| 1  |                                       | BEFORE THE   |
|----|---------------------------------------|--|
| 2  | FLORIDA                               | PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  |
| 3  |                                       |  |
| 4  | T 13 M 11 C                           |  |
| 5  | In the Matter of:                     | DOCKET NO. 20200144-EI   |
| 6  | Petition for limit proceeding to true |  |
| 7  | first and second S by Tampa Electric  | oBRA,  |
| 8  | by rampa Electric                     | /  |
| 9  |                                       |  |
| 10 |                                       |  |
| 11 | PROCEEDINGS:                          | COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA ITEM NO. 7  |
| 12 | COMMISSIONERS                         |  |
| 13 | PARTICIPATING:                        | CHAIRMAN GARY F. CLARK<br>COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM  |
| 14 |                                       | COMMISSIONER JULIE I. BROWN COMMISSIONER DONALD J. POLMANN COMMISSIONER ANDREW GILES FAY |
| 16 | DATE:                                 | Tuesday, August 18, 2020   |
| 17 | PLACE:                                | Betty Easley Conference Center<br>Room 148   |
| 18 |                                       | 4075 Esplanade Way<br>Tallahassee, Florida   |
| 19 | REPORTED BY:                          | DEBRA R. KRICK   |
| 20 | KHI OKIHD DI                          | Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for  |
| 21 |                                       | the State of Florida at Large  |
| 22 |                                       | PREMIER REPORTING<br>114 W. 5TH AVENUE   |
| 23 |                                       | TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA<br>(850) 894-0828   |
| 24 |                                       |  |
| 25 |                                       |  |

| 1  | PROCEEDINGS   |
|----|---|
| 2  | CHAIRMAN CLARK: Good morning. I would like          |
| 3  | to welcome everyone to this Tuesday, August 18th,   |
| 4  | Agenda Conference, and also a Special Agenda        |
| 5  | Conference that will be held immediately following  |
| 6  | this one.   |
| 7  | And at this time, before we begin our meeting,      |
| 8  | I am going to ask Commissioner Graham, if he would, |
| 9  | to give our invocation this morning, and I will     |
| 10 | lead us in the Pledge of Allegiance.                |
| 11 | Commissioner Graham.                                |
| 12 | (Invocation and Pledge of Allegiance.)              |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you. You may be               |
| 14 | seated.   |
| 15 | All right. We will call this meeting to             |
| 16 | order. Items No. 1, 5, 6 and 7 are on the move      |
| 17 | staff list. Staff has also informed me that, at     |
| 18 | Commissioner Polmann's request, we are going to     |
| 19 | defer Item No. 3, if that's in agreement with       |
| 20 | everyone, which leaves us Items No. 2 and 4 that    |
| 21 | will be moved to the end for discussion.            |
| 22 | Are there any other items that any                  |
| 23 | Commissioner would like to have taken off the move  |
| 24 | staff list?   |
| 25 | All right. If not, I will entertain a motion        |

1 to approve the move staff items. 2. COMMISSIONER BROWN: Mr. Chairman, I would 3 move to approve all of the staff recommendations on 4 Items 1, 5, 6 and 7. 5 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: I will second. I have a motion and a second 6 CHAIRMAN CLARK: 7 to approve all the items on the Consent Agenda. 8 Any discussion? 9 All those in favor, please say aye. 10 (Chorus of ayes.) 11 MR. REHWINKEL: Chairman -- Chairman Clark. 12 CHAIRMAN CLARK: Yes, sir. 13 This is Charles Rehwinkel. MR. REHWINKEL: Ι 14 had informed staff that I wanted to speak on Items 15 5 and 6. 16 CHAIRMAN CLARK: Okay. My apologies, Mr. 17 Rehwinkel. I did not get that message. We will --18 we will hold the vote and give you --19 MR. REHWINKEL: I apologize for being slow on 20 the trigger while you were -- I apologize. 21 CHAIRMAN CLARK: No problem. 22 Go ahead, Mr. Rehwinkel. We will hold -- we 23 will have your comments before we take -- we call for the vote. 24 25 Mr. Rehwinkel, are you there?

| 1  | MR. REHWINKEL: Yes. I I didn't know if we           |
|----|---|
| 2  | were going to go back into into the order, but I    |
| 3  | will I will give you my comments on both St.        |
| 4  | James and Gulf Coast together.                      |
| 5  | CHAIRMAN CLARK: Okay.                               |
| 6  | MR. REHWINKEL: On behalf of the customers, I        |
| 7  | am here to confirm that OPC maintains our           |
| 8  | continuing objection to the Commission's failure to |
| 9  | recognize the unanticipated and unexpected cost     |
| 10 | reductions that resulted from the 2017 Tax Cuts and |
| 11 | Jobs Act, which was passed under circumstances      |
| 12 | completely outside the control of the companies.    |
| 13 | While we object to the Commission's policy of       |
| 14 | not returning tax savings unless a company is       |
| 15 | overearning, we are not here today to tilt at       |
| 16 | windmills, I am not here really to object to the    |
| 17 | staff's recommendation. Their recommendation is     |
| 18 | fully consistent and that is an important           |
| 19 | word with your long-established policy              |
| 20 | regardless of our views about it being              |
| 21 | ill-conceived. It is the policy that is wrong,      |
| 22 | regardless, consistency should prevail.             |
| 23 | So you may ask why am I here, and why is the        |
| 24 | Office taking the time to speak on this issue?      |
| 25 | Well, the answer is that this policy is being       |

| 1 | applied unevenly. It is being applied in an        |
|---|--|
| 2 | arbitrary manner. It is being applied              |
| 3 | asymmetrically when it comes to another comparable |
| 4 | and equally unanticipated set of cost reduction    |
| 5 | costs also manifested outside the control of the   |
| 6 | company.   |

We contend that the company needs to understand that this asymmetry gives rise to an appellate argument routed in unfairness. On one hand, in creating regulatory assets, you are arbitrarily and capriciously giving the companies a benefit that you did not symmetrically and consistently extend to the customers in allowing without settlement agreements to keep the tax savings if they are not overearning.

On the other hand, for costs that are similarly unanticipated and outside the company's control, you are providing deferral with an assurance of future cost recovery to companies who have not been determined to be underearning. You contend that actual cost recovery will not incur until after you have conducted or provided an opportunity for a Section 120.57 hearing.

Nonetheless, because the assurance of future cost recovery is a required element for establishing the

deferral mechanism, or regulatory asset in the first instance, most, if not all, of the costs deferred are likely to be recovered from the pockets of customers.

Commissioners, this is not fair. The customers ride in steerage class while the companies and their shareholders enjoy first class state rooms. This is the classic heads you lose, tails I win situation. Your unwritten and inconsistently applied tax windfall and deferred asset authorization policies are stacked against the customers, and these two dockets illustrate it in contrast.

The policy reeks of unfairness because of the lack of a two-way street. If you are not going to hold hearings in advance of allowing deferral of the debits without regard to earnings, then we contend you have a moral if not a legal obligation to forbear here and return the tax savings, or perhaps revisit those rulings that applied an earnings test with an opportunity for hearing to your treatment of the tax savings credits.

Otherwise, to be consistent in application of this policy and cases that are analogous to these dockets, tax savings determinations, but in a

2.

| ۱ |    |  |
|---|----|--|
|   | 1  | mirror image situation, you should conducted a     |
|   | 2  | hearing, and then based on the outcome of the      |
|   | 3  | hearing, you should symmetrically and consistently |
|   | 4  | apply an earnings test to any recognition of       |
|   | 5  | unanticipated costs that are also considered any   |
|   | 6  | unanticipated associated cost savings.             |
|   | 7  | Perhaps under these circumstances, symmetrical     |
|   | 8  | rate-making treatment could be applied in the form |
|   | 9  | of allowing the creation of regulatory asset.      |
|   | 10 | The customers deserve the rudiments of             |
|   | 11 | fairness and consistency. Rate-making really is    |
|   | 12 | about fair recognition above the debits and        |
|   | 13 | credits, and not just the selectively chosen       |
|   | 14 | debits.  |
|   | 15 | Commissioners, I make these comments because I     |
|   | 16 | am illustrating that this docket is is being       |
|   | 17 | decided inconsistently with other dockets based on |
|   | 18 | analogous set of facts.                            |
|   | 19 | Thank you.   |
|   | 20 | CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Rehwinkel.          |
|   | 21 | Commissioners, any discussion?                     |
|   | 22 | Commissioner Brown.                                |
|   | 23 | COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you.                     |
|   | 24 | So reading these dockets, it was clear to me,      |
|   | 25 | quite frankly, that these utilities these water    |
| ı |    |  |

|   | 1  | utilities are significantly earning below their     |
|---|----|---|
|   | 2  | rate of return, and obviating the need to have a    |
|   | 3  | full blown rate case, staff is recommending a       |
|   | 4  | prudent course of action.                           |
|   | 5  | But I do want to hear from staff regarding Mr.      |
|   | 6  | Rehwinkel's comments, which definitely surprised    |
|   | 7  | me. It appears that he doesn't object to the        |
|   | 8  | actual dockets itself, correct or to staff          |
|   | 9  | recommendation on these two items. He just objects  |
|   | 10 | to the different treatments of the tax savings as a |
|   | 11 | result of the Tax and Jobs Act, so could I could    |
|   | 12 | we here from staff on this?                         |
|   | 13 | CHAIRMAN CLARK: Mr. Futrell.                        |
|   | 14 | MR. FUTRELL: Commissioner Brown, this is Mark       |
|   | 15 | Futrell. I will take a shot, and perhaps Mr.        |
|   | 16 | Maurey or Mr. Cicchetti may want to want to         |
|   | 17 | follow up.  |
|   | 18 | Certainly we understand I hear Mr.                  |
|   | 19 | Rehwinkel's arguments that go to a global           |
|   | 20 | perspective of not only these tax issues but also   |
|   | 21 | regulatory asset issues, one of which is coming up  |
|   | 22 | before you in Item 4, and it's previously been      |
|   | 23 | dealt with for for Gulf Power Company and their     |
|   | 24 | filings pertaining to that decision by the          |
|   | 25 | Commission that will be brought before you in the   |
| J | 1  |   |

| 1  | coming weeks and and be resolved.                   |
|----|---|
| 2  | So I understand what the the larger issues          |
| 3  | he is touching upon, but I think some of these      |
| 4  | things are dependent upon additional decisions that |
| 5  | are coming before the Commission, again in Item 4,  |
| 6  | and also in the future.                             |
| 7  | COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Futrell.         |
| 8  | And, Mr. Chairman, I have no problem with the       |
| 9  | staff recommendation on these two items, and I      |
| 10 | still stand by the motion.                          |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you, Commissioner             |
| 12 | Brown.  |
| 13 | Commissioner Polmann.                               |
| 14 | COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Mr. Chairman, may we          |
| 15 | have, if there is, any further elaboration on       |
| 16 | Commissioner Brown's request? Did did staff         |
| 17 | have anything to add that Mr. Futrell suggested? I  |
| 18 | just wanted to close that if other staff had        |
| 19 | anything to add to that, I just wanted to make sure |
| 20 | we we fully answered that question.                 |
| 21 | MR. FUTRELL: Does Mr. Maurey have anything to       |
| 22 | offer?  |
| 23 | MR. MAUREY: Commissioner Polmann, this is           |
| 24 | Andrew Maurey. Good morning.                        |
| 25 | No, we agree with with what Mr. Futrell             |

said. It appears to be a discussion of regulatory assets on a macro basis.

3 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Okay, thank you.

Mr. Chairman, to Mr. Rehwinkel's comments,

I -- I appreciate the Office of Public Counsel

bringing forth the -- the concern for equity and

consistency. I want to honor that. I -- I believe

that is an ongoing concern for this commission, and

I am thankful that he, on behalf of OPC, continues

to raise it. That is a challenge for this

commission, and I don't discount that in any way.

I am concerned, however, regarding his question, or -- or his bringing into the assertion, if you will, I believe what he -- what his remark suggested is some assurance to the utilities, and it concerns the regulatory asset for an assurance to utilities for future recovery that we may be providing, he seemed rather unhappy about --(inaudible) -- in a subsequent item here, that's fine, but if staff has a comment, I don't believe that's what we are doing, or what we have done, Mr. I just want to respond to that. Chairman. I don't understand that that's the case. So if there is a response that's necessary on the record, I would like to hear it. I just wanted to make that

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

| 1  | comment, because that is not my understanding. I   |
|----|--|
| 2  | don't believe that's true.                         |
| 3  | That's all I wanted to say about that, but if      |
| 4  | staff has anything else to add, I certainly would  |
| 5  | like to hear it.                                   |
| 6  | Thank you, Mr. Chairman.                           |
| 7  | CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you, Commissioner            |
| 8  | Polmann.   |
| 9  | Mr. Futrell.                                       |
| 10 | MR. FRIEDMAN: Mr. Chairman, this is Marty          |
| 11 | Friedman. I am the attorney for Gold Coast, can I  |
| 12 | just make one observation?                         |
| 13 | CHAIRMAN CLARK: Yes, Mr. Friedman.                 |
| 14 | MR. FRIEDMAN: Yeah, I would just point out,        |
| 15 | at least as to Gold Coast, there is less than      |
| 16 | \$2,000 in income tax expense included in the      |
| 17 | revenue requirement for this company. So I don't   |
| 18 | know how that could be anything significant in     |
| 19 | the in the realistic outcome of of this tax        |
| 20 | docket.  |
| 21 | CHAIRMAN CLARK: I think that OPC was saying        |
| 22 | they did not have a specific problem with these    |
| 23 | issues. It was the overall way that the tax the    |
| 24 | Tax and Jobs Act was being applied as a regulatory |
| 25 | asset. I think that's kind of a different issue.   |

| 1  | Mr. Futrell, do you want to address that?           |
|----|---|
| 2  | MR. FUTRELL: I would just suggest,                  |
| 3  | Commissioner Polmann, I think some of his questions |
| 4  | are are right on the money, appropriate for Item    |
| 5  | 4 that will be coming up before the Commission.     |
| 6  | And again, I think that's the place where some of   |
| 7  | these larger considerations can be can be           |
| 8  | brought up. I am sure OPC will be bringing up some  |
| 9  | of these same arguments in that item.               |
| 10 | CHAIRMAN CLARK: Okay. Very good.                    |
| 11 | Commissioners, any other questions or               |
| 12 | discussion?   |
| 13 | All right. On the motion, all in favor say          |
| 14 | aye.  |
| 15 | (Chorus of ayes.)                                   |
| 16 | CHAIRMAN CLARK: Opposed?                            |
| 17 | (No response.)                                      |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right. The motion is            |
| 19 | adopted.  |
| 20 | (Agenda item concluded.)                            |
| 21 |   |
| 22 |   |
| 23 |   |
| 24 |   |
| 25 |   |
|    |   |

| 1  | CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER                                  |
|----|--|
| 2  | STATE OF FLORIDA )                                       |
| 3  | COUNTY OF LEON )   |
| 4  |  |
| 5  | I, DEBRA KRICK, Court Reporter, do hereby                |
| 6  | certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the   |
| 7  | time and place herein stated.                            |
| 8  | IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I                           |
| 9  | stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the |
| 10 | same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;   |
| 11 | and that this transcript constitutes a true              |
| 12 | transcription of my notes of said proceedings.           |
| 13 | I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,              |
| 14 | employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor |
| 15 | am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'       |
| 16 | attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I  |
| 17 | financially interested in the action.                    |
| 18 | DATED this 26th day of August, 2020.                     |
| 19 |  |
| 20 | 0  |
| 21 | Debbri R Krici   |
| 22 | DEBRA R. KRICK   |
| 23 | NOTARY PUBLIC COMMISSION #HH31926                        |
| 24 | EXPIRES AUGUST 13, 2024                                  |
| 25 |  |