 320 wastewater customers and 45 prepaid connections. However, as discussed, the Utility is currently under earning due to the impact of Hurricane Michael on Beaches’ service territory, which significantly reduced the Utility’s customer base.

 As discussed, we find that the rates shall be redesigned due to the significant reduction in the Utility’s customer base. In order to reflect the appropriate percentage increase as a result of the Phase II increase and the impact from redesigning rates, we find it is appropriate to adjust test year revenues by utilizing the Utility’s 2018/2019 index rates[[24]](#footnote-24) and the Utility’s current customer count. This results in test year revenues of $152,724. Therefore, test year revenues shall be decreased by $23,624 ($176,348 - $152,724 = $23,624). Based on the above, the appropriate test year revenues for Beaches’ Phase II rates are $152,724, which consists of $148,877 of service revenues and $3,847 of miscellaneous revenues.

**Phase II Rates**

Pursuant to Order No. PSC-2017-0383-PAA-SU, issued October 4, 2017, in the instant docket, Beaches was granted a Phase I rate increase. The PAA order also indicated that we would consider a Phase II rate increase once the Phase II projects were completed and project costs were evaluated. The Utility completed the Phase II projects. Typically, the Phase II rate increase would be an incremental increase to the Phase I revenue requirement, resulting in an across-the-board increase to the Phase I rates. However, subsequent to the implementation of Phase I rates, Beaches was substantially impacted by Hurricane Michael in October 2018, resulting in a significant decrease to the Utility’s customer base. In order to maintain a stable revenue stream and sustain the system at a safe and reliable level, we approved the Utility’s requested standby charge, which is a monthly reoccurring charge intended specifically for customers unable to receive wastewater service due to extensive storm damage.[[25]](#footnote-25) The standby charge is similar to the Utility’s guaranteed revenue charge[[26]](#footnote-26) because both charges represent an approximation of the fixed costs the Utility incurs to have service ready and available upon demand.

 When the Utility’s Phase I rates were designed, Beaches was serving approximately 320 wastewater customers and billing 45 property owners its monthly guaranteed revenue charge. However, due to the impacts of Hurricane Michael, the Utility currently serves 255 wastewater customers. In addition, the Utility bills 43 customers the standby charge and 36 property owners the guaranteed revenue charge. Although the standby charge was approved after Phase I rates were set, the existing Phase I rates, standby charge, and guaranteed revenue charge along with the post Hurricane Michael billing determinants would generate approximately $30,000 less than the Utility’s Commission-approved Phase I revenue requirement of $176,348. Due to the significant reduction to Beaches’ customer base and in order to prevent the Utility from significantly under earning, we find it is appropriate to restructure the Utility’s rates, standby charge and guaranteed revenue charge based on the existing billing determinants in order for the Utility to achieve its authorized revenues from Phase I, incremental Phase II, and the index adjustments. In the past, we have approved the restructuring of rates to recover a previously authorized revenue requirement and index increases due to a significant decrease in billing determinants.[[27]](#footnote-27)

 To determine the appropriate revenues for designing Phase II rates and charges, miscellaneous revenues of $3,847 shall be removed from the Phase II revenue requirement of $188,200 resulting in $184,353 for designing Phase II rates and charges. The Phase II rates and charges are shown on Schedule No. 3.

 We note that we ordered our staff to revaluate the continuance of the Utility’s standby charge in January 2020, at which time our staff intends to analyze the Utility’s customer base and the corresponding revenues. As customers that are currently billed the standby charge rebuild and re-establish service with the Utility, the customers would transition from paying the standby charge to the flat rate for service, which could potentially increase the Utility’s earnings. In January 2020, our staff will review the continuance of the standby charge; the Utility’s revenues will also be reviewed to ensure the Utility is within range of its overall rate of return. At which time, our staff will determine if the Utility’s rates need further restructuring.

 The approved rates and charges are shown on Schedule No. 3. The Utility shall file revised tariff sheets and a proposed customer notice to reflect the Commission-approved rates and charges. The approved rates and charges shall be effective for service rendered on or after the stamped approval date on the tariff sheets pursuant to Rule 25-30.475(1), F.A.C. In addition, the approved rates and charges shall not be implemented until our staff has approved the proposed customer notice and the notice has been received by the customers. The Utility shall provide proof of the date notice was given within 10 days of the date of the notice.

 Based on the foregoing, it is

 ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that the appropriate Phase II revenue requirement is $188,200, resulting in an increase of $35,476 (23.23 percent). It is further

ORDERED that the appropriate Phase II rate base is shown on Schedule No. 1-A. The related adjustments are shown on Schedule No. 1-B. The operating income for Phase II is shown on Schedule No. 2-A. The related adjustments are shown on Schedule No. 2-B. It is further

ORDERED that the appropriate test year revenues for Beaches’ Phase II rates are $152,724. It is further

ORDERED that the approved rates and charges are shown on Schedule No. 3. The Utility shall file revised tariff sheets and a proposed customer notice to reflect the Commission-approved rates and charges. The approved rates and charges shall be effective for service rendered on or after the stamped approval date on the tariff sheets pursuant to Rule 25-30.475(1), Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.). In addition, the approved rates and charges shall not be implemented until Commission staff has approved the proposed customer notice and the notice has been received by the customers. The Utility shall provide proof of the date notice was given within 10 days of the date of the notice. It is further

 ORDERED that the provisions of this Order, issued as proposed agency action, shall become final and effective upon the issuance of a Consummating Order unless an appropriate petition, in the form provided by Rule 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code, is received by the Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, by the close of business on the date set forth in the “Notice of Further Proceedings” attached hereto. It is further

ORDERED that in the event this Order becomes final, this docket shall remain open for Commission staff’s verification that the revised tariff sheets and the customer notice have been filed by the Utility and approved by Commission staff. When the tariff and notice actions are complete, this docket may be closed administratively.

 By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this 19th day of July, 2019.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | /s/ Adam J. Teitzman |
|  | ADAM J. TEITZMANCommission Clerk |

Florida Public Service Commission

2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard

Tallahassee, Florida 32399

(850) 413‑6770

www.floridapsc.com

Copies furnished: A copy of this document is provided to the parties of record at the time of issuance and, if applicable, interested persons.
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 NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS OR JUDICIAL REVIEW

 The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing that is available under Section 120.57, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing will be granted or result in the relief sought.

 Mediation may be available on a case-by-case basis. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to a hearing.

 The action proposed herein is preliminary in nature. Any person whose substantial interests are affected by the action proposed by this order may file a petition for a formal proceeding, in the form provided by Rule 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code. This petition must be received by the Office of Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, by the close of business on August 9, 2019.

 In the absence of such a petition, this order shall become final and effective upon the issuance of a Consummating Order.

 Any objection or protest filed in this/these docket(s) before the issuance date of this order is considered abandoned unless it satisfies the foregoing conditions and is renewed within the specified protest period.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **ESAD ENTERPRISES, INC. d/b/a BEACHES SEWER SYSTEMS, INC.** | **SCHEDULE NO. 1-A** |
| **TEST YEAR ENDED 06/30/16** | **DOCKET NO. 20160165-SU** |
| **WASTEWATER RATE BASE (PHASE II)** |  |   |
|   |  | **COMMISION** | **BALANCE** |
|   |  | **ADJUSTMENTS** | **PER** |
| **DESCRIPTION** | **PHASE I** | **TO UTIL. BAL.** | **COMMISSION** |
|  |  |  |  |
| UTILITY PLANT IN SERVICE | $365,162  | $8,341  | $373,503  |
|   |  |  |   |
| LAND & LAND RIGHTS | 21,864  | 0  | 21,864  |
|   |  |  |   |
| NON-USED AND USEFUL COMPONENTS | (2,021) | 304  | (1,717) |
|   |  |  |   |
| CIAC | (281,050) | 0  | (281,050) |
|   |  |  |   |
| ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION | (307,348) | 15,943  | (291,405) |
|   |  |  |   |
| AMORTIZATION OF CIAC | 281,050  | 0  | 281,050  |
|   |  |  |   |
| WORKING CAPITAL ALLOWANCE | 17,186  | 612  | 17,798  |
|   |  |  |   |
| WASTEWATER RATE BASE | $94,842  | $25,200  | $120,042  |
|   |   |   |   |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|   | **ESAD ENTERPRISES, INC. d/b/a BEACHES SEWER SYSTEMS, INC.** | **SCHEDULE NO. 1-B** |
|   | **TEST YEAR ENDED 06/30/16** | **DOCKET NO. 20160165-SU** |
|   | **ADJUSTMENTS TO RATE BASE (PHASE II)** |  |
|   |  | **WASTEWATER** |
|   | **UTILITY PLANT IN SERVICE** |   |
| 1. | To reflect appropriate pro forma plant additions. | $25,164  |
| 2. | To reflect appropriate pro forma plant retirements. | (16,823) |
|   |  Total | $8,341  |
|   |  |  |
|   | **NON-USED AND USEFUL PLANT** |   |
| 1. | To reflect non-used and useful UPIS. | ($1,708) |
| 2. | To reflect non-used and useful accumulated depreciation. | 2,012  |
|   |  Total | $304  |
|   |  |  |
|   | **ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION** |  |
| 1. | To reflect appropriate pro forma plant additions. | ($880) |
| 2. | To reflect appropriate pro forma plant retirements. | 16,823  |
|   |  Total | $15,943  |
|   |  |  |
|   | **WORKING CAPITAL ALLOWANCE** |   |
|   | To reflect 1/8 of test year O & M expenses. | $612 |
|   |   |   |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|   | **ESAD ENTERPRISES, INC. d/b/a BEACHES SEWER SYSTEMS, INC.** | **SCHEDULE NO. 2-A** |
|   | **TEST YEAR ENDED 06/30/16** | **DOCKET NO. 20160165-SU** |
|   | **WASTEWATER OPERATING INCOME (PHASE II)** |   |   |   |
|   |   |  |  | **COMMISSION** | **ADJUST.** |  |
|   |  |  | **COMMISSION**  | **ADJUSTED** | **FOR** | **REVENUE** |
|   |   | **PHASE I** | **ADJUSTMENTS** | **TEST YEAR** | **INCREASE** | **REQUIREMENT** |
|   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 1. | **OPERATING REVENUES**  | $176,348 | ($23,624) | $152,724 | $35,476  | $188,200 |
|   |  |  |  |  | 23.23% |   |
|   | **OPERATING EXPENSES:** |  |  |  |  |   |
| 2. |  OPERATION & MAINTENANCE | $138,009  | $4,899  | $142,908 | $0  | $142,908 |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |   |
| 3. |  DEPRECIATION (NET) | 10,616 | 262  | 10,878  | 0  | 10,878 |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |   |
| 4. |  AMORTIZATION | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  | 0  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |   |
| 5. |  TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME | 17,724 | 93  | 17,817  | 1,596 | 19,414 |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |   |
| 6. |  INCOME TAXES | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0  | 0  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |   |
| 7. | **TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES**  | $166,348 | $5,255  | $171,603 | $1,596 | $173,200 |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |   |
| 8. | **OPERATING INCOME/(LOSS)**  | $10,000  |  | ($18,879)  |  | $15,000  |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |   |
| 9. | **WASTEWATER O&M EXPENSE**  | $138,009  |  | $142,908  |  | $142,908 |
|   |  |  |  |  |  |   |
| 10. | **OPERATING RATIO** | 7.25% |  |  |  | 10.50% |
|   |   |   |   |   |   |   |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|   | **ESAD ENTERPRISES, INC. d/b/a BEACHES SEWER SYSTEMS, INC.** | **SCHEDULE NO. 2-B** |
|   | **TEST YEAR ENDED 06/30/16** | **DOCKET NO. 20160165-SU** |
|   | **ADJUSTMENTS TO OPERATING INCOME (PHASE II)** |  |
|   |  | **WASTEWATER** |
|  | **OPERATING REVENUES** |  |
|  | To reflect appropriate test year revenues. | ($23,624) |
|  |  |  |
|   | **OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE EXPENSES** |   |
|  | Chemicals (718) |  |
|  | To reflect reduced post-hurricane customer count. | ($559) |
|  |  |  |
|   | Miscellaneous Expense (775) |   |
|   | a. To reflect 5-year amortization of pro forma for sand and grit removal expense. | $4,700  |
|   | b. To reflect 5-year amortization of pro forma pond maintenance expense. | 758  |
|   |  Total | $5,458  |
|   |  |  |
|   | **TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE ADJUSTMENTS** | $4,899  |
|   |  |  |
|   | **DEPRECIATION EXPENSE** |   |
| 1. | To reflect pro forma depreciation expense. | $357  |
| 2. | To reflect non-used & useful pro forma depreciation expense. | (95) |
|   |  Total | $262  |
|   |  |   |
|   | **TAXES OTHER THAN INCOME** |   |
| 1.  | To reflect pro forma property taxes. | $117  |
| 2.  | To reflect non-used & useful pro forma property tax. | (24) |
|   |  Total | $93  |
|   |   |  |

|  |
| --- |
| **ESAD ENTERPRISES, INC. d/b/a BEACHES SEWER SYSTEMS, INC.**  **SCHEDULE NO. 3** |
| **TEST YEAR ENDED 06/30/16** |  | **DOCKET NO. 20160165-SU** |
| **MONTHLY WASTEWATER RATES (PHASE II)** |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | **COMMISSION** |
|  | **UTILITY** | **UTILITY** | **APPROVED** |
|  | **CURRENT** | **INDEXED** | **PHASE II** |
|  | **RATES**  | **RATES[[28]](#footnote-28)** | **RATES** |
| **Residential and General Service** |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Flat Rate | $43.03 | $45.00 | $55.53 |
|  |  |  |  |
| **Standby Charge** | $11.79 | $11.79 | $15.22 |
|  |  |  |  |
| **Guaranteed Revenue Charge** | $11.79 | $11.79 | $15.22 |
|   |  |  |  |
| **Residential and General Service Bill Comparison** |  |  |  |
| 3,000 Gallons | $43.03  | $45.00 | $55.53 |
| 6,000 Gallons | $43.03  | $45.00 | $55.53 |
| 8,000 Gallons | $43.03  | $45.00 | $55.53  |

1. Order No. PSC-2017-0383-PAA-SU, issued October 4, 2017, in Docket No. 20160165-SU, *In re: Application for staff-assisted rate case in Gulf County by ESAD Enterprises, Inc. d/b/a Beaches Sewer Systems, Inc.* [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Order No. PSC-2017-0417-CO-SU, issued October 27, 2017, in Docket No. 20160165-SU, *In re: Application for staff-assisted rate case in Gulf County by ESAD Enterprises, Inc. d/b/a Beaches Sewer Systems, Inc.* [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
3. Order No. PSC-2018-0584-FOF-SU, issued December 17, 2018, in Docket No. 20160165-SU, *In re: Application for staff-assisted rate case in Gulf County by ESAD Enterprises, Inc. d/b/a Beaches Sewer Systems, Inc.* [↑](#footnote-ref-3)
4. Document No. 00644-2019 (Redacted). [↑](#footnote-ref-4)
5. PAA Order, p. 26. [↑](#footnote-ref-5)
6. PAA Order, p. 26. [↑](#footnote-ref-6)
7. PAA Order, p. 28. [↑](#footnote-ref-7)
8. PAA Order, p. 26. [↑](#footnote-ref-8)
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13. Id. [↑](#footnote-ref-13)
14. PAA Order, p. 26 [↑](#footnote-ref-14)
15. Id. [↑](#footnote-ref-15)
16. Id. [↑](#footnote-ref-16)
17. PAA Order, p. 27. [↑](#footnote-ref-17)
18. It is our practice to use 75 percent of the cost of the replacement as the retirement value when the original cost is not known. [↑](#footnote-ref-18)
19. PAA Order, pp. 4-5, 7. [↑](#footnote-ref-19)
20. We note that the reduced number of customers is also addressed where impacts to billing determinants and adjusted test year revenues are discussed. [↑](#footnote-ref-20)
21. PAA Order, p. 12. [↑](#footnote-ref-21)
22. Rule 25-30.4575, F.A.C. [↑](#footnote-ref-22)
23. Order No. PSC-2017-0383-PAA-SU, in Docket No. 20160165-SU, dated October 4, 2017, *In re: Application for staff-assisted rate case in Gulf County by ESAD Enterprises, Inc. d/b/a Beaches Sewer Systems, Inc.* [↑](#footnote-ref-23)
24. Beaches’ applied for a 2018 and 2019 price index increase on May 6, 2019, and the resulting rates have an anticipated effective date of July 5, 2019. [↑](#footnote-ref-24)
25. Order No. PSC-2018-0595-TRF-SU, issued December 20, 2018, in Docket No. 20180219-SU, *In re: Request for approval of amendment to tariff to charge a standby charge to customers significantly impacted by Hurricane Michael in Gulf County, by ESAD Enterprises, Inc. d/b/a Beaches Sewer System.* [↑](#footnote-ref-25)
26. The guaranteed revenue charge is designed to allow a utility to recover costs from the time capacity is reserved until a customer begins to pay the Utility’s rates. [↑](#footnote-ref-26)
27. Order No. PSC-13-0647-PAA-WU, issued December 5, 2013, in Docket No. 20130155-WU, *In re: Application for limited proceeding increase in rates in Escambia County by Peoples Water Service Company of Florida, Inc.* [↑](#footnote-ref-27)
28. The Utility applied for a 2018 and 2019 price index increase on May 6, 2019, and the resulting indexed rates have an anticipated effective date of July 5, 2019. [↑](#footnote-ref-28)