

**Lorena Hollett**

**From:** Lorena Hollett on behalf of Records Clerk  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 15, 2022 4:04 PM  
**To:** 'Anna Eskamani'  
**Cc:** Consumer Contact  
**Subject:** FW: Conservation goals for electric utilities  
**Attachments:** A. Eskamani PSC Dec 2022.pdf

Good afternoon, Anna Eskamani.

We will be placing your comments below in consumer correspondence in Docket No. 20200181, and forwarding your comments to the Office of Consumer Assistance and Outreach.

Regards,

*Lorena Hollett*  
*Commission Deputy Clerk I*  
*Florida Public Service Commission*  
*2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard*  
*Tallahassee, FL 32399*  
*Phone: (850) 413-6758*

**From:** Anna Eskamani <anna.v.eskamani@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, December 15, 2022 3:52 PM  
**To:** Records Clerk <CLERK@PSC.STATE.FL.US>  
**Cc:** Consumer Contact <Contact@PSC.STATE.FL.US>  
**Subject:** Re: Conservation goals for electric utilities

Thank you! Here is a PDF version too. Appreciate it!

On Thu, Dec 15, 2022 at 3:38 PM Records Clerk <[CLERK@psc.state.fl.us](mailto:CLERK@psc.state.fl.us)> wrote:

Good morning, Anna Eskamani.

We will be placing your comments below in consumer correspondence in Docket No. 20200181, and forwarding your comments to the Office of Consumer Assistance and Outreach.

Regards,

Lorena Hollett  
Commission Deputy Clerk I  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, FL 32399  
Phone: (850) 413-6758

-----Original Message-----

From: [anna.v.eskamani@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:anna.v.eskamani@everyactioncustom.com) <[anna.v.eskamani@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:anna.v.eskamani@everyactioncustom.com)>

Sent: Thursday, December 15, 2022 3:36 PM  
To: Records Clerk <[CLERK@PSC.STATE.FL.US](mailto:CLERK@PSC.STATE.FL.US)>  
Subject: Conservation goals for electric utilities

Dear Clerk Of PSC,

December 15, 2022

Dear Public Service Commission Members:

I am writing to request that you to update the Public Service Commission's policies on energy conservation. The PSC currently uses a flawed, outdated, and archaic method for determining the feasibility of conservation projects, leading to large amounts of wasted energy and higher bills for Florida consumers.

The "Rate Impact Measure" (RIM) test penalizes efficiency by treating it as a cost, due to reduced revenue for the utilities. Under such a test, conservation projects will never have a positive effect from the perspective of utility companies.

In addition, utilities state that efficiency projects should bring a payback to the customer in less than two years, which is a completely unreasonable standard that eliminates a whole range of the most cost-effective ways to help customers reduce energy use. This has led to conservation goals of zero or near zero being proposed by multiple utilities.

I ask that you update your energy conservation standards and require utilities to help customers save energy and lower their bills versus maintain the status quo.

Sincerely,

Rep. Anna V. Eskamani

Sincerely,  
Ms. Anna Eskamani  
126 N Mills Ave Orlando, FL 32801-2112  
[anna.v.eskamani@gmail.com](mailto:anna.v.eskamani@gmail.com)

--

Anna V. Eskamani  
Pronouns: She, Her, Hers  
407-376-3609  
[@AnnaForFlorida](https://twitter.com/AnnaForFlorida)



# Florida House of Representatives

*Representative Anna V. Eskamani*

District 42

**District Office**

1507 E. Concord Street  
Orlando, Florida 32803  
407-228-1451

**Tallahassee Office**

The Capitol  
402 South Monroe Street  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1300  
850-717-5042

Email: [Anna.Eskamani@myfloridahouse.gov](mailto:Anna.Eskamani@myfloridahouse.gov)

December 15, 2022

Dear Public Service Commission Members:

I am writing to request that you to update the Public Service Commission's policies on energy conservation. The PSC currently uses a flawed, outdated, and archaic method for determining the feasibility of conservation projects, leading to large amounts of wasted energy and higher bills for Florida consumers.

The "Rate Impact Measure" (RIM) test penalizes efficiency by treating it as a cost, due to reduced revenue for the utilities. Under such a test, conservation projects will *never* have a positive effect from the perspective of utility companies.

In addition, utilities state that efficiency projects should bring a payback to the customer in less than two years, which is a completely unreasonable standard that eliminates a whole range of the most cost-effective ways to help customers reduce energy use. This has led to conservation goals of zero or near zero being proposed by multiple utilities.

I ask that you update your energy conservation standards and require utilities to help customers save energy and lower their bills versus maintain the status quo.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Anna".

**Representative Anna V. Eskamani**

Florida House of Representatives, District 42

*Ways & Means Committee, Ranking Member*

*State Affairs Committee • Infrastructure Strategies Committee • Transportation & Modals Subcommittee  
Select Committee on Hurricane Resiliency & Recovery • Postsecondary Education & Workforce Subcommittee*