

***St. James Island Utility Company
108 Sea Pine Dr.
St. Teresa, FL 32358***

Date: December 12, 2023

To: Florida Public Service Commission

Re: Statement of Estimated Regulatory Costs (SERC) Data Request for Proposed Amendment of Rul 25-14.004 Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C), Determination of Total Corporate Income Tax

Item 1

Question: Would calculating your utility's stand-alone income tax expense using the proposed methodology result in incremental costs to your utility?

Answer: Yes, we expect an incremental annual increase of approximately \$10,000

Item 2

Question: Would the proposed revision to Rule 25-14.004, F.A.C, directly or indirectly result in incremental regulatory costs of your utility in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate in Florida in 1 year after implementation of the rule?

Answer: No.

Item 3

Question: Please state if the proposed rule revisions would result in any cost savings to your utility and if so, state the categories and estimated amounts of costs.

Answer: No cost savings to be expected.

Item 4

Question: Considering the above stated definition regarding small business, please state if your water and/or water and wastewater utility is a small business.

Answer: Yes, St. James Island Utility meets the definition of a small business.

Item 5

Question: If yes, please state if the proposed rule would have an adverse, minimal, or no financial impact on your utility.

Answer: Minimal

Item 6

Question: Would the proposed rule directly or indirectly result in incremental regulatory costs to your utility in excess of \$200,000 in the aggregate in Florida within 1 year after implementation of the rule?

Answer: No costs in excess of \$200,000 are expected either directly or indirectly.

Item 7

Question: Would the proposed rule revisions have an adverse incremental impact on economic growth, private-sector job creation or employment, and private-sector investment in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule?

Answer: No impact is expected in excess of \$1 million dollars within 5 years after implementation of the rule.

Item 8

Question: Would the proposed rule revisions have an adverse incremental impact on business competitiveness, productivity and innovation in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after implementation of the rule?

Answer: No excessive impact is expected.

Item 9

Question: Would the proposed rule revisions result in incremental regulatory costs, including any transactional costs (including filing fees, costs of obtaining a license, cost of equipment to be installed or used, procedures required to be employed in complying with the rule, additional operating costs incurred, cost of monitoring or reporting, and any other costs necessary to comply with the rule? To your utility, in excess of \$1 million in the aggregate within 5 years after the implementation of the rule.

Answer: No.

Item 10

Question: Considering the definition of Small City, please state if your utility serves a small city or several small cities, or a small county/counties? Would the small city/cities/county/counties served by your utility have financial impacts or no financial impacts or no impacts due to the proposed rule revisions?

Answer: St. James Island Utility Company serves a small city. There would be some minimal financial impact to the utility.

Item 11

Question: Please provide any additional information, including any tasks not identified above, which would result in incremental costs to your utility, due to the proposed revisions to the rule, which the Commission may determine useful.

Answer: Nothing additional to report or tasks not previously identified.