

State of Florida



Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE: May 21, 2026

TO: Carlos M. Marquez II, Senior Attorney, Office of the General Counsel

FROM: Greg Davis, Engineering Specialist III, Division of Engineering *GD MR*
Phillip O. Ellis, Public Utilities Supervisor, Division of Engineering *POE*

RE: CONFIDENTIALITY OF CERTAIN INFORMATION

DOCKET NO: 20260020-EI DOCUMENT NO: 01692-2026

DESCRIPTION: FPL (Cox) - (CONFIDENTIAL) Certain highlighted information contained in responses to staff's 1st set of interrogatories (Nos. 2, 8, and 15) and 1st request for PODs (No. 1).

SOURCE: **Florida Power & Light Company**

On March 20, 2026, Florida Power & Light Company (FPL or Utility) filed a request for confidential treatment of Document No. 01692-2026. This document contains the Utility's responses to staff's first set of interrogatories (ROGs) and first request for production of documents (PODs). Portions of this document were made part of the comprehensive exhibit list in the docket, including ROGs Nos. 8 and 15, which have system impact data for certain scenarios and the attachment to ROG No. 2, which has the results of contingency analyses conducted by FPL. The remaining item, POD No. 1, is workpapers and contains documents identical to those addressed in Document No. 01501-2026 for which FPL also sought confidential classification, including Attachment 7 to Exhibit A of FPL's petition and Exhibit MAY-4 which both contain results of contingency analyses.

FPL contends that the information constitutes proprietary and confidential business information entitled to protection under Section 366.093(3)(c), Florida Statutes (F.S.), and Rule 25-22.006, Florida Administrative Code. FPL asserts that the information at issue relates to security measures, systems, or procedures.

Staff has reviewed FPL's confidentiality request. For ROGs Nos. 8 and 15, staff concurs with FPL that the nature of the information meets the requirements set forth by Section 366.093(3)(c), F.S., whereby disclosure of the information would result in harm to the Utility's assets and thus its ability to serve ratepayers. Therefore, staff recommends the request by FPL for confidential classification of these portions of Document No. 01692-2026 be approved.

The results of the contingency analyses contained in the attachment to ROG No. 2, which can be found in rows 4 through 8 of the attachment, also fall within the bounds of proprietary

May 21, 2026

confidential business information. Release of this information could result in harm to the Utility's assets and thus its ability to serve ratepayers. However, it is unclear how disclosure of the remaining information contained in the document would result in harm to FPL's assets. Therefore, staff recommends that confidentiality of Document No. 01692-2026 be granted in relation to rows 4 through 8 of the attachment to ROG No. 2 only. However, confidential classification of the remaining information in the attachment to ROG No. 2 is unwarranted.

Regarding POD No. 1, as discussed in staff's review of Document No. 01501-2026, Attachment 7 and Exhibit MAY-4 each contain some material that falls within the bounds of proprietary confidential business information. For Attachment 7, this includes only rows 4 through 7 of the first table, the text contained in the first two rows of the space between the tables, and rows 4 through 24 of the second table. For Exhibit MAY-4, this includes the information in rows 3 through 8. Release of this information could result in harm to the Utility's assets and thus its ability to serve ratepayers. Therefore, staff recommends that confidentiality of Document No. 01629-2026 be granted for POD No. 1 in relation to the specific portions described above only. However, it is unclear how disclosure of the remaining information contained in the document would result in harm to FPL's assets. Thus, confidential classification of the remaining information is unwarranted. This is consistent with staff's recommendation for Document No. 01501-2026, which features identical documents.

PE/MR/pz

Cc: Office of Commission Clerk (Docket No. 20260020-EI)



Public Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD
TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

DATE: March 23, 2026
TO: Division of Engineering, Office of Primary Responsibility
FROM: OFFICE OF COMMISSION CLERK
RE: CONFIDENTIALITY OF CERTAIN INFORMATION

DOCKET NO: 20260020-EI DOCUMENT NO: 01692-2026

DESCRIPTION: FPL (Cox) - (CONFIDENTIAL) Certain highlighted information contained in responses to staff's 1st set of interrogatories (Nos. 2, 8, and 15) and 1st request for PODs (No. 1).

SOURCE: Florida Power & Light Company

The above confidential material was filed along with a request for confidential classification. Please complete the following form by checking all applicable information and forward it to the attorney assigned to the docket, along with a brief memorandum supporting your recommendation.

- The document(s) is (are), in fact, what the utility asserts it (them) to be.
 The utility has provided enough details to perform a reasoned analysis of its request.
 The material has been received incident to an inquiry.
 The material is confidential business information because it includes:
 (a) Trade secrets;
 (b) Internal auditing controls and reports of internal auditors;
 (c) Security measures, systems, or procedures;
 (d) Information concerning bids or other contractual data, the disclosure of which would impair the efforts of the public utility or its affiliates to contract for goods or services on favorable terms;
 (e) Information relating to competitive interests, the disclosure of which would impair the competitive business of the provider of information;
 (f) Employee personnel information unrelated to compensation, duties, qualifications, or responsibilities;
 The material appears to be confidential in nature and harm to the company or its ratepayers will result from public disclosure.
 The material appears not to be confidential in nature.
 Portions of the material appear to be confidential in nature and are identified in the memorandum supporting this recommendation.
 The material is a periodic or recurring filing and each filing contains confidential information.

This response was prepared by Phillip Ellis on 05/15/2026, a copy of which has been sent to the Office of Commission Clerk and the Office of General Counsel.