

FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Item 2

VOTE SHEET

February 2, 2021

FILED 2/4/2021
DOCUMENT NO. 01886-2021
FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK

Docket No. 20200245-EI – Petition for a limited proceeding to approve third solar base rate adjustment, by Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

Issue 1: Are the installed costs of the solar projects proposed by DEF (Twin Rivers, Santa Fe, Charlie Creek, Duette, and Sandy Creek) within the installed cost cap required by subparagraph 15(a) of the 2017 Settlement?

Recommendation: Yes. The estimated installed costs appear reasonable and the resulting weighted average cost of the combined projects in DEF’s Third SoBRA tranche is below the installed cost cap of \$1,650 per kilowatt alternating current (kW_{ac}), as required by the 2017 Settlement.

APPROVED

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

COMMISSIONERS’ SIGNATURES

MAJORITY

DISSENTING











REMARKS/DISSENTING COMMENTS:

Docket No. 20200245-EI – Petition for a limited proceeding to approve third solar base rate adjustment, by Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 2: Are the solar projects proposed by DEF cost effective pursuant to subparagraph 15(c) of the 2017 Settlement?

Recommendation: Yes. DEF's proposed Third SoBRA tranche would result in lower system costs as compared to the system without the projects, as required by the 2017 Settlement.

APPROVED

Issue 3: Are the solar projects proposed by DEF needed pursuant to subparagraph 15(c) of the 2017 Settlement?

Recommendation: Yes. DEF's proposed Third SoBRA tranche is needed as it will produce economic benefits to the general body of ratepayers, provide firm summer capacity, and increase the fuel diversity of DEF's generation.

APPROVED

Issue 4: Are the solar projects proposed by DEF otherwise in compliance with the terms of paragraph 15 of the 2017 Settlement?

Recommendation: Yes. DEF's Third SoBRA tranche meets the requirements of the 2017 Settlement and the projects are eligible for cost recovery through the SoBRA mechanism established therein.

APPROVED

Issue 5: What is the annual revenue requirement associated with each of the solar projects proposed by DEF?

Recommendation: The total jurisdictional annual revenue requirement associated with each of the five proposed projects is as listed in Table 5-1 of staff's memorandum dated January 21, 2021.

APPROVED

Docket No. 20200245-EI – Petition for a limited proceeding to approve third solar base rate adjustment, by Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 6: Should the Commission approve the tariff sheets reflecting the annual revenue requirements for the Twin Rivers and Santa Fe solar projects? In addition, should the Commission grant staff administrative authority to approve the tariffs for the Charlie Creek, Duette solar projects and the Sandy Creek projects?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should approve the tariff sheets as shown in Attachment A of staff's memorandum dated January 21, 2021, which reflect the annual revenue requirements listed in Issue 5 for the Twin Rivers and Santa Fe projects, effective with the first billing cycle on or after the commercial in-service date of both units. In addition, the Commission should grant staff administrative authority to approve tariffs for the Charlie Creek and Duette projects for implementation effective with the first billing cycle on or after the commercial in-service date of both units and the Sandy Creek project for implementation effective with the first billing cycle on or after the commercial in-service date of that unit, using the annual revenue requirements listed in Issue 5 for each of these projects.

APPROVED

Issue 7: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: If a protest is filed within 21 days of the issuance of the order, the tariffs should remain in effect, with any revenues held subject to refund, pending resolution of the protest. If no timely protest is filed, this docket should be closed upon the issuance of a consummating order.

APPROVED