

Brian Schultz

From: Brian Schultz on behalf of Records Clerk
Sent: Monday, March 24, 2025 9:54 AM
To: 'robert nistico'
Cc: Consumer Contact
Subject: RE: RE. Docket #20240032-SU

Good morning,

We will be placing your comments below in consumer correspondence in Docket No. 20240032, and forwarding them to the Office of Consumer Assistance and Outreach.

Sincerely,

Brian Schultz

Commission Deputy Clerk II
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399
850.413.6770

PLEASE NOTE: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your e-mail message may be subject to public disclosure.

From: robert nistico <fireflyrobert1@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 24, 2025 9:41 AM
To: Records Clerk <CLERK@PSC.STATE.FL.US>; Office of Commissioner Clark <Commissioner.Clark@psc.state.fl.us>; Office of Commissioner Passidomo Smith <Commissioner.Passidomo.Smith@psc.state.fl.us>; Office of Commissioner Graham <Commissioner.Graham@PSC.STATE.FL.US>
Cc: Cyndi Nistico <clmnistico@gmail.com>
Subject: RE: Docket #20240032-SU

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

We are writing to formally **OPPOSE** The wastewater proposal on Palm / Knight Island.

Robert & Cynthia Nistico
66 Palm Dr
fireflyrobert1@gmail.com

1. The group that is requesting to manage this is a convicted felon IN THE UTILITY space.
2. They have NO EXPERIENCE in waste water disposal

3. They are proposing an antiquated system that is 40 to 50K that homeowners are expected to pay and is being removed from other FL counties due to system leaks and failures.

4. Need has yet to be determined by an impartial agency

5. They are claiming that the residents support this ... COMPLETELY FALSE. I spoke at both meetings. the only 2 or 3 that were in favor were developers and/or connected to Jack Boyer.

We are not quick to make assumptions, especially damaging ones without evidence, but something is dangerously wrong with this situation ... 90% of the residents do NOT want this ...

Should there be an actual need, most will support this but it needs to slow down and be carefully thought out first.

I deal with RFP's in my business ... and something is very wrong here and needs to slow down and be looked into.

That's all we ask

Thank you