

BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In re: Fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause with generating performance incentive factor.

DOCKET NO.: 20240001-EI

FILED: October 7, 2024

**PREHEARING STATEMENT OF
FLORIDA INDUSTRIAL POWER USERS GROUP**

The Florida Industrial Power Users Group (“FIPUG”), by and through undersigned counsel, submits this Prehearing Statement.

APPEARANCES:

Jon C. Moyle, Jr.
Karen A. Putnal
Moyle Law Firm, P.A.
118 North Gadsden Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
Telephone: (850)681-3828
Facsimile: (850)681-8788
jmoyle@moylelaw.com
kputnal@moylelaw.com
Counsel for FIPUG

1. WITNESSES:

FIPUG does not intend, at this time, to call witnesses, but reserves the right to call and question witnesses identified by other parties as permitted.

2. EXHIBITS:

FIPUG does not intend to introduce exhibits at this time but reserves the right to use and introduce exhibits at hearing as permitted.

3. STATEMENT OF BASIC POSITION

The utilities bear the burden of proof to justify the recovery of costs they request in this docket as reasonable and prudent. The utilities must carry this burden regardless of whether or not FIPUG or other parties introduce evidence to the contrary. The utilities must also carry their burden of proof to support their proposal(s) asking the Commission's adoption of policy statements (whether new or changed) or other affirmative relief sought.

4. STATEMENT OF FACTUAL ISSUES AND POSITIONS

I. FUEL ISSUES

Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

ISSUE 1A: Should the Commission approve DEF's 2025 Risk Management Plan?

FIPUG: Duke is contractually precluded from hedging given its rate case settlement agreement and no hedging activity should be allowed.

ISSUE 1B: What is the appropriate subscription bill credit associated with DEF's Clean Energy Connection Program, approved by Order No. PSC-2021-0059-S-EI, to be included for recovery in 2025?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 1C: What is the appropriate Clean Energy Impact (CEI) credit, approved by Order No. PSC-2023-0191-TRF-EI, to be included in the fuel clause in 2025?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

Florida Power & Light Company

ISSUE 2A: What was the total gain under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2021-0446-A-S-EI that FPL may recover for the period January 2023 through December 2023, and how should that gain to be shared between FPL and customers?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 2B: What is the appropriate amount of Incremental Optimization Costs under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2021-0446A-S-EI that FPL should be allowed to recover through the fuel clause for Personnel, Software, and Hardware costs for the period January 2023 through December 2023?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 2C: What is the appropriate amount of Variable Power Plant O&M Attributable to Off-System Sales under FPL's Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2021-0446-A-S-EI that FPL should be allowed to recover through the fuel clause for the period January 2023 through December 2023?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 2D: What is the appropriate amount of Variable Power Plant O&M Avoided due to Economy Purchases under FPL’s Incentive Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2021-0446-A-S-EI that FPL should be allowed to recover through the fuel clause for the period January 2023 through December 2023?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 2E: What is the appropriate subscription credit associated with FPL’s SolarTogether Program approved by Order No. PSC-2020-0084-S-EI, to be included for recovery in 2025?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 2F: Should the Commission approve FPL’s 2025 Risk Management Plan?

FIPUG: FPL is contractually precluded from hedging given its last rate case settlement agreement and no hedging activity should be allowed.

ISSUE 2G: Are the 2025 SoBRA units (12 total) proposed by FPL cost effective?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 2H: What are the revenue requirements associated with the 2025 SoBRA Project?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 2I: What is the appropriate base rate percentage increase for the 2025 SoBRA Project, to be effective when all 2025 units are in service?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 2J: Should the Commission approve revised tariffs for FPL reflecting the base rate percentage increases for the 2025 SoBRA project determined to be appropriate in this proceeding?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 2K: Were FPL’s actions related to the forced outage event(s) that occurred at St. Lucie Nuclear Unit No. 1 in July 2024 prudent? If not, what actions should the Commission take?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 2L: How much replacement power cost did FPL incur due to the forced outage event(s) that occurred at St. Lucie Nuclear Unit No. 1 in July 2024?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 2M: Were FPL's actions related to the forced outage event(s) that occurred at St. Lucie Nuclear Unit No. 2 in May/June 2024 prudent? If not, what actions should the Commission take?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 2N: How much replacement power cost did FPL incur due to the forced outage event(s) that occurred at St. Lucie Nuclear Unit No. 2 in July 2024?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

Tampa Electric Company

ISSUE 4A: What was the total gain under TECO's Optimization Mechanism approved by Order No. PSC-2021-0423-S-EI that TECO may recover for the period January 2023 through December 2023, and how should that gain to be shared between TECO and customers?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 4B: Should the Commission approve TECO's 2025 Risk Management Plan?

FIPUG: TECO should not be permitted to hedge given the overwhelming hedging losses previously incurred when TECO was engaged in hedging.

GENERIC FUEL ADJUSTMENT ISSUES

ISSUE 5: What are the appropriate actual benchmark levels for calendar year 2024 for gains on non-separated wholesale energy sales eligible for a shareholder incentive?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 6: What are the appropriate estimated benchmark levels for calendar year 2025 for gains on non-separated wholesale energy sales eligible for a shareholder incentive?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 7: What are the appropriate final fuel adjustment true-up amounts for the period January 2023 through December 2023?

FIPUG: Adopt position of OPC.

ISSUE 8: What are the appropriate fuel adjustment actual/estimated true-up amounts for the period January 2024 through December 2024?

FIPUG: Adopt position of OPC.

ISSUE 9: What are the appropriate total fuel adjustment true-up amounts to be collected/refunded from January 2025 through December 2025?

FIPUG: Adopt position of OPC.

ISSUE 10: What are the appropriate projected total fuel and purchased power cost recovery amounts for the period January 2025 through December 2025?

FIPUG: Adopt position of OPC.

COMPANY-SPECIFIC GENERATING PERFORMANCE INCENTIVE FACTOR ISSUES

Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

No company-specific GPIF issues for Duke Energy Florida, Inc. have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 11A, 11B, 11C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Florida Power & Light Company

No company-specific GPIF issues for Florida Power and Light Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 12A, 12B, 12C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Tampa Electric Company

No company-specific GPIF issues for Tampa Electric Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they shall be numbered 13A, 13B, 13C, and so forth, as appropriate.

GENERIC GPIF ISSUES

ISSUE 14: What is the appropriate GPIF reward or penalty for performance achieved during the period January 2023 through December 2023 for each investor-owned electric utility subject to the GPIF?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 15: What should the GPIF targets/ranges be for the period January 2025 through December 2025 for each investor-owned electric utility subject to the GPIF?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

FUEL FACTOR CALCULATION ISSUES

ISSUE 16: What are the appropriate projected net fuel and purchased power cost recovery and Generating Performance Incentive amounts to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2025 through December 2025?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 17: What is the appropriate revenue tax factor to be applied in calculating each investor-owned electric utility's levelized fuel factor for the projection period January 2025 through December 2025?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 18: What are the appropriate levelized fuel cost recovery factors for the period January 2025 through December 2025?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 19: What are the appropriate fuel recovery line loss multipliers to be used in calculating the fuel cost recovery factors charged to each rate class/delivery voltage level class?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 20: What are the appropriate fuel cost recovery factors for each rate class/delivery voltage level class adjusted for line losses?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

II. CAPACITY ISSUES

COMPANY-SPECIFIC CAPACITY COST RECOVERY FACTOR ISSUES

Duke Energy Florida, LLC.

ISSUE 21A: What is the appropriate amount of costs for the Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation (ISFSI) that DEF should be allowed to recover through the capacity cost recovery clause pursuant to DEF's 2017 Settlement for 2025?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

Florida Power & Light Company

No company-specific capacity cost recovery factor issues for Florida Power & Light Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they will be numbered 22A, 22B, 22C, and so forth, as appropriate.

Tampa Electric Company

No company-specific capacity cost recovery factor issues for Tampa Electric Company have been identified at this time. If such issues are identified, they will be numbered 23A, 23B, 23C, and so forth, as appropriate.

GENERIC CAPACITY COST RECOVERY FACTOR ISSUES

ISSUE 24: What are the appropriate final capacity cost recovery true-up amounts for the period January 2023 through December 2023?

FIPUG: Adopt position of OPC.

ISSUE 25: What are the appropriate capacity cost recovery actual/estimated true-up amounts for the period January 2024 through December 2024?

FIPUG: Adopt position of OPC.

ISSUE 26: What are the appropriate total capacity cost recovery true-up amounts to be collected/refunded during the period January 2025 through December 2025?

FIPUG: Adopt position of OPC.

ISSUE 27: What are the appropriate projected total capacity cost recovery amounts for the period January 2025 through December 2025?

FIPUG: Adopt position of OPC.

ISSUE 28: What are the appropriate projected net purchased power capacity cost recovery amounts to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2025 through December 2025?

FIPUG: Adopt position of OPC.

ISSUE 29: What are the appropriate jurisdictional separation factors for capacity revenues and costs to be included in the recovery factor for the period January 2025 through December 2025?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 30: What are the appropriate capacity cost recovery factors for the period January 2025 through December 2025?

FIPUG: Adopt position of OPC.

III. EFFECTIVE DATE

ISSUE 31: What should be the effective date of the fuel adjustment factors and capacity cost recovery factors for billing purposes?

FIPUG: No position at this time.

ISSUE 32: Should the Commission approve revised tariffs reflecting the fuel adjustment factors and capacity cost recovery factors determined to be appropriate in this proceeding?

FIPUG: Adopt position of OPC.

ISSUE 33: Should this docket be closed?

FIPUG: No.

5. STIPULATED ISSUES

None at this time.

6. PENDING MOTIONS

FIPUG has no pending motions at the time.

7. STATEMENT OF PARTY'S PENDING REQUESTS OR CLAIMS FOR CONFIDENTIALITY

FIPUG has no pending requests for claims for confidentiality.

8. OBJECTIONS TO QUALIFICATION OF WITNESSES AS AN EXPERT

None at this time.

9. SEQUESTRATION OF WITNESSES

FIPUG does not intend to seek the sequester at this time.

10. STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE WITH ORDER ESTABLISHING PROCEDURE

There are no requirements of the Order Establishing Procedure with which FIPUG cannot comply.

Dated this 7th day of October.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Jon C. Moyle

Jon C. Moyle, Jr.

Karen A. Putnal

Moyle Law Firm, P.A.

118 North Gadsden Street

Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Telephone: (850)681-3828

Facsimile: (850)681-8788

jmoyle@moylelaw.com

kputnal@moylelaw.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
DOCKET NO. 20230001-EI

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing has been furnished by electronic mail on this 7th day of October 2024, to the following:

Ryan Sandy
Suzanne S. Brownless
Special Counsel
Office of the General Counsel
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850
rsandy@psc.state.fl.us
sbrownle@psc.state.fl.us

Patricia A. Christensen
Walt Trierweiler
Mary Wessling
Octavio Ponce
Austin Watrous
Charles J. Rehwinkel
Office of Public Counsel
111 West Madison Street – Room 812
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400
christensen.patty@leg.state.fl.us
rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us
Trierweiler.walt@leg.state.fl.us
wessling.mary@leg.state.fl.us
ponce.octavio@leg.state.fl.us
watrous.austin@leg.state.fl.us

Dianne M. Triplett
Associate General Counsel
Duke Energy Florida, LLC
299 First Avenue North
St. Petersburg, FL 33701
Dianne.triplett@duke-energy.com
FLRegulatoryLegal@duke-energy.com

Matthew R. Bernier
Stephanie Cuello
106 East College Avenue, Suite 800
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
robert.pickles@duke-energy.com
matthew.bernier@duke-energy.com
stephanie.cuello@duke-energy.com

Beth Keating
Gunster Law Firm
215 S. Monroe St., Suite 601
Tallahassee, FL 32301
bkeating@gunster.com

Michelle D. Napier
Gunster Law Firm
1635 Meathe Drive
West Palm Beach FL 33411
mnapier@fpuc.com

Maria Jose Moncada
David Lee
Florida Power & Light Company
700 Universe Boulevard
Juno Beach, FL 33408-0420
maria.moncada@fpl.com
david.lee@fpl.com

Kenneth Hoffman
Florida Power & Light Company
134 West Jefferson Street
Tallahassee, FL 32301
ken.hoffman@fpl.com

Mike Cassel
Regulatory and Governmental Affairs
Florida Public Utilities Company
Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities
1750 SW 14th Street, Suite 200
Fernandina Beach, FL 32034
mcassel@fpuc.com

Ms. Paula K. Brown
Tampa Electric Company
Post Office Box 111
Tampa, Florida 33601
regdept@tecoenergy.com

J. Jeffry Wahlen
Malcolm Means
V. Ponder
Ausley & McMullen
Post Office Box 391
Tallahassee, Florida 32302
jwahlen@ausley.com
mmeans@ausley.com
vponder@ausley.com

Robert Scheffel Wright
John T. LaVia III
1300 Thomaswood Drive
Tallahassee FL 32308
schef@gbwlegal.com
jlavia@gbwlegal.com

Steven R. Griffin
Beggs Law Firm
Post Office Box 12950
Pensacola, Florida 32591-2950
srg@beggsllane.com

Corey Allain
Nucor Steel Florida, Inc.
22 Nucor Drive
Frostproof FL 33843
corey.allain@nucor.com

James W. Brew
Laura Wynn Baker
Peter J. Mattheis
Michael K. Lavanga
Stone Mattheis Xenopoulos & Brew, P.C.
1025 Thomas Jefferson Street, NW
Eighth Floor, West Tower
Washington, DC 20007
jbrew@smxblaw.com
lwb@smxblaw.com
pjm@smxblaw.com
mkl@smxblaw.com

William C Garner
Garner Law Firm
3425 Bannerman Road,
Unit 105, No. 414
Tallahassee, FL 32302
bgarner@wcglawoffice.com

/s/ Jon C. Moyle
Jon C. Moyle
Florida Bar No. 727016