BEFORE THE FLORIDA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

Re: Natural Gas Conservation Cost Recovery.

DOCKET NO. 20240004-GU

FILED: October 14, 2024

PREHEARING STATEMENT OF THE OFFICE OF PUBLIC COUNSEL

The Citizens of the State of Florida, through the Office of Public Counsel, pursuant to the Orders Establishing Procedure in this docket, Order No. PSC-2024-0030-PCO-GU, issued February 6, 2024, and PSC-2024-0214-PCO-GU, issued June 20, 2024, hereby submit this Prehearing Statement.

APPEARANCES:

Walt Trierweiler Public Counsel

Charles Rehwinkel Deputy Public Counsel

Patricia A. Christensen Associate Public Counsel

Mary A. Wessling Associate Public Counsel

Octavio Ponce Associate Public Counsel

Austin Watrous Associate Public Counsel

Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1400

On behalf of the Citizens of the State of Florida

A. WITNESSES:

None.

B. EXHIBITS:

None.

C. STATEMENT OF BASIC POSITION

The utilities bear the burden of proof to justify the recovery of costs they request in this docket. The utilities must carry this burden regardless of whether or not the Interveners provide evidence to the contrary. Further, the utilities bear the burden of proof to support their proposal(s) seeking the Commission's adoption of policy statements (whether new or changed) or other affirmative relief sought. Even if the Commission has previously approved a program, recovery of a cost, factor, or adjustment as meeting the Commission's own requirements, the utilities still bear the burden of demonstrating that the costs submitted for final recovery meet any statutory test(s) and are reasonable in amount and prudently incurred. Further, recovery of all costs is constrained by the Commission's obligation to set fair, just, and reasonable rates, based on projects that are prudent in purpose and scope and costs that are prudently incurred pursuant to Section 366.01, Florida Statutes. Additionally, the provisions of Chapter 366 must be liberally construed to protect the public welfare. Natural gas conservation costs may not be costs that are recovered through base rates or any other cost recovery mechanism.

D. STATEMENT OF FACTUAL ISSUES AND POSITIONS

ISSUE A: Should FPUC and FCG be authorized to consolidate their natural gas conservation costs and recovery amounts?

OPC: No, FPUC has the burden of demonstrating that consolidation of costs is reasonable and prudent.

<u>ISSUE 1:</u> What are the final conservation cost recovery adjustment true-up amounts for the period January 2023 through December 2023?

OPC:

The OPC is not in agreement at this time that the Companies have demonstrated that they have met their burden to demonstrate that costs are reasonable and prudent. A significant percentage of the costs on a customer's bill is based on clause recovery in this docket and others. The Commission has not held a contested proceeding where testimony from witnesses was heard and discussed in open hearing. The OPC is not in a position to agree that, given these circumstances, that the costs proposed for final true-up can necessarily be deemed prudent.

ISSUE 2: What are the appropriate conservation adjustment actual/estimated true-up amounts for the period January 2024 through December 2024?

OPC:

The OPC is not in agreement at this time that the Companies have demonstrated that they have met their burden to demonstrate that costs are reasonable and prudent. A significant percentage of the costs on a customer's bill is based on clause recovery in this docket and others. The Commission has not held a contested proceeding where testimony from witnesses was heard and discussed in open hearing. The OPC is not in a position to agree that, given these circumstances, that the costs proposed for true-up can necessarily be deemed prudent.

ISSUE 3: What are the appropriate total conservation adjustment true-up amounts to be collected/refunded from January 2025 through December 2025?

OPC:

The OPC is not in agreement at this time that the Companies have demonstrated that they have met their burden to demonstrate that costs are reasonable and prudent. A significant percentage of the costs on a customer's bill is based on clause recovery in this docket and others. The Commission has not held a contested proceeding where testimony from witnesses was heard and discussed in open hearing. The OPC is not in a position to agree that, given these circumstances, that the costs proposed for true-up can necessarily be deemed prudent.

ISSUE 4: What are the total conservation cost recovery amounts to be collected during the period January 2025 through December 2025?

OPC:

The OPC is not in agreement at this time that the Companies have demonstrated that they have met their burden to demonstrate that costs are reasonable and prudent. A significant percentage of the costs on a customer's bill is based on clause recovery in this docket and others. The Commission has not held a contested proceeding where testimony from witnesses was heard and discussed in open hearing. The OPC is not in a position to agree that, given these circumstances, that the costs proposed for recovery can necessarily be deemed prudent.

<u>ISSUE 5:</u> What are the conservation cost recovery factors for the period January 2025 through December 2025?

OPC: No position at this time; however, the factors should be based on costs deemed

reasonable and prudent after a hearing.

Should the Commission approve revised tariffs reflecting the natural gas conservation cost recovery factors determined to be appropriate in this proceeding?

OPC: No position at this time; however, the factors contained in the tariff(s) should be

based on costs deemed reasonable and prudent after a hearing.

<u>ISSUE 7:</u> What should be the effective date of the new conservation cost recovery factors for billing purposes?

OPC: No position at this time.

ISSUE 8: Should this docket be closed?

OPC: No.

E. <u>STIPULATED ISSUES:</u>

None at this time.

F. <u>PENDING MOTIONS</u>:

None.

G. REQUESTS FOR CONFIDENTIALITY:

OPC has no pending requests for claims for confidentiality.

H. OBJECTIONS TO QUALIFICATION OF WITNESSES AS AN EXPERT:

OPC has no objections to any witness' qualifications as an expert in this proceeding.

I. STATEMENT OF COMPLIANCE WITH ORDER ESTABLISHING PROCEDURE:

There are no requirements of the Orders Establishing Procedure with which the Office of

Public Counsel cannot comply.

Dated this 14th day of October 2024.

Respectfully submitted,

Walt Trierweiler Public Counsel

/s/ Patricia A. Christensen

Patricia A. Christensen Associate Public Counsel Florida Bar No. 0989789

Office of Public Counsel c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison Street, Rm 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400

Attorneys for the Citizens Of the State of Florida

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Docket No. 20230004-GU

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the Office of Public Counsel's Prehearing Statement has been furnished by electronic mail on this 14th day of October 2024, to the following:

Ausley Law Firm

J. Wahlen/M. Means/V. Ponder

P.O. Box 391

Tallahassee FL 32302

jwahlen@ausley.com

mmeans@ausley.com

vponder@ausley.com

Derrick Craig FL

Division of Chesapeake Utilities

208 Wildlight A venue

Yulee, FL 32097

dcraig@chpk.com

Timothy Sparks

Saad Farooqi

Florida Public Service Commission 2540

Shumard Oak Blvd. Tallahassee, FL 32399

tsparks@psc.state.fl.us

sfarooqi@psc.state.flus

Michelle D. Napier

Florida Public Utilities Company

1635 Meathe Drive

West Palm Beach, Florida 33411

mnapier@fuuc.com

Peoples Gas System

Paula Brown

Karen L. Bramley

P.O. Box 111

Tampa, FL 33601-0111

regdept@tecoenergy.com

KLBramley@tecoenergy.com

KLBramley@tecoenergy.com

St. Joe Natural Gas Company, Inc.

Andy. Shoaf

Debbie Stitt

P.O. Box 549

Port St. Joe, FL 32457-0549

andy@stjoegas.com

dstitt@st.joegas.com

Sebring Gas System, Inc. Jerry H.
Melendy
3515 Highway 27 South Sebring FL
33870-5452
imelendy@floridasbestgas.com

Gunster Law Firm
Beth Keating
215 South Monroe Street, Suite 601
Tallahassee FL 32301
bkeating@gunster.com

/s/ Patricia A. Christensen
Patricia A. Christensen
Associate Public Counsel