

Tristan Davis

From: Tristan Davis on behalf of Records Clerk
Sent: Tuesday, January 20, 2026 8:29 AM
To: 'Brian Dawson'
Cc: Consumer Contact
Subject: RE: Water Oak Docket #20250088-WU

Good Morning,

We will be placing your comments below in consumer correspondence in Docket No. 20250088, and forwarding them to the Office of Consumer Assistance.

Thank you!

Tristan Davis
Commission Deputy Clerk I
Florida Public Service Commission
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard
Tallahassee, FL 32399
Phone: (850) 413-6121

PLEASE NOTE: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from state officials regarding state business are considered to be public records and will be made available to the public and the media upon request. Therefore, your email message may be subject to public disclosure.

From: Brian Dawson <bad1n2@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, January 19, 2026 10:44 PM
To: Records Clerk <CLERK@PSC.STATE.FL.US>
Subject: Water Oak Docket #20250088-WU

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Water Oak Docket # 20250088 Water Rate Increase
Florida Public Service Commission

Why is this proposed rate increase so large? it is approximately over 600% increase by my calculations, correct me if I am wrong please. Some of the residents in this community live from pay check to paycheck and only received a 2.8% increase in Social security and or Supplemental security income this year and that is there only income. This increase of 2.8% doesn't even begin to come close to help cover your proposed water rate increase.

It seems the residents here would have to decide between, buying food, (which is also on the rise, along with everything else.) and water for everyday living such as cleaning, cooking, bathing for example, or decide not to water the lawn which requires a lot of water in order to save on the water bill. What do you think? I think Dead Lawns!

Also where is the 40 % of the water that you say there are 17 entities that are unaccounted for going and who apparently are not paying for it. Sounds like someone is not paying there fair share, and that would account for your missing funds. A 40% loss on your consumption permit is not an acceptable loss I believe.

I would think Water Oak would end up with a lot of delinquent water bills and a lot of dead lawns, from the lack of water. Or a lot less residents that would have to relocate because they can not afford to live here because of the water bill.

I think it would be better and more acceptable to everyone, if the preposed rate increase were to follow the cost of living percentage's which would be more line with everything else, in todays world of rising cost.

Brian Dawson
216 Maple Dr
407-497-4363