

Charlie Smith

From: John Plescow
Sent: Wednesday, March 19, 2025 3:08 PM
To: Consumer Correspondence; Consina Griffin-Greaux
Subject: FW: Subject Water Lilly development and permit for large water system DOC# 20240011

Please, add to docket 20240011.

From: Consina Griffin-Greaux <CGriffin@psc.state.fl.us> **On Behalf Of** Consumer Contact
Sent: Wednesday, March 19, 2025 3:05 PM
To: John Plescow <JPlescow@PSC.STATE.FL.US>
Subject: FW: Subject Water Lilly development and permit for large water system DOC#20240011

Please send to clerk's office 20240011

From: wordweavers@aol.com <wordweavers@aol.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 4, 2025 3:31 PM
To: Consumer Contact <Contact@PSC.STATE.FL.US>
Subject: Subject Water Lilly development and permit for large water system DOC#20240011

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Dear Sir/Madam

I am writing to protest the Water Lilly Development (DOC #20240011). There are three major problems that the approval of this community (Water Lilly) will compound.

1. The area it is approved for farmland and small rural areas on SR 214 and 13. The wells of many homes are shallow wells and this large project will definitely lower the water table in the area. I am a resident of Riverdale, which is near the proposed site on SR 13. I am against a huge water supplier coming in and destroying pristine woodland and the farmers ability to get water in the area near the development. I prefer not to be hooked up to a future water line that the Water Holding Company will certainly run to increase their profits. -

2. The areas in all directions of the building site are two-lane and farm roads only. There is no way for the number of people they are expecting to live in the project to have proper access to hurricane evacuation with the meager road system we have. Many of the homes now on SR 13 are constructed close to the road if they live on the riverside of SR13. This is due to high water and storm surges during hurricanes. My home is exemplary of this situation. Road expansion is a problem. This area is quiet and a bit of the old Florida of St.Johns county. Please do not put profit over the environment in which we live. Most old Florida areas are now lost in St. Johns County due to overbuilding and infrastructure added too late to keep up with building.

3. The development they are asking for zoning is to be age restricted. There are no large shopping areas, medical sites, or leisure activities in this now farmland country. This should be definitely considered. The amount of traffic added to our road system, which has usual types of traffic, also includes semi-trucks, logging trucks, and quite a bit of farm equipment that moves throughout the

area. Congestion does not describe what our problems will be even if 1/2 of the development's resident population brings two cars to their new residences.

I ask that you rescind the development's project, deny the water development, and keep all of rural St. Johns County remaining from becoming simply a bedroom community of Duval county.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Deborah Collins

zip, 32092