FILED 8/24/2022 DOCUMENT NO. 05636-2022 FPSC - COMMISSION CLERK



### STATE OF FLORIDA OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL

C/O THE FLORIDA LEGISLATURE
111 WEST MADISON ST.
ROOM 812
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-1400
850-488-9330



EMAIL: OPC\_WEBSITE@LEG.STATE.FL.US WWW.FLORIDAOPC.GOV

August 24, 2022

Adam J. Teitzman, Commission Clerk Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 20220067-GU

Dear Mr. Teitzman,

Please find enclosed for filing in the above referenced docket the Direct Testimony and Exhibits of Ralph Smith, CPA. This filing is being made via the Florida Public Service Commission's Web Based Electronic Filing portal.

If you have any questions or concerns; please do not hesitate to contact me. Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Sincerely,

Richard Gentry Public Counsel

#### /s/ Patricia A. Christensen

Patricia A. Christensen Associate Public Counsel Florida Bar No. 0989789

Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison Street, Rm. 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Attorneys for the Citizens of the State of Florida

cc: All Parties of Record

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE DOCKET NO. 20220067-GU

**I HEREBY CERTIFY** that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by electronic mail on this 24<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2022, to the following:

Florida Public Utilities Company Mr. Mike Cassel 208 Wildlight Ave. Yulee FL 32097 mcassel@fpuc.com

Jennifer Crawford Ryan Sandy 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399 jcrawfor@psc.state.fl.us rsandy@psc.state.fl.us Gunster Law Firm
Beth Keating
215 South Monroe Street, Suite 601
Tallahassee FL 32301
bkeating@gunster.com

/s/ Patricia A. Christensen

Patricia A. Christensen Associate Public Counsel Christensen.Patty@leg.state.fl.us

#### BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Petition for Rate Increase by Florida Public Utilities Company, Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation, Florida Public Utilities Company – Fort Meade, and Florida Public Utilities Company – Indiantown Division Docket No. 20220067-GU

Filed: August 24, 2022

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **DIRECT TESTIMONY**

**OF** 

#### RALPH SMITH, CPA

#### ON BEHALF OF THE CITIZENS OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA

Richard Gentry Public Counsel

Patricia A. Christensen Associate Public Counsel

Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400 (850) 488-933

Attorneys for the Citizens of the State of Florida

#### **CONTENTS**

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	FPUC REQUESTED REVENUE INCREASE	3
III.	ORGANIZATION OF TESTIMONY	3
IV.	OVERALL FINANCIAL SUMMARY SCHEDULES – JANUARY 2023 BASE RATE CHANGE	4
V.	RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS TO RATE BASE AND NET OPERATING INCOME	7
	A. RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS	7
	Miscellaneous Intangible Plant	
	Directors and Officers Liability Insurance Expense in Working Capital	
	Accumulated Depreciation - New Depreciation Rates	
	Unamortized Rate Case Expense	
	Accumulated Depreciation – Area Expansion Program	12
	FPUC Merger Acquisition Adjustment	13
	Indiantown Acquisition Adjustment	
	B. NET OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENTS	22
	Depreciation Expense - New Depreciation Rates	
	Amortization Expense Related to FPUC Merger Acquisition Adjustment	
	Incentive Compensation Expense	23
	Stock-Based Compensation Expense	27
	Payroll Tax Expense	
	Supplemental Executive Retirement Program ("SERP") Expense	30
	Directors and Officers Liability Insurance Expense	31
	Rent Expense	34
	Lobbying Costs	34
	Interest Synchronization	35
	Parent Company Debt Adjustment	35
	Company Sponsored Events	37
VI.	OTHER CONCERNS	38

#### **EXHIBITS:**

RCS-1, Qualifications Appendix

RCS-2, Revenue Requirement and Adjustment Schedules for Projected 2023 Test Year

1		DIRECT TESTIMONY
2		OF
3		RALPH SMITH
4		On Behalf of the Office of Public Counsel
5		Before the
6		Florida Public Service Commission
7		Docket No. 20220067-GU
8		
9		I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>
10 11	Q.	WHAT IS YOUR NAME, OCCUPATION, AND BUSINESS ADDRESS?
12	A.	My name is Ralph Smith. I am a Certified Public Accountant licensed in the State of
13		Michigan and a senior regulatory consultant at the firm Larkin & Associates, PLLC
14		Certified Public Accountants, with offices at 15728 Farmington Road, Livonia, Michigan
15		48154.
16		
17	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE FIRM LARKIN & ASSOCIATES, PLLC.
18	A.	Larkin & Associates, PLLC, ("Larkin") is a Certified Public Accounting and Regulatory
19		Consulting Firm. The firm performs independent regulatory consulting primarily for
20		public service/utility commission staffs and consumer interest groups (public counsels
21		public advocates, consumer counsels, attorneys general, etc.). Larkin has extensive
22		experience in the utility regulatory field as expert witnesses in over 600 regulatory
23		proceedings, including numerous electric, water and wastewater, gas and telephone utility
24		cases.

2		SERVICE COMMISSION?
3	A.	Yes, I have testified before the Florida Public Service Commission ("FPSC" or
4		"Commission") previously. I have also testified before several other state regulatory
5		commissions.
6		
7	Q.	HAVE YOU PREPARED AN EXHIBIT DESCRIBING YOUR QUALIFICATIONS
8		AND EXPERIENCE?
9	A.	Yes. I have attached Exhibit RCS-1, which is a summary of my regulatory experience and
10		qualifications.
11		
12	Q.	ON WHOSE BEHALF ARE YOU APPEARING?
13	A.	Larkin & Associates, PLLC, was retained by the Florida Office of Public Counsel ("OPC")
14		to review the rate request of Florida Public Utilities Company ("FPUC" or "Company").
15		Accordingly, I am appearing on behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida ("Citizens").
16		
17	Q.	WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY IN THIS PROCEEDING?
18	A.	I am presenting OPC's overall recommended revenue requirement in this case. I also
19		sponsor some of the OPC's recommended adjustments to the Company's proposed rate
20		base and operating income.
21		
22	Q.	WHAT EXHIBITS HAVE YOU ATTACHED TO YOUR TESTIMONY?
23	A.	I have attached the following exhibits:
24		RCS-1, Qualifications Appendix; and

1 Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC

1		RCS-2, Revenue Requirement and Adjustment Schedules for projected 2023 Test
2		Year.
3	Q.	ARE ANY ADDITIONAL WITNESSES APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE
4		FLORIDA OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL IN THIS CASE?
5	A.	Yes. David Garrett addresses FPUC's recommended capital structure and return on equity
6		("ROE") as well as the Company's proposed depreciation rates.
7		
8		II. FPUC REQUESTED REVENUE INCREASE
9	Q.	WHAT IS THE COMPANY REQUESTING IN THIS PROCEEDING?
10	A.	The Company is requesting an increase to it base rates of \$43.82 million, which is based
11		on a projected test year ending December 31, 2023. Of this amount, \$19.76 million is
12		related to the Company's proposal to move its investments in its Gas Reliability
13		Infrastructure Program ("GRIP") into rate base and resetting the GRIP surcharge to zero
14		pursuant to Order No. PSC-2012-0490-TRF-GU, issued September 24, 2012, in Docket
15		No. 20120036-GU. The remaining \$24.06 million being requested by FPUC is, according
16		to the Company, necessary to allow FPUC to earn a fair return on its investment. FPUC's
17		request, net of the GRIP investment, reflects an overall increase of approximately 29%.
18		The Company is proposing an ROE of 11.25% which generates an overall rate of
19		return of 6.43%. OPC's recommended ROE and rate of return is addressed by OPC witness
20		David Garrett.
21		
22		III. ORGANIZATION OF TESTIMONY
23	Q.	HOW ARE THE DISCUSSIONS THAT ARE BEING ADDRESSED IN YOUR
24		TESTIMONY ORGANIZED?

1	A.	As noted above, in Section II, I have briefly summarized FPUC's requested revenue
2		increase.
3		The remainder of my testimony is organized as follows:
4		In Section IV, I present the overall financial summary schedules for the base rate
5		change to be effective January 1, 2023, showing the revenue requirement excess for the
6		projected 2023 test year that I am recommending. Exhibit RCS-2 presents the schedules
7		and calculations in support of the projected 2023 base rate revenue requirement.
8		In Section V, I then discuss my proposed adjustments which impact the January
9		2023 Base Rates, including how the new depreciation rates (and resulting expense and
10		capital structure impacts) recommended by Witness Garrett have been reflected.
11		
12 13		IV. OVERALL FINANCIAL SUMMARY SCHEDULES – JANUARY 2023 BASE RATE CHANGE
14	Q.	WHAT IS THE JANUARY 2023 BASE RATE REVENUE REQUIREMENT
15		DEFICIENCY OR EXCESS FOR FPUC?
16	A.	As shown on Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule A, the OPC's recommended adjustments in this
17		case result in a recommendation that FPUC not be authorized a revenue increase in January
18		2023 of any more than approximately \$7.75 million exclusive of the GRIP revenue
19		requirement transfer into base rates. This is \$16.31 million less than the base rate revenue
20		increase of \$24.06 million (exclusive of the GRIP investments) requested by FPUC in its
21		Petition.
22		
23	Q.	PLEASE DISCUSS THE EXHIBIT YOU PREPARED IN SUPPORT OF YOUR
24		TESTIMONY AS IT PERTAINS TO THE JANUARY 2023 BASE RATE CHANGE.

1 A. Exhibit RCS-2 consists of Summary Schedules A, A-1, B, B.1, C, C.1 and D and 2 Adjustment Schedules B-1 through B-6 and C-1 through C-12. 3 4 Q. WHAT IS SHOWN ON SCHEDULE A, PAGE 1, OF EXHIBIT RCS-2? 5 A. Schedule A, page 1, presents the maximum revenue requirement calculation for the January 6 2023 Base Rate change, giving effect to all of the adjustments I am recommending in this 7 testimony, along with the impacts of the recommendations made by OPC Witness Garrett. 8 9 Q. WHAT IS SHOWN ON SCHEDULE A, PAGE 2, OF EXHIBIT RCS-2? 10 A. Schedule A, page 2, presents a reconciliation of the revenue requirement showing the 11 estimated impacts of OPC recommendations. 12 13 Q. WHAT IS SHOWN ON SCHEDULE A-1? 14 A. Schedule A-1 shows the gross revenue conversion factor ("GRCF"), which is used to 15 convert net operating income into equivalent revenue requirement amounts. As shown 16 there, FPUC's Petition used a GRCF, which the Company refers to as the Revenue Expansion Factor, of 1.34941. As shown on Schedule A-1, in column B, I have used 17 18 FPUC's GRCF of 1.34941 in my revenue requirement calculations. My use of FPUC's 19 GRCF of 1.34941 is also shown on Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule A, page 1, column B, line 7. 20

#### 21 Q. WHAT IS SHOWN ON SCHEDULE B?

A. Schedule B presents OPC's adjusted rate base that incorporates each of the adjustments impacting rate base that are recommended by OPC Witnesses in this case.

#### Q. WHAT IS SHOWN ON SCHEDULE B.1?

- 2 A. Schedule B.1 presents each of the adjustments impacting rate base that are recommended
- 3 by OPC Witnesses in this case.

4

1

- 5 Q. WHAT IS SHOWN ON SCHEDULE C OF EXHIBIT RCS-2?
- 6 A. OPC's adjusted net operating income is shown on Schedule C. This incorporates each of
- 7 the adjustments impacting net operating income that are recommended by OPC Witnesses
- 8 in this case. The OPC's adjusted results for net operating income are shown on Schedule
- 9 C in column C.

10

#### 11 Q. WHAT IS SHOWN ON SCHEDULE C.1 OF EXHIBIT RCS-2?

- 12 A. Schedule C.1 summarizes each of the adjustments impacting net operating income that are
- recommended by OPC Witnesses in this case.

14

15

#### Q. WOULD YOU PLEASE DISCUSS SCHEDULE D?

- 16 A. Schedule D presents OPC's recommended capital structure and overall rate of return, based
- on the long-term debt-to-equity ratio and ROE recommended by Witness Garrett. The
- capital structure ratios for debt and common equity are based on the ratios recommended
- by Mr. Garrett. On Schedule D, I have applied the adjustments to the capital structure
- 20 necessary to synchronize OPC's recommended capital structure to the adjusted
- 21 jurisdictional rate base. On Schedule D, I applied Mr. Garrett's recommended ROE,
- resulting in OPC's overall recommended rate of return of 5.20%.

1 2		V. <u>RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENTS TO RATE BASE AND NET OPERATING INCOME</u>
3	Q.	WOULD YOU PLEASE DISCUSS EACH OF THE ADJUSTMENTS THAT YOU,
4		AND OPC WITNESS GARRETT, ARE RECOMMENDING THAT AFFECT THE
5		RATE BASE AND NET OPERATING INCOME IN FPUC'S FILING?
6	A.	Yes, I will address each adjustment below.
7		A. RATE BASE ADJUSTMENTS
8	Q.	ON WHAT SCHEDULES IN EXHIBIT RCS-2 DO YOU SHOW RATE BASE
9		ADJUSTMENTS?
10	A.	Exhibit RCS-2 shows rate base adjustments for the 2023 projected test year on Schedules
11		B-1 through B-6.
12		Miscellaneous Intangible Plant
13	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN YOUR ADJUSTMENT TO MISCELLANEOUS INTANGIBLE
14		PLANT.
15	A.	In its response to OPC Interrogatory No. 119, the Company stated that its miscellaneous
16		plant balance was reflected on FPUC's books prior to its acquisition by Chesapeake
17		Utilities Corporation ("CUC") in October 2009. In addition, the Company stated that a
18		review of its plant records from 2009 and 1998 revealed that its miscellaneous plant
19		balances related to rights granted for the Wayside and Deland South natural gas stations
20		were reclassified from plant account 379 to 303 and that these assets were last amortized
21		for four months in 2011. FPUC stated that it should have continued recording amortization
22		entries until these assets were fully amortized and that it will make a true-up amortization
23		entry to correct this error. The Company stated that its projected average rate base will be

\$85,839 lower as a result of making this correction.

- 1 As shown on Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule B-1, I have reduced FPUC's plant in service 2 by the \$85,839 identified above by FPUC.
- 3 <u>Directors and Officers Liability Insurance Expense in Working Capital</u>
- 4 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE ADJUSTMENT FOR DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS
- 5 LIABILITY EXPENSE INCLUDED IN WORKING CAPITAL.
- 6 A. For the reasons discussed in a later section of my testimony as it relates to the operating 7 income adjustment shown on Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule C-7, this adjustment reduces 8 Directors and Officers Liability ("D&O") liability insurance expense included in working 9 capital to reflect an allocation to shareholders for half of the cost of the prepaid D&O 10 insurance. D&O liability insurance protects shareholders from the decisions they made 11 when they hired the Company's Board of Directors and the Board of Directors in turn hired 12 the executive officers of the Company. There is no question that D&O liability insurance, 13 which FPUC has elected to purchase, is primarily for the benefit of shareholders. Since 14 shareholders are the primary beneficiary, they should be responsible for at least some of 15 the costs associated with acquiring this coverage. Thus, as it applies to rate base and as 16 shown on Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule B-2, my recommendation is to remove one half of 17 D&O insurance expense from working capital which reduces projected 2023 test year rate 18 base by \$18,049.
- 19 <u>Accumulated Depreciation New Depreciation Rates</u>
- 20 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE ADJUSTMENT TO ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION
- 21 EXPENSE FOR NEW DEPRECIATION RATES.
- As shown on Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule B-3, average rate base for the 2023 projected test year is increased by \$928,851 for the impact of the new depreciation rates being

recommended by Witness Garrett. His recommendation for new depreciation rates results in lower 2023 depreciation expense than FPUC's proposal. Thus, a lower amount of average 2023 accumulated depreciation results from his depreciation rate recommendation. The 2023 rate base impact was calculated by calculating a 13-month average of Mr. Garrett's depreciation expense impact. The impact of Mr. Garrett's recommendation on 2023 depreciation expense is discussed further in conjunction with the related adjustment to operating expense.

#### Unamortized Rate Case Expense

## 9 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE COMPANY'S ADJUSTMENT TO RATE CASE 10 EXPENSE.

As discussed in the direct testimony of Company witness Cassel, FPUC has estimated rate case expenses totaling \$3,427,574 million, which it proposes to amortize over a five-year period. As shown on MFR Schedule C-13, using the five-year amortization period, FPUC proposes to include \$685,515 for the projected 2023 test year rate case expense amortization.

A.

A.

## Q. HAS THE COMPANY INCLUDED THE PROJECTED TEST YEAR BALANCE OF UNAMORTIZED RATE CASE EXPENSE IN ITS WORKING CAPITAL REQUEST IN THIS CASE?

Yes. As noted above, the working capital component of rate base for the projected 2023 test year includes \$3,427,574 for FPUC's projected unamortized rate case expense associated with this case. In its response to OPC Interrogatory No. 63(d), FPUC stated that it included one-half of the \$3,427,574 of estimated rate case expense in the working capital component of its projected 2023 test year rate base. However, in its response to OPC

Interrogatory No. 139(b), the Company stated that the amount of rate case expense included in the working capital component of rate base in its original filing is \$1,871,956, which reflects one-half of \$3,743,911 as shown on MFR Schedule G-1, page 5. The response to OPC Interrogatory No. 139(b) states that the corrected rate base amount should be one-half of the aforementioned \$3,427,574, or \$1,713,787, resulting in a difference of \$158,169 (1,871,956 - \$1,713,787) between the Company's original filing and its corrected amount.

A.

## Q. NOTWITHSTANDING THE COMPUTATIONAL ERROR IN FPUC'S WORKING CAPITAL CALCULATION, SHOULD FPUC BE PERMITTED TO INCLUDE IN RATE BASE THE UNAMORTIZED RATE CASE EXPENSE BALANCE?

No, it should not. The Commission has disallowed the inclusion of unamortized rate case expense in working capital within several prior decisions. This long-standing Commission policy was reaffirmed in Order No. PSC-2010-0131-FOF-EI, issued March 5, 2010, involving Progress Energy Florida. At pages 71 - 72 of that Order, the Commission stated the following with regard to unamortized rate case expense:

We have a long-standing policy in electric and gas rate cases of excluding unamortized rate expense from working capital, as demonstrated in a number of prior cases. The rationale for this position was that ratepayers and shareholders should share the cost of a rate case: i.e., the cost of the rate case would be included in the O&M expenses, but the unamortized portion would be removed from working capital. It espouses the belief that customers should not be required to pay a return on funds expended to increase their rates.

While this is the approach that has been used in electric and gas cases, water and wastewater cases have included unamortized rate case expense in working capital. The difference stems from a statutory requirement that water and wastewater rates be reduced at the end of the amortization period (Section 367.0816, F.S.). While unamortized rate case expense is not allowed to earn a return in working capital for electric and gas companies, it is offset by the fact that rates are not reduced after the amortization period ends.

1 We agree with the long-standing policy that the cost of the rate case should 2 be shared, and therefore find that the unamortized rate case expense amount 3 of \$2,787,000 shall be removed from working capital. (footnote omitted) 4 5 In a footnote on page 71 of the Order, the Commission identified the following 6 cases that confirm and validate its long-standing policy of excluding the unamortized rate 7 case expense from working capital in electric and gas cases: 8 Order No. 23573, issued October 3, 1990, in Docket No. 891345-EI, In re: 9 Application of Gulf Power Company for a rate increase; Order No. PSC-09-0283-FOF-EI, issued April 30, 2009, in Docket No. 080317-EI, In re: 10 Petition for rate increase by Tampa Electric Company; Order No. PSC-09-11 12 0375-PAA-GU, issued May 27, 2009, in Docket No. PSC-09-0375-PAA-13 GU, In re: Petition for rate increase by Florida Public Utilities Company. 14 15 In addition, in Order No. PSC-2010-0153-FOF-EI, which was issued March 17, 16 2020, pursuant to FPL's rate case in Docket No. 20080677-EI, at page 164, the 17 Commission stated in part: 18 We do not agree with the Company that the unamortized balance of rate 19 case expense should be included in rate base. Historically, the unamortized 20 balance of rate case expense has been excluded from rate base to reflect a 21 sharing of the rate case cost between the ratepayers and the shareholders. 22 Rate case expenses are recovered from ratepayers through the amortization 23 process as a cost of doing business in a regulated environment. However, 24 the unamortized balance of rate case expense has been excluded from rate 25 base to reflect that an increase in rates is a benefit to the shareholders. 26 (footnote omitted) 27 28 This policy was also affirmed in Commission Order No. PSC-2012-0179-FOF-EI, 29 issued April 3, 2012, in Docket No. 20110138-EI, involving Gulf Power Company, where 30 the Commission stated at pages 30 and 31: 31 [W]e have a long-standing practice in electric and gas rate cases of 32 excluding unamortized rate case expense from working capital, as 33 demonstrated in a number of prior cases. The rationale for this position is 34 that ratepayers and shareholders should share the cost of a rate case; i.e., the 35 cost of the rate case would be included in O&M expense, but the

unamortized portion would be removed from working capital. This practice underscores the belief that customers should not be required to pay a return on funds spent to increase their rates. For the foregoing reasons, we find that the unamortized rate case expense of \$2,450,000 shall be removed from working capital consistent with our long-standing practice. (footnote omitted) In a footnote on page 30 of the Gulf Power Order, the Commission identified the same cases referenced in the footnote of the Progress Energy Florida Order discussed above. Q.

## Q. DO YOU RECOMMEND THAT THE UNAMORTIZED RATE CASE EXPENSE BE EXCLUDED FROM RATE BASE IN THIS CASE?

A. Yes. In its direct case the Company failed to provide any justification for overturning a long-standing Commission policy and, thus, has not met its burden of proof. I recommend that the Commission follow its long-standing policy of not allowing inclusion of the unamortized rate case expense in rate base. Consistent with the Commission's findings in the Progress Energy Florida base rate case, the Gulf Power Company base rate case, and FPL's 2010 base rate case, it would be unfair for customers to pay a return on the costs incurred by the Company in this case when these are being used to increase customer rates. I have reflected a two-part adjustment, including (1) the \$158,169 error correction discussed above, and (2) removing the Company's updated remaining amount for the unamortized balance of rate case expense from working capital (i.e., \$1,713,787), thus reducing rate base by \$1,871,956, as shown on Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule B-4.

#### <u>Accumulated Depreciation – Area Expansion Program</u>

#### Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN YOUR ADJUSTMENT SHOWN ON SCHEDULE B-5.

1 A. In its response to Staff Interrogatory No. 7(b), the Company stated that its projected 2023
2 test year includes plant investment and a reserve adjustment of \$4.081 million and \$0,
3 respectively, which relate to modifications made to FPUC's Area Expansion Program
4 ("AEP"). The response to Staff Interrogatory No. 7(b) states that the reserve adjustment
5 was understated and should have included a projected adjustment in the credit amount of
6 \$85,698.

As shown on Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule B-5, I have increased FPUC's accumulated depreciation (thus decreasing rate base) by that same \$85,698 amount to reflect this correction.

#### FPUC Merger Acquisition Adjustment

A.

- 11 Q. HAS FPUC INCLUDED AN ACQUISITION ADJUSTMENT RELATED TO THE
  12 MERGER OF FPUC WITH CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES CORPORATION IN ITS
  13 RATE BASE REQUEST?
  - Yes. As discussed in the Company's Petition, in Order No. PSC-2012-0010-PAA-GU, issued dated January 3, 2012, in Docket No. 20110133-GU, the Commission allowed CUC to record a \$34,192,493 purchase price premium related to the acquisition of FPUC as a positive acquisition adjustment to be amortized over a 30-year period beginning in November 2009. As shown on MFR Schedule B-6 Consolidated (and also reflected on MFR Schedule G-1 Consolidated), FPUC included the \$34,192,493 acquisition adjustment (net of accumulated amortization) in its projected 2023 test year average rate base.

As stated in FPUC's Petition, this acquisition adjustment is discussed in the direct testimonies of Company witnesses Cassel, Napier and Deason.

I	Q.	DID COMMISSION ORDER NO. PSC-2012-0010-PAA-GU AUTHORIZE RATE
2		RECOVERY FOR THE FPUC MERGER ACQUISITION ADJUSTMENT?
3	A.	No. On page 17 of Order No. PSC-2012-0010-PAA-GU, the Commission ordered that:
4 5 6 7 8 9		the level of the cost savings supporting Chesapeake Utilities Corporation's request shall be subject to review in Florida Public Utilities Company's next rate proceeding. In FPUC's next rate proceeding, if it is determined that the cost savings no longer exist, the acquisition adjustment may be partially or totally removed as deemed appropriate by us.
10	Q.	WHAT IS FPUC REQUESTING WITH REGARD TO THE FPUC MERGER
11		ACQUISITION ADJUSTMENT?
12	A.	As stated on page 26 of the Direct Testimony of Company witness Napier, FPUC is
13		requesting that the Commission approve the following with regard to this acquisition
14		adjustment:
15		• Allow the Company to retain and recover the unamortized acquisition adjustment;
16		• Continue amortization of the acquisition adjustment over the approved period of
17		time remaining; and
18		• Remove the requirement to re-evaluate cost savings in order to keep the acquisition
19		adjustments.
20	Q.	WHAT ARE SOME OF THE FUNDAMENTAL CONCERNS THAT SHOULD BE
21		CONSIDERED BY THE COMMISSION IN ADDRESSING THE RATEMAKING
22		TREATMENT FOR THIS LARGE POSITIVE AQUISITION ADJUSTMENT?
23	A.	While CUC's acquisition of the FPUC system may have been between a willing buyer and
24		willing seller, there is more to consider on whether the purchase price was established
25		through an arm's-length negotiation. In these situations involving the sale of a regulated
26		public utility, the buyer and seller can both have a common interest in having a high price
27		for the system. The seller is seeking the highest price. The investor-owned acquirer

purchases a utility system into which it can invest and increase profits by making investments into the utility's rate base. However, unlike a non-regulated business acquisition, for a rate regulated public utility, the acquiring entity can also request to include the acquisition cost in rate base, and, if the acquisition premium is included in rate base, the buyer can earn even more profits. Because both the seller and buyer have incentives to drive up the purchase price for the utility system, this type of purchase is different than other types of arm's length transactions, which would normally involve a seller trying to get a high price and an independent buyer trying to get a low price. Here, where the acquisition of a rate regulated gas distribution utility is involved, both the seller and the buyer have incentives related to having a high price that exceeds the net book value of the utility. This incentive also involves the creation of an acquisition premium that the buyer could request be included in rate base, in addition to the post acquisition investments that the buyer makes to utility plant. Without a demonstration that continued, ongoing costs savings above the annualized acquisition amount are being realized, the potential harm to customers from unnecessarily higher rates due to evaporated merger savings is too great. The Company has failed to meet its burden of proof to demonstrate that the cost savings developed as it predicted, or that the cost savings persist now, and will do so in the future when new rates will be in effect.

19

20

21

22

23

24

Q.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

YOU NOTED ABOVE THAT THE COMMISSION'S ORDER NO. PSC-2012-010-PAA-GU STATED THAT FPUC'S LEVEL OF COST SAVINGS RELATED TO THE FPUC MERGER ACQUISITION ADJUSTMENT IS SUBJECT TO REVIEW IN FPUC'S NEXT RATE PROCEEDING. IS FPUC ASSERTING THAT COST SAVINGS RELATED TO THE ACQUISITION STILL EXISTS?

1	A.	Yes. On page 27 of her Direct Testimony, Company witness Napier states that the
2		Companies have maintained a level of cost savings that is consistent with what was
3		approved in the Commission's Order.
4		
5	Q.	IS THE COMPANY RELYING ON ANY OTHER CRITERIA TO SUPPORT ITS
6		REQUEST TO INCLUDE THE FPUC MERGER ACQUISITION ADJUSTMENT
7		IN ITS PROJECTED 2023 TEST YEAR RATE BASE?
8	A.	Yes. As discussed in the direct testimonies of Company witnesses Cassel and Deason, the
9		Company is relying on five factors that the Commission discussed in Order No. PSC-2012-
10		0010-PAA-GU when it approved the FPUC merger acquisition adjustment. These five
11		factors are as follows: (1) increased quality of service; (2) lower operating costs; (3)
12		increased ability to attract capital for improvements; (4) lower overall cost of capital; and
13		(5) more professional and experienced managerial, financial, technical and operational
14		resources. <sup>1</sup>
15		
16	Q.	DO YOU AGREE WITH FPUC'S REQUEST TO INCLUDE THE
17		UNAMORTIZED ACQUISITION ADJUSTMENT RELATED TO THE FPUC
18		MERGER IN ITS PROJECTED 2023 TEST YEAR RATE BASE?
19	A.	No, I do not agree with FPUC's request to include the unamortized acquisition adjustment
20		related to the FPUC merger in its projected 2023 test year rate base. FPUC has failed to
21		carry its burden of demonstrating that the recognition of the adjustment should be

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See Order No. 23376, issued August 21, 1990, in Docket No. 891309-WS, <u>In re: Investigation of Acquisition Adjustment Policy</u>; Order No. 23858, issued December 11, 1990, in Docket No. 891353-GU, <u>In re: Application of Peoples Gas Systems</u>, <u>Inc. for a rate increase</u>; and Order No. PSC-04-1110-PAA-GU, issued November 8, 2004, in Docket 040216-GU, <u>In re: Application for rate increase</u> by Florida Public Utilities Company.

continued.	I recommend	that 1	the	Company's	proposal	to	charge	ratepayers	for	that
acquisition a	adjustment shou	ıld be	reje	ected.						

#### 4 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN WHY THE COMPANY'S REQUEST FOR RATE INCLUSION

#### OF THE FPUC MERGER ACQUISITION ADJUSTMENT SHOULD BE

**REJECTED.** 

A. The application of the five factors noted above to the CUC acquisition of FPUC's gas distribution utility demonstrates that the five standards that the Commission has identified for review of utility-proposed acquisition adjustments have not been fully satisfied. The utility bears the burden of proof in satisfying all five criteria. Merely suggesting that these criteria are met is not sufficient proof. The Company has failed to demonstrate that the acquisition by CUC of the FPUC gas distribution utility fully meets all five criteria. Consequently, the unamortized portion of the acquisition adjustment should be excluded from rate base.

- 16 Q. HAS THE COMPANY DEMONSTRATED THAT THE SYSTEM
  17 IMPROVEMENTS TO INCREASE THE QUALITY OF SERVICE ARE
- **ATTRIBUTABLE TO THE ACQUISITION BY CUC?**
- 19 A. No. As would be expected, normal improvements have been made, subsequent to the
  20 merger, that involve investments in utility plant that are included in rate base and allowed
  21 to earn a return for the Company. There is nothing special or extraordinary about this.
  22 FPUC has not carried its burden to demonstrate that these investments have been made as
  23 a consequence of the merger, as opposed to other business reasons. For example, due to
  24 the required GRIP program implemented to meet federal safety requirements, significant
  25 infrastructure has been replaced and recorded as plant in service.

# Q. HAS THE COMPANY DEMONSTRATED THAT THE PORTION OF ITS REQUESTED RATE INCREASE THAT IS RELATED TO THE RECOVERY OF THE ACQUISITION PREMIUM WILL NOT HARM CUSTOMERS? A. No. Given the overall post-merger costs and rate impacts that the Company is requesting

No. Given the overall post-merger costs and rate impacts that the Company is requesting to recover from customers, the Company has not carried its burden to demonstrate that its requested rate increase will not cost customers more, particularly if the acquisition adjustment is allowed to be included in utility rate base in this rate case. The large rate increases being sought in the current rate case are indicators that customers would be adversely impacted if the acquisition adjustment is allowed to be included in rate base.

0.

# WAS THE COMPANY REQUIRED TO DEMONSTRATE LOWER OPERATIONAL COST SAVINGS IN ITS ORIGINAL REQUEST TO OBTAIN APPROVAL OF THE ACCOUNTING TREATEMENT AND AMORTIZATION OF THE POSITIVE AQUISTION ADJUSTMENT?

A. Yes, in receiving approval in 2012 for CUC's acquisition of FPUC, the Company had to prove the operational cost savings. In Order No. PSC-2012-0010-PAA-GU, issued January 3, 2012, in Docket No. 20110133-GU at page 6, the Company asserted that there would be lower operational costs for the following:

**Total Net Operating Cost Savings** 

Cost Savings – Capacity	\$941,266
Cost Savings – Cost of Capital	330,124
Cost Savings – Personnel Related	5,425,590
Cost Savings – Corporate	1,116,870
Cost Increases – Personnel Related	(982,707)
Cost Increases – Corporate & Benefits	(575,956)
TOTAL Net Operating Cost Savings	\$6,255,187

#### Q. HAS THE COMPANY PROVIDED SIMILAR PROOF OF ONGOING LOWER

#### 2 OPERATIONAL COST SAVINGS CONTINUING IN THIS CASE THROUGH

#### THE PROJECTED 2023 TEST YEAR?

A. No. It is not clear whether any substantial operational economies have been achieved through the acquisition that can be attributed to CUC's ownership of the FPUC gas distribution utility. The Company has added employees since the acquisition and has updated some systems but has not convincingly met its burden to demonstrate that significant operational economies have been achieved and maintained through the acquisition and under CUC's ownership. Moreover, there does not appear to be an overall financial and service benefit to customers from the utility's operations under CUC's ownership. Although the acquisition resulted in more customers to share utility expenses, it appears that the cost to provide service has increased significantly, as evidenced by the large rate increase being sought in the current rate case. Ratepayers should not be required to pay higher rates attributable to an acquisition premium paid to acquire other systems.

## Q. WHAT IS YOUR RECOMMENDATION REGARDING THE RATE BASE TREATMENT FOR THE POSITIVE FPUC MERGER ACQUISITION

#### ADJUSTMENT IN THIS CASE?

A. CUC's acquisition of the FPUC gas distribution utility system does not continue to meet all five standards set forth above; therefore, the Company should not be allowed to recover its requested premium for the acquisition. Specifically, the Company failed to prove that lower costs savings, improved quality of service, and financial benefits exists solely from the acquisition. Without the inclusion of the FPUC merger acquisition adjustment in rate base, the base rate revenue increase requested by the Company would be reduced by approximately \$1.307 million (at the OPC's proposed cost of capital). Additionally, if the

related acquisition adjustment amortization is rejected for inclusion in 2023 projected test year operating expenses, the Company's requested revenue requirement should be reduced by approximately \$1.148 million.

## 5 Q. PLEASE SUMMARIZE YOUR RECOMMENDATION FOR THE FPUC 6 MERGER ACQUISITION ADJUSTMENT.

7 A. The acquisition adjustment should not be allowed to be included in rate base, and the related amortization expense should not be allowed to be included in 2023 test year operating expenses.

#### Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN YOUR ADJUSTMENT.

A. As shown on Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule B-6, I have removed the FPUC merger acquisition adjustment of \$34,192,493 from FPUC's projected 2023 test year average rate base. In addition, I have removed the related accumulated amortization impact on the projected 2023 average test year rate base. As shown on Schedule B-6, I started with the accumulated amortization balance as of December 31, 2021 (from MFR Schedule B-6 Consolidated, page 3 of 3) and then carried out the monthly amortization to December 31, 2023. I then calculated the 13-month average using the period December 31, 2022 through December 31, 2023 to derive the average 2023 test year accumulated amortization amount of \$15,576,577. I netted that \$15,576,577 against the \$34,192,493 to derive a net adjustment to decrease the Company's requested average rate base by \$18,615,916.

#### Q. IS THERE A RELATED ADJUSTMENT FOR AMORTIZATION EXPENSE?

A. Yes. As discussed in a later section of my testimony, there is a related amortization expense adjustment, which is shown on Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule C-13.

#### 1 <u>Indiantown Acquisition Adjustment</u>

#### 2 Q. HAS THE COMPANY INCLUDED ANOTHER ACQUISITION ADJUSTMENT IN

#### 3 ITS APPLICATION?

- 4 A. Yes. As discussed on page 12 of the Company's Application, in Order No. PSC-2014-
- 5 0015-PAA-GU, issued dated January 6, 2014, in Docket No. 20120311-GU, the
- 6 Commission allowed FPUC to record a \$745,800 purchase price premium related to the
- 7 acquisition of Indiantown Gas Company ("Indiantown") as a positive acquisition
- 8 adjustment to be amortized over a 15-year period beginning on August 1, 2010. However,
- 9 I am only addressing the FPUC merger acquisition adjustment.

10

11

12

20

#### Q. IS THERE A REASON THAT YOU ARE ONLY ADDRESSING THE FPUC

#### MERGER ACQUISITION ADJUSTMENT?

13 A. Yes. While some of the concerns regarding the FPUC merger acquisition adjustment are
14 also applicable to the Indiantown acquisition premium, the Indiantown acquisition
15 adjustment is substantially smaller for a company the size of FPUC and thus, has a much
16 smaller impact on the Company's requested rate increase. In addition, the Company has
17 been amortizing the Indiantown acquisition adjustment since August 1, 2010, in
18 accordance with Order No. PSC-2014-0015-PAA-GU. It is expected to be fully amortized
19 by July 31, 2025. The projected 2023 test year amounts are shown in the table below:

Description	Amount
Acquisition Adjustment Related to Indiantown Gas Company	\$ 745,800
13-Month Average Accumulated Amortization Related to Indiantown Gas Company Acquisition Adjustment	\$ (642,217)
Net Amount of Indiantown Gas Company Acquisition Adjustment in Projected Test Year Average Rate Base	\$ 103,583
Annual Amortization Expense Related to the Indiantown Gas Company Acquisition Adjustment	\$ 49,720

- In addition, as shown in the above table, the annual amortization expense is only \$49,720,
- 22 and the 2023 rate base amount is \$103,583.

#### 1 **B. NET OPERATING INCOME ADJUSTMENTS**

- 2 Q. ON WHAT SCHEDULES DO YOU PRESENT NET OPERATING INCOME
- 3 **ADJUSTMENTS?**
- 4 A. On Exhibit RCS-2, for the 2023 projected test year, adjustments to operating expenses that
- 5 affect net operating income are presented on Schedules C-1 through C-12.
- 6 <u>Depreciation Expense New Depreciation Rates</u>
- 7 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE ADJUSTMENT TO DEPRECIATION EXPENSE FOR
- 8 **NEW DEPRECIATION RATES.**
- 9 A. The amounts on Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule C-1, page 4 in column A, were supplied to me
- by Witness Garrett, who is recommending new depreciation rates that differ from those
- proposed by FPUC. FPUC's proposed depreciation rates applied to FPUC's projected 2023
- test year Plant produces annual depreciation expense accruals of approximately \$14.56
- million, as summarized in column A, line 1 of Schedule C-1, page 1. In comparison, Mr.
- Garrett's recommended new depreciation rates produce annual depreciation accruals of
- approximately \$12.36 million, as summarized on Schedule C-1, page 1 in column B, line
- 1. As shown on Schedule C-1, page 1, column C, Mr. Garrett's recommended new
- depreciation rates for FPUC results in annual depreciation expense for 2023 that is \$2.205
- million less than the annual depreciation accruals computed using FPUC's depreciation
- rates. As shown on Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule C-1, page 1, column C and page 5, column
- 20 M, depreciation expense is reduced by \$2.205 million to reflect the depreciation rates being
- 21 recommended by OPC witness Garrett.

- 23 Q. IS THERE A CORRESPONDING RATE BASE ADJUSTMENT RELATED TO
- 24 THE ADJUSTMENT FOR THE NEW DEPRECIATION RATES FOR FPUC?

1	A.	Yes. As shown on Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule B-3 and discussed above in conjunction with
2		rate base adjustments, there is a related adjustment which decreases accumulated
3		depreciation (and increases rate base). The impacts on 2023 average rate base were derived
4		by calculating a 13-month average of the depreciation expense adjustment that is shown
5		on Schedule C-1, page 5.

#### Amortization Expense Related to FPUC Merger Acquisition Adjustment

#### Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN YOUR ADJUSTMENT ON SCHEDULE C-2.

A. As discussed previously in my testimony, I am recommending that the FPUC merger related acquisition adjustment (and related accumulated amortization) be removed from projected 2023 test year rate base. Pursuant to that adjustment, I am also removing the related amortization expense from projected 2023 test year cost of service.

As shown on Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule C-2, my recommended adjustment reduces amortization expense by \$1,139,750.

#### **Incentive Compensation Expense**

## 15 Q. DOES THE COMPANY HAVE AN INCENTIVE COMPENSATION PLAN 16 AVAILABLE TO ITS EMPLOYEES?

Yes. The Company has an incentive performance plan ("IPP") available to its employees. FPUC provided a copy of (1) Florida Public Utilities 2019 Incentive Performance Plan – Florida, and (2) CUC and all Business Units/Verticals Incentive Performance Plan (i.e., 2021 Incentive Performance Plan) in its response to OPC Interrogatory No. 38. In this response, the Company stated that the 2021 IPP (i.e., enterprise wide plan) was used for 2021. I used the 2021 IPP plan as the basis for my analysis, as it is the most recent plan.

A.

#### Q. WHAT ARE THE IPP PLAN'S STATED PRIMARY OBJECTIVES?

2 A. The stated primary objectives of FPUC's IPP plan are to:

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Reward each employee's individual contribution to the overall performance results
   consistent with their eligibility.
  - Create alignment and link performance metrics related to CUC's and Business
     Units ("BU")/Vertical strategy, operational objectives and financial targets to individual compensation.
  - Create a line of sight for each employee to clearly understand how their performance contributes to the overall success of the Company.
  - Recognize and reward performance achievement of departmental/team goals and metrics.

#### 13 Q. PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE THE IPP PLAN.

- A. As discussed in the 2021 IPP, CUC and all subsidiary business units provide an annual incentive performance plan for non-officer, eligible employees. Under the IPP, eligible employees have the opportunity to earn an annual cash bonus/incentive. In addition, the IPP has the following four performance categories:
  - 1) The Individual's Performance Rating (PR) annual score.
  - 2) Chesapeake Corporate Earnings Per Share (EPS) overall annual results.
  - 3) Consolidated Return on Equity (ROE).
  - 4) Identified Non-Financial goals, including (1) Safety for 2021, and (2) add other non-financial goals each year such as Equity, Diversity and Inclusion; Net Promoter; Engagement; etc.

## Q. HAS FPUC INCLUDED INCENTIVE COMPENSATION EXPENSE IN ITS PROJECTED 2023 TEST YEAR COST OF SERVICE?

A. Yes. The response to OPC Interrogatory No. 42 indicates that the Company has included incentive compensation expense totaling \$2,180,201 in the projected 2023 test year. Of this amount, \$1,242,623 is for non-executives and \$937,578 is for executives of the Company.

A.

## Q. ARE YOU RECOMMENDING AN ADJUSTMENT TO THE LEVEL OF INCENTIVE COMPENSATION THAT IS INCLUDED IN FPUC'S PROJECTED 2023 TEST YEAR COST OF SERVICE?

Yes. I recommend that 50% of the incentive compensation included in the projected 2023 test year be charged to shareholders. Specifically, my recommended 50% disallowance includes (1) 25% related to CUC's EPS performance category, and (2) 25% related to the Consolidated ROE category. The percentages for these two categories were informed by the IPP Payout Opportunity that is shown on page 3 of the IPP Plan document and replicated in the table below:

Target					
Bonus	Individual	Consolidated	Non-Financials		
Opportunity %	Performance	EPS	ROE	(i.e., Safety)	
20%	25%	30%	25%	20%	
15%	30%	25%	25%	20%	
12%	30%	20%	30%	20%	
10%	40%	10%	30%	20%	
7.50% - 8.50%	50%	10%	20%	20%	
6%	50%	10%	20%	20%	
Source: 2021 Incentive Performance Plan					

As shown in bold in the above table, the 25% Corporate EPS and 25% Consolidated ROE performance categories were included under the 15% Target Bonus Opportunity.

#### 1 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE REASON FOR REMOVING 50% OF INCENTIVE

#### 2 **COMPENSATION EXPENSE?**

3 A. In general, incentive compensation programs can provide benefits to both shareholders and 4 ratepayers. The removal of 50% of the incentive compensation expense, in essence, 5 provides an equal sharing of such cost, and therefore provides an appropriate balance 6 between the benefits attained by both shareholders and ratepayers. Both shareholders and 7 ratepayers stand to benefit from the achievement of performance goals. Shareholders are 8 the primary beneficiary of achieving goals related to corporate earnings per share and 9 consolidated return on equity. Moreover, there is no assurance that the award levels 10 included in the Company's proposed or OPC's recommended incentive compensation 11 expense (before sharing) will be continued in future years.

12

- 13 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN YOUR RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENT FOR FPUC'S
- 14 INCENTIVE COMPENSATION EXPENSE.
- 15 A. As shown on Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule C-3, this adjustment decreases projected 2023 test 16 year expense by \$1,090,101 to reflect the removal of 50% of the incentive compensation.

- 18 Q. IS THERE A RELATED ADJUSTMENT TO PAYROLL TAX EXPENSE?
- 19 A. Yes. As discussed below, my recommended adjustment to incentive compensation
- 20 expense results in a related adjustment to payroll tax expense as shown on Exhibit RCS-2,
- Schedule C-5.

2	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN YOUR ADJUSTMENT TO STOCK-BASE
3		COMPENSATION EXPENSE.
4	A.	As shown on Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule C-4, I have removed all stock-based compensation
5		expense. Specifically, this adjustment decreases FPUC's projected 2023 test year cost of
6		service by \$1.376 million.
7		
8	Q.	WHAT TYPE OF STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION COSTS DID FPU
9		INCLUDE IN ITS PROJECTED 2023 TEST YEAR COST OF SERVICE?
10	A.	In its response to OPC Interrogatory No. 43(b), FPUC stated that during the projected 202
11		test year, the Company will incur common stock share-based compensation expense relate
12		to restricted stock units ("RSU") that were approved under the CUC 2013 Stock an
13		Incentive Compensation Plan. Specifically, the response to OPC Interrogatory No. 43(b)
14		states that the RSU's are issued to officers and executives of FPUC's parent company CUC
15		In addition, CUC issues stock-based compensation to members of its Board of Directors
16		
17	Q.	DID THE COMPANY PROVIDE A COPY OF THE CUC 2013 STOCK AND
18		INCENTIVE COMPENSATION PLAN DOCUMENTS?
19	A.	Yes. In its response to OPC POD No. 59, the Company provided a copy of the CUC 201
20		Stock and Incentive Compensation Plan.
21		
22	Q.	WHAT IS THE STATED PURPOSE OF THE CUC 2013 STOCK AND INCENTIV
23		COMPENSATION PLAN?
24	A.	On page 55 of the CUC 2013 Stock and Incentive Compensation Plan, it states:

Stock-Based Compensation Expense

1 The purpose of this Plan is to enhance shareholder value by linking the 2 compensation of officers, directors and employees of the Company to 3 increases in the price of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation common stock and the achievement of other performance objectives, and to 4 5 encourage ownership in the Company by key personnel whose long-term 6 employment is considered essential to the Company's continued progress 7 and success. The Plan is also intended to assist the Company in the 8 recruitment of new employees and to motivate, retain and encourage such 9 employees and directors to act in the shareholders' interest and share in 10 the Company's success. 11 (Emphasis supplied) 12 13 Q. PLEASE DISCUSS THE REASONS FOR REMOVING STOCK-BASED 14 COMPENSATION FROM FPUC'S PROJECTED 2023 TEST YEAR COST OF 15 SERVICE. 16 A. Ratepayers should not be required to pay executive or management compensation that is 17 based on the performance of the Company's (or its parent company's) stock price, or that 18 has the primary purpose of benefitting the parent company's shareholders and aligning 19 the interests of participants with those of such shareholders. 20 Charging a shareholder-oriented expense to FPUC's revenue requirement would 21 not be good regulatory policy. 22 FPUC has failed to provide any studies that demonstrate a quantitative benefit to 23 FPUC's ratepayers from the provision of stock-based compensation directly charged to 24 the Company and/or allocated to FPUC from CUC. 25 Additionally, prior to being required to expense stock options for financial 26 reporting purposes under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 Revised

27

28

(SFAS 123R)<sup>2</sup>, the cost of stock-option based compensation was typically treated as a

dilution of shareholders' investments, i.e., it was a cost borne by shareholders. While

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This is now known as Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 718.

1		SFAS 123R now requires stock-option based compensation cost to be expensed on a
2		company's financial statements, this does not alter the rationale for not charging ratepayers,
3		nor does it provide justification for shifting the cost responsibility for stock-based
4		compensation from shareholders to utility ratepayers.
5		
6	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN YOUR RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENT FOR STOCK-
7		BASED COMPENSATION EXPENSE.
8	A.	As shown on Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule C-4, FPUC's projected 2023 test year cost of
9		service is reduced by \$1.376 million to remove stock-based compensation. This amount
10		includes \$169,107 that FPUC stated is to be provided to the Board of Directors of CUC.
11		
12	Q.	IS THERE A RELATED ADJUSTMENT TO PAYROLL TAX EXPENSE?
13	A.	Yes. As discussed below, my recommended adjustment to stock-based compensation
14		expense results in a related adjustment to payroll tax expense as shown on Exhibit RCS-2,
15		Schedule C-5.
16		Payroll Tax Expense
17	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN THE ADJUSTMENT TO PAYROLL TAX EXPENSE.
18	<b>Α</b> .	OPC's adjustment to the Company's payroll tax expense is made in conjunction with the
	A.	
19		adjustments that are being made to reduce incentive compensation and to remove stock-
20		based compensation. Based upon those recommended adjustments, as shown on Exhibit

RCS-2, Schedule C-5, payroll tax expense is reduced by \$188,619.

	1	Supplemental	Executive	Retirement	Program	("SERP")	Expense
--	---	--------------	-----------	------------	---------	----------	---------

- 2 Q. DOES FPUC'S PETITION CHARGE RATEPAYERS TOP EXECUTIVE
- 3 COMPENSATION IN THE FORM OF EXPENSES FOR A SUPPLEMENTAL
- 4 EXECUTIVE RETIREMENT PLAN EXPENSE ("SERP")?
- 5 A. Yes. FPUC's response to OPC Interrogatory No. 46 shows that FPUC has reflected SERP
- 6 expense in the projected 2023 test year in the amount of \$1,762 in FERC account 920.

7

#### 8 Q. CAN YOU PLEASE PROVIDE A GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF A SERP?

9 The SERP provides supplemental retirement benefits for select highly compensated A. 10 executives. Generally, SERPs are implemented for executives to provide retirement 11 benefits that exceed amounts limited in qualified plans by Internal Revenue Service 12 ("IRS") limitations. Companies usually maintain that providing such supplemental 13 retirement benefits to executives is necessary in order to ensure attraction and retention of 14 qualified employees. Typically, SERPs provide for retirement benefits in excess of the 15 limits placed by IRS regulations on pension plan calculations for salaries in excess of specified amounts. IRS restrictions can also limit the Company 401(k) contributions such 16 17 that the Company 401(k) contribution as a percent of salary may be smaller for a highly 18 paid executive than for other employees.

19

20

#### Q. SHOULD THE SERP EXPENSE FOR FPUC EXECUTIVES BE BORNE BY

- 21 **FPUC'S RATEPAYERS?**
- A. No. The provision of additional compensation to FPUC's highest paid current and former
- 23 executives to remedy a perceived deficiency in retirement benefits relative to the
- Company's other employees is not a reasonable expense that should be recovered in rates.

Without the SERP, the Company's and its affiliate's executive officers still enjoy the same retirement benefits available to any other FPUC employee and the attempt to make these executives "whole" in the sense of allowing a greater percentage of retirement benefits does not meet the test of reasonableness. If the Company wishes to provide additional retirement benefits above the level permitted by IRS regulations applicable to all other employees, it may do so at the expense of its shareholders. However, it is not reasonable to place this additional burden on ratepayers. The issue is not whether the utility may provide compensation to select executives in excess of the retirement limits allowed by the IRS, but whether ratepayers should be burdened with costs of executive benefits that exceed the treatment allowed for all other employees. If the Company chooses to do so, shareholders rather than ratepayers should be responsible for the retirement benefits afforded only to those executives.

## Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN YOUR RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENT TO REMOVE THE SERP EXPENSE.

- 16 A. As shown on Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule C-6, the projected 2023 test year SERP expense
- amount identified by FPUC in its response to OPC Interrogatory No. 46 is being removed.
- This adjustment reduces FPUC's requested projected 2023 test year expense by \$1,762.
- 19 <u>Directors and Officers Liability Insurance Expense</u>
- 20 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE ADJUSTMENT FOR DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS
  21 LIABILITY EXPENSE.
- 22 A. This adjustment reduces Directors and Officers Liability ("D&O") liability insurance
- expense by the amount shown on Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule C-7, to reflect an allocation to
- shareholders for half of the cost of the prepaid D&O liability insurance. As noted earlier,

D&O liability insurance protects shareholders from the decisions they made when they hired the Company's Board of Directors and the Board of Directors in turn hired the executive officers of the Company. There is no question that D&O liability insurance, which FPUC has elected to purchase, is primarily for the benefit of shareholders. Since shareholders are the primary beneficiary, they should be responsible for at least some of the costs associated with acquiring this coverage. The Company will inevitably argue that the cost is a necessary expense which protects ratepayers. Nevertheless, the cost of the premiums associated with acquiring D&O liability insurance, while considered to be a necessary business expense by many, is in reality a necessary business expense designed to protect shareholders from decisions made by the executive officers and board members who are elected by shareholders to represent shareholders. Notwithstanding that shareholders are the primary beneficiaries, I am recommending that this business expense be shared equally between shareholders and ratepayers.

#### Q. HAS THIS ISSUE IN PREVIOUS RATE CASES IN FLORIDA?

A. Yes. This issue was addressed in the 2011 Gulf Power Company rate case<sup>3</sup> In that case, the Commission determined that the cost for D&O liability insurance should be shared equally between shareholders and ratepayers. In the 2009 Progress Energy Florida ("PEF") case<sup>4</sup>, the Commission allowed PEF to place one half the cost of D&O liability insurance in test year expenses noting that other jurisdictions make an adjustment for D&O liability insurance and that the Commission has disallowed D&O liability insurance in wastewater cases.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See, Order No. PSC-2012-0179-FOF-EI, issued April 3, 2012, Docket No. 20110138-EI, <u>In re: Petition for increase</u> by Gulf Power Company, at p. 101.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See, Order No. PSC-2010-0131-FOF-EI, issued March 5, 2010, in Docket No. 20090079-EI, <u>In re: Petition for increase in rates by Progress Energy Florida, Inc.</u> at p. 99.

### 1 Q. WHAT IF THE COMMISSION HAD NOT DISALLOWED HALF THE COST IN

### THE GULF AND PEF DOCKETS, WHAT WOULD YOU THEN RECOMMEND

### 3 IN THIS CASE?

4 A. I would still be recommending to the Commission that there be either a complete 5 disallowance or at the very least an equal sharing, because the cost associated with D&O 6 liability insurance benefits shareholders first and foremost. Unlike an unregulated entity, 7 criteria exist for recovery of costs, such as prudence and benefit. The benefit of D&O 8 liability insurance is the protection shareholders receive from directors' and officers' 9 imprudent decision making, which would be the subject of lawsuits brought by 10 shareholders against the officers and directors. The benefit of this insurance clearly inures 11 primarily to shareholders; some of whom generally are the parties initiating any suit against 12 the directors and officers. The Commission's decisions on this question in the Gulf Power

14

15

13

### Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN YOUR RECOMMENDED ADJUSTMENT.

A. As shown on Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule C-7, my adjustment to remove half of the cost of the D&O insurance reduces projected 2023 test year cost of service by \$85,528.

and PEF rate case dockets were fair, and those decisions should be followed in this Docket.

18

- 19 Q. IS THERE ALSO AN ADJUSTMENT TO RATE BASE TO REFLECT THE
  20 REMOVAL OF HALF OF D&O INSURANCE FROM WORKING CAPITAL.
- A. As shown on Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule B-2, there is a related adjustment which removes one half of the D&O insurance that FPUC included in its projected 2023 test year working capital.

### 1 Rent Expense

### 2 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN YOUR ADJUSTMENT TO RENT EXPENSE.

A. OPC Interrogatory No. 113, which that FPUC identify each building, facility, or property it is renting along with the related annual rental cost of each item identified in the projected 2023 test year<sup>5</sup>. In an attachment provided with its response to that interrogatory, the Company included its Silver Lake facility, which reflected annual rent expense of \$78,249 for the projected 2023 test year. However, Note 3 to that attachment stated: "This space is no longer leased. This was not known when the MFR schedules were prepared."

Since the Silver Lake facility is no longer being leased, as shown on Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule C-8, I have removed the rental expense of \$78,249 from projected 2023 test year cost of service.

### 12 <u>Lobbying Costs</u>

### Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN YOUR ADJUSTMENT ON SCHEDULE C-9.

A. In its response to OPC Interrogatory No. 54, the Company stated that its normal practice is to record all lobbying expenses to FERC account 426.4 (i.e., below the line), but that lobbying costs were inadvertently included in its cost of service for the following industry associations: (1) the American Gas Foundation, (2) Associated Gas Distributors of Florida, and (3) the American Gas Association. Lobbying cost totaling \$35,366 was mistakenly included in the projected 2023 test year. In addition, in its response to OPC Interrogatory No. 138, the Company identified two invoices in the amounts of \$109 and \$6,406 that are related to lobbying expense that FPUC stated should be removed from the projected 2023 test year.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> OPC Interrogatory No. 113 also requested this information for calendar years 2019 through 2021, 2022 actuals through April and projected calendar year 2022.

1 As shown on Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule C-9, I have removed the lobbying costs that 2 were inadvertently included in the projected 2023 test year, which reduces cost of service 3 by \$41,881.

### **Interest Synchronization**

4

8

9

10

11

13

16

17

19

#### 5 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR 2023 TEST YEAR INTEREST 6 SYNCHRONIZATION ADJUSTMENT ON EXHIBIT RCS-2, SCHEDULE C-10?

7 A. The interest synchronization adjustment allows the adjusted rate base and cost of debt to coincide with the income tax calculation. Since interest expense is deductible for income tax purposes, any revisions to the rate base or to the weighted cost of debt will impact the test year income tax expense related to the amount of the regulated utility's jurisdictional debt supporting the jurisdictional rate base. OPC's proposed rate base and weighted cost 12 of debt differ from the Company's proposed amounts. Thus, OPC's recommended interest deduction for determining the 2023 test year income tax expense, related to this 14 jurisdictional debt will differ from the interest deduction used by FPUC in its filing. 15 Consequently, OPC's recommended debt ratio increase in this case will lead to a greater interest deduction in the income tax calculation, which, when applied to FPUC's adjusted rate base, results in a reduction to income tax expense in the amount of \$134,104 as shown 18 on Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule C-10.

### Parent Company Debt Adjustment

- 20 Q. HAS THE COMPANY REDUCED INCOME TAX EXPENSE FOR THE IMPACT 21 OF PARENT COMPANY DEBT?
- 22 A. No. Schedule G-2, page 29 of 31 has a line item (line 5) for a "Parent Debt Adjustment" 23 but it shows a zero impact on income tax expense.

## 1 Q. IS AN ADJUSTMENT FOR THE EFFECT OF PARENT COMPANY DEBT ON 2 INCOME TAXES REQUIRED BY FLORIDA RULES?

A. Yes. Specifically, Rule 25-14.004, F.A.C, "Effect of Parent Debt on Federal Corporate Income Tax" requires such an adjustment, absent the Company carrying its burden of rebutting that the jurisdictional equity is supported by debt on the parent's books. The intent of Rule 25-14.004, F.A.C., is to require an adjustment to the income tax expense of a regulated company to reflect the income tax benefit of the parent debt that may have been invested as equity of the subsidiary.

A.

# Q. HAVE YOU CALCULATED THE IMPACT ON FEDERAL INCOME TAX EXPENSE FOR THE EFFECT OF PARENT DEBT ON FEDERAL CORPORATE INCOME TAX THAT IS REQUIRED BY RULE 25-14.004, F.A.C?

Yes. On Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule C-11, page 1, I have calculated an adjustment to reduce federal income tax expense by \$679,973. To compute this adjustment, I multiplied the adjusted rate base for FPUC Consolidated Gas utility operations by the equity ratio to identify the amount of rate base that is financed with common equity. I then multiplied that by the CUC debt ratio of 41.5% which was provided to me by OPC witness David Garrett based on recent Value Line information. I then multiplied that result by CUC's cost of debt of 4.52% which was also provided to me by OPC witness David Garrett based on Value Line information. I then multiplied that amount of interest deduction by the federal income tax rate of 21% to determine the Effect of Parent Debt on Federal Corporate Income Tax that is required by Rule 25-14.004, F.A.C. As shown on Schedule C-11, page

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Subsection (3) of the rule provides: "It shall be a rebuttable presumption that a parent's investment in any subsidiary or in its own operations shall be considered to have been made in the same ratios as exist in the parent's overall capital structure."

1, this adjustment reduces federal income tax expense by \$679,973. It should be noted that this adjustment should be made with information that is provided by the Company, including any additional "tiered" impacts based on ownership configuration within the entire affiliated corporate structure.<sup>7</sup>

5

7

8

9

10

11

1

2

3

4

### IS THE PARENT DEBT ADJUSTMENT SUBSUMED WITHIN THE INTEREST 6 Q. SYNCHONIZATION ADJUSTMENT?

- No. Interest synchronization merely matches the interest deductible for calculating income A. tax expense to the debt portion of the regulated entity's capital structure that supports the reconciled rate base. It has nothing to do with the imputation of interest expense associated with the debt supporting the parent's investment in the equity of the regulated Company.
- 12 **Company Sponsored Events**
- 13 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN YOUR ADJUSTMENT ON EXHIBIT RCS-2, SCHEDULE C-**12.** 14
- In its response to OPC Interrogatory No. 101, the Company identified costs totaling 15 A. 16 \$38,835 included in projected 2023 test year cost of service, which the Company described 17 as Company events. Specifically, as stated in the referenced response, these costs relate to 18 Company sponsored events and luncheons for team building and networking. I am 19 removing these costs as they are not necessary for the provision of safe and reliable gas 20 service to FPUC's ratepayers. As shown on Exhibit RCS-2, Schedule C-12, my adjustment 21 reduces projected 2023 test year cost of service by \$38,835.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Subsection (2) of the rule provides that: "Where the regulated utility is a subsidiary of tiered parents, the adjusted income tax effect of the debt of all parents invested in the equity of the subsidiary utility shall reduce the income tax expense of the utility."

1		VI. OTHER CONCERNS
2	Q.	DO YOU HAVE ANY CONCERNS ABOUT WHETHER PROJECTED AND
3		FORECAST RATEBASE AND NET OPERATING INCOME ARE
4		REPRESENTATIVE OF THE OPERATIONS OF THE COMPANY DURING THE
5		TIME WHEN PROPOSED RATES ARE EXPECTED TO BE IN EFFECT?
6	A.	Yes. There are a number of concerns that I have along this line after considering the filing
7		and discovery and other information I have reviewed.
8		
9	Q.	PLEASE ELABORATE.
10	A.	The Company was asked in OPC Interrogatory Nos. 143-148 about whether there are
11		current and/or planned internal discussions for the Company to merge or be acquired. The
12		Company objected to answering those requests, but stated in response to OPC Interrogatory
13		No. 143 that:
14 15 16 17		Notwithstanding and without waiving this objection, the Company states that, Chesapeake, frequently assesses "potential" transactions that would benefit all stakeholders. The Company is not aware of any such discussions that would impact this proceeding.
19		Were there to be no such discussions ongoing, a simple "no" would have been sufficient
20		and the OPC and Commission could rely on it. The qualifier "that would impact this
21		proceeding" does not put the matter to rest. In fact, it suggests that FPUC believes that it
22		gets to decide whether any possible discussions impact the determination of a projected
23		test year revenue requirement. The Commission is entitled to be the judge of that and the
24		OPC is entitled to inquire about it to determine if the test year is representative of future
25		operations.
26		I understand the OPC intends to pursue a motion to compel a substantive response
27		to this. In the likely event that this discovery dispute is not resolved before the deadline for

filing testimony, I reserved the right to file supplemental testimony if material information
bearing on a potential acquisition of the Company is revealed.

I have also observed that the Company has increased its employee complement to a projected 2023 test year amount of 240.02 from a 2021 year-end amount of 221.83.8 This type of cost is especially susceptible to modification in merger synergies. I have a serious concern about whether the payroll related costs are reflective of going forward operations if there is a sale or merger of the Company under discussion or likely to occur in the time in which rates are to be in effect.

### Q. DOES THIS COMPLETE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?

11 A. Yes, it does.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See the response to OPC Interrogatory No. 30.

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE DOCKET NO. 20220067-GU

**I HEREBY CERTIFY** that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by electronic mail on this 24<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2022, to the following:

Florida Public Utilities Company Mr. Mike Cassel 208 Wildlight Ave. Yulee FL 32097 mcassel@fpuc.com

Jennifer Crawford Ryan Sandy 2540 Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399 jcrawfor@psc.state.fl.us rsandy@psc.state.fl.us Gunster Law Firm
Beth Keating
215 South Monroe Street, Suite 601
Tallahassee FL 32301
bkeating@gunster.com

/s/ Patricia A. Christensen

Patricia A. Christensen Associate Public Counsel Christensen.Patty@leg.state.fl.us

### **Exhibit RCS-1**QUALIFICATIONS OF RALPH C. SMITH

### **Accomplishments**

Mr. Smith's professional credentials include being a Certified Financial Planner™ professional, a Certified Rate of Return Analyst, a licensed Certified Public Accountant and attorney. He functions as project manager on consulting projects involving utility regulation, regulatory policy and ratemaking and utility management. His involvement in public utility regulation has included project management and in-depth analyses of numerous issues involving telephone, electric, gas, and water and sewer utilities.

Mr. Smith has performed work in the field of utility regulation on behalf of industry, public service commission staffs, state attorney generals, municipalities, and consumer groups concerning regulatory matters before regulatory agencies in Alabama, Alaska, Arizona, Arkansas, California, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, Nevada, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Puerto Rico, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, Washington DC, West Virginia, Canada, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission and various state and federal courts of law. He has presented expert testimony in regulatory hearings on behalf of utility commission staffs and intervenors on several occasions.

Project manager in Larkin & Associates' review, on behalf of the Georgia Commission Staff, of the budget and planning activities of Georgia Power Company; supervised 13 professionals; coordinated over 200 interviews with Company budget center managers and executives; organized and edited voluminous audit report; presented testimony before the Commission. Functional areas covered included fossil plant O&M, headquarters and district operations, internal audit, legal, affiliated transactions, and responsibility reporting. All of our findings and recommendations were accepted by the Commission.

Key team member in the firm's management audit of the Anchorage Water and Wastewater Utility on behalf of the Alaska Commission Staff, which assessed the effectiveness of the Utility's operations in several areas; responsible for in-depth investigation and report writing in areas involving information systems, finance and accounting, affiliated relationships and transactions, and use of outside contractors. Testified before the Alaska Commission concerning certain areas of the audit report. AWWU concurred with each of Mr. Smith's 40 plus recommendations for improvement.

Co-consultant in the analysis of the issues surrounding gas transportation performed for the law firm of Cravath, Swaine & Moore in conjunction with the case of Reynolds Metals Co. vs. the Columbia Gas System, Inc.; drafted in-depth report concerning the regulatory treatment at both state and federal levels of issues such as flexible pricing and mandatory gas transportation.

Lead consultant and expert witness in the analysis of the rate increase request of the City of Austin - Electric Utility on behalf of the residential consumers. Among the numerous ratemaking issues addressed were the economies of the Utility's employment of outside services; provided both written and oral testimony outlining recommendations and their bases. Most of Mr. Smith's recommendations were adopted by the City Council and Utility in a settlement.

Docket No. 20220067-GU Qualifications of Ralph C. Smith Exhibit RCS-1 Page 2 of 16

Key team member performing an analysis of the rate stabilization plan submitted by the Southern Bell Telephone & Telegraph Company to the Florida PSC; performed comprehensive analysis of the Company's projections and budgets which were used as the basis for establishing rates.

Lead consultant in analyzing Southwestern Bell Telephone separations in Missouri; sponsored the complex technical analysis and calculations upon which the firm's testimony in that case was based. He has also assisted in analyzing changes in depreciation methodology for setting telephone rates.

Lead consultant in the review of gas cost recovery reconciliation applications of Michigan Gas Utilities Company, Michigan Consolidated Gas Company, and Consumers Power Company. Drafted recommendations regarding the appropriate rate of interest to be applied to any over or under collections and the proper procedures and allocation methodology to be used to distribute any refunds to customer classes.

Lead consultant in the review of Consumers Power Company's gas cost recovery refund plan. Addressed appropriate interest rate and compounding procedures and proper allocation methodology.

Project manager in the review of the request by Central Maine Power Company for an increase in rates. The major area addressed was the propriety of the Company's ratemaking attrition adjustment in relation to its corporate budgets and projections.

Project manager in an engagement designed to address the impacts of the Tax Reform Act of 1986 on gas distribution utility operations of the Northern States Power Company. Analyzed the reduction in the corporate tax rate, uncollectibles reserve, ACRS, unbilled revenues, customer advances, CIAC, and timing of TRA-related impacts associated with the Company's tax liability.

Project manager and expert witness in the determination of the impacts of the Tax Reform Act of 1986 on the operations of Connecticut Natural Gas Company on behalf of the Connecticut Department of Public Utility Control - Prosecutorial Division, Connecticut Attorney General, and Connecticut Department of Consumer Counsel.

Lead Consultant for The Minnesota Department of Public Service ("DPS") to review the Minnesota Incentive Plan ("Incentive Plan") proposal presented by Northwestern Bell Telephone Company ("NWB") doing business as U S West Communications ("USWC"). Objective was to express an opinion as to whether current rates addressed by the plan were appropriate from a Minnesota intrastate revenue requirements and accounting perspective, and to assist in developing recommended modifications to NWB's proposed Plan.

Performed a variety of analytical and review tasks related to our work effort on this project. Obtained and reviewed data and performed other procedures as necessary (1) to obtain an understanding of the Company's Incentive Plan filing package as it relates to rate base, operating income, revenue requirements, and plan operation, and (2) to formulate an opinion concerning the reasonableness of current rates and of amounts included within the Company's Incentive Plan filing. These procedures included requesting and reviewing extensive discovery, visiting the Company's offices to review data, issuing follow-up information requests in many instances, telephone and on-site discussions with Company representatives, and frequent discussions with counsel and DPS Staff assigned to the project.

Docket No. 20220067-GU Qualifications of Ralph C. Smith Exhibit RCS-1 Page 3 of 16

Lead Consultant in the regulatory analysis of Jersey Central Power & Light Company for the Department of the Public Advocate, Division of Rate Counsel. Tasks performed included on-site review and audit of Company, identification and analysis of specific issues, preparation of data requests, testimony, and cross examination questions. Testified in Hearings.

Assisted the NARUC Committee on Management Analysis with drafting the Consultant Standards for Management Audits.

Presented training seminars covering public utility accounting, tax reform, ratemaking, affiliated transaction auditing, rate case management, and regulatory policy in Maine, Georgia, Kentucky, and Pennsylvania. Seminars were presented to commission staffs and consumer interest groups.

### **Previous Positions**

With Larkin, Chapski and Co., the predecessor firm to Larkin & Associates, was involved primarily in utility regulatory consulting, and also in tax planning and tax research for businesses and individuals, tax return preparation and review, and independent audit, review and preparation of financial statements.

Installed computerized accounting system for a realty management firm.

### **Education**

Bachelor of Science in Administration in Accounting, with distinction, University of Michigan, Dearborn, 1979.

Master of Science in Taxation, Walsh College, Michigan, 1981. Master's thesis dealt with investment tax credit and property tax on various assets.

Juris Doctor, cum laude, Wayne State University Law School, Detroit, Michigan, 1986. Recipient of American Jurisprudence Award for academic excellence.

Continuing education required to maintain CPA license and CFP® certificate.

Passed all parts of CPA examination in first sitting, 1979. Received CPA certificate in 1981 and Certified Financial Planning certificate in 1983. Admitted to Michigan and Federal bars in 1986.

Michigan Bar Association.

American Bar Association, sections on public utility law and taxation.

Docket No. 20220067-GU Qualifications of Ralph C. Smith Exhibit RCS-1 Page 4 of 16

### Partial list of utility cases participated in:

79-228-EL-FAC Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company (Ohio PUC)
79-231-EL-FAC Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company (Ohio PUC)

79-535-EL-AIR East Ohio Gas Company (Ohio PUC) 80-235-EL-FAC Ohio Edison Company (Ohio PUC)

80-240-EL-FAC Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company (Ohio PUC)
U-1933 Tucson Electric Power Company (Arizona Corp. Commission)
U-6794 Michigan Consolidated Gas Co. --16 Refunds (Michigan PSC)

81-0035TP Southern Bell Telephone Company (Florida PSC) 81-0095TP General Telephone Company of Florida (Florida PSC)

81-308-EL-EFC Dayton Power & Light Co.- Fuel Adjustment Clause (Ohio PUC)

810136-EU Gulf Power Company (Florida PSC)

GR-81-342 Northern States Power Co. -- E-002/Minnesota (Minnesota PUC)

Tr-81-208 Southwestern Bell Telephone Company (Missouri PSC))

U-6949 Detroit Edison Company (Michigan PSC)

East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. (Kentucky PSC)

18328 Alabama Gas Corporation (Alabama PSC) 18416 Alabama Power Company (Alabama PSC) 820100-EU Florida Power Corporation (Florida PSC) 8624 Kentucky Utilities (Kentucky PSC)

East Kentucky Power Cooperative, Inc. (Kentucky PSC)
U-7236 Detroit Edison - Burlington Northern Refund (Michigan PSC)

U6633-R Detroit Edison - MRCS Program (Michigan PSC)

U-6797-R Consumers Power Company -MRCS Program (Michigan PSC)
U-5510-R Consumers Power Company - Energy conservation Finance

Program (Michigan PSC)

82-240E South Carolina Electric & Gas Company (South Carolina PSC)

7350 Generic Working Capital Hearing (Michigan PSC)

RH-1-83 Westcoast Transmission Co., (National Energy Board of Canada) 820294-TP Southern Bell Telephone & Telegraph Co. (Florida PSC)

82-165-EL-EFC

(Subfile A) Toledo Edison Company(Ohio PUC)

82-168-EL-EFC Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company (Ohio PUC)

830012-EU Tampa Electric Company (Florida PSC)

The Detroit Edison Company - Fermi II (Michigan PSC) U-7065 Columbia Gas of Kentucky, Inc. (Kentucky PSC) 8738 ER-83-206 Arkansas Power & Light Company (Missouri PSC) U-4758 The Detroit Edison Company – Refunds (Michigan PSC) 8836 Kentucky American Water Company (Kentucky PSC) 8839 Western Kentucky Gas Company (Kentucky PSC) 83-07-15 Connecticut Light & Power Co. (Connecticut DPU) 81-0485-WS Palm Coast Utility Corporation (Florida PSC) U-7650 Consumers Power Co. (Michigan PSC)

83-662 Continental Telephone Company of California, (Nevada PSC)
U-6488-R Detroit Edison Co., FAC & PIPAC Reconciliation (Michigan PSC)

U-15684 Louisiana Power & Light Company (Louisiana PSC) 7395 & U-7397 Campaign Ballot Proposals (Michigan PSC)

820013-WS Seacoast Utilities (Florida PSC)

U-7660 Detroit Edison Company (Michigan PSC) 83-1039 CP National Corporation (Nevada PSC)

U-7802 Michigan Gas Utilities Company (Michigan PSC)
83-1226 Sierra Pacific Power Company (Nevada PSC)
830465-EI Florida Power & Light Company (Florida PSC)
U-7777 Michigan Consolidated Gas Company (Michigan PSC)

U-7779 Consumers Power Company (Michigan PSC)

Docket No. 20220067-GU Qualifications of Ralph C. Smith Exhibit RCS-1 Page 5 of 16

U-7480-R Michigan Consolidated Gas Company (Michigan PSC)
U-7488-R Consumers Power Company – Gas (Michigan PSC)
U-7484-R Michigan Gas Utilities Company (Michigan PSC)
U-7550-R Detroit Edison Company (Michigan PSC)

U-7477-R Indiana & Michigan Electric Company (Michigan PSC)

18978 Continental Telephone Co. of the South Alabama (Alabama PSC)

R-842583 Duquesne Light Company (Pennsylvania PUC)
R-842740 Pennsylvania Power Company (Pennsylvania PUC)

850050-EI Tampa Electric Company (Florida PSC)

16091 Louisiana Power & Light Company (Louisiana PSC)

19297 Continental Telephone Co. of the South Alabama (Alabama PSC)

76-18788AA

&76-18793AA Detroit Edison - Refund - Appeal of U-4807 (Ingham

County, Michigan Circuit Court)

85-53476AA

& 85-534785AA Detroit Edison Refund - Appeal of U-4758 (Ingham County, Michigan Circuit Court)

U-8091/U-8239 Consumers Power Company - Gas Refunds (Michigan PSC)
TR-85-179 United Telephone Company of Missouri (Missouri PSC)

85-212 Central Maine Power Company (Maine PSC)

ER-85646001

& ER-85647001 New England Power Company (FERC)

850782-EI &

850783-EI Florida Power & Light Company (Florida PSC)
R-860378 Duquesne Light Company (Pennsylvania PUC)
R-850267 Pennsylvania Power Company (Pennsylvania PUC)

851007-WU

& 840419-SU Florida Cities Water Company (Florida PSC)
G-002/GR-86-160 Northern States Power Company (Minnesota PSC)
7195 (Interim) Gulf States Utilities Company (Texas PUC)

87-01-03 Connecticut Natural Gas Company (Connecticut PUC))

87-01-02 Southern New England Telephone Company

(Connecticut Department of Public Utility Control)

3673- Georgia Power Company (Georgia PSC)

29484 Long Island Lighting Co. (New York Dept. of Public Service)

U-8924 Consumers Power Company – Gas (Michigan PSC)
Docket No. 1 Austin Electric Utility (City of Austin, Texas)

Docket E-2, Sub 527 Carolina Power & Light Company (North Carolina PUC)
U-87-47 Anchorage Water and Wastewater Utility (Alaska PUC)
Pennsylvania Gas and Water Company (Pennsylvania PUC)

880069 Southern Bell Telephone Company (Florida PSC)
U-1954-88-102 Citizens Utilities Rural Company, Inc. & Citizens Utilities
T E-1032-88-102 Company, Kingman Telephone Division (Arizona CC)
89-0033 Illinois Bell Telephone Company (Illinois CC)

U-89-2688-T Puget Sound Power & Light Company (Washington UTC))

R-891364 Philadelphia Electric Company (Pennsylvania PUC)

F.C. 889 Potomac Electric Power Company (District of Columbia PSC)
Case No. 88/546 Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation, et al Plaintiffs, v.

Gulf+Western, Inc. et al, defendants (Supreme Court County of

Onondaga, State of New York)

87-11628 Duquesne Light Company, et al, plaintiffs, against Gulf+

Western, Inc. et al, defendants (Court of the Common Pleas of

Allegheny County, Pennsylvania Civil Division)

890319-EI Florida Power & Light Company (Florida PSC)

891345-EI Gulf Power Company (Florida PSC)

ER 8811 0912J Jersey Central Power & Light Company (BPU)

Docket No. 20220067-GU Qualifications of Ralph C. Smith Exhibit RCS-1 Page 6 of 16

6531	Hawaiian Electric Company	(Hawaii PUCs)

R-901595 Equitable Gas Company (Pennsylvania Consumer Counsel)

90-10 Artesian Water Company (Delaware PSC)

89-12-05 Southern New England Telephone Company (Connecticut PUC)

900329-WS Southern States Utilities, Inc. (Florida PSC)

90-12-018 Southern California Edison Company (California PUC) 90-E-1185 Long Island Lighting Company (New York DPS)

R-911966 Pennsylvania Gas & Water Company (Pennsylvania PUC) I.90-07-037, Phase II (Investigation of OPEBs) Department of the Navy and all Other

Federal Executive Agencies (California PUC)

U-1551-90-322 Southwest Gas Corporation (Arizona CC)
U-1656-91-134 Sun City Water Company (Arizona RUCO)
U-2013-91-133 Havasu Water Company (Arizona RUCO)

91-174 Central Maine Power Company (Department of the Navy and all

Other Federal Executive Agencies)

U-1551-89-102 Southwest Gas Corporation - Rebuttal and PGA Audit (Arizona

& U-1551-89-103 Corporation Commission)

Docket No. 6998 Hawaiian Electric Company (Hawaii PUC)

TC-91-040A and Intrastate Access Charge Methodology, Pool and Rates TC-91-040B Local Exchange Carriers Association and South Dakota

Independent Telephone Coalition

9911030-WS & General Development Utilities - Port Malabar and

911-67-WS West Coast Divisions (Florida PSC)
U-91-091 Anchorage Telephone Utility (Alaska PUC)

922180 The Peoples Natural Gas Company (Pennsylvania PUC) 7233 and 7243 Hawaiian Nonpension Postretirement Benefits (Hawaiian PUC)

R-00922314

& M-920313C006 Metropolitan Edison Company (Pennsylvania PUC)

R00922428 Pennsylvania American Water Company (Pennsylvania PUC)

E-1032-92-083 &

U-1656-92-183 Citizens Utilities Company, Agua Fria Water Division

(Arizona Corporation Commission)

92-09-19 Southern New England Telephone Company (Connecticut PUC)
E-1032-92-073 Citizens Utilities Company (Electric Division), (Arizona CC)
UE-92-1262 Puget Sound Power and Light Company (Washington UTC))

92-345 Central Maine Power Company (Maine PUC)

R-932667 Pennsylvania Gas & Water Company (Pennsylvania PUC) U-93-60 Matanuska Telephone Association, Inc. (Alaska PUC)

U-93-50 Anchorage Telephone Utility (Alaska PUC)

U-93-64 PTI Communications (Alaska PUC)

7700 Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc. (Hawaii PUC) E-1032-93-111 & Citizens Utilities Company - Gas Division U-1032-93-193 (Arizona Corporation Commission)

R-00932670 Pennsylvania American Water Company (Pennsylvania PUC)
U-1514-93-169/ Sale of Assets CC&N from Contel of the West, Inc. to

E-1032-93-169 Citizens Utilities Company (Arizona Corporation Commission)

7766 Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc. (Hawaii PUC) 93-2006- GA-AIR The East Ohio Gas Company (Ohio PUC) 94-E-0334 Consolidated Edison Company (New York DPS)

94-0270 Inter-State Water Company (Illinois Commerce Commission)
94-0097 Citizens Utilities Company, Kauai Electric Division (Hawaii PUC)
PU-314-94-688 Application for Transfer of Local Exchanges (North Dakota PSC)

94-12-005-Phase I Pacific Gas & Electric Company (California PUC)
R-953297 UGI Utilities, Inc. - Gas Division (Pennsylvania PUC)

95-03-01 Southern New England Telephone Company (Connecticut PUC) 95-0342 Consumer Illinois Water, Kankakee Water District (Illinois CC)

Docket No. 20220067-GU Qualifications of Ralph C. Smith Exhibit RCS-1 Page 7 of 16

94-996-EL-AIR Ohio Power Company (Ohio PUC)

95-1000-E South Carolina Electric & Gas Company (South Carolina PSC) Non-Docketed Citizens Utility Company - Arizona Telephone Operations

Staff Investigation (Arizona Corporation Commission)

Citizens Utility Co. - Northern Arizona Gas Division (Arizona CC) E-1032-95-473 Citizens Utility Co. - Arizona Electric Division (Arizona CC) E-1032-95-433

Collaborative Ratemaking Process Columbia Gas of Pennsylvania

(Pennsylvania PUC)

GR-96-285 Missouri Gas Energy (Missouri PSC)

Southern New England Telephone Company (Connecticut PUC) 94-10-45 A.96-08-001 et al. California Utilities' Applications to Identify Sunk Costs of Non-

Nuclear Generation Assets, & Transition Costs for Electric Utility

Restructuring, & Consolidated Proceedings (California PUC)

Bell Atlantic - Delaware, Inc. (Delaware PSC) 96-324

Pacific Gas & Electric Co., Southern California Edison Co. and 96-08-070, et al.

San Diego Gas & Electric Company (California PUC)

97-05-12 Connecticut Light & Power (Connecticut PUC)

R-00973953 Application of PECO Energy Company for Approval of its

Restructuring Plan Under Section 2806 of the Public Utility Code

(Pennsylvania PUC)

Application of Delmarva Power & Light Co. for Application of a 97-65

Cost Accounting Manual and a Code of Conduct (Delaware PSC)

Entergy Gulf States, Inc. (Cities Steering Committee) 16705

Southwestern Telephone Co. (Arizona Corporation Commission) E-1072-97-067

Non-Docketed Delaware - Estimate Impact of Universal Services Issues

Staff Investigation (Delaware PSC)

PU-314-97-12 US West Communications, Inc. Cost Studies (North Dakota PSC)

97-0351 Consumer Illinois Water Company (Illinois CC)

Investigation of Issues to be Considered as a Result of Restructuring of Electric 97-8001

Industry (Nevada PSC)

Generic Docket to Consider Competition in the Provision U-0000-94-165

of Retail Electric Service (Arizona Corporation Commission)

98-05-006-Phase I San Diego Gas & Electric Co., Section 386 costs (California PUC)

9355-U Georgia Power Company Rate Case (Georgia PUC) Pacific Gas & Electric Company (California PUC) 97-12-020 - Phase I Investigation of 1998 Intrastate Access charge filings U-98-56, U-98-60,

U-98-65, U-98-67 (Alaska PUC)

Investigation of 1999 Intrastate Access Charge filing (U-99-66, U-99-65,

U-99-56, U-99-52) (Alaska PUC)

Phase II of

97-SCCC-149-GIT Southwestern Bell Telephone Company Cost Studies (Kansas CC) PU-314-97-465 US West Universal Service Cost Model (North Dakota PSC) Non-docketed Bell Atlantic - Delaware, Inc., Review of New Telecomm.

and Tariff Filings (Delaware PSC) Assistance

City of Zeeland, MI - Water Contract with the City of Holland, MI Contract Dispute

(Before an arbitration panel)

Non-docketed Project City of Danville, IL - Valuation of Water System (Danville, IL) Non-docketed Project Village of University Park, IL - Valuation of Water and

Sewer System (Village of University Park, Illinois)

E-1032-95-417	Citizens Utility Co., Maricopa Water/Wastewater Companies et al. (Arizona Corporation Commission)
T-1051B-99-0497	Proposed Merger of the Parent Corporation of Qwest
1 1031B 99 0197	Communications Corporation, LCI International Telecom Corp.,
	and US West Communications, Inc. (Arizona CC)
T-01051B-99-0105	US West Communications, Inc. Rate Case (Arizona CC)
A00-07-043	Pacific Gas & Electric - 2001 Attrition (California PUC)
T-01051B-99-0499	US West/Quest Broadband Asset Transfer (Arizona CC)
99-419/420	US West, Inc. Toll and Access Rebalancing (North Dakota PSC)
PU314-99-119	US West, Inc. Residential Rate Increase and Cost Study Review
10311 )) 11)	(North Dakota PSC
98-0252	Ameritech - Illinois, Review of Alternative Regulation Plan
	(Illinois CUB)
00-108	Delmarva Billing System Investigation (Delaware PSC)
U-00-28	Matanuska Telephone Association (Alaska PUC)
Non-Docketed	Management Audit and Market Power Mitigation Analysis of the Merged Gas
	System Operation of Pacific Enterprises and Enova Corporation (California
	PUC)
00-11-038	Southern California Edison (California PUC)
00-11-056	Pacific Gas & Electric (California PUC)
00-10-028	The Utility Reform Network for Modification of Resolution E-3527 (California
	PUC)
98-479	Delmarva Power & Light Application for Approval of its Electric and Fuel
	Adjustments Costs (Delaware PSC)
99-457	Delaware Electric Cooperative Restructuring Filing (Delaware PSC)
99-582	Delmarva Power & Light dba Conectiv Power Delivery Analysis of Code of
	Conduct and Cost Accounting Manual (Delaware PSC)
99-03-04	United Illuminating Company Recovery of Stranded Costs (Connecticut OCC)
99-03-36	Connecticut Light & Power (Connecticut OCC)
Civil Action No.	
98-1117	West Penn Power Company vs. PA PUC (Pennsylvania PSC)
Case No. 12604	Upper Peninsula Power Company (Michigan AG)
Case No. 12613	Wisconsin Public Service Commission (Michigan AG)
41651	Northern Indiana Public Service Co Overearnings investigation (Indiana UCC)
13605-U	Savannah Electric & Power Company – FCR (Georgia PSC)
14000-U	Georgia Power Company Rate Case/M&S Review (Georgia PSC)
13196-U	Savannah Electric & Power Company Natural Gas Procurement and Risk
	Management/Hedging Proposal, Docket No. 13196-U (Georgia PSC)
Non-Docketed	Georgia Power Company & Savannah Electric & Power FPR Company Fuel
	Procurement Audit (Georgia PSC)
Non-Docketed	Transition Costs of Nevada Vertically Integrated Utilities (US Department of
	Navy)
Application No.	Post-Transition Ratemaking Mechanisms for the Electric Industry
99-01-016,	Restructuring (US Department of Navy)
Phase I	
99-02-05	Connecticut Light & Power (Connecticut OCC)
01-05-19-RE03	Yankee Gas Service Application for a Rate Increase, Phase I-2002-IERM (Connecticut OCC)
G-01551A-00-0309	Southwest Gas Corporation, Application to amend its rate
	Schedules (Arizona CC)
00-07-043	Pacific Gas & Electric Company Attrition & Application for a rate increase
	(California PUC)

97-12-020	
Phase II	Pacific Gas & Electric Company Rate Case (California PUC)
01-10-10	United Illuminating Company (Connecticut OCC)
13711-U	Georgia Power FCR (Georgia PSC)
02-001	Verizon Delaware § 271(Delaware DPA)
02-BLVT-377-AUD	Blue Valley Telephone Company Audit/General Rate Investigation (Kansas CC)
02-S&TT-390-AUD 01-SFLT-879-AUD	S&T Telephone Cooperative Audit/General Rate Investigation (Kansas CC) Sunflower Telephone Company Inc., Audit/General Rate Investigation
01 2121 073 1102	(Kansas CC)
01-BSTT-878-AUD	Bluestem Telephone Company, Inc. Audit/General Rate Investigation (Kansas CC)
P404, 407, 520, 413	(
426, 427, 430, 421/	Charles Canada Daniel Talankana Canadan dha ac Canada Eta
CI-00-712	Sherburne County Rural Telephone Company, dba as Connections, Etc.
U-01-85	(Minnesota DOC) ACS of Alaska, dba as Alaska Communications Systems (ACS), Rate Case (Alaska Regulatory Commission PAS)
U-01-34	ACS of Anchorage, dba as Alaska Communications Systems (ACS), Rate Case
11.01.02	(Alaska Regulatory Commission PAS)
U-01-83	ACS of Fairbanks, dba as Alaska Communications Systems (ACS), Rate Case (Alaska Regulatory Commission PAS)
U-01-87	ACS of the Northland, dba as Alaska Communications Systems (ACS), Rate
	Case (Alaska Regulatory Commission PAS)
U-01-84	ACS of Fairbanks dba Alaska Communications Systems (ACS), Rate Case
	(Alaska Regulatory Commission PAS)
U-01-82	ACS of Anchorage dba Alaska Communications Systems (ACS), Rate Case (Alaska Regulatory Commission PAS)
U-01-86	ACS of Alaska dba Alaska Communications Systems (ACS), Rate Case (Alaska Regulatory Commission PAS)
96-324, Phase II	Verizon Delaware, Inc. UNE Rate Filing (Delaware PSC)
03-WHST-503-AUD	Wheat State Telephone Company (Kansas CC)
04-GNBT-130-AUD	Golden Belt Telephone Association (Kansas CC)
Docket 6914	Shoreham Telephone Company, Inc. (Vermont BPU)
Docket No.	Shorenam rerephone company, mer (vermone Bre)
E-01345A-06-009	Arizona Public Service Company (Arizona Corporation Commission)
Case No.	( miletia i acide service company ( miletia corporation commission)
05-1278-E-PC-PW-42T	Appalachian Power Company and Wheeling Power Company both d/b/a
D 1 (N 04 0112	American Electric Power (West Virginia PSC)
Docket No. 04-0113	Hawaiian Electric Company (Hawaii PUC)
Case No. U-14347	Consumers Energy Company (Michigan PSC)
Case No. 05-725-EL-UNC	Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company (PUC of Ohio)
Docket No. 21229-U	Savannah Electric & Power Company (Georgia PSC)
Docket No. 19142-U Docket No.	Georgia Power Company (Georgia PSC)
03-07-01RE01	Connecticut Light & Power Company (CT DPUC)
Docket No. 19042-U	Savannah Electric & Power Company (Georgia PSC)
Docket No. 2004-178-E	South Carolina Electric & Gas Company (South Carolina PSC)
Docket No. 03-07-02	Connecticut Light & Power Company (CT DPUC)
Docket No. EX02060363,	
Phases I&II	Rockland Electric Company (NJ BPU)
Docket No. U-00-88	ENSTAR Natural Gas Company and Alaska Pipeline Company (Regulatory
	Commission of Alaska)
Phase 1-2002 IERM,	
Docket No. U-02-075	Interior Telephone Company, Inc. (Regulatory Commission of Alaska)
Docket No. 05-SCNT-	

1048-AUD South Central Telephone Company (Kansas CC)

Docket No. 05-TRCT-

607-KSF Tri-County Telephone Company (Kansas CC)

Docket No. 05-KOKT-

060-AUD Kan Okla Telephone Company (Kansas CC)

Docket No. 2002-747 Northland Telephone Company of Maine (Maine PUC)

Docket No. 2003-34 Sidney Telephone Company (Maine PUC)
Docket No. 2003-35 Maine Telephone Company (Maine PUC)
Docket No. 2003-36 China Telephone Company (Maine PUC)
Docket No. 2003-37 Standish Telephone Company (Maine PUC)

Docket Nos. U-04-022,

U-04-023 Anchorage Water and Wastewater Utility (Regulatory Commission of Alaska)
U-04-81 Protest by Aurora Gas, LLC against Beluga Pipe Line Company (Regulatory

Commission of Alaska)

Case 05-116-U/06-055-U Entergy Arkansas, Inc. EFC (Arkansas Public Service Commission)
Case 04-137-U Southwest Power Pool RTO (Arkansas Public Service Commission)

Case No. 7109/7160 Vermont Gas Systems (Department of Public Service)
Case No. ER-2006-0315 Empire District Electric Company (Missouri PSC)
Case No. ER-2006-0314 Kansas City Power & Light Company (Missouri PSC)

Docket No. U-05-043,44 Golden Heart Utilities/College Park Utilities (Regulatory Commission of

Alaska)

A-122250F5000 Equitable Resources, Inc. and The Peoples Natural Gas Company, d/b/a

Dominion Peoples (Pennsylvania PUC)

E-01345A-05-0816 Arizona Public Service Company (Arizona CC)
Docket No. 05-304 Delmarva Power & Light Company (Delaware PSC)
05-806-EL-UNC Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company (Ohio PUC)

U-06-45 Anchorage Water Utility (Regulatory Commission of Alaska)

03-93-EL-ATA,

06-1068-EL-UNC Duke Energy Ohio (Ohio PUC)

PUE-2006-00065 Appalachian Power Company (Virginia Corporation Commission)

G-04204A-06-0463 et. al UNS Gas, Inc. (Arizona CC)

U-06-134 Chugach Electric Association, Inc. (Regulatory Commission of Alaska)

Docket No. 2006-0386 Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc (Hawaii PUC)
E-01933A-07-0402 Tucson Electric Power Company (Arizona CC)
G-01551A-07-0504 Southwest Gas Corporation (Arizona CC)
Docket No. 07-186 Chesapeake Utilities Corporation (Delaware PSC)

Docket No. 07-186 Chesapeake Utilities Corporation (Delaware PSC)
Docket No. UE-072300 Puget Sound Energy, Inc. (Washington UTC)
Virginia-American Water Company (Virginia SCC)
PUE-2008-00046 Appalachian Power Company (Virginia SCC)
E-01345A-08-0172 Arizona Public Service Company (Arizona CC)

A-2008-2063737 Babcock & Brown Infrastructure Fund North America, LP. and The Peoples

Natural Gas Company, d/b/a Dominion Peoples (Pennsylvania PUC)

08-1783-G-42T Hope Gas, Inc., dba Dominion Hope (West Virginia PSC)

08-1761-G-PC Hope Gas, Inc., dba Dominion Hope, Dominion Resources, Inc., and Peoples

Hope Gas Companies (West Virginia PSC)

Docket No. 2008-0083 Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc. (Hawaii PUC)

Docket No. 2008-0266 Young Brothers, Limited (Hawaii PUC)

G-04024A-08-0571 UNS Gas, Inc. (Arizona CC)

Docket No. 09-29

Docket No. UE-090704

09-0878-G-42T

Docket No. 09-0319

Docket No. 09-0319

Docket No. 09-414

Tidewater Utilities, Inc. (Delaware PSC)

Puget Sound Energy, Inc. (Washington UTC)

Mountaineer Gas Company (West Virginia PSC)

Mississippi Power Company (Mississippi PSC)

Illinois-American Water Company (Illinois CC)

Delmarva Power & Light Company (Delaware PSC)

R-2009-2132019 Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc. (Pennsylvania PUC)

Docket Nos. U-09-069,

U-09-070 ENSTAR Natural Gas Company (Regulatory Commission of Alaska)

Docket Nos. U-04-023,

U-04-024 Anchorage Water and Wastewater Utility - Remand (Regulatory Commission of

Alaska)

W-01303A-09-0343 &

SW-01303A-09-0343 09-872-EL-FAC &

09-873-EL-FAC

Arizona-American Water Company (Arizona CC)

Financial Audits of the FAC of the Columbus Southern Power Company and the Ohio Power Company - Audit I (Ohio PUC)

2010-00036 Kentucky-American Water Company (Kentucky PSC)
E-04100A-09-0496 Southwest Transmission Cooperative, IHnc. (Arizona CC)
E-01773A-09-0472 Arizona Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. (Arizona CC)

R-2010-2166208, R-2010-2166210, R-2010-2166212, &

Docket No. 2010-0080

R-2010-2166214 Pennsylvania-American Water Company (Pennsylvania PUC)

PSC Docket No. 09-0602 Central Illinois Light Company D/B/A AmerenCILCO; Central Illinois Public

Service Company D/B/A AmerenCIPS; Illinois Power Company D/B/A

AmerenIP (Illinois CC)

10-0713-E-PC Allegheny Power and FirstEnergy Corp. (West Virginia PSC)

Docket No. 31958 Georgia Power Company (Georgia PSC)
Docket No. 10-0467 Commonwealth Edison Company (Illinois CC)
PSC Docket No. 10-237 Delmarva Power & Light Company (Delaware PSC)

U-10-51 Cook Inlet Natural Gas Storage Alaska, LLC (Regulatory Commission of

Alaska)

10-0699-E-42T Appalachian Power Company and Wheeling Power Company (West Virginia

PSC)

10-0920-W-42T West Virginia-American Water Company (West Virginia PSC)
A.10-07-007 California-American Water Company (California PUC)

A-2010-2210326 TWP Acquisition (Pennsylvania PUC)

09-1012-EL-FAC Financial, Management, and Performance Audit of the FAC for Dayton Power

and Light – Audit 1 (Ohio PUC)

10-268-EL FAC et al. Financial Audit of the FAC of the Columbus Southern Power Company and the

Ohio Power Company – Audit II (Ohio PUC) Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc. (Hawaii PUC)

G-01551A-10-0458 Southwest Gas Corporation (Arizona CC)

10-KCPE-415-RTS Kansas City Power & Light Company – Remand (Kansas CC)

PUE-2011-00037 Virginia Appalachian Power Company (Commonwealth of Virginia SCC)

R-2011-2232243 Pennsylvania-American Water (Pennsylvania PUC)

U-11-100 Power Purchase Agreement between Chugach Association, Inc. and Fire Island

Wind, LLC (Regulatory Commission of Alaska)

A.10-12-005 San Diego Gas & Electric Company (California PUC)
PSC Docket No. 11-207 Artesian Water Company, Inc. (Delaware PSC)

Cause No. 44022 Indiana-American Water Company, Inc. (Indiana Utility Regulatory

Commission)

PSC Docket No. 10-247 Management Audit of Tidewater Utilities, Inc. Affiliate Transactions (Delaware

Public Service Commission)

G-04204A-11-0158 UNS Gas, Inc. (Arizona Corporation Commission) E-01345A-11-0224 Arizona Public Service Company (Arizona CC)

UE-111048 & UE-111049 Puget Sound Energy, Inc. (Washington Utilities and Transportation

Commission)

Docket No. 11-0721 Commonwealth Edison Company (Illinois CC)
11AL-947E Public Service Company of Colorado (Colorado PSC)

U-11-77 & U-11-78 Golden Heart Utilities, Inc. and College Utilities Corporation (The Regulatory

Commission of Alaska)

Docket No. 11-0767	Illinois-American Water Company (Illinois CC)
PSC Docket No. 11-397	Tidewater Utilities, Inc. (Delaware PSC)
Cause No. 44075	Indiana Michigan Power Company (Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission)
Docket No. 12-0001	Ameren Illinois Company (Illinois CC)
11-5730-EL-FAC	Financial, Management, and Performance Audit of the FAC for Dayton Power
	and Light – Audit 2 (Ohio PUC)
PSC Docket No. 11-528	Delmarva Power & Light Company (Delaware PSC)
11-281-EL-FAC et al.	Financial Audit of the FAC of the Columbus Southern Power Company and the
	Ohio Power Company – Audit III (Ohio PUC)
Cause No. 43114-IGCC-	• •
4S1	Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. (Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission)
Docket No. 12-0293	Ameren Illinois Company (Illinois CC)
Docket No. 12-0321	Commonwealth Edison Company (Illinois CC)
12-02019 & 12-04005	Southwest Gas Corporation (Public Utilities Commission of Nevada)
Docket No. 2012-218-E	South Carolina Electric & Gas (South Carolina PSC)
Docket No. E-72, Sub 479	Dominion North Carolina Power (North Carolina Utilities Commission)
12-0511 & 12-0512	North Shore Gas Company and The Peoples Gas Light and Coke Company
	(Illinois CC)
E-01933A-12-0291	Tucson Electric Power Company (Arizona CC)
Case No. 9311	Potomac Electric Power Company (Maryland PSC)
Cause No. 43114-IGCC-10	
Docket No. 36498	Georgia Power Company (Georgia PSC)
Case No. 9316	Columbia Gas of Maryland, Inc. (Maryland PSC)
Docket No. 13-0192	Ameren Illinois Company (Illinois CC)
12-1649-W-42T	West Virginia-American Water Company (West Virginia PSC)
E-04204A-12-0504	UNS Electric, Inc. (Arizona CC)
PUE-2013-00020	Virginia and Electric Power Company (Virginia SCC)
R-2013-2355276	Pennsylvania-American Water Company (Pennsylvania PUC)
Formal Case No. 1103	Potomac Electric Power Company (District of Columbia PSC)
U-13-007	Chugach Electric Association, Inc. (The Regulatory Commission of Alaska)
12-2881-EL-FAC	Financial, Management, and Performance Audit of the FAC for Dayton Power
	and Light – Audit 3 (Ohio PUC)
Docket No. 36989	Georgia Power Company (Georgia PSC)
Cause No. 43114-IGCC-11	Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. (Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission)
UM 1633	Investigation into Treatment of Pension Costs in Utility Rates (Oregon PUC)
13-1892-EL FAC	Financial Audit of the FAC and AER of the Ohio Power Company – Audit I
	(Ohio PUC)
E-04230A-14-0011 &	
E-01933A-14-0011	Reorganization of UNS Energy Corporation with Fortis, Inc. (Arizona CC)
14-255-EL RDR	Regulatory Compliance Audit of the 2013 DIR of Ohio Power Company (Ohio
	PUC)
U-14-001	Chugach Electric Association, Inc. (The Regulatory Commission of Alaska)
U-14-002	Alaska Power Company (The Regulatory Commission of Alaska)
PUE-2014-00026	Virginia Appalachian Power Company (Commonwealth of Virginia SCC)
14-0117-EL-FAC	Financial, Management, and Performance Audit of the FAC and Purchased
	Power Rider for Dayton Power and Light – Audit 1 (Ohio PUC)
14-0702-E-42T	Monongahela Power Company and The Potomac Edison Company (West
	Virginia PSC)
Formal Case No. 1119	Merger of Exelon Corporation, Pepco Holdings, Inc., Potomac Electric Power
	Company, Exelon Energy Delivery Company, LLC, and New Special Purpose
	Entity, LLC (District of Columbia PSC)
R-2014-2428742	West Penn Power Company (Pennsylvania PUC)
D 2014 2429742	Pomosylvonia Elastria Commony (Pomosylvonia PUC)

Pennsylvania Electric Company (Pennsylvania PUC)

Pennsylvania Power Company (Pennsylvania PUC)

Metropolitan Edison Company (Pennsylvania PUC)

R-2014-2428743 R-2014-2428744

R-2014-2428745

Cause No. 43114-IGCC-

12/13 Duke Energy Indiana, Inc. (Indiana Utility Regulatory Commission)

14-1152-E-42T Appalachian Power Company and Wheeling Power Company (West Virginia

PSC)

WS-01303A-14-0010 EPCOR Water Arizona, Inc. (Arizona CC) 2014-000396 Kentucky Power Company (Kentucky PSC)

15-03-45 Iberdrola, S.A. Et Al, and UIL Holdings Corporation merger (Connecticut

PURA)

A.14-11-003 San Diego Gas & Electric Company (California PUC)

U-14-111 ENSTAR Natural Gas Company (Regulatory Commission of Alaska)

2015-UN-049 Atmos Energy Corporation (Mississippi PSC) 15-0003-G-42T Mountaineer Gas Company (West Virginia PSC)

PUE-2015-00027 Virginia Electric and Power Company (Commonwealth of Virginia SCC)
Docket No. 2015-0022 Hawaiian Electric Company, Inc., Hawaii Electric Light Company, Inc., Maui

Electric Company Limited, and NextEra Energy, Inc. (Hawaii PUC)

15-0676-W-42T West Virginia-American Water Company (West Virginia PSC)

15-07-38 Iberdrola, S.A. Et Al, and UIL Holdings Corporation merger (Connecticut

PURA)

15-26 Iberdrola, S.A. Et Al, and UIL Holdings Corporation merger (Massachusetts

DPU)

15-042-EL-FAC Management/Performance and Financial Audit of the FAC and Purchased

Power Rider for Dayton Power and Light (Ohio PUC)

U-15-016 Cook Inlet Natural Gas Storage Alaska, LLC (Regulatory Commission of

Alaska)

2015-UN-0080 Mississippi Power Company (Mississippi PSC)

Docket No. 15-00042 B&W Pipeline, LLC (Tennessee Regulatory Authority)

WR-2015-0301/SR-2015

-0302 Missouri American Water Company (Missouri PSC)

U-15-089, U-15-091,

& U-15-092 Golden Heart Utilities, Inc. and College Utilities Corporation (The Regulatory

Commission of Alaska)

Docket No. 16-00001 Kingsport Power Company d/b/a AEP Appalachian Power (Tennessee

Regulatory Authority)

PUE-2015-00097 Virginia-American Water Company (Commonwealth of Virginia SCC)
15-1854-EL-RDR Management/Performance and Financial Audit of the Alternative Energy

Recovery Rider of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (Ohio PUC)

P-15-014 PTE Pipeline LLC (Regulatory Commission of Alaska)

P-15-020 Swanson River Oil Pipeline, LLC (Regulatory Commission of Alaska)

U-15-127 through

U-15-138 Doyon Utilities, LLC (Regulatory Commission of Alaska)

Docket No. 40161 Georgia Power Company – Integrated Resource Plan (Georgia PSC) Formal Case No. 1137 Washington Gas Light Company (District of Columbia PSC)

160021-EI, et al. Florida Power Company (Florida PSC)

R-2016-2537349 Metropolitan Edison Company (Pennsylvania PUC)
R-2016-2537352 Pennsylvania Electric Company (Pennsylvania PUC)
R-2016-2537355 Pennsylvania Power Company (Pennsylvania PUC)
R-2016-2537359 West Penn Power Company (Pennsylvania PUC)

16-0717-G-390P Hope Gas, Inc., dba Dominion Hope (West Virginia PSC)

15-1256-G-390P

(Reopening)/16-0922-

G-390P Mountaineer Gas Company (West Virginia PSC)

16-0550-W-P West Virginia-American Water Company (West Virginia PSC)

CEPR-AP-2015-0001 Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority (Puerto Rico Energy Commission)

E-01345A-16-0036 Arizona Public Service Company (Arizona CC)
Docket No. 4618 Providence Water Supply Board (Rhode Island PUC)

Docket No. 46238 Joint Report and Application of Oncor Electric Delivery Company LLC and

NextEra Energy Inc. (Texas State Office of Administrative Hearings; Texas

PUC)

U-16-066 ENSTAR Natural Gas Company (Regulatory Commission of Alaska)

Case No. 2016-00370 Kentucky Utilities Company (Kentucky PSC)

Case No. 2016-00371 Louisville Gas and Electric Company (Kentucky PSC)
P-2015-2508942 Metropolitan Edison Company (Pennsylvania PUC)
P-2015-2508936 Pennsylvania Electric Company (Pennsylvania PUC)
P-2015-2508931 Pennsylvania Power Company (Pennsylvania PUC)
P-2015-2508948 West Penn Power Company (Pennsylvania PUC)

E-04204A-15-0142 UNS Electric, Inc. (Arizona CC)

E-01933A-15-0322 Tucson Electric Power Company (Arizona CC)
UE-170033 & UG-170034 Puget Sound Energy, Inc. (Washington UTC)
Case No. U-18239 Consumers Energy Company (Michigan PSC)
DTE Electric Company (Michigan PSC)

Case No. 9449 Merger of AltaGas Ltd. and WGL Holdings (Maryland PSC)

Formal Case No. 1142 Merger of AltaGas Ltd. and WGL Holdings (District of Columbia PSC)

Case No. 2017-00179 Kentucky Power Company (Kentucky PSC)

Docket No. 29849 Georgia Power Plant Vogtle Units 3 and 4, VCM 17 (Georgia PSC)

Docket No. 2017-AD-112 Mississippi Power Company (Mississippi PSC)
Docket No. D2017.9.79 Montana-Dakota Utilities Co. (Montana PSC)

SW-01428A-17-0058 et al Liberty Utilities (Litchfield Park Water & Sewer) Corp. (Arizona CC) U-18-021 & U-18-033 Chugach Electric Association, Inc. (Regulatory Commission of Alaska)

Docket No. 4800 Suez Water Rhode Island Inc. (Rhode Island PUC)

General Order No. 236.1 In the Matter of the Effects on Utilities of the 2017 Tax Cuts and Jobs Act

(West Virginia PSC)

20180047-EI Duke Energy Florida, LLC. (Florida PSC)
20180046-EI Florida Power & Light Company (Florida PSC)

20180048-EI Florida Public Utilities Company – Electric (Florida PSC)
20180052-GU Florida Public Utilities Company – Indiantown (Florida PSC)
20180054-GU Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation (Florida PSC)
20180051-GU Florida PSC)
20180053-GU Florida PSC)

Cause No. 45032 S4 Indiana American Water Company, Inc. Phase 2 (Indiana Utility Regulatory

Commission)

Docket No. D2018.1.6 Montana-Dakota Utilities Co. (Montana PSC)
Docket No. D2018.4.24 NorthWestern Energy (Montana PSC)
Docket No. D2018.4.22 Montana-Dakota Utilities Co. (Montana PSC)

18-0573-W-42T & 18-

0576-S-42T West Virginia-American Water Company (West Virginia PSC)

18-0646-E-42T & 18-0645

E-D Appalachian Power Company and Wheeling Power Company (West Virginia

PSC)

18-0049-GA-ALT, 18-0298-GA-AIR, &

18-0299-GA-ALT Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc. (Ohio PUC)

R-2018-3003558, R-2018-

3003561 Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc. and Aqua Pennsylvania Wastewater, Inc.

(Pennsylvania PUC)

Cause No. 45142 Indiana-American Water Company, Inc. (Indiana Utility Regulatory

Commission)

U-18-043 Cook Inlet Natural Gas Storage Alaska, LLC (Regulatory Commission of

Alaska)

T-03214-17-0305 Citizens Telecommunications Company of The White Mountains, Inc. d/b/a

Frontier Communications of The White Mountains (Arizona CC)

Docket No. D2018.9.60 Montana-Dakota Utilities Co. (Montana PSC)

Docket No. 20220067-GU Qualifications of Ralph C. Smith Exhibit RCS-1 Page 15 of 16

Docket No. 4890 Narragansett Bay Commission (Rhode Island PUC)

PUR-2018-00131 Columbia Gas of Virginia (Virginia SCC)

EL18-152-000 Louisiana PSC v. System Energy Resources, Inc. and Entergy Services, Inc.

(FERC)

PUR-2018-00175 Virginia-American Water Company (Virginia SCC)

A-2018-3006061, A-2018-3006062 and A-2018-

3006063 Aqua America, Inc., Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc., Aqua Pennsylvania Wastewater,

Inc., Peoples Natural Gas Company LLC, Peoples Gas Company LLC

(Pennsylvania PUC)

Docket No. D2018.2.12 NorthWestern Energy (Montana PSC)

Docket No. 42310 Georgia Power Company – Integrated Resource Plan (Georgia PSC)
U-18-102 Municipality of Anchorage d/b/a Municipal Light & Power Department

(Regulatory Commission of Alaska)

PUC Docket No. 49494 AEP Texas, Inc. (Texas PUC)

Application 18-12-009 Pacific Gas and Electric Company (California PUC) 19-0316-G-42T Mountaineer Gas Company (West Virginia PSC)

U-19-020 Chugach Electric Association, Inc. and Municipality of Anchorage d/b/a

Municipal Light & Power Department (Regulatory Commission of Alaska)

19-0051-EL-RDR Management/Performance and Financial Audit of the Alternative Energy

Recovery Rider of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (Ohio PUC)

A-2018-3006061, A-2018-3006062, and

A-2018-3006063 Joint Application of Aqua America, Inc., Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc. Aqua

Pennsylvania Wastewater, Inc., Peoples Natural Gas Company LLC, and

Peoples Gas Company LLC (Pennsylvania PUC)

ER-18-1182-001 System Energy Resources, Inc. (FERC)
E-01933A-19-0028 Tuscon Electric Power Company (Arizona CC)
G-01551A-19-0055 Southwest Gas Corporation (Arizona CC)
2018-UN-205 Entergy Mississippi, LLC (Mississippi PSC)

W-03039A-17-0295, W-03039A-19-0092, and

WS-01303A-19-0092 Brooke Water, LLC and EPCOR Water Arizona (Arizona CC)

Docket No. 4975 Block Island Utility District d/b/a Block Island Power Company (Rhode Island

PUC)

A-2019-3014248 Pennsylvania-American Water Company and Wastewater System Assets of

Kane Borough (Pennsylvania PUC)

Docket No. 4994 Providence Water Supply Board (Rhode Island PUC)

19-0791-GA-ALT Plant in Service and Capital Spending Prudence Audit of Duke Energy Ohio

(Ohio PUC)

U-19-070/U-19-071/

U-19-087/U-19-088 Golden Heart Utilities, Inc. and College Utilities Corporation (Regulatory

Commission of Alaska)

Docket No. 42516 Georgia Power Company (Georgia PSC) 20200070-EI Gulf Power Company (Florida PSC)

20200071-EI Florida Power & Light Company (Florida PSC)

20200092-EI Florida Power & Light Company and Gulf Power Company (Florida PSC)
20-GREC-01 Fitchburg Gas and Electric Light Company d/b/a Unitil (Massachusetts DPU)
20-GREC-03 Boston Gas Company and Colonial Gas Company d/b/a National Grid

(Massachusetts DPU)

20-GREC-04 Liberty Utilities (New England Natural Gas Company) Corp d/b/a Liberty

Utilities (Massachusetts DPU)

PUR-2020-00015 Appalachian Power Company (Virginia SCC)

20-0414-G-390P Hope Gas, Inc. dba Dominion Energy West Virginia (West Virginia PSC)

Cause No. 45032-S16 Hamilton Southeastern Utilities, Inc. (Indiana URC)

Docket No. 20220067-GU Qualifications of Ralph C. Smith Exhibit RCS-1 Page 16 of 16

2019.1.101	NorthWestern Energy (Montana PSC)
A-2019-3015173	Aqua Pennsylvania Wastewater Inc. and Wastewater System Assets of the
	Delaware County Regional Water Quality Control Authority (Pennsylvania PUC)
R-2020-3019369 and	
R-2020-3019371	Pennsylvania American Water Company (Pennsylvania PUC)
2020.06.076	Montana-Dakota Utilities Co. (Montana PSC)
P-20-005	Cook Inlet Pipeline LLC (Regulatory Commission of Alaska)
2020.05.055	Montana-Dakota Utilities Co. (Montana PSC)
2020.05.066	NorthWestern Energy (Montana PSC)
20-553-EL-RDR	Management/Performance and Financial Audit of the Alternative Energy Rider of the Dayton Power and Light Company (Ohio PUC)
E-01345A-19-0236	Arizona Public Service Company (Arizona CC)
U-20-012	Cook Inlet Natural Gas Storage Alaska, LLC (Regulatory Commission of Alaska)
Docket No. 20-01-31	The Southern New England Telephone Company d/b/a Frontier
	Communications of Connecticut (SNET) (Connecticut PURA)
P-2020-3021191	Peoples Natural Gas Company LLC (Pennsylvania PUC)
A-2021-3026523,	
A-2021-3026515, and	
A-2021-3026522	Joint Application of Veolia Environnement S.A., Veolia North America, Inc., SUEZ S.A., SUEZ Water Pennsylvania Inc. and SUEZ Water Bethel Inc. (Pennsylvania PUC)
A-2021-3026794 and	
A-2021-3026796	Joint Application of Peoples Natural Gas Company LLC and Peoples Gas
	Company (Pennsylvania PUC)
A-2021-3026774 and	
A-2021-3026775	Joint Application of Aqua Pennsylvania Inc., and Aqua Pennsylvania Wastewater Inc. (Pennsylvania PUC)
A-2021-3024267	Aqua Pennsylvania Wastewater Inc. (Pennsylvania PUC)
R-2021-3027385 and	
R-2021-3027386	Aqua Pennsylvania, Inc, and Aqua Pennsylvania Wastewater, Inc.
	(Pennsylvania PUC)
21-0595-WW-AIR	Aqua Ohio, Inc. (Ohio PUC)
21-0596-ST-AIR	Aqua Ohio Wastewater, Inc. (Ohio PUC)
U-21-058	Cook Inlet Natural Gas Storage Alaska, LLC (Regulatory Commission of Alaska)
E-01345A-21-0056	Fuel and Purchased Power Audit of Arizona Public Service Company (Arizona CC)

### Florida Public Utilities Company Consolidated Gas Docket No. 20220067-GU Exhibit RCS-2

### Revenue Requirement Schedules

### Accompanying the Direct Testimony of Ralph C. Smith

Schedule	Description	Pages	Confidential	Exhibit Page No.
	Revenue Requirement Summary Schedules			
A	Calculation of Revenue Deficiency (Sufficiency)	2	No	2-3
A-1	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor	1	No	4
В	Adjusted Rate Base	1	No	5
B.1	Summary of Adjustments to Rate Base	1	No	6
С	Adjusted Net Operating Income	1	No	7
C.1	Summary of Net Operating Income Adjustments	2	No	8-9
D	Capital Structure and Cost Rates	1	No	10
	Rate Base Adjustments			
B-1	Miscellaneous Intangible Plant	1	No	11
B-2	Directors and Officers Liability Insurance Expense in Working Capital	1	No	12
B-3	Accumulated Depreciation - New Depreciation Rates	1	No	13
B-4	Unamortized Rate Case Expense	1	No	14
B-5	Accumulated Depreciation - Area Expansion Program	1	No	15
B-6	FPUC Merger Acquisition Adjustment	1	No	16
	Net Operating Income Adjustments			
C-1	Depreciation Expense - New Depreciation Rates	5	No	17-21
C-2	Amortizaton Expense Related to FPUC Merger Acquisition Adjustment	1	No	22
C-3	Incentive Compensation Expense	1	No	23
C-4	Stock-Based Compensation Expense	1	No	24
C-5	Payroll Tax Expense	1	No	25
C-6	Supplemental Executive Retirement Program (SERP) Expense	1	No	26
C-7	Directors and Officers Liability Insurance Expense	1	No	27
C-8	Rent Expense	1	No	28
C-9	Lobbying Costs	1	No	29
C-10	Interest Synchronization	1	No	30
C-11	Parent Company Debt Adjustment	2	No	31-32
C-12	Company Sponsored Events	1	No	33
	Total Pages, Including Content Listing	33		

### Florida Public Utilities Company Consolidated Gas Computation of Increase in Gross Revenue Requirement

Projected Test Year Ended December 31, 2023

Docket No. 20220067-GU Exhibit RCS-2 Schedule A Page 1 of 2

T :		C-1 (D)		Per	Per		
Line No.	Description	Col. (B) Reference		Company Amount	OPC Amount	Difference	
				(A)	(B)	1	C) = (B) - (A)
1	Jurisdictional Adjusted Rate Base	Exh. RCS-2, Sch. B-1	\$	454,887,154	\$ 435,138,548	\$	(19,748,607)
2	Required Rate of Return	Exh. RCS-2, Sch. D		6.43%	 5.20%		
3	Jurisdictional Income Required	Line 1 x Line 2	\$	29,249,244	\$ 22,637,240	\$	(6,612,004)
4	Jurisdictional Adj. Net Operating Income	Exh. RCS-2, Sch. C-1	\$	11,417,702	\$ 16,894,019	\$	5,476,316
5	Income Deficiency (Sufficiency)	Line 3 - Line 4	\$	17,831,542	\$ 5,743,222	\$	(12,088,320)
6	Earned Rate of Return	Line 4 / Line 1		2.51%	3.88%		
7	Net Operating Income Multiplier	Exh. RCS-2, Sch. A-1		1.34941	 1.34941		
8	Revenue Deficiency (Sufficiency)	Line 5 x Line 7	\$	24,061,982	\$ 7,749,935	\$	(16,312,047)
9	GRIP Surcharge Revenue Embedded in Base Rates		\$	19,755,931	\$ 19,755,931	\$	-
10	Total Revenue Requirement		\$	43,817,913	\$ 27,505,866	\$	(16,312,047)

Source/Notes:

Col. (A): MFR Schedule G-5 Consolidated

### Docket No. 20220067-GU Revenue Requirement Schedules Exhibit RCS-2, Page 3 of 33

Florida Public Utilities Company Consolidated Gas Revenue Requirement Reconciliation Projected Test Year Ended December 31, 2023

Docket No. 20220067-GU Exhibit RCS-2 Schedule A Page 2 of 2

Line No.	Description	Schedule			R		Conversion Factor		Equivalent Revenue Requirement Amount
						(A)	(B)		(C)
1	Rate of return difference	D					-1.23%		
2	OPC GRCF	A-1					1.34941		
3	Rate Base				Φ.	454 007 154	-1.656657%	•	(7.525.010)
4	Original Cost Rate Base per FPL's Filing OPC ROR	B D			\$	454,887,154	5.200/	\$	(7,535,918)
5 6	OPC ROR x GRCF	Ъ					5.20% 7.02%		
o	Effect of OPC adjustments to Rate Base						7.0270		
7	Miscellaneous Intangible Plant	B-1			\$	(85,839)	7.02%	\$	(6,026)
8	Directors and Officers Liability Insurance Expense in Working Capital	B-2			\$	(18,049)	7.02%	\$	(1,267)
9	Accumulated Depreciation - New Depreciation Rates	B-3			\$	928,851	7.02%	\$	65,206
10	Unamortized Rate Case Expense	B-4			\$	(1,871,956)	7.02%	\$	(131,412)
11	Accumulated Depreciation - Area Expansion Program	B-5			\$	(85,698)	7.02%	\$	(6,016)
12	FPUC Merger Acquisition Adjustment	B-6			\$	(18,615,916)	7.02%	\$	(1,306,841)
13	Total OPC Original Cost Rate Base Adjustments				\$	(19,748,607)			( ) /- /
14	OPC Adjusted Original Cost Rate Base				\$	435,138,548			
				C Revenue and xpense Adjs.		C Adjusted Net erating Income			
	Net Operating Income			(D)		(E)			
15	Net Operating Income per FPUC's Filing				\$	11,417,702			
16	Effect of OPC Adjustments on NOI						GRCF		
17	Depreciation Expense - New Depreciation Rates	C-1	\$	(2,204,818)	\$	1,646,007	1.34941	\$	(2,221,130)
18	Amortizaton Expense Related to FPUC Merger Acquisition Adjustment	C-2	\$	(1,139,750)	\$	850,880	1.34941	\$	(1,148,183)
19	Incentive Compensation Expense	C-3	\$	(1,090,101)	\$	813,815	1.34941	\$	(1,098,166)
20	Stock-Based Compensation Expense	C-4	\$	(1,375,505)	\$	1,026,883	1.34941	\$	(1,385,682)
21	Payroll Tax Expense	C-5	\$	(188,619)	\$	140,813	1.34941	\$	(190,014)
22	Supplemental Executive Retirement Program (SERP) Expense	C-6	\$	(1,762)	\$	1,315	1.34941	\$	(1,775)
23	Directors and Officers Liability Insurance Expense	C-7	\$	(85,528)	\$	63,851	1.34941	\$	(86,160)
24	Rent Expense	C-8	\$	(78,249)	\$	58,417	1.34941	\$	(78,828)
25	Lobbying Costs	C-9	\$	(41,881)	\$	31,266	1.34941	\$	(42,191)
26	Interest Synchronization	C-10 C-11	\$ \$	-	\$ \$	134,104	1.34941	\$ \$	(180,961)
27 28	Parent Company Debt Adjustment Company Sponsored Events	C-11 C-12	\$	(38,835)	\$ \$	679,973 28,992	1.34941 1.34941	\$	(917,559) (39,122)
29	Total OPC Adjustments to Pre-Tax Income and to Operating Income	C-12	\$	(6,245,046)	\$	5,476,316	1.34941	Ф	(39,122)
30	OPC Adjusted Net Operating Income		9	(0,243,040)	\$	16,894,019			
30	• •				Þ	10,894,019			
31	Gross Revenue Conversion Factor Difference: Per OPC						1.34941		
32	Per Company						1.34941		
33	Difference						0.00000		
34	Company adjusted NOI deficiency						\$ 17,831,542		
35	GRCF difference						ψ 17,031,3 <del>1</del> 2	\$	-
36	OPC REVENUE REQUIREMENT ADJUSTMENTS IDENTIFIED ABOY	VE						\$	(16,312,045)
37	Company requested Base Rate Revenue Increase	Exhibit RCS-2, S	Schedul	e A, page 1, colu	ımn A	, line 8		\$	24,061,982
38	Reconciled Revenue Requirement	,		.10				\$	7,749,937
39	Revenue Requirement Calculated	Exhibit RCS-2,	Schedul	e A, page 1, colu	ımn B	, line 8		\$	7,749,935
40	Unidentified Difference							\$	2
Notes a	nd Source								

Notes and Source
Pre-tax return computed using Gross Revenue Conversion Factor

Florida Public Utilities Company Consolidated Gas Computation of Gross Revenue Conversion Factor

Projected Test Year Ended December 31, 2023

Docket No. 20220067-GU Exhibit RCS-2 Schedule A-1 Page 1 of 1

Line No.	Description	Reference	Per Company	OPC Proposed
			(A)	(B)
1	Revenue Requirement		100.0000%	100.0000%
2	Gross Receipts Tax Rate		0.0000%	0.0000%
3	Regulatory Assessment Rate		0.5030%	0.5030%
4	Bad Debt Rate		0.2314%	0.2314%
5	Net Before Income Taxes	L1 - L2 - L3	99.2656%	99.2656%
6	State Income Tax Rate		5.5000%	5.5000%
7	State Income Tax	L4 x L5	5.4596%	5.4596%
8	Net Before Federal Income Tax	L4 -L6	93.8060%	93.8060%
9	Federal Income Tax Rate		21.0000%	21.0000%
10	Federal Income Tax	L7 x L8	19.6993%	19.6993%
11	Revenue Expansion Factor	L7 - L9	74.1067%	74.1067%
12	Net Operating Income Multiplier	100% / L10	1.3494	1.3494
Notes a	nd Source			
	): FPUC Schedule G-4 Consolidated			
13	Combined State and Federal Income Tax Rate		25.35%	25.35%
14	Components of Revenue Requirement Increase (S	Percent	Amount	
15	Net Income	(C) 74.11%	(D) 5,743,221	
16	Federal Income Taxes	19.70%	1,526,679	
17	State Income Taxes	5.46%	423,116	
18	Uncollectibles	0.23%	17,936	
19	Regulatory Assessment Rate	0.50%	38,982	
20	Total Revenue Increase	100.00%	7,749,935	
21	Total Revenue Increase per Schedule A		\$ 7,749,935	
22	Difference		\$ (0.01)	

Docket No. 20220067-GU Exhibit RCS-2 Schedule B Page 1 of 1

Line No.	Description		Per FPUC	1	OPC Adjustments	As Adjusted by OPC		
			(A)		(B)		(C)	
	<u>Utility Plant</u>							
1	Plant in Service	\$	553,254,413	\$	(85,839)	\$	553,168,574	
2	Common Plant Allocated	\$	8,688,278	\$	-	\$	8,688,278	
3	Acquisition Adjustment	\$	36,202,069	\$	(34,192,493)	\$	2,009,576	
4	Completed Not Classified Plant	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
5	Contruction Work in Process	\$	7,130,484	\$	-	\$	7,130,484	
6	Goodwill	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
7	Common Construction Work in Progress	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
8	Total	\$	605,275,245	\$	(34,278,332)	\$	570,996,913	
	Deductions							
9	Accumulated Depreciation - Utility Plant	\$	(134,992,960)	\$	843,153	\$	(134,149,807)	
10	Accumulated Depreciation - Common Plant	\$	(2,966,035)	\$	_	\$	(2,966,035)	
11	Accumulated Depreciation - Acquisition Adjustment	\$	(17,118,275)	\$	15,576,577	\$	(1,541,698)	
12	Retirement Work in Progress	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
13	Customer Adv. For Const.	\$	(695,131)	\$	-	\$	(695,131)	
14	Total Deductions	\$	(155,772,401)	\$	16,419,730	\$	(139,352,671)	
15	Plant Net	\$	449,502,843	\$	(17,858,602)	\$	431,644,241	
	Allowance for Working Capital							
16	Balance Sheet Method	\$	(469,046)	\$	-	\$	(469,046)	
17	Common Working Capital	\$	5,853,357	\$	(1,890,005)	\$	3,963,353	
18	Total Rate Base	\$	454,887,154	\$	(19,748,607)	\$	435,138,548	

Col. (A): Company MFR Schedule G-1, page 1 of 28

Col. (

Docket No. 20220067-GU Exhibit RCS-2 Schedule B.1 Page 1 of 1

Line No.	Description	OP	C Adjustments		iscellaneous angible Plant B-1	Off	Directors and Dicers Liability Insurance Expense in Orking Capital B-2	Dep	cumulated preciation - New preciation Rates B-3	namortized Rate Case Expense B-4	De Are	eccumulated epreciation - ta Expansion Program B-5	1	PUC Merger Acquisition Adjustment B-6
	Utility Plant													
1	Plant in Service	\$	(85,839)	\$	(85,839)									
2	Common Plant Allocated	\$	(65,659)	Ψ	(65,659)									
3	Acquisition Adjustment	\$ \$	(34,192,493)										2	(34,192,493)
4	Completed Not Classified Plant	\$	(31,172,173)										Ψ	(31,172,173)
5	Contruction Work in Process	\$	_											
6	Goodwill	\$	-											
7	Common Construction Work in Progress	\$	-											
8	Total	\$	(34,278,332)	\$	(85,839)	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -	\$	-	\$	(34,192,493)
	Deductions													
9	Accumulated Depreciation - Utility Plant	\$	843,153					\$	928,851		\$	(85,698)		
10	Accumulated Depreciation - Common Plant	\$	_						,			( ) ,		
11	Accumulated Depreciation - Acquisition Adjustment	\$	15,576,577										\$	15,576,577
12	Retirement Work in Progress	\$	· · · · -											
13	Customer Adv. For Const.	\$	-											
14	Total Deductions	\$	16,419,730	\$	-	\$	-	\$	928,851	\$ -	\$	(85,698)	\$	15,576,577
15	Plant Net	\$	(17,858,602)	\$	(85,839)	\$	-	\$	928,851	\$ -	\$	(85,698)	\$	(18,615,916)
	Allowance for Working Capital													
16	Balance Sheet Method	\$	-											
17	Common Working Capital	\$	(1,890,005)			\$	(18,049)			\$ (1,871,956)				
18	Total Rate Base	\$	(19,748,607)	\$	(85,839)	\$	(18,049)	\$	928,851	\$ (1,871,956)	\$	(85,698)	\$	(18,615,916)

Docket No. 20220067-GU

Florida Public Utilities Company Consolidated Gas Adjusted Net Operating Income

Projected Test Year Ended December 31, 2023

Schedule C Page 1 of 1

Exhibit RCS-2

Line	ne		As Adjusted		OPC	As Adjusted		
No.	Description		by FPUC	A	Adjustments	by OPC		
		(A)		(B)		(C)		
1	Operating Revenues	\$	81,305,318	\$	_	\$ 81,305,318		
	Operating Revenue Deductions							
2	Operating & Maintenance Expense	\$	44,026,719	\$	(2,711,860)	\$ 41,314,859		
3	Depreciation & Amortization Expense	\$	16,316,662	\$	(3,344,568)	\$ 12,972,094		
4	Taxes Other Than Income	\$	7,566,334	\$	(188,619)	\$ 7,377,715		
5	Income Taxes - Federal	\$	281,039	\$	454,354	\$ 735,393		
6	Income Taxes - State	\$	(547,197)	\$	314,376	\$ (232,821)		
7	Deferred Taxes - Federal	\$	1,208,287	\$	-	\$ 1,208,287		
8	Deferred Taxes - State	\$	1,035,772	\$	-	\$ 1,035,772		
9	Investment Tax Credits	\$	-	\$	-	\$ -		
10	Total Operating Revenue Deductions	\$	69,887,615	\$	(5,476,316)	\$ 64,411,299		
11	Net Operating Income	\$	11,417,702	\$	5,476,316	\$ 16,894,019		

### Notes and Source

Col.(A): Per Company amounts are from FPUC MFR Schedule G-2, page 1 of 31

Col. (B): RCS-2, Schedule C.1

### Florida Public Utilities Company Consolidated Gas Summary of Net Operating Income Adjustments

Projected Test Year Ended December 31, 2023

Docket No. 20220067-GU Exhibit RCS-2 Schedule C.1 Page 1 of 2

Line No.	Description	Ac	OPC ljustments	Ex	Depreciation spense - New Depreciation Rates	Amortizaton Expense Related to PUC Merger	C	Incentive ompensation Expense	tock-Based ompensation Expense	ayroll Tax Expense	E R	pplemental Executive etirement Program	L	ectors and Officers Liability Insurance
	•		•		C-1	C-2		C-3	C-4	C-5		C-6		C-7
1	Operating Revenues	\$												
	Operating Revenue Deductions													
2	Operating & Maintenance Expense	\$	(2,711,860)				\$	(1,090,101)	\$ (1,375,505)		\$	(1,762)	\$	(85,528)
3	Depreciation and Amortization Expense	\$	(3,344,568)	\$	(2,204,818)	\$ (1,139,750)								
4	Taxes Other Than Income	\$	(188,619)							\$ (188,619)				
5	Deferred Taxes - Federal	\$	-											
6	Deferred Taxes - State	\$	-											
7	Investment Tax Credits	\$	-											
8	PRE-TAX OPERATING EXPENSES	\$	(6,245,046)	\$	(2,204,818)	\$ (1,139,750)	\$	(1,090,101)	\$ (1,375,505)	\$ (188,619)	\$	(1,762)	\$	(85,528)
9	PRE-TAX OPERATING INCOME	\$	6,245,046	\$	2,204,818	\$ 1,139,750	\$	1,090,101	\$ 1,375,505	\$ 188,619	\$	1,762	\$	85,528
10	Income Taxes - Federal	\$	454,354	\$	437,546	\$ 226,183	\$	216,330	\$ 272,969	\$ 37,431	\$	350	\$	16,973
11	Income Taxes - State	\$	314,376	\$	121,265	\$ 62,686	\$	59,956	\$ 75,653	\$ 10,374	\$	97	\$	4,704
12	Total Income Taxes	\$	768,730	\$	558,811	\$ 288,870	\$	276,286	\$ 348,622	\$ 47,805	\$	447	\$	21,677
13	TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	\$	(5,476,316)	\$	(1,646,007)	\$ (850,880)	\$	(813,815)	\$ (1,026,883)	\$ (140,813)	\$	(1,315)	\$	(63,851)
14	OPERATING INCOME	\$	5,476,316	\$	1,646,007	\$ 850,880	\$	813,815	\$ 1,026,883	\$ 140,813	\$	1,315	\$	63,851
Notes	and Source													

Notes and Source

Combined Effective Tax Rate*	5.50%
Comomon Lineary Campitate	
Federal Income Tax Rate*	21.00%

\*Per MFR Schedule G-6, page 4 of 4

### Florida Public Utilities Company Consolidated Gas Summary of Net Operating Income Adjustments

Projected Test Year Ended December 31, 2023

Docket No. 20220067-GU Exhibit RCS-2 Schedule C.1 Page 2 of 2

											Company
Line			Rent				Interest	Par	ent Company		Sponsored
No.	Description		Expense	Lob	bying Costs	Sy	nchronization	Deb	ot Adjustment		Events
			C-8 C-9		C-9	C-10		C-11			C-12
1	Operating Revenues	_									
	Operating Revenue Deductions										
2	Operating & Maintenance Expense		\$ (78,249)	\$	(41,881)					\$	(38,835)
3	Depreciation and Amortization Expense										
4	Taxes Other Than Income										
5	Deferred Taxes - Federal										
6	Deferred Taxes - State										
7	Investment Tax Credits										
8	PRE-TAX OPERATING EXPENSES	_	\$ (78,249)	\$	(41,881)	\$	-	\$	-	\$	(38,835)
9	PRE-TAX OPERATING INCOME	_	\$ 78,249	\$	41,881	\$	-	\$	-	\$	38,835
10	Income Taxes - Federal	_	\$ 15,529	\$	8,311	\$	(105,003)	\$	(679,973)	\$	7,707
11	Income Taxes - State	_	\$ 4,304	\$	2,303	\$	(29,101)	\$	-	\$	2,136
12	Total Income Taxes	_	\$ 19,832	\$	10,615	\$	(134,104)	\$	(679,973)	\$	9,843
13	TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	_	\$ (58,417)	\$	(31,266)	\$	(134,104)	\$	(679,973)	\$	(28,992)
14	OPERATING INCOME	_	\$ 58,417	\$	31,266	\$	134,104	\$	679,973	\$	28,992
	and Source										
	ined Effective Tax Rate*	5.50%									
Federa	al Income Tax Rate*  *Per MFR Schedule G-6, page 4 of 4	21.00%									

Docket No. 20220067-GU Exhibit RCS-2 Schedule D Page 1 of 1

Projected Test Year Ended December 31, 2023

Line		S	Capital Structure Per	Adjustments to	Adjusted	Specific	Rate Base Adjustments Pro Rata to	Adjusted		Cost	Weighted
No.	Description		Company	Cap. Structure	 Amounts	Adjustments	Capital Structure	Amounts	Ratio	Rate	Cost Rate
			(A)	(B)	 (C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
	Per Company										
1	Common Equity	\$	205,350,391		\$ 205,350,391			\$ 205,350,391	45.14%	11.25%	5.08%
2	Long Term Debt	\$	148,546,502		\$ 148,546,502			\$ 148,546,502	32.66%	3.48%	1.14%
3	Short Term Debt	\$	20,789,980		\$ 20,789,980			\$ 20,789,980	4.57%	3.28%	0.15%
4	Customer Deposits	\$	10,782,475		\$ 10,782,475			\$ 10,782,475	2.37%	2.37%	0.06%
5	Deferred Taxes	\$	42,152,613		\$ 42,152,613			\$ 42,152,613	9.27%	0.00%	0.00%
6	Deferred Tax Common	\$	79,591		\$ 79,591			\$ 79,591	0.02%	0.00%	0.00%
7	Regulatory Tax Liab.	\$	27,159,827		\$ 27,159,827			\$ 27,159,827	5.97%	0.00%	0.00%
8	Regulatory Tax Liab. Common	\$	25,774		\$ 25,774			\$ 25,774	0.01%	0.00%	0.00%
9	Total	\$	454,887,154	\$ -	\$ 454,887,154	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 454,887,154	100.00%		6.43%

										Rate Base				
			Capital		OPC					Adjustments	Per OPC			
		S	Structure Per	A	djustments to	Adjusted	Spe	cific		Pro Rata to	Adjusted		Cost	Weighted
	Per OPC		Company	C	ap. Structure	Amounts	Adjus	tments	Ca	pital Structure	Amounts	Ratio	Rate	Cost Rate
10	Common Equity	\$	205,350,391	\$	(24,898,365)	\$ 180,452,026			\$	(7,834,198)	\$ 172,617,828	39.67%	9.25%	3.67%
11	Long Term Debt	\$	148,546,502	\$	24,898,365	\$ 173,444,868			\$	(7,529,987)	\$ 165,914,880	38.13%	3.48%	1.33%
12	Short Term Debt	\$	20,789,980	\$	-	\$ 20,789,980			\$	(902,582)	\$ 19,887,398	4.57%	3.28%	0.15%
13	Customer Deposits	\$	10,782,475	\$	-	\$ 10,782,475			\$	(468,114)	\$ 10,314,361	2.37%	2.37%	0.06%
14	Deferred Taxes	\$	42,152,613	\$	-	\$ 42,152,613			\$	(1,830,026)	\$ 40,322,587	9.27%	0.00%	0.00%
15	Deferred Tax Common	\$	79,591	\$	-	\$ 79,591			\$	(3,455)	\$ 76,135	0.02%	0.00%	0.00%
16	Regulatory Tax Liab.	\$	27,159,827	\$	-	\$ 27,159,827			\$	(1,179,125)	\$ 25,980,702	5.97%	0.00%	0.00%
17	Regulatory Tax Liab. Common	\$	25,774	\$		\$ 25,774			\$	(1,119)	\$ 24,655	0.01%	0.00%	0.00%
18	Total	\$	454,887,154	\$	0	\$ 454,887,154	\$	-	\$	(19,748,607)	\$ 435,138,547	100.00%		5.20%

19 Difference -1.23%

			Per FPUC	Equity/LTD	Equity/LTD	Percentage	OP	C Adjustment
	^ Ratio of Equity and Debt Components	Amounts		Ratio Per FPUC	Ratio Per OPC	Difference	to C	apital Structure
			(a)	(b)	(c)	(d) = (c - b)		$(e) = (a \times d)$
20	Common Equity	\$	205,350,391	58.03%	50.99%	-7.04%	\$	(24,898,365)
21	Long Term Debt	\$	148,546,502	41.97%	49.01%	7.04%	\$	24,898,365
22		\$	353,896,894	100.00%	100.00%		\$	0

#### Notes and Source

The per Company amounts are from MFR Schedule G-3, page 2 of 11

Column (C): Capitalization Ratio per OPC sponsored by OPC Witness David Garrett

Column (D): OPC rate base adjustments are from Schedule B

Rate Base Adjustments

OPC RB Adjs \$ (19,748,607)

Column (G): Lines 11 - 17 based on per-FPUC Cost rates. Return on Equity on line 10 sponsored by OPC witness David Garrett

FPUC Weighted Cost of Debt for Interest Synchronization OPC Weighted Cost of Debt for Interest Synchronization

1.35% Col. H, lines 2, 3 and 4 1.53% Col. H, lines 11, 12 and 13 Florida Public Utilities Company Consolidated Gas Miscellaneous Intangible Plant Docket No. 20220067-GU Schedule B-1 Page 1 of 1

Projected Test Year Ended December 31, 2023

L	/11	ne

No.	Description	F	Amount	Reference
			(A)	
1	OPC Adjustment to Miscellaneous Intangible Plant	\$	(85,839)	A

Notes and Source:

A: Amount from the response to OPC ROG 119

Florida Public Utilities Company Consolidated Gas Directors and Officers Liability Insurance Expense in Working Capital Docket No. 20220067-GU Exhibit RCS-2 Schedule B-2 Page 1 of 1

Projected Test Year Ended December 31, 2023

Line							OPC
No.	Description	Pe	Per FPUC Per OI			Ac	ljustment
			(A)		(B)		(C)
1	OPC Adjustment to Directors and Officers Liability Insurance	\$	36,098	\$	18,049	\$	(18,049)
Notes	and Source						
Col. A	A: Amount from FPUC's response to OPC ROG 61						
Col. E	3: Disallowance Calculation:						
	Directors and Officers Liability Insurance in Working Capital - Per FPUC	\$	36,098				
	Disallowance Percentage		50%				
	Directors and Officers Liability Insurance in Working Capital - Per OPC	\$	18,049				

Florida Public Utilities Company Consolidated Gas Accumulated Depreciation - New Depreciation Rates Docket No. 20220067-GU Exhibit RCS-2 Schedule B-3 Page 1 of 1

Projected Test Year Ended December 31, 2023

Line

No.	Description	 Amount	Reference
1	OPC Adjustment to Accumulated Depreciation	\$ 928,851	A

### Notes and Source:

			(	Cumulative
_	Description	 Amount		Amount
2	January 2023	 		_
3	February 2023	\$ (181,499)	\$	(181,499)
4	March 2023	\$ (181,763)	\$	(363,262)
5	April 2023	\$ (182,084)	\$	(545,346)
6	May 2023	\$ (182,580)	\$	(727,926)
7	June 2023	\$ (183,076)	\$	(911,002)
8	July 2023	\$ (183,571)	\$	(1,094,573)
9	August 2023	\$ (184,125)	\$	(1,278,699)
10	September 2023	\$ (184,680)	\$	(1,463,378)
11	October 2023	\$ (186,180)	\$	(1,649,559)
12	November 2023	\$ (186,735)	\$	(1,836,294)
13	December 2023	\$ (187,231)	\$	(2,023,525)
14	Total	\$ (2,023,525)	\$	(12,075,061)
15	Divide by 13 Months	·		13
16	OPC Adjustment to Accumulated Depreciation		\$	(928,851)

Florida Public Utilities Company Consolidated Gas Unamortized Rate Case Expense

Projected Test Year Ended December 31, 2023

Docket No. 20220067-GU Exhibit RCS-2 Schedule B-4 Page 1 of 1

Line

No.	Description	Amount	Reference
		 (A)	
1	OPC Adjustment to Remove Unamortized Rate Case Expense from Rate Base	\$ (1,871,956)	A

# Notes and Source:

A: Amount calculated below using information from the response to OPC ROG 139:

	Description	Amount	Reference
2	One-half of total projected rate case expense of \$3,743,911 from original filing	\$ 1,871,956	MFR Sch. G-1, page 5
3	One-half of total corrected rate case expense of \$3,427,574 from MFR Sch. C-13	\$ 1,713,787	OPC ROG 139
4	Difference representing the Company's correction	\$ (158,169)	L3 - L2
5	One-half of total corrected rate case expense of \$3,427,574 from MFR Sch. C-13	\$ (1,713,787)	OPC ROG 139
6	Total amount of rate case expense to be removed from working capital	\$ (1,871,956)	L4 + L5

	Public Utilities Company Consolidated Gas lated Depreciation - Area Expansion Program		0220067-GU khibit RCS-2 Schedule B-5
Projecte	d Test Year Ended December 31, 2023	L	Page 1 of 1
Line No.	Description  OPC Adjustment to Assumulated Depresiation Related to the Area Expansion Program	Amount (A)	Reference
1	OPC Adjustment to Accumulated Depreciation Related to the Area Expansion Program	\$ (85,698)	A

Notes and Source
A: Amount from the response to Staff ROG 7(b)

Florida Public Utilities Company Consolidated Gas FPUC Merger Acquisition Adjustment

Projected Test Year Ended December 31, 2023

Docket No. 20220067-GU Exhibit RCS-2 Schedule B-6 Page 1 of 1

			6
Line			
No.	Description	Amount	Reference
		(A)	
1	Adjustment to Remove Acquisition Adjustment Related to the Merger of FPUC with Chesapeake Utilities Corporation	\$ (34,192,493)	A
2	Adjustment to Accumulated Amortization Related to the Merger of FPUC with Chesapeake Utilities Corporation	\$ 15,576,577	В
3	Net Adjustment to Rate Base	\$ (18,615,916)	
Notes	and Source:		
	nount from Schedule B-6 Consolidated, Page 3 of 3 from FPUC's filing		
	ccumulated Amortization amount calculated below using information from Schedule B-6 Consolidated, page 3 of 3		
	Description	Amount	
4	Acquisition Adjustment Related to the Merger of FPUC with Chesapeake Utilities Corporation	\$ 34,192,493	
5	Amortization Period - Years	30	
6	Annual Amortization	\$ 1,139,750	
7	Amortization Period - Months	12	
8	Monthly Amortization Amount	\$ 94,979	
0	Molally Allottzation Allount	φ <i>9</i> π, <i>919</i>	
			Monthly
	Description	Amount	Amortization
9	December 2021	\$ (13,866,952)	\$ 94,979
10	January 2022	\$ (13,961,932)	\$ 94,979
11	February 2022	\$ (14,056,911)	\$ 94,979
12	March 2022	\$ (14,151,890)	\$ 94,979
13	April 2022	\$ (14,246,869)	\$ 94,979
14	May 2022	\$ (14,341,848)	\$ 94,979
15	June 2022	\$ (14,436,827)	\$ 94,979
16	July 2022	\$ (14,531,806)	\$ 94,979
17	August 2022	\$ (14,626,786)	\$ 94,979
18	September 2022	\$ (14,721,765)	\$ 94,979
19	October 2022	\$ (14,816,744)	\$ 94,979
20	November 2022	\$ (14,911,723)	\$ 94,979
21	December 2022	\$ (15,006,702)	\$ 94,979
22	January 2023	\$ (15,101,681)	\$ 94,979
23	February 2023	\$ (15,196,661)	\$ 94,979
24	March 2023	\$ (15,291,640)	\$ 94,979
25	April 2023	\$ (15,386,619)	\$ 94,979
26	May 2023	\$ (15,481,598)	\$ 94,979
27	June 2023	\$ (15,576,577)	\$ 94,979
28	July 2023	\$ (15,671,556)	\$ 94,979
29	August 2023	\$ (15,766,535)	\$ 94,979
30	September 2023	\$ (15,861,515)	\$ 94,979
31	October 2023	\$ (15,956,494)	\$ 94,979
32	November 2023	\$ (16,051,473)	\$ 94,979
33	December 2023	\$ (16,146,452)	\$ 94,979
34	December 2022 through December 2023 Total	\$ (202,495,502)	, , , ,
35	Divide by 13 Months	13	
40	13-Month Average Accumulated Amortization Related to the Merger of FPUC with Chesapeake Utilities Corporation	\$ (15,576,577)	

Florida Public Utilities Company Consolidated Gas Depreciation Expense - New Depreciation Rates Docket No. 20220067-GU Exhibit RCS-2 Schedule C-1 Page 1 of 5

Projected Test Year Ended December 31, 2023 (Thousands of Dollars)

Line		Per	Per	OPC	
No.	Description	FPUC	OPC	Adjustment	Reference
		(A)	(B)	(C)	_
1	Depreciation Expense	\$ 14,561,213	\$12,356,395	\$ (2,204,818)	
2	Amortization Expense	\$ 2,474,840	\$ 2,474,840	\$ -	
3	Total Depreciation and Amortization Expense	\$ 17,036,053	\$14,831,235	\$ (2,204,818)	
4	Remove Portion of Vehicle Depreciation Capitalized	\$ (153,209)	\$ (153,209)	\$ -	
5	Expensed Depreciation and Amortization Expense	\$ 16,882,844	\$14,678,026	\$ (2,204,818)	
6	Common	\$ 760,866	\$ 760,866	\$ -	
7	Total Depreciation and Amortization Expense Including Common	\$ 17,643,710	\$15,438,892	\$ (2,204,818)	
8	Less FPUC Adjustments:				
9	Non-Utility Depreciation Expense	\$ (173,088)	\$ (173,088)	\$ -	
10	Area Extension Program Amortization	\$ (331,868)	\$ (331,868)	\$ -	
11	Flex Related Depreciation	\$ (55,790)	\$ (55,790)	\$ -	
12	Special Contract Depreciation	\$ (309,954)	\$ (309,954)	\$ -	
13	Environmental Amortization Included in the Rider	\$ (456,348)	\$ (456,348)	\$ -	
14	Total FPUC Adjustments to Depreciation Expense	\$ (1,327,048)	\$ (1,327,048)	\$ -	
15	Projected Test Year Depreciation Expense	\$ 16,316,662	\$14,111,844	\$ (2,204,818)	L7 + L14

#### Notes and Source

Col. A, lines 1-7 from Schedule G-2 Consolidated (page 23 of 31) from FPUC's filing

Col. A, lines 9-14 from Schedule G-2 Consolidated (page 2 of 31) from FPUC's filing

Col. B, line 1 sponsored by OPC witness David Garrett (see page 3)

Florida Public Utilities Company Consolidated Gas Depreciation Expense - New Depreciation Rates - Plant in Service

Projected Test Year Ended December 31, 2023

Docket No. 20220067-GU Exhibit RCS-2 Schedule C-1 Page 2 of 5

Line	Account		В	eg. Balance																13 Month
No.	Number	Description		Dec-22	Jan-23	Feb-23	Ma	ar-23	A	pr-23	May-23	Jun-23	Jul-23	. A	Aug-23	Sep-23	Oct-23	Nov-23	Dec-23	Average
		Plant in Service		(A)	(B)	(C)	(	(D)	_	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)
1	301	ORGANIZATION	\$	23,328 \$	23,328 \$	23,328	\$	23,328	\$	23,328 \$	23,328	23,328	\$ 23,328	\$	23,328 \$	23,328	\$ 23,328	\$ 23,328 \$	23,328	\$ 23,328
2	302	FRANCHISES & CONSENTS	\$	14,132 \$	14,132 \$	14,132	\$	14,132	\$	14,132 \$	14,132	\$ 14,132	\$ 14,132	\$	14,132 \$	14,132	\$ 14,132	\$ 14,132 \$	14,132	\$ 14,132
3	303	MISC INTAGIBLE PLANT	\$	213,641 \$	213,641 \$	213,641	\$	213,641	\$	213,641 \$	213,641	\$ 213,641	\$ 213,641	\$	213,641 \$	213,641	\$ 213,641	\$ 213,641 \$	213,641	\$ 213,641
4	305	STRUCTURES & IMPROVEMENTS	\$	- S	- 5	-	\$	-	\$	- \$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$	- \$	-	\$ -	\$ - \$	-	\$ -
5	374	LAND & LAND RIGHTS	\$	410,208 \$	410,208 \$	410,208	\$	410,208	\$	410,208 \$	410,208	\$ 410,208	\$ 410,208	\$	410,208 \$	410,208	\$ 410,208	\$ 410,208 \$	410,208	\$ 410,208
6	375	STRUCTURES & IMPROVEMENTS	\$	1,583,958 \$	1,583,958	1,583,958	\$ 1	1,583,958	\$ 1	1,583,958 \$	1,583,958	\$ 1,583,958	\$ 1,583,958	\$	1,583,958 \$	1,583,958	\$ 1,583,958	\$ 1,583,958 \$	1,583,958	\$ 1,583,958
7	3761	MAINS - PLASTICS	\$	124,731,730 \$	124,941,149	125,202,519	\$ 125	5,515,836	\$ 125	5,985,001 \$	126,454,166	\$ 126,923,331	\$ 127,444,446	\$ 12	27,965,560 \$	130,379,969	\$ 130,901,083	\$ 131,371,134 \$	134,356,101	\$ 127,859,387
8	3762	MAINS - STEEL	\$	61,824,219 \$	61,824,219	61,824,219	\$ 61	1,821,306	\$ 61	1,821,306 \$	61,821,306	\$ 61,818,393	\$ 61,818,393	\$ (	61,818,393 \$	61,818,393	\$ 61,818,393	\$ 61,818,393 \$	61,818,393	\$ 61,820,410
9	376G	MAINS - GRIP	\$	146,892,673 \$	146,892,673	146,892,673	\$ 146	6,892,673	\$ 146	6,892,673 \$	146,892,673	\$ 146,892,673	\$ 146,892,673	\$ 14	46,892,673 \$	146,892,673	\$ 146,892,673	\$ 146,892,673 \$	146,892,673	\$ 146,892,673
10	378	MEAS & REG STATION EQUIP-GEN	\$	6,904,633 \$	6,962,444	7,039,525	\$ 7	7,135,877	\$ 7	7,290,040 \$	7,444,203	\$ 7,598,365	\$ 7,771,798	\$	7,945,231 \$	8,118,664	\$ 8,292,097	\$ 8,446,260 \$	8,831,667	\$ 7,675,447
11	379	MEAS & REG STATION EQUIP-GATE	\$	14,066,853 \$	14,066,853	14,066,853	\$ 14	4,066,853	\$ 14	4,066,853 \$	14,066,853	\$ 14,066,853	\$ 14,066,853	\$	14,066,853 \$	14,066,853	\$ 14,066,853	\$ 14,066,853 \$	14,766,853	\$ 14,120,699
12	3801	SERVICES - PLASTIC	\$	69,790,554 \$	69,894,086	70,031,535	\$ 70	0,202,899	\$ 70	0,476,008 \$	70,749,118	\$ 71,022,228	\$ 71,329,252	\$	71,636,276 \$	71,943,301	\$ 72,250,325	\$ 72,523,435 \$	73,203,528	\$ 71,157,888
13	3802	SERVICES - OTHER	\$	1,323,717 \$	1,323,717 \$	1,323,717	\$ 1	1,323,717	\$ 1	1,323,717 \$	1,323,717	\$ 1,323,717	\$ 1,323,717	\$	1,323,717 \$	1,323,717	\$ 1,323,717	\$ 1,323,717 \$	1,323,717	\$ 1,323,717
14	380G	SERVICES - GRIP	\$	48,993,831 \$	48,993,831	48,993,831	\$ 48	8,993,831	\$ 48	8,993,831 \$	48,993,831	\$ 48,993,831	\$ 48,993,831	\$ 4	48,993,831 \$	48,993,831	\$ 48,993,831	\$ 48,993,831 \$	48,993,831	\$ 48,993,831
15	381	METERS	\$	23,268,058 \$	23,296,889 \$	23,335,269	\$ 23	3,383,199	\$ 23	3,459,777 \$	23,536,356	\$ 23,612,934	\$ 23,699,063	\$	23,785,191 \$	23,871,320	\$ 23,957,449	\$ 24,034,027 \$	24,225,203	\$ 23,651,133
16	3811	METERS - AMR EQUIPMENT	\$	2,236,536 \$	2,236,536 \$	2,236,536	\$ 2	2,236,536	\$ 2	2,236,536 \$	2,236,536	\$ 2,236,536	\$ 2,236,536	\$	2,236,536 \$	2,236,536	\$ 2,236,536	\$ 2,236,536 \$	2,236,536	\$ 2,236,536
17	382	METER & REGULATOR INST.	\$	18,239,923 \$	18,250,873 \$	18,263,990	\$ 18	8,279,275	\$ 18	8,301,063 \$	18,322,851	\$ 18,344,638	\$ 18,368,594	\$	18,392,549 \$	18,416,505	\$ 18,440,460	\$ 18,462,248 \$	18,510,047	\$ 18,353,309
18	3821	METER INSTALLATIONS - MTU/DCU	\$	593,040 \$	593,040 \$	593,040	\$	593,040	\$	593,040 \$	593,040	\$ 593,040	\$ 593,040	\$	593,040 \$	593,040	\$ 593,040	\$ 593,040 \$	593,040	\$ 593,040
19	383	HOUSE REGULATORS	\$	6,859,108 \$	6,866,161	6,874,663	\$ 6	6,884,613	\$ 6	6,898,911 \$	6,913,208	\$ 6,927,506	\$ 6,943,252	\$	6,958,997 \$	6,974,743	\$ 6,990,489	\$ 7,004,787 \$	7,036,470	\$ 6,933,301
20	384	HOUSE REG-INST	\$	1,081,399 \$	1,082,616 \$	1,084,073	\$ 1	1,085,771	\$ 1	1,088,192 \$	1,090,613	\$ 1,093,034	\$ 1,095,696	\$	1,098,357 \$	1,101,019	\$ 1,103,681	\$ 1,106,102 \$	1,111,413	\$ 1,093,997
21	385	IND MEAS & REG STAT EQUIP	\$	2,535,303 \$	2,535,303 \$	2,535,303	\$ 2	2,535,303	\$ 2	2,535,303 \$	2,535,303	\$ 2,535,303	\$ 2,535,303	\$	2,535,303 \$	2,535,303	\$ 2,535,303	\$ 2,535,303 \$	2,535,303	\$ 2,535,303
22	387	OTHER EQUIPMENT	\$	4,179,402 \$	4,209,954	4,250,690	\$ 4	4,301,610	\$ 4	4,383,082 \$	4,464,554	\$ 4,546,026	\$ 4,637,682	\$	4,729,338 \$	4,820,994	\$ 4,912,650	\$ 4,994,122 \$	5,197,802	\$ 4,586,762
23	389	LAND & LAND RIGHTS	\$	1,287,185 \$	1,292,885 \$	1,300,485	\$ 1	1,309,985	\$ 1	1,325,185 \$	1,340,385	\$ 1,355,585	\$ 1,372,685	\$	1,389,785 \$	1,406,885	\$ 1,423,985	\$ 1,439,185 \$	1,477,185	\$ 1,363,185
24	390	STRUCTURES & IMPROVEMENTS	\$	6,207,060 \$	6,207,060 \$	6,207,060	\$ 6	5,207,060	\$ 6	6,207,060 \$	6,207,060	\$ 6,207,060	\$ 6,207,060	\$	6,207,060 \$	6,207,060	\$ 6,207,060	\$ 6,207,060 \$	6,207,060	\$ 6,207,060
25	3910	OFFICE FURN & EQUIPMENT	\$	2,214,956 \$	2,218,256 \$	2,222,656	\$ 2	2,228,156	\$ 2	2,236,956 \$	2,245,756	\$ 2,254,556	\$ 2,264,456	\$	2,274,356 \$	2,284,256	\$ 2,294,156	\$ 2,302,956 \$	2,324,956	\$ 2,258,956
26	3911	COMPUTER AND PERIPHERY	\$	143,044 \$	146,051 \$	150,061	\$	155,074	\$	163,094 \$	171,114	\$ 179,134	\$ 188,156	\$	197,179 \$	206,201	\$ 215,224	\$ 223,244 \$	243,294	\$ 183,144
27	3912	COMPUTER HARDWARE	\$	118,964 \$	118,964 \$	118,964	\$	118,964	\$	118,964 \$	118,964	\$ 118,964	\$ 118,964	\$	118,964 \$	118,964	\$ 118,964	\$ 118,964 \$	80,636	\$ 116,016
28	3913	FURNITURE AND FIXTURES	\$	292,256 \$	292,256 \$	292,256	\$	292,256	\$	292,256 \$	292,256	\$ 292,256	\$ 292,256	\$	292,256 \$	292,256	\$ 292,256	\$ 292,256 \$	292,256	\$ 292,256
29	3914	SYSTEM SOFTWARE	\$	6,340,316 \$	6,341,051	6,342,031	\$ 6	5,343,256	\$ 6	6,345,216 \$	6,347,176	\$ 6,349,136	\$ 6,351,341	\$	6,353,546 \$	6,355,751	\$ 6,357,956	\$ 6,359,916 \$	5,617,543	\$ 6,292,633
30	392	TRANSPORTATION EQUIPMENT	\$	86,067 \$	86,067	86,067	\$	86,067	\$	86,067 \$	86,067	\$ 86,067	\$ 86,067	\$	86,067 \$	86,067	\$ 86,067	\$ 86,067 \$	86,067	\$ 86,067
31	3921	AUTOS & TRUCKS (UP TO 1/2 TON)	\$	312,079 \$	312,079 \$	312,079	\$	312,079	\$	312,079 \$	312,079	\$ 312,079	\$ 546,079	\$	546,079 \$	546,079	\$ 546,079	\$ 546,079 \$	546,079	\$ 420,079
32	3922	AUTOS & TRUCKS	\$	5,536,400 \$	5,536,400 \$	5,536,400	\$ 5	5,536,400	\$ 5	5,536,400 \$	5,536,400	\$ 5,536,400	\$ 5,950,532	\$	5,950,532 \$	5,950,532	\$ 5,950,532	\$ 5,950,532 \$	5,950,532	\$ 5,727,538
33	3924	TRANSPORTATION - OTHER	\$	79,064 \$	79,064 \$	79,064	\$	79,064	\$	79,064 \$	79,064	\$ 79,064	\$ 79,064	\$	79,064 \$	79,064	\$ 79,064	\$ 79,064 \$	79,064	\$ 79,064
34	393	STORES EQUIP	\$	29,458 \$	29,458 \$	29,458	\$	29,458	\$	29,458 \$	29,458	\$ 29,458	\$ 29,458	\$	29,458 \$	29,458	\$ 29,458	\$ 29,458 \$	29,458	\$ 29,458
35	394	TOOLS, SHOP, GARAGE EQUIP	\$	1,357,072 \$	1,365,003 \$	1,375,577	\$ 1	1,388,794	\$ 1	1,409,941 \$	1,431,089	\$ 1,452,237	\$ 1,476,028	\$	1,499,819 \$	1,523,610	\$ 1,547,401	\$ 1,568,548 \$	1,607,833	\$ 1,461,766
36	396	POWER OPERATED EQUIPMENT	\$	1,499,353 \$	1,507,686 \$	1,516,020	\$ 1	1,524,353	\$ 1	1,532,686 \$	1,541,020	\$ 1,549,353	\$ 1,557,686	\$	1,566,020 \$	1,574,353	\$ 1,582,686	\$ 1,591,019 \$	1,599,353	\$ 1,549,353
37	397	COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT	\$	1,702,524 \$	1,702,524 \$	1,702,524	\$ 1	1,702,524	\$ 1	1,702,524 \$	1,702,524	\$ 1,702,524	\$ 1,702,524	\$	1,702,524 \$	1,702,524	\$ 1,702,524	\$ 1,702,524 \$	1,690,471	\$ 1,701,597
38	398	MISC EQUIP	\$	319,506 \$	319,513	319,523	\$	319,536	\$	319,556 \$	319,576	\$ 319,596	\$ 319,618	\$	319,641 \$	319,663	\$ 319,686	\$ 319,706 \$	214,343	\$ 311,497
39		Total Plant in Service	\$	563,291,549 \$	563,769,927	564,383,927	\$ 565	5,130,630	\$ 566	6,287,105 \$	567,443,581	\$ 568,597,142	\$ 570,537,368	\$ 5'	71,829,462 \$	575,014,850	\$ 576,306,943	\$ 577,464,304 \$	581,923,973	\$ 570,152,366
			_					_							_					

Notes and Source
The amounts above are from Schedule G-1 Consolidated (page 10 of 28) from FPUC's filing

Docket No. 20220067-GU Exhibit RCS-2 Schedule C-1 Page 3 of 5 Florida Public Utilities Company Consolidated Gas Depreciation Expense - Company Proposed Depreciation Rates

Projected Test Year Ended December 31, 2023

110,0	oted rest	real Elace December 51, 2025	Proposed														
			Depreciation														Total
Line			Rates														Depreciation
No.	Numbe	r Description	Per FPUC		Jan-23	Feb-23	Mar-23	Apr-23	May-23	Jun-23	Jul-23	Aug-23	Sep-23	Oct-23	Nov-23	Dec-23	Expense
		Per FPUC			(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
1	301	ORGANIZATION	0.00%	\$	- \$	- S	- S	- \$	- \$	- \$	- S	- \$	- \$	- S	- \$	-	s -
2	302	FRANCHISES & CONSENTS	0.00%	\$	- \$	- S	- \$	- \$	- S	- \$	- S	- \$	- \$	- S	- \$	-	s -
3	303	MISC INTAGIBLE PLANT	0.00%	\$	- \$	- \$	- S	- \$	- \$	- \$	- S	- \$	- \$	- S	- \$	-	S -
4	305	STRUCTURES & IMPROVEMENTS	2.50%	\$	- \$	- \$	- S	- \$	- \$	- \$	- S	- \$	- \$	- S	- \$	-	S -
5	374	LAND & LAND RIGHTS	5.50%	\$	1,880 \$	1,880 \$	1,880 \$	1,880 \$	1,880 \$	1,880 \$	1,880 \$	1,880 \$	1,880 \$	1,880 \$	1,880 \$		\$ 22,561
6	375	STRUCTURES & IMPROVEMENTS	2.50%	\$	3,300 \$	3,300 \$	3,300 \$	3,300 \$	3,300 \$	3,300 \$	3,300 \$	3,300 \$	3,300 \$	3,300 \$	3,300 \$	3,300	\$ 39,599
7	3761	MAINS - PLASTICS	2.10%	\$	218,281 \$	218,647 \$	219,104 \$	219,653 \$	220,474 \$	221,295 \$	222,116 \$	223,028 \$	223,940 \$	228,165 \$	229,077 \$	229,899	
8	3762	MAINS - STEEL	2.20%	\$	113,344 \$	113,344 \$	113,344 \$	113,339 \$	113,339 \$	113,339 \$	113,334 \$	113,334 \$	113,334 \$	113,334 \$	113,334 \$		
9	376G	MAINS - GRIP	2.10%	\$	257,062 \$	257,062 \$	257,062 \$	257,062 \$	257,062 \$	257,062 \$	257,062 \$	257,062 \$	257,062 \$	257,062 \$	257,062 \$	257,062	\$ 3,084,746
10	378	MEAS & REG STATION EQUIP-GEN	3.50%	\$	20,139 \$	20,307 \$	20,532 \$	20,813 \$	21,263 \$	21,712 \$	22,162 \$	22,668 \$	23,174 \$	23,679 \$	24,185 \$	24,635	\$ 265,268
11	379	MEAS & REG STATION EQUIP-GATE	3.10%	\$	36,339 \$	36,339 \$	36,339 \$	36,339 \$	36,339 \$	36,339 \$	36,339 \$	36,339 \$	36,339 \$	36,339 \$	36,339 \$	36,339	\$ 436,072
12	3801	SERVICES - PLASTIC	2.20%	\$	127,949 \$	128,139 \$	128,391 \$	128,705 \$	129,206 \$	129,707 \$	130,207 \$	130,770 \$	131,333 \$	131,896 \$	132,459 \$	132,960	\$ 1,561,723
13	3802	SERVICES - OTHER	9.20%	\$	10,148 \$	10,148 \$	10,148 \$	10,148 \$	10,148 \$	10,148 \$	10,148 \$	10,148 \$	10,148 \$	10,148 \$	10,148 \$	10,148	\$ 121,782
14	380G	SERVICES - GRIP	2.20%	\$	89,822 \$	89,822 \$	89,822 \$	89,822 \$	89,822 \$	89,822 \$	89,822 \$	89,822 \$	89,822 \$	89,822 \$	89,822 \$	89,822	\$ 1,077,864
15	381	METERS	3.60%	\$	69,804 \$	69,891 \$	70,006 \$	70,150 \$	70,379 \$	70,609 \$	70,839 \$	71,097 \$	71,356 \$	71,614 \$	71,872 \$	72,102	\$ 849,719
16	3811	METERS - AMR EQUIPMENT	4.30%	\$	8,014 \$	8,014 \$	8,014 \$	8,014 \$	8,014 \$	8,014 \$	8,014 \$	8,014 \$	8,014 \$	8,014 \$	8,014 \$	8,014	\$ 96,171
17	382	METER & REGULATOR INST.	3.20%	\$	48,640 \$	48,669 \$	48,704 \$	48,745 \$	48,803 \$	48,861 \$	48,919 \$	48,983 \$	49,047 \$	49,111 \$	49,175 \$	49,233	\$ 586,888
18	3821	METER INSTALLATIONS - MTU/DCU	2.60%	\$	1,285 \$	1,285 \$	1,285 \$	1,285 \$	1,285 \$	1,285 \$	1,285 \$	1,285 \$	1,285 \$	1,285 \$	1,285 \$	1,285	\$ 15,419
19	383	HOUSE REGULATORS	3.30%	\$	18,863 \$	18,882 \$	18,905 \$	18,933 \$	18,972 \$	19,011 \$	19,051 \$	19,094 \$	19,137 \$	19,181 \$	19,224 \$	19,263	\$ 228,515
20	384	HOUSE REG-INST	2.70%	\$	2,433 \$	2,436 \$	2,439 \$	2,443 \$	2,448 \$	2,454 \$	2,459 \$	2,465 \$	2,471 \$	2,477 \$	2,483 \$	2,489	\$ 29,499
21	385	IND MEAS & REG STAT EQUIP	2.30%	S	4.859 \$	4.859 S	4.859 \$	4.859 \$	4.859 S	4.859 \$	4.859 S	4.859 \$	4.859 \$	4.859 S	4.859 \$	4.859	\$ 58,312
22	387	OTHER EQUIPMENT	4.00%	S	13.931 \$	14,033 \$	14.169 \$	14.339 \$	14,610 S	14.882 \$	15,153 \$	15,459 \$	15,764 \$	16.070 \$	16,376 \$	16,647	
23	389	LAND & LAND RIGHTS	0.00%	S	- \$	- S	- S	- \$	- S	- S	- S	- S	- \$	- S	- \$	-	S -
24	390	STRUCTURES & IMPROVEMENTS	2.30%	S	11,897 \$	11,897 \$	11,897 \$	11,897 \$	11,897 \$	11,897 \$	11,897 \$	11,897 \$	11,897 \$	11,897 \$	11,897 \$	11,897	\$ 142,762
25	3910	OFFICE FURN & EQUIPMENT	7.14%	S	13,184 \$	13,204 \$	13,230 \$	13,263 \$	13,315 \$	13,368 \$	13,420 \$	13,479 \$	13,538 \$	13,597 \$	13,656 \$	13,708	
26	3911	COMPUTER AND PERIPHERY	10.00%	S	1,192 \$	1,217 \$	1,251 \$	1,292 \$	1,359 \$	1,426 \$	1,493 \$	1,568 \$	1,643 \$	1,718 \$	1,794 \$	1,860	
27	3912	COMPUTER HARDWARE	10.00%	S	991 \$	991 S	991 S	991 \$	991 S	991 \$	991 \$	991 S	991 \$	991 S	991 \$		\$ 11,896
28	3913	FURNITURE AND FIXTURES	5.00%	s	1.218 \$	1.218 S	1.218 \$	1.218 \$	1.218 \$	1,218 \$	1,218 \$	1.218 \$	1.218 \$	1.218 S	1.218 \$	1.218	
29	3914	SYSTEM SOFTWARE	10.00%	s	52,836 \$	52,842 \$	52,850 \$	52,860 \$	52,877 \$	52,893 \$	52,909 \$	52,928 \$	52,946 \$	52,965 \$	52,983 \$	52,999	
30	392	TRANSPORTATION EQUIPMENT	8.40%	s	602 \$	602 S	602 S	602 \$	602 S	602 S	602 S	602 S	602 \$	602 S	602 \$	602	
31	3921	AUTOS & TRUCKS (UP TO 1/2 TON)	17.40%	s	4,525 \$	4,525 \$	4,525 \$	4,525 \$	4,525 \$	4,525 \$	4,525 \$	7,918 \$	7,918 \$	7,918 \$	7,918 \$	7,918	
32	3922	AUTOS & TRUCKS	8.40%	S	38,755 \$	38,755 \$	38,755 \$	38,755 \$	38,755 \$	38,755 \$	38,755 \$	41.654 \$	41.654 \$	41.654 S	41.654 \$	41,654	
33	3924	TRANSPORTATION - OTHER	5.80%	S	382 \$	382 \$	382 \$	382 \$	382 \$	382 \$	382 \$	382 \$	382 \$	382 S	382 \$	382	
34	393	STORES EOUIP	3.85%	S	94 S	94 S	94 \$	94 S	94 \$	94 \$	94 S	94 S	94 \$	94 S	94 \$	94	
35	394	TOOLS, SHOP, GARAGE EQUIP	6.67%	S	7.539 \$	7.583 \$	7.642 \$	7.716 \$	7.833 \$	7,950 \$	8,068 \$	8.200 \$	8.332 \$	8.464 S		8,714	
36	396	POWER OPERATED EQUIPMENT	5.10%	S	6,372 \$	6,408 \$	6,443 \$	6.478 \$	6,514 \$	6,549 \$	6,585 S	6,620 \$	6,656 \$	6,691 \$		6,762	
37	397	COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT	7.69%	S	10.914 \$	10,914 \$	10,914 \$	10.914 \$	10.914 \$	10,914 \$	10,914 \$	10,914 \$	10.914 \$	10.914 \$		10,914	
38	397	MISC EQUIP	5.88%	5	1,566 \$	1,566 \$	1,566 \$	1,566 \$	1,566 \$	1,567 \$	1,567 \$	1,567 \$	1,567 \$	1,567 \$	1,567 \$	1,567	\$ 18,800
39	398		3.8870	<u>s</u>											1,231,888 \$		\$ 14.561,213
40		Total Depreciation Expense		\$	1,198,163 \$ 202,956 \$	1,199,258 \$ 203,739 \$	1,200,667 \$ 204,441 \$	1,202,384 \$ 205,805 \$	1,205,048 \$ 206,299 \$	1,207,712 \$ 208,647 \$	1,210,371 \$ 209,248 \$	1,219,641 \$ 203,515 \$	1,222,619 \$ 204,805 \$	1,228,910 \$ 207,264 \$	1,231,888 \$ 208,435 \$	, . ,	
		Amortization Expense		<u>S</u>	,											209,686	
41		Total Depreciation & Amortization Expense		-	1,401,119 \$	1,402,997 \$	1,405,108 \$	1,408,189 \$	1,411,347 \$	1,416,359 \$	1,419,619 \$	1,423,156 \$	1,427,424 \$	1,436,174 \$	1,440,323 \$		\$ 17,036,053
42		Remove Portion of Vehicle Depreciation Capitalized		\$	(12,031) \$	(12,031) \$	(12,031) \$	(12,031) \$	(12,031) \$	(12,031) \$	(12,031) \$	(13,799) \$	(13,799) \$	(13,799) \$	(13,799) \$	(13,799)	
43		Total Expensed Depreciation & Amortization Expense		\$	1,389,088 \$	1,390,966 \$	1,393,077 \$	1,396,158 \$	1,399,316 \$	1,404,328 \$	1,407,588 \$	1,409,357 \$	1,413,625 \$	1,422,375 \$	1,426,525 \$	1,430,441	\$ 16,882,844
44 45		Common Total Expensed Depreciation Expense Including Common	EDII/														\$ 760,866 \$ 17,643,710
43		Total Expensed Depreciation Expense Including Common	perrrot														\$ 17,045,710

Notes and Source
The amounts above are from Schedule G-2 Consolidated (page 23 of 31) from FPUC's filing

Exhibit RCS-2 Schedule C-1 Projected Test Year Ended December 31, 2023 Page 4 of 5

Proposed Depreciation Total Line Account Rates Depreciation No Number Description Per OPC Jan-23 Feb-23 Mar-23 May-23 Jun-23 Jul-23 Aug-23 Oct-23 Expense Per OPC (A) (K) (C) (G) (L) (M) (N) 301 ORGANIZATION 0.00% FRANCHISES & CONSENTS 302 0.00% MISC INTAGIBLE PLANT 303 0.00% \$ S STRUCTURES & IMPROVEMENTS 2.50% 305 374 LAND & LAND RIGHTS 1.20% 410 S 410 \$ 410 S 410 S 410 4,922 410 S 375 STRUCTURES & IMPROVEMENTS 2.80% 3,696 \$ 3,696 \$ 3,696 \$ 3,696 3,696 \$ 3,696 \$ 3,696 \$ 3,696 \$ 3,696 \$ 3,696 \$ 3,696 44,351 3,696 \$ 3761 MAINS - PLASTICS 1.50% 155,915 156,176 \$ 156,503 \$ 156,895 157,481 \$ 158,068 \$ 158,654 \$ 159,306 \$ 159,957 162,975 163,626 \$ 164,214 \$ 1,909,770 87,584 87,584 \$ 3762 MAINS - STEEL 1.70% 87,584 \$ 87,580 87,580 87,580 87,576 87,576 87,576 \$ 87,576 87,576 87,576 1,050,950 376G MAINS - GRIP 1.70% 208,098 208,098 208,098 208,098 208,098 \$ 208,098 208,098 \$ 208,098 \$ 208,098 \$ 208,098 208,098 208,098 2,497,175 MEAS & REG STATION EQUIP-GEN 2.30% 13,234 13,345 \$ 13,492 13,677 13,973 \$ 14,268 14,564 14,896 15,228 \$ 15,561 15,893 \$ 16,189 174,319 MEAS & REG STATION EQUIP-GATE 1.90% 22,273 22,273 22,273 \$ 22,273 22,273 \$ 22,273 22,273 22,273 22,273 \$ 22,273 22,273 \$ 22,273 267,270 12 3801 SERVICES - PLASTIC 2.20% 127,949 128,139 \$ 128,391 \$ 128,705 \$ 129,206 \$ 129,707 \$ 130,207 \$ 130,770 \$ 131,333 \$ 131,896 \$ 132,459 \$ 132,960 \$ 1,561,723 13 3802 SERVICES - OTHER 3.60% 3,971 3,971 3,971 \$ 3,971 3,971 \$ 3,971 3,971 \$ 3,971 3,971 \$ 3,971 3,971 3,971 47,654 14 380G SERVICES - GRIP 2.50% 102,070 102,070 \$ 102,070 \$ 102,070 \$ 102,070 \$ 102,070 \$ 102,070 \$ 102,070 \$ 102,070 \$ 102,070 \$ 102,070 \$ 102,070 1,224,846 15 381 METERS 3.40% 65,926 66,008 \$ 66,117 \$ 66,252 \$ 66,469 \$ 66,686 \$ 66,903 \$ 67,147 \$ 67,391 \$ 67,635 \$ 67,879 \$ 68,096 802,512 METERS - AMR EQUIPMENT 16 3811 2.30% 4.287 4.287 S 4,287 \$ 4.287 9 4.287 \$ 4.287 S 4,287 S 4,287 \$ 4.287 \$ 4.287 S 4.287 \$ 4.287 51,440 476.846 17 382 METER & REGULATOR INST 2.60% 39.520 39.544 S 39,572 \$ 39,605 39.652 \$ 39.700 \$ 39.747 S 39.799 39.851 \$ 39.902 \$ 39.954 \$ 40.002 METER INSTALLATIONS - MTU/DCU 1.087 \$ 13.047 18 3821 2.20% 1.087 1.087 S 1.087 \$ 1.087 1.087 \$ 1.087 \$ 1.087 S 1.087 \$ 1.087 \$ 1.087 \$ 1.087 11,432 \$ 11,474 \$ 11,675 19 383 HOUSE REGULATORS 2.00% 11.444 S 11,458 \$ 11,498 \$ 11,522 \$ 11,546 \$ 11,572 \$ 11.598 \$ 11,625 \$ 11.651 \$ 138.494 HOUSE REG-INST 2.50% 2.304 S 20 384 2.253 S 2.255 S 2.258 S 2.262 \$ 2.267 S 2.272 S 2.277 S 2.283 S 2.288 \$ 2.294 \$ 2.299 \$ 27.314 385 IND MEAS & REG STAT EQUIP 2.20% 4.648 \$ 4.648 S 4.648 5 4.648 S 4.648 S 4.648 S 4.648 \$ 4.648 55,777 21 4.648 9 4.648 \$ 4.648 \$ 4.648 \$ 11,823 \$ 22 387 OTHER EQUIPMENT 3.00% 10,449 10,525 \$ 10,627 \$ 10.754 \$ 10.958 S 11.161 \$ 11,365 \$ 11,594 \$ 12,052 \$ 12,282 \$ 12,485 136,075 23 389 LAND & LAND RIGHTS 0.00% STRUCTURES & IMPROVEMENTS 11,897 11,897 \$ 11,897 \$ 11,897 \$ 11,897 \$ 11,897 \$ 11,897 \$ 11,897 \$ 24 390 2.30% 11,897 \$ 11,897 \$ 11,897 \$ 11,897 142,762 13,184 13,204 \$ 13,230 13,597 \$ 25 OFFICE FURN & EQUIPMENT 7.14% 13,263 13,315 \$ 13,368 \$ 13,420 \$ 13,479 \$ 13,538 \$ 13,656 \$ 13,708 160,961 COMPUTER AND PERIPHERY 10.00% 1,192 1,217 \$ 1,251 \$ 1,292 1,359 \$ 1,426 \$ 1,493 \$ 1,568 \$ 1,643 \$ 1,718 \$ 1,794 \$ 1,860 17,813 27 COMPUTER HARDWARE 10.00% 991 991 991 11,896 28 FURNITURE AND FIXTURES 5.00% 1,218 1,218 \$ 1,218 \$ 1,218 \$ 1,218 \$ 1,218 \$ 1,218 \$ 1,218 \$ 1,218 \$ 1,218 \$ 1,218 \$ 1,218 14,613 3913 29 3914 SYSTEM SOFTWARE 10.00% 52,836 52,842 \$ 52,850 \$ 52,860 52,877 \$ 52,893 \$ 52,909 \$ 52,928 \$ 52,946 \$ 52,965 \$ 52,983 \$ 52,999 634,889 30 392 TRANSPORTATION EQUIPMENT 8.40% 602 602 S 602 5 602 \$ 602 \$ 602 S 602 602 S 602 \$ 602 \$ 602 7,230 31 3921 AUTOS & TRUCKS (UP TO 1/2 TON) 17.40% 4,525 4,525 \$ 4,525 \$ 4,525 \$ 4,525 \$ 4,525 \$ 4,525 \$ 7,918 \$ 7,918 \$ 7,918 \$ 7,918 \$ 7,918 5 71,267 32 3922 AUTOS & TRUCKS 8.40% 38.755 38,755 \$ 38,755 \$ 38,755 38,755 \$ 38,755 \$ 38,755 \$ 41,654 \$ 41,654 \$ 41,654 \$ 41,654 \$ 41,654 \$ 479,552 33 3024 TRANSPORTATION - OTHER 5.80% 382 382 \$ 382 \$ 382 \$ 382 \$ 382 S 382 S 382 \$ 382 \$ 382 S 382 \$ 382 \$ 4,586 34 393 STORES FOUIP 3.85% 94 94 S 94 94 94 \$ 94 S 94 \$ 94 \$ 94 9 94 \$ 94 S 94 \$ 1.133 TOOLS, SHOP, GARAGE FOUIP 7.539 7.833 S 8.332 S 8.714 S 35 394 6.67% 7.583 S 7.642 S 7.716 \$ 7.950 S 8.068 S 8.200 \$ 8.464 \$ 8.597 S 96.640 POWER OPERATED EQUIPMENT 5.10% 6.372 S 36 396 6.408 S 6.443 \$ 6.478 \$ 6.514 S 6.549 S 6.585 S 6.620 S 6.656 \$ 6.691 \$ 6.726 \$ 6.762 S 78.804 37 397 COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT 7.69% 10.914 \$ 10.914 S 10.914 \$ 10.914 \$ 10.914 \$ 10.914 \$ 10.914 S 10.914 \$ 10.914 \$ 10.914 \$ 10.914 \$ 10.914 S 130.963 1,567 \$ 1,567 1,567 MISC EQUIP 5.88% 1.566 1.566 1.566 1.566 1.566 \$ 1.567 5 1.567 1.567 18.800 398 1.567 38 39 Total Depreciation Expense 1.016.870 \$ 1.017.759 S 1.018.904 S 1.020.300 \$ 1.022.468 S 1.024.636 \$ 1.026.800 S 1.035.515 S 1.037.939 \$ 1.042.729 S 1.045.153 \$ 1.047.322 \$ 12.356.395 203,739 40 Amortization Expense 202,956 204,441 205,805 206,299 208,647 209,248 5 203,515 204,805 207,264 208,435 209,686 \$ 2,474,840 Total Depreciation & Amortization Expense 41 1,223,345 1,249,993 1,257,008 \$ 14,831,235 1,221,498 1,226,105 1,228,767 1,233,283 1,236,048 1,239,030 1,242,744 \$ 1,253,588 \$ (12,031) \$ (12,031) (13,799) (13,799) (13,799) \$ Remove Portion of Vehicle Depreciation Capitalized (12.031) (13.799) 42 43 Total Expensed Depreciation & Amortization Expense 760,866 45 Total Expensed Depreciation Expense Including Common Per OPC \$ 15,438,892

Notes and Source

The Depreciation Rates in Column A above are sponsored by OPC witness David Garrett

Docket No. 20220067-GU

Docket No. 20220067-GU Exhibit RCS-2 Schedule C-1 Depreciation Expense - New Depreciation Rates - Differences Between Company and OPC Calculated Depreciation Expense Page 5 of 5

Projected Test Year Ended December 31, 2023

Line	Account															Adjustment to Depreciation
No.	Number	Description		Jan-23	Feb-23	Mar-23	Apr-23	May-23	Jun-23	Jul-23	Aug-23	Sep-23	Oct-23	Nov-23	Dec-23	Expense
				(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)
1	301	ORGANIZATION	\$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- S	- \$	- \$	-	s -
2	302	FRANCHISES & CONSENTS	\$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- S	- \$	- \$		s -
3	303	MISC INTAGIBLE PLANT	\$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- S	- S	- S	- \$	- S		s -
4	305	STRUCTURES & IMPROVEMENTS	\$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- S	- \$	- \$	-	
5	374	LAND & LAND RIGHTS	\$	(1,470) \$	(1,470) \$	(1,470) \$	(1,470) \$	(1,470) \$	(1,470) \$	(1,470) \$	(1,470) \$	(1,470) \$	(1,470) \$	(1,470) \$	(1,470)	
6	375	STRUCTURES & IMPROVEMENTS	\$	396 \$	396 \$	396 \$	396 \$	396 \$	396 \$	396 \$	396 \$	396 \$	396 \$	396 \$	396	. ,
7	3761	MAINS - PLASTICS	\$	(62,366) \$	(62,471) \$	(62,601) \$	(62,758) \$	(62,993) \$	(63,227) \$	(63,462) \$	(63,722) \$	(63,983) \$	(65,190) \$	(65,451) \$	(65,686)	
8	3762	MAINS - STEEL	\$	(25,760) \$	(25,760) \$	(25,760) \$	(25,759) \$	(25,759) \$	(25,759) \$	(25,758) \$	(25,758) \$	(25,758) \$	(25,758) \$	(25,758) \$	(25,758)	
9	376G	MAINS - GRIP	\$	(48,964) \$	(48,964) \$	(48,964) \$	(48,964) \$	(48,964) \$	(48,964) \$	(48,964) \$	(48,964) \$	(48,964) \$	(48,964) \$	(48,964) \$	(48,964)	
10	378	MEAS & REG STATION EQUIP-GEN	\$	(6,905) \$	(6,962) \$	(7,040) \$	(7,136) \$	(7,290) \$	(7,444) \$	(7,598) \$	(7,772) \$	(7,945) \$	(8,119) \$	(8,292) \$	(8,446)	
11	379	MEAS & REG STATION EQUIP-GATE	\$	(14,067) \$	(14,067) \$	(14,067) \$	(14,067) \$	(14,067) \$	(14,067) \$	(14,067) \$	(14,067) \$	(14,067) \$	(14,067) \$	(14,067) \$	(14,067)	
12	3801	SERVICES - PLASTIC	\$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- S	- S		- \$	- S	-	
13	3802	SERVICES - OTHER	\$	(6,177) \$	(6,177) \$	(6,177) \$	(6,177) \$	(6,177) \$	(6,177) \$	(6,177) \$	(6,177) \$	(6,177) \$	(6,177) \$	(6,177) \$	(6,177)	
14	380G	SERVICES - GRIP	\$	12,248 \$	12,248 \$	12,248 \$	12,248 \$	12,248 \$	12,248 \$	12,248 \$	12,248 \$	12,248 \$	12,248 \$	12,248 \$	12,248	
15	381	METERS	\$	(3,878) \$	(3,883) \$	(3,889) \$	(3,897) \$	(3,910) \$	(3,923) \$	(3,935) \$	(3,950) \$	(3,964) \$	(3,979) \$	(3,993) \$	(4,006)	
16	3811	METERS - AMR EQUIPMENT	\$	(3,728) \$	(3,728) \$	(3,728) \$	(3,728) \$	(3,728) \$	(3,728) \$	(3,728) \$	(3,728) \$	(3,728) \$	(3,728) \$	(3,728) \$	(3,728)	
17	382	METER & REGULATOR INST.	\$	(9,120) \$	(9,125) \$	(9,132) \$	(9,140) \$	(9,151) \$	(9,161) \$	(9,172) \$	(9,184) \$	(9,196) \$	(9,208) \$	(9,220) \$	(9,231)	
18	3821	METER INSTALLATIONS - MTU/DCU	\$	(198) \$	(198) \$	(198) \$	(198) \$	(198) \$	(198) \$	(198) \$	(198) \$	(198) \$	(198) \$	(198) \$	(198)	
19	383	HOUSE REGULATORS	\$	(7,431) \$	(7,438) \$	(7,448) \$	(7,458) \$	(7,474) \$	(7,489) \$	(7,505) \$	(7,522) \$	(7,539) \$	(7,556) \$	(7,573) \$	(7,589)	
20	384	HOUSE REG-INST	\$	(180) \$	(180) \$	(181) \$	(181) \$	(181) \$	(182) \$	(182) \$	(183) \$	(183) \$	(184) \$	(184) \$	(184)	
21	385	IND MEAS & REG STAT EQUIP	\$	(211) \$	(211) \$	(211) \$	(211) \$	(211) \$	(211) \$	(211) \$	(211) \$	(211) \$	(211) \$	(211) \$	(211)	
22	387	OTHER EQUIPMENT	\$	(3,483) \$	(3,508) \$	(3,542) \$	(3,585) \$	(3,653) \$	(3,720) \$	(3,788) \$	(3,865) \$	(3,941) \$	(4,017) \$	(4,094) \$	(4,162)	
23	389	LAND & LAND RIGHTS	\$	- S	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- S	- \$	- \$	-	s -
24	390	STRUCTURES & IMPROVEMENTS	\$	- S	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- S	- \$	- \$	-	~
25	3910	OFFICE FURN & EQUIPMENT	\$	- S	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- S	- S	- S	- \$	- S	-	~
26	3911	COMPUTER AND PERIPHERY	\$	- S	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- S	- \$	- \$	-	s -
27	3912	COMPUTER HARDWARE	\$	- S	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- S	- \$	- \$	-	~
28	3913	FURNITURE AND FIXTURES	\$	- S	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- S	- S	- S	- \$	- S	-	~
29	3914	SYSTEM SOFTWARE	\$	- S	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- S	- S	- S	- \$	- S	-	
30	392	TRANSPORTATION EQUIPMENT	\$	- S	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- S	- S	- S	- \$	- S	-	~
31	3921	AUTOS & TRUCKS (UP TO 1/2 TON)	\$	- S	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- S	- S	- S	- \$	- S	-	s -
32	3922	AUTOS & TRUCKS	\$	- S	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- S	- \$	- \$	-	s -
33	3924	TRANSPORTATION - OTHER	\$	- S	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- S	- \$	- \$	-	s -
34	393	STORES EQUIP	\$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- S	- S	- S	- \$	- S	-	~
35	394	TOOLS, SHOP, GARAGE EQUIP	\$	- S	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- S	- \$	- \$	-	~
36	396	POWER OPERATED EQUIPMENT	\$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- S	- S	- S	- \$	- S	-	~
37	397	COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT	\$	- S	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- S	- \$	- \$	-	s -
38	398	MISC EQUIP	\$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- \$	- S	- \$	- \$	-	9 -
39		OPC Adjustment to Depreciation Expense	S	(181,293) \$	(181,499) \$	(181,763) \$	(182,084) \$	(182,580) \$	(183,076) \$	(183,571) \$	(184,125) \$	(184,680) \$	(186,180) \$	(186,735) \$	(187,231)	\$ (2,204,818

 $\frac{Notes \ and \ Source}{The \ amounts \ above \ reflect \ the \ differences \ between \ the \ amounts \ shown \ on \ pages \ 3 \ and \ 4}$ 

Florida Public Utilities Company Consolidated Gas	Docket No. 20220067-GU
Amortizaton Expense Related to FPUC Merger Acquisition Adjustment	Exhibit RCS-2
	Schedule C-2
Projected Test Year Ended December 31, 2023	Page 1 of 1

Line No.	Description	Amount	Reference
		(A)	
1	Remove Amortization Expense Related to FPUC Merger Acquisition Adustment	\$ (1,139,750)	A

Notes and Source

A: Amount from Schedule G-2 FPUC, page 24 of 31 from FPUC's filing

Florida Public Utilities Company Consolidated Gas Incentive Compensation Expense

Projected 2023 Incentive Compensation Expense Per FPUC

OPC Adjustment to Incentive Compensation Expense

Docket No. 20220067-GU Exhibit RCS-2 Schedule C-3 Page 1 of 1

2,180,201

(1,090,101)

50%

Projected Test Year Ended December 31, 2023 (Thousands of Dollars)

Disallowance Percentage\*

2 3

4

Line No.	Description	Amount	Reference
		(A)	
1	OPC Adjustment to Incentive Compensation Expense	\$ (1,090,101)	A
	nd Source: ount from the response to OPC ROG 42 and calculated below:		
71. 7 Hile	Description	Amount	

<sup>\*</sup> The 50% disallowance percentage was derived by combining the Earnings Per Share (25%) and Consolidated ROE (25%) categories from the Chesapeake Utilities Corporation 2021 Incentive Performance Plan that provided in response to OPC ROG 38.

Florida Public Utilities Company Consolidated Gas Stock-Based Compensation Expense Docket No. 20220067-GU Exhibit RCS-2 Schedule C-4 Page 1 of 1

Projected Test Year Ended December 31, 2023

#### Line

No.	Description	Amount	
		(A)	Reference
1	Restricted Stock Units - FERC Account 870	\$ (1,405)	A
2	Restricted Stock Units - FERC Account 871	\$ (16,827)	A
3	Restricted Stock Units - FERC Account 885	\$ (128)	A
4	Restricted Stock Units - FERC Account 901	\$ (17,477)	A
5	Restricted Stock Units - FERC Account 920	\$ (1,170,560)	A
6	Restricted Stock Units - FERC Account 930	\$ (169,107)	В
7	Total Stock-Based Compensation	\$ (1,375,505)	

# Notes and Source

A: Amounts from the response to OPC ROG 120

B: Stock-Based Compensation paid to the Board of Directors per the response to OPC ROG 43

Florida Public Utilities Company Consolidated Gas Payroll Tax Expense Docket No. 20220067-GU Schedule C-5 Page 1 of 1

Projected Test Year Ended December 31, 2023 (Thousands of Dollars)

#### Line

No.	Description	Amount (A)	Reference
1 2 3	OPC Adjustment to Incentive Compensation Expense OPC Adjustment to Remove Stock-Based Compensation Expense Total	\$ (1,090,101) \$ (1,375,505) \$ (2,465,606)	Schedule C-4
4 5 6	OPC Adjustments to Incentive and Stock-Based Compensation Expense FICA Social Security Rate Adjustment to FICA Social Security	\$ (2,465,606) 6.20% \$ (152,868)	Note A
7 8 9	Adjustment to Incentive and Stock-Based Compensation Expense FICA Medicare Rate Adjustment to FICA Medicare	\$ (2,465,606) 1.45% \$ (35,751)	Note A
10	Total Adjustment to Payroll Tax Expense	\$ (188,619)	L6 + L9

Notes and Source

A: The 6.20% represents the Social Security portion (OASDI) and the 1.45% represents the Medicare portion of payroll tax rates

Florida Public Utilities Company Consolidated Gas Supplemental Executive Retirement Program (SERP) Expense

Docket No. 20220067-GU Exhibit RCS-2 Schedule C-6 Page 1 of 1

Projected Test Year Ended December 31, 2023

Line

No.	Description	Amount (A)		Reference	
1	OPC Adjustment to Remove SERP Expense	\$	(1,762)	A	

Notes and Source
A: Amount from the response to OPC ROG 46

# Florida Public Utilities Company Consolidated Gas Directors and Officers Liability Insurance Expense

Projected Test Year Ended December 31, 2023

Docket No. 20220067-GU Exhibit RCS-2 Schedule C-7 Page 1 of 1

Line No.	Description	P	er FPUC	P	er OPC	Ad	OPC ljustment		
			(A)		(A)		(B)		(C)
1	OPC Adjustment to Directors and Officers Liability Insurance	\$	171,055	\$	85,528	\$	(85,528)		
Notes	and Source								
Col. A	: Amount from FPUC's response to OPC ROG 61								
Col. B	: Disallowance Calculation:								
	Directors and Officers Liability Insurance - Per FPUC	\$	171,055						
	Disallowance Percentage		50%						
	Directors and Officers Liability Insurance - Per OPC	\$	85,528						

Florida Public Utilities Company Consolidated Gas
Rent Expense

Docket No. 20220067-GU Schedule C-8 Page 1 of 1

Projected Test Year Ended December 31, 2023

Line No.	Description	Amount		Reference
1	OPC Adjustment to Rent Expense	\$	(78,249)	A

A: Amount from OPC ROG 113

Florida Public Utilities Company Consolidated Gas Lobbying Costs Docket No. 20220067-GU Exhibit RCS-2 Schedule C-9 Page 1 of 1

Projected Test Year Ended December 31, 2023

Line

No.		Amount		Reference
		(A)		
1	OPC Adjustment to Remove Lobbying Costs	\$	(41,881)	A

A: Amount from the responses to OPC ROG 54 and OPC ROG 138 and calculated below:

	Description	A	mount	Reference
2	American Gas Foundation	\$	(4,373)	OPC ROG 54
3	Associated Gas Distributors of Florida	\$	(27,293)	OPC ROG 54
4	American Gas Association	\$	(3,700)	OPC ROG 54
5	Projected 2023 Invoice #1	\$	(109)	OPC ROG 138
6	Projected 2023 Invoice #2	\$	(6,406)	OPC ROG 138
7	Total	\$	(41,881)	

Docket No. 20220067-GU Revenue Requirement Schedules Exhibit RCS-2, Page 30 of 33

# Florida Public Utilities Company Consolidated Gas Interest Synchronization

Projected Test Year Ended December 31, 2023

Docket No. 20220067-GU Exhibit RCS-2 Schedule C-10 Page 1 of 1

Line No.	Description	Amount	Reference
1	Adjusted rate base	\$ 435,138,548	Schedule B
2	Weighted cost of debt	1.53%	Schedule D
3	Synchronized interest deduction	\$ 6,670,091	Line 1 x Line 2
4	Synchronized interest deduction per FPUC's filing	\$ 6,140,977	See note below
5	Difference (decreased) increased interest deduction	\$ 529,114	Line 3 - Line 4
6	State Income Tax Rate	5.50%	Schedule A-1
7	Decrease to State Income Tax Expense	\$ (29,101)	Line 5 x Line 6
8	Federal Taxable Income	\$ 500,013	L5 + L7
9	Federal Income Tax Rate	 21.00%	Schedule A-1
10	Federal Income Tax Expense	\$ (105,003)	L8 x L9
11	Increase (decrease) to income tax expense	\$ (134,104)	Line 7 + Line 10
Notes	and Source		
Line	4:		
12	FPUC Adjusted Rate Base	\$ 454,887,154	Schedule B
13	FPUC Weighted Cost of Debt	1.35%	Schedule D
14	Synchronized interest deduction per FPUC	\$ 6,140,977	

Florida Public Utilities Company Consolidated Gas Parent Company Debt Adjustment Docket No. 20220067-GU Exhibit RCS-2 Schedule C-11 Page 1 of 2

Projected Test Year Ended December 31, 2023

Line

No.	Description	Amount	Reference
		(A)	
1	Adjusted Rate Base	\$ 435,138,548	Sch. B
2	Common Equity Ratio	39.67%	Sch. D
3	Rate Base Financed by Common Equity	\$ 172,617,828	L1 x L2
4	Parent Company Debt Ratio	41.50%	[1]
5	Rate Base for Parent Company Debt Interest	\$ 71,636,399	L3 x L4
6	Parent Company Cost of Debt	4.52%	[1]
7	Parent Company Debt Interest Deduction	\$ 3,237,965	L5 x L6
8	Federal Income Tax Rate	21.00%	
9	Federal Income Tax Expense Decrease	\$ (679,973)	L7 x L8

# Notes and Source

<sup>[1]</sup> This information was provided by OPC witness David Garrett and is based on Value Line

Florida Public Utilities Company Consolidated Gas Parent Company Debt Adjustment

Projected Test Year Ended December 31, 2023

Docket No. 20220067-GU Exhibit RCS-2 Schedule C-11 Page 2 of 2

Line

No.	Description	Amount	Reference
1	Adjusted Rate Base	\$ 435,138,548	Sch. B
2	Consolidated Tax Rate	23.793%	[1]
3	Rate Base Financed by Common Equity	\$ 103,532,515	L1 x L2
4	Parent Company Cost of Debt	1.42%	[1]
5	Interest Deduction	\$ 1,470,455	L3 x L4
6	Federal Income Tax Rate	21%	
7	Calculated Reduction to Federal Income Tax Expense	\$ (308,796)	
8	Adjustment to 2023 Test Year Income Tax Expense Reflected in Company's Filing for Parent Company Debt Adjustment	\$ -	[2]

Notes and Source
[1] Amounts from MFR Schedule C-26

[2]: MFR Schedule G-2 Consolidated, page 29 of 31

The amounts below were calculated on MFR Schedule C-26

	Description	FPUC	CFG	Indiantown	Meade	Total
9	Total Rate Base	\$ 302,435,362	\$114,342,351	\$ 2,020,092	\$1,240,248	
10	Consolidated Tax Rate	23.793%	23.793%	23.793%	23.793%	
11	Rate Base Financed by Common Equity	\$ 71,958,446	\$ 27,205,476	\$ 480,640	\$ 295,092	
12	Parent Company Weighted Cost of Debt	1.42%	1.42%	1.42%	1.42%	
13	Interest Deduction	\$ 1,022,014	\$ 386,395	\$ 6,826	\$ 4,191	\$ 1,419,426

Docket No. 20220067-GU Exhibit RCS-2 Schedule C-12			
1 of 1			
rence			
A			
:1			

Notes and Source
A: Amount from FPUC's response to OPC ROG 101