## **Ereecy McNeal**

**From:** Office Of Commissioner Clark

**Sent:** Monday, November 04, 2019 1:42 PM **To:** Commissioner Correspondence

**Subject:** FW: {BULK} RE: Docket # 20190131 Storm Protection Rules Hearing

**Importance:** Low

Good afternoon,

Please place this email in Docket No. 20190131.

Best regards,

Hannah E. Barker
Executive Assistant to Commissioner Clark
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Blvd.
Tallahassee, FL 32399
(850) 413-6004

From: John Pfanstiehl [mailto:pfanstiehl@ij.net]
Sent: Monday, November 04, 2019 1:04 PM

To: Office Of Commissioner Graham

Cc: Office Of Commissioner Clark; Office of Commissioner Polmann; Office of Commissioner Brown; Office of

Commissioner Fay; Records Clerk

Subject: {BULK} RE: Docket # 20190131 Storm Protection Rules Hearing

Importance: Low

## Commissioner Graham,

I am concerned that the Public Service Commission is passing some Storm Protection Rules that are not sufficiently clear as to what Utility projects will be allowed to be built and that could cause a lot of abuse and possible double billing to Florida electric utility customers.

We should have more transparency in the rules and Publically Owned Utilities need to be held accountable for what specific projects they want to build in their Storm Protection Plans. One year of detailed projects for a three year plan is not acceptable. I ask that the Commission reconsider those rules now to add the transparency needed to contain costs.

Customers deserve a full evidentiary hearing on Rules 25-6.030 and 25-6.031 for the new Storm Protection Plan law.

And customers should know exactly what they will be charged for -- beforehand and then specifically detailed on their bill.

Sincerely,
John Pfanstiehl
Long-time Florida resident and ratepayer
PS Please ask the PSC Clerk to add this request letter to docket #20190131.
Virus-free. <u>www.avast.com</u>