BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
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| In re: Joint petition for approval of GRIP cost recovery factors, by Florida Public Utilities Company, Florida Public Utilities Company-Fort Meade, and Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation. | DOCKET NO. 20220155-GUORDER NO. PSC-2022-0401-TRF-GUISSUED: November 17, 2022 |

The following Commissioners participated in the disposition of this matter:

ANDREW GILES FAY, Chairman

ART GRAHAM

GARY F. CLARK

MIKE LA ROSA

GABRIELLA PASSIDOMO

ORDER APPROVING GAS RELIABILITY INFRASTRUCTURE PROGRAM COST RECOVERY FACTORS

BY THE COMMISSION:

Background

 On September 1, 2021, Florida Public Utilities Company (FPUC), Florida Public Utilities Company-Fort Meade (Fort Meade), and Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation d/b/a Central Florida Gas (Chesapeake), collectively the Companies, filed a joint petition for approval of its gas reliability infrastructure program (GRIP or program) cost recovery factors for the period January through December 2023. The GRIP for FPUC and Chesapeake was first approved in Order No. PSC-12-0490-TRF-GU (2012 Order) to recover the cost of accelerating the replacement of cast iron and bare steel distribution mains and services, including a return on investment, through a surcharge on customers’ bills.[[1]](#footnote-1) Fort Meade’s GRIP was originally approved in Order No. PSC-15-0578-TRF-GU, and allowed Fort Meade to file its annual petition for GRIP factors concurrently with FPUC and Chesapeake.[[2]](#footnote-2) The current GRIP surcharges for January through December 2022 were approved in Order No. PSC-2021-0419-TRF-GU.[[3]](#footnote-3)

 In the pending rate case, Docket No. 20220067-GU (2022 rate case), the Companies have proposed to roll GRIP investments into rate base, in compliance with the 2012 Order. Specifically, the 2012 Order stated that the surcharges would be recalculated at the time of a full base rate proceeding, when the GRIP investments would be rolled into base rates. The GRIP tariffs provided in the petition, and shown in Attachment B to this order, have been calculated using the assumption that we would approve the Companies’ request to roll GRIP investments into rate base prior to the effective date of January 2023. Specifically, the Companies stated they would need our approval to roll the GRIP investments into base rates by December 1, 2022, in order to implement the proposed GRIP factors by January 1, 2023. If we have not made a decision in the 2022 rate case prior to December 1, 2022, the tariffs provided in Attachment 4 of the joint responses to our staff’s first data request shall be approved. These tariffs are shown in Attachment C to this order.

 The Companies have also proposed, in the 2022 rate case, to consolidate the current 54 rate classes across the four natural gas utilities into 16 rate classes. If we approve the consolidated rate classes in the rate case docket, the Companies would need to allocate the GRIP costs to the appropriate revised rate classes and recalculate the GRIP factors. The proposed tariffs shown in Attachments B and C to this order reflect GRIP factors for the current 54 rate classes.

 Consistent with the 2012 Order, the GRIP replacement activities would be scheduled to terminate at the end of 2022. However, the Companies anticipate filing a GRIP Phase II in the near future for our approval, under a separate petition. The Companies explained that they have identified additional safety and access related activities that need to be addressed.

 On September 15, 2022, the Companies waived their 60-day file and suspend provision of Section 366.06(3), Florida Statutes (F.S.), via an e-mail, which has been placed in the docket file. During the evaluation of the petition, our staff issued a data request to the Companies, for which joint responses were received on October 6, 2022. The Companies also provided attachments with its joint responses which were filed in the docket by our staff.[[4]](#footnote-4) Attachments 4 and 5 to the joint responses are the tariff sheets and Witness Waruszewski’s exhibit RCW-1, which provides the GRIP factor calculations, assuming we have not made a decision in the 2022 rate case before January 2023. These tariffs and associated GRIP surcharges include the GRIP investment. We have jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Sections 366.03, 366.04, 366.05, and 366.06, F.S.

Decision

 The GRIP surcharges have been in place since January 2013 for FPUC and Chesapeake, while Fort Meade’s surcharges were first implemented in January 2017. Fort Meade completed its replacement program in 2019 and Chesapeake completed its replacement program in 2021. FPUC completed replacement projects in 2022 in areas including the City of Boynton Beach, the City of West Palm Beach, and the City of Lantana.[[5]](#footnote-5) FPUC has approximately 0.5 miles of pipeline to replace in 2023, due to some permit delays.[[6]](#footnote-6) The Companies stated that they prioritized the replacement projects focusing on areas of high consequence and areas more susceptible to corrosion. Attachment A to this order provides an update of mains and services replaced through 2022 and replacement forecasts for 2023.

**FPUC’s True-ups by Year**

 FPUC’s calculation for the 2023 GRIP revenue requirement and surcharges includes a final true-up for 2021, an actual/estimated true-up for 2022, and projected costs for 2023. In its 2008 rate case, FPUC was authorized to recover $747,727 of annual bare steel replacement expenses in base rates.[[7]](#footnote-7) Therefore, the $747,727 recovered from base rates is excluded from the GRIP true-up calculations for 2021 and 2022.

**Final True-up for 2021**

 FPUC stated that the revenues collected through the GRIP surcharges for 2021 were $10,676,905, compared to a revenue requirement of $12,789,617, resulting in an under-recovery of $2,112,712. Therefore, the 2020 over-recovery of $326,121, the 2021 under-recovery of $2,112,712, and interest of $160 associated with any over- and under-recoveries results in a final 2021 under-recovery of $1,786,751.

**Actual/Estimated 2022 True-ups**

 FPUC provided actual revenues for January through July 2022 and estimated revenues for August through December 2022, totaling $16,474,089, compared to an actual/estimated revenue requirement for 2022 of $15,431,274, resulting in an over-recovery of $1,042,817. Therefore, the 2021 under-recovery of $1,786,751, the 2022 over-recovery of $1,042,817, and interest of $9,859 results in a total 2022 under-recovery of $753,793.

**Projected 2023 Costs**

 FPUC projects zero capital expenditures for the replacement of cast iron/bare steel infrastructure in 2023.[[8]](#footnote-8) FPUC moved $153,684,138 of total qualified investment into rate base in the rate case docket. That amount represents the total investment projected at the time of the rate case filing in May 2022. For the GRIP filing in September 2022, FPUC had additional months of actual investment costs and an updated investment amount of $159,599,228, leaving $5,915,090 ($159,599,228 - $153,684,138) to be recovered through the 2023 GRIP factors as shown in Attachment B to this order.

 The return on investment (which includes federal income taxes, regulatory assessment fees, and bad debt), depreciation expense, and property tax associated with the $5,915,090 investment, after subtracting accumulated depreciation, is $366,128. After including the total 2022 under-recovery of $753,793, the 2023 revenue requirement is $1,119,921. Table 1 shows FPUC’s 2023 revenue requirement calculation.

**Table 0**

**FPUC 2023 Revenue Requirement Calculation**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 2023 Projected Expenditures | $0 |
| Return on Investment | $187,999  |
| Depreciation Expense |  126,275  |
| Property Tax Expense | 51,855  |
| 2023 GRIP Revenue Requirement | $366,128 |
| Plus 2022 Under-recovery | +753,793 |
| 2023 Total Revenue Requirement | $1,119,921 |

 Source: Witness Waruszewski Testimony Schedules C-2, Page 4, and D-1, Page 5

**Chesapeake’s True-ups by Year**

 Chesapeake’s calculation for the 2023 GRIP revenue requirement and surcharges includes a final true-up for 2021, an actual/estimated true-up for 2022, and projected costs for 2023. Chesapeake does not have a replacement recovery amount embedded in base rates.

**Final True-up for 2021**

 Chesapeake stated that the revenues collected for 2021 were $4,067,038, compared to a revenue requirement of $4,102,754, resulting in an under-recovery of $35,715. The 2020 under-recovery of $278,276, 2021 under-recovery of $35,715 and $124 for interest associated with any over- and under-recoveries results in a final 2021 under-recovery of $314,115.

**Actual/Estimated 2022 True-up**

 Chesapeake provided actual GRIP revenues for January through July 2022 and estimated revenues for August through December 2022, totaling $3,789,938, compared to an actual/estimated revenue requirement of $4,309,484, resulting in an under-recovery of $519,544. The 2021 under-recovery of $314,115, 2022 under-recovery of $519,544, and interest of $8,855 associated with any over- and under-recoveries results in a total 2022 under-recovery of $842,515.

**Projected 2023 Costs**

 Chesapeake projects zero capital expenditures for the replacement of cast iron/bare steel infrastructure in 2023, as the company completed the replacement program in 2021. Chesapeake moved $41,948,432 of total qualified investment into rate base in the rate case docket. That amount represents the total investment projected at the time of the rate case filing in May 2022. For the GRIP filing in September 2022, Chesapeake had additional months of actual investment costs and an updated investment amount of $41,872,674, leaving ($75,758) ($41,948,432 - $41,872,674) as a credit to the 2023 GRIP factors, as shown in Attachment B to this order.

 The return on investment (which includes federal income taxes, regulatory assessment fees, and bad debt), depreciation expense, and property tax associated with the ($75,758) investment, after subtracting accumulated depreciation, is ($48,807). The 2023 GRIP factors for Chesapeake are designed to collect the remaining 2022 under-recovery of $842,515 and the revenue requirement of ($48,807) associated with the 2022 investment. Table 2 shows Chesapeake’s 2023 revenue requirement calculation.

**Table 2**

**Chesapeake 2023 Revenue Requirement Calculation**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 2023 Projected Expenditures | $0 |
| Return on Investment | ($37,095) |
| Depreciation Expense | (1,560) |
| Property Tax Expense | (10,152) |
| 2023 Revenue Requirement | ($48,807) |
| Plus 2022 Under-recovery | +842,515 |
| 2023 Total Revenue Requirement | $793,707 |

Source: Witness Waruszewski Testimony Schedules C-2, Page 10, and D-1, Page 11

**Fort Meade’s True-ups by Year**

 Fort Meade finished its replacement program in 2019. Unlike FPUC and Chesapeake, only bare steel services (and no mains) required replacement in Fort Meade.

**Final True-up for 2021**

 Fort Meade stated that the revenues collected for 2021 were $26,629, compared to a revenue requirement of $24,363, resulting in an over-recovery of $2,266. Adding the 2020 over-recovery of $8,427, the 2021 over-recovery of $2,266, and $3 for interest associated with any over- and under-recoveries, the final 2021 over-recovery is $10,696.

**Actual/Estimated 2022 True-up**

 Fort Meade provided actual GRIP revenues for January through July 2022 and estimated revenues for August through December 2022 totaling $26,501, compared to an actual/estimated revenue requirement of $24,881, resulting in an over-recovery of $1,619. Adding the 2021 over-recovery of $10,696, the 2022 over-recovery of $1,619, and interest of $212 associated with any over- and under-recoveries, the resulting total 2022 true-up is an over-recovery of $12,527.

**Projected 2023 Costs**

 Fort Meade projects zero capital expenditures for the replacement of cast iron/bare steel infrastructure in 2023, as the company completed the replacement program in 2019. Fort Meade’s total investment of $253,934 has been moved into rate base in the rate case docket, with no rate base balance remaining to be recovered through the 2023 GRIP factors. Therefore, the 2023 GRIP factors, as shown in Attachment B to this order, will be a credit on customers’ bills and are designed to refund the remaining 2022 over-recovery of $12,527.

**Proposed Surcharges for FPUC, Chesapeake, and Fort Meade**

 As established in the 2012 Order approving the GRIP program, the total 2023 revenue requirement is allocated to the rate classes using the same methodology used for the allocation of mains and services in the cost of service study used in the utilities’ most recent rate case. The respective percentages were multiplied by the 2023 revenue requirements and divided by each rate class’ projected therm sales to provide the GRIP surcharge for each rate class.

 The proposed 2023 GRIP surcharge for FPUC’s residential customers on the Residential Service (RS) schedule is $0.02166 per therm (compared to the current surcharge of $0.31642 per therm). The monthly bill impact is $0.43 for a residential customer using 20 therms per month. The proposed FPUC GRIP surcharges are shown in Attachment B, Tariff Sheet No. 7.907.

 The proposed 2023 GRIP surcharge for Chesapeake’s residential customers on the FTS-1 schedule is $0.01970 per therm (compared to the current surcharge of $0.11405). The monthly bill impact is $0.39 for a residential customer using 20 therms per month. The proposed Chesapeake GRIP surcharges are shown in Attachment B, Tariff Sheet Nos. 7.907 and 7.910.

 The proposed 2023 GRIP surcharge for Fort Meade’s residential customers on the RS schedule is ($0.12822) per therm (compared to the current surcharge of $0.15245). The monthly bill impact is a credit of $2.56 for a residential customer using 20 therms per month. The proposed Fort Meade GRIP surcharges are shown on Tariff Sheet No. 7.906 in Attachment B.

**Conclusion**

 If we have not yet made a decision in the 2022 rate case prior to December 1, 2022, then the GRIP surcharges as shown in Attachment C to this order shall go into effect for the period January through December 2023, and the surcharges shown in Attachment B shall be denied. If we approve in the 2022 rate case the Companies’ proposals to roll the GRIP investment into rate base prior to December 1, 2022, then we shall approve FPUC’s, Chesapeake’s, and Fort Meade’s proposed GRIP surcharges for the period January through December 2023, as shown in Attachment B to this order, and the surcharges shown in Attachment C to this order shall be denied.

 If we approve to consolidate the rate classes in the 2022 rate case, within 10 business days after we vote in the 2022 rate case docket, the Companies shall recalculate the GRIP surcharges for the consolidated rate classes. The revised GRIP surcharges shall be submitted for our staff’s administrative approval and shall be effective concurrent with any revised Commission-approved base rates in the rate case docket.

 Based on the foregoing, it is

 ORDERED by the Florida Public Service Commission that the joint petition for approval of GRIP cost recovery factors by Florida Public Utilities Company, Florida Public Utilities Company-Fort Meade, and the Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation is approved. It is further

 ORDERED that if we approve Florida Public Utilities Company’s, Florida Public Utilities Company-Fort Meade’s, and the Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation’s proposal to consolidate the rate classes in the 2022 rate case, within 10 business days after our vote in the 2022 rate case docket, the Companies shall recalculate the GRIP surcharges for the consolidated rate classes. The revised GRIP surcharges shall be submitted for our staff’s administrative approval and shall be effective concurrent with any revised Commission-approved base rates in the rate case docket. It is further

 ORDERED that if a protest is filed within 21 days of issuance of the Order, the tariff shall remain in effect with any charges held subject to refund pending resolution of the protest. It is further

 ORDERED that if no timely protest is filed, this docket shall be closed upon the issuance of a Consummating Order.

 By ORDER of the Florida Public Service Commission this 17th day of November, 2022.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | /s/ Adam J. Teitzman |
|  | ADAM J. TEITZMANCommission Clerk |

Florida Public Service Commission

2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard

Tallahassee, Florida 32399

(850) 413‑6770

www.floridapsc.com

Copies furnished: A copy of this document is provided to the parties of record at the time of issuance and, if applicable, interested persons.
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NOTICE OF FURTHER PROCEEDINGS

 The Florida Public Service Commission is required by Section 120.569(1), Florida Statutes, to notify parties of any administrative hearing or judicial review of Commission orders that is available under Sections 120.57 or 120.68, Florida Statutes, as well as the procedures and time limits that apply. This notice should not be construed to mean all requests for an administrative hearing or judicial review will be granted or result in the relief sought.

 Mediation may be available on a case-by-case basis. If mediation is conducted, it does not affect a substantially interested person's right to a hearing.

 The Commission's decision on this tariff is interim in nature and will become final, unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the proposed action files a petition for a formal proceeding, in the form provided by Rule 28-106.201, Florida Administrative Code. This petition must be received by the Office of Commission Clerk, 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard, Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0850, by the close of business on December 8, 2022.

 In the absence of such a petition, this Order shall become final and effective upon the issuance of a Consummating Order.

 Any objection or protest filed in this docket before the issuance date of this order is considered abandoned unless it satisfies the foregoing conditions and is renewed within the specified protest period.
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