1		BEFORE THE
2	FLORIDA	PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3		
4	In the Matter of:	
5		DOCKET NO. 20210184-WS
6	Application for li	
7	proceeding in High by HC Waterworks,	
8		/
9		
10	PROCEEDINGS:	COMMISSION CONFERENCE AGENDA ITEM NO. 4
11	COMMISSIONERS	
12	PARTICIPATING:	CHAIRMAN ANDREW GILES FAY COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM
13		COMMISSIONER GARY F. CLARK COMMISSIONER MIKE LA ROSA
14		COMMISSIONER GABRIELLA PASSIDOMO
15	DATE:	Thursday, September 8, 2022
16	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center Room 148
17		4075 Esplanade Way Tallahassee, Florida
18	REPORTED BY:	DEBRA R. KRICK
19		Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for
20		the State of Florida at Large
21		PREMIER REPORTING 112 W. 5TH AVENUE
22		TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA (850) 894-0828
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN FAY: Next we will move to Item 4.
3	Mr. Sandy, you are recognized when you are
4	ready.
5	MR. SANDY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
6	Good morning, Commissioners. This is Ryan
7	Sandy on behalf of the Office of General Counsel.
8	As it currently stands, Item 4 addresses
9	whether the Commission should deny the Office of
10	Public Counsel's petition protesting the
11	Commission's proposed agency action in this docket,
12	in the joint stipulation and settlement agreement
13	that was also filed in this docket on July 1st by
14	OPC and the utility.
15	We recommend that you deny OPC's protest. I
16	would note that if you approve staff's
17	recommendation on Issue 1, Issue 2 becomes moot,
18	and the proposed agency action order that is
19	currently in place will be made final. If you
20	approve the protest, we would recommend that you
21	deny the joint stipulation and settlement
22	agreement.
23	I would note that Mr. Rendell, on behalf of
24	the utility, is here this morning to answer any
25	questions. And Richard Gentry is here on behalf of

1	OPC, and would like to be heard on this matter.
2	As always, I and other members of the staff
3	are available to answer any questions you may have.
4	CHAIRMAN FAY: Great. Thank you, Mr. Sandy.
5	I will come you to first, Mr. Gentry. And
6	then, Mr. Rendell, if you have any comments and/or
7	if the body has any questions for you, we will
8	direct them.
9	MR. GENTRY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
10	I am here today to ask you Commissioners to
11	approve our proposed stipulation with the water
12	company or, in the alternative, to clarify your
13	previous order.
14	Now, my argument here is that prior to filing
15	this protest, we had sought to achieve
16	clarification of your previous order to wit we
17	wanted to the number of actual complaints filed
18	with this agency to be set forth in that order, and
19	in this case, it was 143 complaints that wound up
20	being filed with your office of CAO.
21	Now, the gravamen of the recommendation here
22	that this be denied is based on the fact that the
23	they were actually noticed in the order, and the
24	language was a group of customers filed a complaint
25	with customer assistance. The statement the group

of customers filed with the complaint is exactly
what we would like to be clarified.

The customers, in the words of 120.57 and is cited in this recommendation, are not a material fact that is significant or essential to this issue, and we think that it's just the opposite; because in 220 -- 2020 in your order establishing rates for this very water company, you found that HCW's overall quality of service was unsatisfactory due to the volume of customer complaints, and then you reduced their rate of return by 50 basis points. So clearly, the volume of complaints is important, if not critical.

Now, we want it to appear in your order the number of these complaints so that the next time there is an issue with the rates for this company, and as Commissioner Graham pointed out, when you look back at the file that you will see the true number of complaints that have -- that were -- were recorded in this issue and in this case.

I want to assure this commission, who I have great respect for, that this is not an attempt on the part of the OPC to nitpick this issue, and it's certainly not an attempt to ask this commission to cater to OPC's editorial preferences. We think

1	this has true merit, and I would ask you once
2	again, in closing, to simply approve the settlement
3	or, in the alternative, simply modify your order by
4	stating how many people filed complaints.
5	CHAIRMAN FAY: Great. Thank you, Mr. Gentry.
6	Mr. Rendell, you are recognized if you have
7	any comments.
8	MR. RENDELL: Commissioners, Troy Rendell on
9	behalf of HC Waterworks.
10	I am here to support staff's recommendation.
11	I believe it addresses all the concerns the utility
12	filed in response to OPC's petition. If it was
13	if the petition was granted without the settlement,
14	the question is what are we actually going to
15	hearing on the number of complaints filed. If
16	that's a fact, this really should not be going to
17	hearing. But I am here to support staff's
18	recommendation as written.
19	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great.
20	And, Commissioners, I will come to you in a
21	second here.
22	I just want to confirm with staff that since
23	the recommendation does have the customer
24	complaints in there, I mean, would there be a
25	requirement that they be in the order? I mean, is

1	there a potential that would be in the order
2	anyway?
3	MR. SANDY: If I understand your Chair if I
4	understand your question, Mr. Chair, is it that if
5	mention is made in the proposed agency action order
6	already, then is clarification permitted in any
7	subsequent order? If I misunderstood, my
8	apologies.
9	CHAIRMAN FAY: Yeah, just specifically to
10	the this language. I mean, to your point, if
11	it's done originally and it would carry over, I
12	think that's fine. That makes sense. But I just
13	listening to Mr. Gentry's point, like, I didn't
14	see any issue with it actually being in the order
15	itself, which it sounds like is what he is
16	requesting. If I have that correct yeah. I
17	just wanted to see if that would naturally occur
18	anyway because of it previously being in.
19	Ms. Crawford, you are recognized.
20	MS. CRAWFORD: If let me rephrase it
21	slightly. I am not sure if this is quite what you
22	are asking.
23	If your statement is: If you move staff, we
24	are going to have an order out there reflecting
25	that there is 143 customers, yes, that is

1 absolutely correct. If the question is: Can we modify the PAA as 2. 3 requested by Mr. Gentry, I think you also have that discretion. Obviously, we still support the staff 4 5 We think that would be the appropriate rec. outcome in this matter. 6 7 CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. That answers my 8 question. 9 Commissioners? Commissioner Clark, you are 10 recognized. 11 COMMISSIONER CLARK: When the lawyers start 12 asking these questions, I get confused. I'm going 13 to simplify this. 14 The 143 customers, are those customers in the 15 record? Are they in the docket -- in the record? 16 Their complaint, is it in the record? 17 MS. CRAWFORD: Yes, those complaints were 18 placed in the record by OPC in the docket file. 19 It is part of the docket COMMISSIONER CLARK: 20 file. It is in the record. 21 MS. CRAWFORD: Yes. 22 COMMISSIONER CLARK: The only thing is we did 23 not mention the 143 complaints in the PAA, in the 24 final order? 25 MS. CRAWFORD: That's correct. It was

1	characterized as a group of customers as Mr.
2	Gentry
3	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Mr. Gentry's request that
4	we modify the PAA to say specifically 143 customers
5	is not a big issue in terms of we we have the
6	authority to modify the PAA when we approve it,
7	right?
8	MS. CRAWFORD: Would you restate that for me?
9	I want to make sure I'm getting
10	COMMISSIONER CLARK: I am going to get a
11	lawyer answer. I can see it am could go.
12	Can we modify the PAA right now to amend it to
13	include the 143 customers? It seems like a
14	logical, reasonable request to me.
15	MS. CRAWFORD: Yes, you can certainly do that.
16	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Okay. Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN FAY: Commissioners, any other
18	comments?
19	All right. With that, Commissioners, I would
20	entertain a motion on this item, Item No. 4.
21	COMMISSIONER CLARK: I'm prepared, Mr.
22	Chairman, to make that motion.
23	I move to approve the order with the proposed
24	modification of adding the specific number of
25	customers.

1	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. With that, we have a
2	motion for staff's recommendation with clarity that
3	the complaints would be included in that order.
4	Do we have a second?
5	MR. HETRICK: Yeah, Mr. Chairman.
6	CHAIRMAN FAY: Oh, sure. General Counsel, go
7	ahead.
8	MR. HETRICK: Just for clarification. Mr.
9	Gentry framed this in terms of in the alternative,
10	which I think is where you were heading, but I want
11	that clarification for the record. When we modify
12	the order, by inserting that number in there, a
13	group of 143 customers, does that mean that he is
14	withdrawing his petition? That's what I understood
15	this to mean.
16	CHAIRMAN FAY: Agreed. So just on Issue 2, if
17	that's
18	MR. GENTRY: That's correct. That is correct.
19	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great. Does that
20	clarify it, Mr. Hetrick?
21	MR. HETRICK: Yes. And, Mary Anne, did you
22	have any other clarification to that?
23	MS. HELTON: Basically I just want to make
24	sure we are so we are he is withdrawing the
25	petition for hearing as well as the request for the

1	settlement as an alternative in the alternative
2	is that we are going to modify the PAA order to
3	reflect 143 customers?
4	MR. GENTRY: Again, that's correct.
5	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. Great. And I may sound
6	like Commissioner Clark here, I do think we are
7	making it more complicated than
8	COMMISSIONER CLARK: Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN FAY: than it has to be. But to
10	your point, I think that addresses those issues
11	with that recommendation.
12	So with that, do we have a second?
13	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Second.
14	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. All that support say
15	aye.
16	(Chorus of ayes.)
17	CHAIRMAN FAY: Any opposed?
18	(No response.)
19	CHAIRMAN FAY: Okay. With that, Item No. 4
20	passes.
21	Thank you.
22	MR. GENTRY: Thank you.
23	CHAIRMAN FAY: Thank you, Mr. Gentry.
24	I'm only going to say that once, ever. Yeah.
25	I know. It's a weird day.

1	(Agenda item concluded.)
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF FLORIDA)
3	COUNTY OF LEON)
4	
5	I, DEBRA KRICK, Court Reporter, do hereby
6	certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the
7	time and place herein stated.
8	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I
9	stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the
10	same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;
11	and that this transcript constitutes a true
12	transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
14	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor
15	am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
16	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
17	financially interested in the action.
18	DATED this 19th day of September, 2022.
19	
20	
21	
22	Debli R Laci
23	DEBRA R. KRICK
24	NOTARY PUBLIC COMMISSION #HH31926
25	EXPIRES AUGUST 13, 2024