

Antonia Hover

From: Office of Commissioner Passidomo
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 1:18 PM
To: Commissioner Correspondence
Subject: FW: FPL Rate Increase

Importance: High

Please place the e-mail below in Docket No. 20210015.

Thank you!

From: lindsay@wirespring.com <lindsay@wirespring.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 27, 2021 1:16 PM
To: Office of Commissioner La Rosa <Commissioner.LaRosa@psc.state.fl.us>; Office of Commissioner Graham <Commissioner.Graham@PSC.STATE.FL.US>; Office of Chairman Clark <Commissioner.Clark@psc.state.fl.us>; Office of Commissioner Fay <Commissioner.Fay@psc.state.fl.us>; Office of Commissioner Passidomo <Commissioner.Passidomo@psc.state.fl.us>
Subject: FPL Rate Increase
Importance: High

One important feature of the settlement requires residential customers to subsidize a \$1 billion decrease in costs for the state's largest commercial and industrial businesses and also subsidize customers who sign up for FPL's solar expansion.

FPL witnesses admitted before regulators that the agreement will cost residential customers disproportionately more than the rate increase imposed on the state's largest businesses. Those businesses will pay 20% less than what FPL originally proposed when it filed its rate case in March, while residential customers see a decrease of only 2%.

That wasn't discussed by the commission.

Witnesses for the group of consumer advocates demonstrated that residential customers would have been better off if the commission had approved FPL's original proposal instead of the settlement that was offered.

To ALL Commissioners:

WHAT IS WRONG WITH YOU?

Why would you burden all Florida residents with FPL RATE INCREASES when they are NOT justified?

Why did you ALLOW FPL to basically put their rate increases on RESIDENTIAL residents? How can you sanction the behavior exhibited by FPL?

Your actions are just OUTRIGHT WRONG.

What are you going to do to rectify the situation?

Linda Drake
4081 SW Alice Street
Port St Lucie, FL 34953
305-829-4833