

**BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION**

In Re: Petition for Rate Increase by )  
Tampa Electric Company ) DOCKET NO. 20210034-EI  
\_\_\_\_\_) FILED: MAY 19, 2021

**MOTION TO INTERVENE OF THE FLORIDA RETAIL FEDERATION**

The Florida Retail Federation (“FRF”), pursuant to Chapters 120 and 366, Florida Statutes,<sup>1</sup> and Rules 25-22.036, 25-22.039 and 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code (“F.A.C.”), hereby moves to intervene in the above-styled docket addressing Tampa Electric Company's (“Tampa Electric,” “Company,” or “TECO”) request for a general increase in its base rates.

In summary, the FRF is an established association with more than 8,000 members in Florida, many of whom are retail customers of Tampa Electric Company. The FRF respectfully moves for leave to intervene in order to protect its members’ interests in having the Commission determine the fair, just, and reasonable rates to be charged by TECO upon the conclusion of the case, and in having the Commission take such other action to protect the interests of the FRF’s members and of all of TECO’s customers as the Commission may deem appropriate. The interests of the many members of the FRF who are TECO customers will be determined by the Commission’s decisions in this case, and

---

<sup>1</sup> All references herein to the Florida Statutes are to the 2020 edition thereof.

accordingly, the FRF is entitled to intervene to protect its members' substantial interests. In further support of its Motion to Intervene, the Florida Retail Federation states as follows.

1. The name, address, and telephone number of the FRF are as follows:

Florida Retail Federation  
227 South Adams Street  
Tallahassee, Florida 32301  
Telephone (850) 222-4082  
Telecopier (850) 226-4082.

2. All pleadings, orders and correspondence should be directed to FRF's representatives as follows:

Robert Scheffel Wright, Attorney at Law  
[schef@gbwlegal.com](mailto:schef@gbwlegal.com)  
John T. LaVia, III, Attorney at Law  
[jlavia@gbwlegal.com](mailto:jlavia@gbwlegal.com)  
Gardner, Bist, Bowden, Dee, LaVia, Wright & Perry, P.A.  
1300 Thomaswood Drive  
Tallahassee, Florida 32308  
Telephone (850) 385-0070  
Facsimile (850) 385-5416

3. The agency affected by this Motion to Intervene is:

Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850.

4. The Florida Retail Federation is an established association of more than 8,000 members in Florida. Many of the FRF's members are retail electric customers of Tampa Electric Company; these members purchase electricity from TECO pursuant to several different TECO rate schedules. The FRF's members

require safe, adequate, reasonably-priced electricity in order to conduct their businesses consistently with the needs of their customers and ownership.

5. Statement of Affected Interests. In this docket, the Commission will decide whether to approve TECO's request for a substantial rate increase – an overall increase in the Company's base rates of approximately 25 percent, such that, if TECO's request were approved, its customers would pay an additional \$294,995,000 per year in additional base rates. This translates to increases in customers' total bills that range from more than 20 percent for some residential customers to increases generally between 10 percent and 20 percent for general service and general service demand customers, depending on the customers' respective usage characteristics. The Commission will ultimately determine whether any changes – increases or decreases – in TECO's rates are appropriate. TECO initiated this docket by filing a Test Year Notification on February 1, 2021, and TECO filed its Petition, Minimum Filing Requirements, and supporting testimony and exhibits on April 9, 2021. The Commission will necessarily have to decide whether any rate increases (or decreases) are justified, and if so, the Commission will also have to approve the rates and charges that would enable TECO to recover any authorized increase in TECO's base rate revenues. As the representative of its many members who are retail customers of Tampa Electric, the Florida Retail Federation's and its members' substantial interests will be

affected by any action that the Commission takes in this docket.

6. The FRF's substantial interests are of sufficient immediacy to entitle it to participate in the proceeding and are the type of interests that the proceeding is designed to protect. To participate as a party in this proceeding, an intervenor must demonstrate that its substantial interests will be affected by the proceeding. Specifically, the intervenor must demonstrate that it will suffer a sufficiently immediate injury in fact that is of the type the proceeding is designed to protect. Ameristeel Corp. v. Clark, 691 So. 2d 473 (Fla. 1997); Agrico Chemical Co. v. Department of Environmental Regulation, 406 So. 2d 478 (Fla. 2d DCA 1981), rev. denied, 415 So. 2d 1359 (Fla. 1982). Here, the FRF is the representative of a substantial number of its members who are retail electric customers of TECO, and these members' substantial interests will be directly affected by the Commission's decisions regarding TECO's retail electric rates. Thus, the interests that the FRF seeks to protect are of sufficient immediacy to warrant intervention, and the nature of its members' interests in having the Commission set rates for TECO that are fair, just, reasonable, and not unduly discriminatory is exactly the type of interest that this proceeding is designed to protect. This is a general rate case, and the FRF seeks to protect its members' substantial interests as they will be affected by the Commission's decisions determining TECO's rates.

7. Associational Standing. Under Florida law, to establish standing as an

association representing its members' substantial interests, an association such as the Florida Retail Federation must demonstrate three things:

- a. that a substantial number of its members, although not necessarily a majority, are substantially affected by the agency's decisions;
- b. that the intervention by the association is within the association's general scope of interest and activity; and
- c. that the relief requested is of a type appropriate for an association to obtain on behalf of its members.

Florida Home Builders Ass'n v. Dep't of Labor and Employment Security, 412 So. 2d 351, 353-54 (Fla. 1982). The FRF satisfies all of these "associational standing" requirements. A substantial number of the FRF's more than 8,000 members are located in TECO's service area and receive their electric service from TECO, for which they are charged TECO's applicable retail rates. The FRF exists to represent its members' interests in a number of venues, including the Florida Public Service Commission: indeed, the FRF was an intervenor in Tampa Electric's 2008 general rate case, Docket No. 20080317-EI, and in TECO's last general rate case, Docket No. 20130040-EI; the FRF was a signatory party to the stipulation and settlement agreement that resolved the 2013 TECO rate case. The FRF has also participated over the past twenty years in many rate cases involving Florida Power & Light Company, Duke Energy Florida (formerly Progress Energy

Florida), and Gulf Power Company, as well as numerous other dockets in which customers' rates have been determined. Finally, the relief requested -- intervention and the lowest rates consistent with the Commission's governing law -- is across-the-board relief that will apply to all of the FRF's members in the same way, according to the retail rate schedules under which they receive service; therefore, the requested relief is of the type that is appropriate for an association to obtain on behalf of its members.

8. Disputed Issues of Material Fact. The FRF believes that the disputed issues of material fact in this proceeding will include, but will not be limited to, the issues listed below. Naturally, at this early point in this docket, the issues stated below are broad, general issues, and the FRF expects that many additional, specific issues will be identified and developed as this docket progresses.

ISSUE: Are the increased base rates for which Tampa Electric seeks the Commission's approval fair, just, and reasonable?

ISSUE: Are the proposed rate base amounts upon which TECO's rate requests are based reasonable and prudent?

ISSUE: Are the proposed operating and maintenance expenses upon which TECO's rate requests are based reasonable and prudent?

ISSUE: Are TECO's proposed rate of return on equity and capital structure (equity ratio) fair, just, and reasonable?

The FRF anticipates that, as is standard practice in rate cases before the Commission, many parties, including the Commission Staff, will raise many issues as the case progresses, and the FRF reserves all rights to raise additional issues in accordance with the Commission's rules and the Order Establishing Procedure in this case.

9. Statement of Ultimate Facts Alleged. It is TECO's burden to prove that it is entitled to any rate relief, and to meet that burden, TECO must prove that its existing rates and charges are not fair, just, and reasonable. The FRF does not believe that TECO has met or that TECO can meet the requisite burden to justify its requested rate increases. A substantial number of the FRF's more than 8,000 members are TECO's retail customers, and accordingly, their substantial interests are subject to determination and will be affected by the Commission's decisions in this docket. Accordingly, as the representative association of its members who are TECO customers, the FRF is entitled to intervene herein.

10. Statutes and Rules That Entitle the Florida Retail Federation to Relief. The applicable statutes and rules that entitle the FRF to relief include, but are not limited to, Sections 120.569, 120.57(1), 366.04(1), 366.05(1), 366.06(1)&(2), and 366.07, Florida Statutes, and Chapter 28-106, Florida Administrative Code. Rule 28-106.205, F.A.C., provides that persons whose substantial interests are subject to determination in, or may be affected through, an agency proceeding are entitled to

intervene in such proceeding. A substantial number of the FRF's more than 8,000 members are TECO's retail customers, and accordingly, their substantial interests are subject to determination in and will be affected by the Commission's decisions in this docket. Accordingly, as the representative association of its members who are customers of TECO, the FRF is entitled to intervene herein. The above-cited sections of Chapter 366 relate to the Commission's jurisdiction over TECO's rates and the Commission's statutory mandate to ensure that TECO's rates are fair, just, and reasonable. The facts alleged here by the FRF demonstrate (a) that the Commission's decisions herein will have a significant impact on TECO's rates and charges, (b) that a substantial number of the FRF's members will be directly impacted by the Commission's decisions regarding TECO's rates and charges, and (c) accordingly, that these statutes provide the basis for the relief requested by the FRF in this Motion to Intervene. Additionally, the facts alleged herein demonstrate that the FRF is entitled to a hearing on TECO's rates and charges.

11. Statement of Conferral. Pursuant to Rules 28-106.204(3) and 28-106.205(2)(e), F.A.C., counsel for the FRF has conferred with the other parties in this case regarding this Motion to Intervene. The FRF can represent that Tampa Electric Company and the Commission Staff take no position on the FRF's Motion. The FRF can also represent that the Office of Public Counsel, the Florida Industrial Power Users Group, and the Federal Executive Agencies do not object to



the FRF's Motion, and that Walmart supports the FRF's Motion.

### **CONCLUSION AND RELIEF REQUESTED**

The Florida Retail Federation is an established association that, consistent with its purposes and history of intervening in Commission proceedings to protect its members' interests under the Commission's statutes, rules, and orders, seeks to intervene in this general rate case docket to protect its members' substantial interests in having the Commission set rates for Tampa Electric Company that are fair, just, reasonable, and not unduly discriminatory. The interests of the FRF's members that the FRF seeks to protect via its intervention and participation in this case are immediate and of the type to be protected by this proceeding.

**WHEREFORE**, the Florida Retail Federation respectfully requests the Florida Public Service Commission to enter its order GRANTING this Motion to Intervene and requiring that all parties to this proceeding serve copies of all pleadings, notices, and other documents on the FRF's representatives indicated in paragraphs 1 and 2 above.

Respectfully submitted this 19th day of May, 2021.

*/s/ Robert Scheffel Wright*

Robert Scheffel Wright  
Florida Bar No. 966721  
John T. LaVia, III  
Florida Bar No. 853666  
Gardner, Bist, Bowden, Dee, LaVia, Wright & Perry, P.A.  
1300 Thomaswood Drive  
Tallahassee, Florida 32308  
Telephone (850) 385-0070  
Facsimile (850) 385-5416

Attorneys for the Florida Retail Federation

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by U.S. Mail this 19th day of May, 2021, to the following:

Charles Murphy  
Theresa Tan  
Office of the General Counsel  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850  
[cmurphy@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:cmurphy@psc.state.fl.us)  
[ltan@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:ltan@psc.state.fl.us)

Richard Gentry  
Charles Rehwinkel  
Anastacia Pirello  
Stephanie Morse  
Office of Public Counsel  
c/o The Florida Legislature  
111 West Madison Street, Room 812  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400  
[Gentry.richard@leg.state.fl.us](mailto:Gentry.richard@leg.state.fl.us)  
[Rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us](mailto:Rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us)  
[Pirrello.anastacia@leg.state.fl.us](mailto:Pirrello.anastacia@leg.state.fl.us)  
[Morse.stephanie@leg.state.fl.us](mailto:Morse.stephanie@leg.state.fl.us)

Stephanie U. Eaton  
Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC  
110 Oakwood Drive, Suite 500  
Winston-Salem, NC 27103  
[seaton@spilmanlaw.com](mailto:seaton@spilmanlaw.com)

Barry A. Naum  
Spilman Thomas & Battle, PLLC  
1100 Bent Creek Boulevard, Suite 101  
Mechanicsburg, PA 17050  
[bnaum@spilmanlaw.com](mailto:bnaum@spilmanlaw.com)

Florida Industrial Power Users Group  
Jon Moyle  
Karen Putnal  
c/o Moyle Law Firm  
118 N. Gadsden Street  
Tallahassee, FL 32301  
[jmoyle@moylelaw.com](mailto:jmoyle@moylelaw.com)  
[kputnal@moylelaw.com](mailto:kputnal@moylelaw.com)  
[mqualls@moylelaw.com](mailto:mqualls@moylelaw.com)

Federal Executive Agencies  
Thomas A. Jernigan  
Holly L. Buchanan, Maj, USAF  
Scott L. Kirk, Maj, USAF  
Arnold Braxton, TSgt, USAF  
Ebony M. Payton  
139 Barnes Drive, Suite 1  
Tyndall Air Force Base, Florida 32403  
[Thomas.jernigan.3@us.af.mil](mailto:Thomas.jernigan.3@us.af.mil)  
[Holly.buchanan.1@us.af.mil](mailto:Holly.buchanan.1@us.af.mil)  
[Scott.kirk.2@us.af.mil](mailto:Scott.kirk.2@us.af.mil)  
[Arnold.braxton@us.af.mil](mailto:Arnold.braxton@us.af.mil)  
[Ebony.payton.ctr@us.af.mil](mailto:Ebony.payton.ctr@us.af.mil)

*/s/ Robert Scheffel Wright*  
Attorney