From:	Office of Commissioner Passidomo	
Sent:	Monday, January 24, 2022 12:36 PM	
То:	Commissioner Correspondence	
Subject:	Docket No. 20200226	
Attachments:	Docket #20200226-SU; Docket #20200226-SU; Re Docket #20200226-SU	

Please place the attached in Docket No. 20200226.

Thank you!

From:William Gillan <bgillan@comcast.net>Sent:Monday, January 24, 2022 12:28 PMTo:Office of Commissioner PassidomoSubject:Docket #20200226-SU

Commissioner Passidomo,

My name is Bill Gillan, and I am a property owner on Don Pedro Island. I am writing to object to the proposal by EU to install a central sewer system on Don Pedro Island, and to formally request that the Public Service Commission deny the Application for Original Certificate of Authorization for this proposed central sewer system.

I am objecting to EU's proposal for several reasons:

- The project is poorly planned and the finances necessary for a project of this magnitude cause me grave concern. EU has not addressed how the system would be serviced in the event of failure, for instance during a storm or other event. There is no performance bond required and no single point of general oversight and recourse for the project in the event of cost overruns or project failure. This lack of planning could prove to be catastrophic for our island.
- 2. The cost to each homeowner is unreasonable and burdensome. The current estimated cost of hook-up for each homeowner is over \$13,000 and the average cost per month is over \$178.00 per month. This amount does not include the landscaping and hardscaping around the septic area that would need to be cleared at our expense, and an electric panel for the system pump will have to be installed by a licensed electrician which will also be at our expense. We would also be responsible for replacing equipment when needed which could be often since salt air takes a heavy toll on mechanical and electrical equipment here. These are expenses we were not planning on or made aware of when we purchased our house on Don Pedro Island. This creates an unnecessary financial hardship on me and other homeowners on the island, especially since EU's proposal does not include a pay-over-time option. We would be unfairly forced to take loans to cover the costs for a project that the residents do not want.
- 3. There is no proven need for a central sewer system to be installed on the island. This unnecessary project would result in the destruction of habitat and interference with endangered species that make our island a unique and beautiful place to live. Additionally, the risk is high for a central sewer leak affecting the Intracoastal waterway.

There are numerous other reasons for EU's proposal to be denied, but the fact is that the risks of going forward with this project far outweigh the benefits. I hope you will consider the impacts on the homeowners of Don Pedro Island who will be greatly affected by this needless and poorly planned project, as well as the detrimental impacts to our unique island environment, and deny EU's application for wastewater service that the residents of Don Pedro do not want.

Thank you,

Bill Gillan bgillan@comcast.net

From:	Tim D <palmpinelake@gmail.com></palmpinelake@gmail.com>
Sent:	Monday, January 24, 2022 11:20 AM
То:	Records Clerk; Office of Commissioner La Rosa; Office of Commissioner Clark; Office of
	Commissioner Passidomo
Subject:	Docket #20200226-SU

Copy and paste to send:

Dear PSC Commissioners,

I am a homeowner on Palm Island and writing to formally request that the PSC deny EU's application for wastewater service to our island. This is an unnecessary service and poses risks and uncertainty to the sewer system and environment. Please see my major concerns below:

1. Costs. Mandatory hookup requirements, new equipment requirements to operate and monthly service costs - all at the homeowner's expense.

2. Risks. The proposed system reliability and maintenance is unproven. The applicant has not demonstrated any technical expertise with this type of project. There is no experience to safely and reliably run the proposed system.

3. Environmental. The impact of initial construction and the potential of future system failure. This alone should be enough reason to deny this project.

I urge you to take these concerns into consideration and deny the EU's application for wastewater service.

Respectfully, Tim Danek 60 S Gulf Blvd Palm Island, FL palmpinelake@gmail.com

From:	Mary Gillan <mjgillan@comcast.net></mjgillan@comcast.net>
Sent:	Monday, January 24, 2022 11:12 AM
То:	Office of Commissioner Passidomo
Subject:	Re: Docket #20200226-SU

Commissioner Passidomo,

My name is Mary Gillan, and I am a property owner on Don Pedro Island. I am writing to object to the proposal by EU to install a central sewer system on Don Pedro Island, and to formally request that the Public Service Commission deny the Application for Original Certificate of Authorization for this proposed central sewer system.

I am objecting to EU's proposal for several reasons:

- 1. The cost to each homeowner is unreasonable and burdensome. The estimated cost of hook-up for each homeowner is over \$13,000 and the average cost per month is over \$178.00 per month. Also, landscaping and hardscaping around the septic area will need to be cleared at our expense, and an electric panel for the system pump will have to be installed by a licensed electrician which will also be at our expense. We would also be responsible for replacing equipment when needed which could be often since salt air takes a heavy toll on mechanical and electrical equipment here. These are expenses we were not planning on or made aware of when we purchased our house on Don Pedro Island. This creates an unnecessary financial hardship on me and other homeowners on the island, especially since EU's proposal does not include a pay-over-time option. We would be unfairly forced to take loans to cover these costs.
- 2. There is no proven need for a central sewer system to be installed on the island. This unnecessary project would result in the destruction of habitat and interference with endangered species that make our island a unique and beautiful place to live. Additionally, the risk is high for a central sewer leak affecting the Intracoastal.
- 3. The project is poorly planned. EU has not addressed how the system would be serviced in the event of failure, for instance during a storm or other event. There is no performance bond required and no single point of general oversight and recourse for the project in the event of cost overruns or project failure. This lack of planning could prove to be catastrophic for our island.

There are numerous other reasons for EU's proposal to be denied, but the fact is that the risks of going forward with this project far outweigh the benefits. I hope you will consider the impacts on the homeowners of Don Pedro Island who will be greatly affected by this needless and poorly planned project, as well as the detrimental impacts to our unique island environment, and deny EU's application for wastewater service.

Thank you,

Mary Gillan mjgillan@comcast.net