

Brian Schultz

From: Hong Wang
Sent: Tuesday, September 22, 2020 11:17 AM
To: Brian Schultz
Subject: FW: Proposed Underground Installations

From: Shelby Eichler <sEichler@psc.state.fl.us>
Sent: Tuesday, September 22, 2020 11:08 AM
To: Hong Wang <HWang@PSC.STATE.FL.US>
Cc: Benjamin Crawford <BCRAWFOR@PSC.STATE.FL.US>
Subject: RE: Proposed Underground Installations

Correspondence from Luis Pizarro in DN 08126-2020 belongs in the FPL Storm Protection Plan Docket 20200071.

Correspondence from Berhard Albrecht also belongs in 20200071.

I also believe Maureen Flaherty's correspondence would be 20200071.

All addresses are in FPL service territory and have concern with the Storm Protection Plan activities.

Thanks,
Shelby

From: Hong Wang
Sent: Tuesday, September 22, 2020 9:31 AM
To: Shelby Eichler
Subject: FW: Proposed Underground Installations

Shelby, per our conversation, would you please also look at DN 08126-2020, and let me know whether it belongs in Docket 20200092?

Thanks!

From: Hong Wang **On Behalf Of** Records Clerk
Sent: Monday, September 21, 2020 6:06 PM
To: Shelby Eichler <sEichler@psc.state.fl.us>; Shaw Stiller <SStiller@psc.state.fl.us>
Subject: FW: Proposed Underground Installations

Shelby/Shaw,

We need help on identifying in which docket we should place the e-mail below and the attached e-mail from Maureen Flaherty. I've asked CAO and they are not able to provide us with a docket number. After reviewing CMS, I am thinking they should be placed in Docket 20200092? So, I am contacting you guys.

Could you please take a look at the e-mails and let me know whether they should be entered in Docket 20200092 as consumer correspondence?

Thank you!

Hong

From: Bernie Albrecht <balbrecht007@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, September 18, 2020 6:21 PM

To: Records Clerk <CLERK@PSC.STATE.FL.US>

Cc: FLAARP@email.aarp.org

Subject: Proposed Underground Installations

To the Florida Public Service Commission,

I would like to voice my concerns and objection to the "Rubber Stamping" of the proposed UnderGound installations. I retired from a major Electric Utility in New Jersey after 32 1/2 years, many spent designing, overseeing installation, and repair / maintenance of the Underground Distribution System and can advise you first hand it is a very expensive system to install and maintain.

Underground installations usually are estimated to cost 10 times what a similar Overhead System costs.

In a pure inner city environment, redundant Underground Systems are the only feasible way to go, but outside of the city, Overhead is always better since tracking down failures and problems is very straightforward and simple compared to an Underground System. Repair is also much easier and simpler and cheaper.

As almost all systems come out of an Electrical Substation Overhead in their origins, and then "dip" into the Underground at some point, so there are always poles and wires to deal with.

In almost all cases the Overhead System is cheaper and faster to restore, unless the customer requests pure Underground from the Substation out, which is very expensive, and the ratepayers should not be purdonded with this cost.

As my past experience has shown me, Overhead is cheap, easier to repair and maintain, and should be fortified and made stronger in opposition to putting it Underground, and I highly recommend the Commision not allow the Utility to push a costlier method onto the ratepayers.

***Bernhard Albrecht
1967 SE Bowie St.
Port St Lucie, FL 34952
772-249-0578***