



**Joel T. Baker**  
**Senior Attorney**  
**Florida Power & Light Company**  
**700 Universe Boulevard (LAW/JB)**  
**Juno Beach, FL 33408**  
**(561) 691-7255**  
**(561) 691-7135 (Facsimile)**  
**Joel.baker@fpl.com**

May 22, 2026

**-VIA ELECTRONIC DELIVERY-**

Adam Teitzman, Commission Clerk  
Division of Commission Clerk and Administrative Services  
Florida Public Service Commission  
2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0850

Re: Docket No. 20240149-EI  
Petition for limited proceeding for recovery of incremental storm restoration costs  
related to Hurricanes Debby, Helene, and Milton, by Florida Power & Light  
Company

Dear Mr. Teitzman:

Enclosed for filing on behalf of Florida Power & Light Company ("FPL") is FPL's  
response to Staff's Fifth Data Request (No. 1) in Docket No. 20240149-EI.

Thank you for your assistance. Please contact me should you or your staff have any  
questions regarding this filing.

Sincerely,

/s/ Joel T. Baker

Joel T. Baker  
Fla. Bar No. 0108202

JTB  
Enclosure

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copies of the foregoing have been furnished by Electronic Mail to the following parties of record this 22nd day of May 2026:

Jennifer Crawford Suzanne Brownless Florida Public Service Commission 2540 Shumard Oak Boulevard Tallahassee, FL 32399 jcrowfor@psc.state.fl.us sbrownle@psc.state.fl.us discovery-gcl@psc.state.fl.us <b><i>For Commission Staff</i></b>	Walt Trierweiler Charles J. Rehwinkel Austin A. Watrous c/o The Florida Legislature 111 West Madison Street, Room 812 Tallahassee, FL 32399-1400 Trierweiler.walt@leg.state.fl.us rehwinkel.charles@leg.state.fl.us watrous.austin@leg.state.fl.us <b><i>For Office of Public Counsel</i></b>
---	--

*s/ Joel T. Baker*

\_\_\_\_\_  
Joel T. Baker

Fla. Bar No. 0108202

*Attorney for Florida Power & Light Company*

**Florida Power & Light Company  
Docket No. 20240149-EI  
Staff's Fifth Data Request  
Request No. 1  
Page 1 of 1**

**QUESTION:**

Please refer to witness Mohamed's testimony pages 23-24 and EXH AM-3, explain why the testimony states that all fuel-related costs charged to the storm work breakdown structures would be considered incremental. However, on EXH AM-3, Hurricane Milton, there is an ICCA adjustment to remove \$82,000 for fuel.

**RESPONSE:**

FPL witness Mohamed's testimony inadvertently states that the incremental fuel costs for Hurricane Milton did not include an ICCA adjustment, similar to how the incremental fuel costs for Hurricanes Debby and Helene are described.

Fuel costs for the prior three years for the months in which storm restoration activities were incurred for Hurricanes Debby and Helene did not exceed fuel costs charged to O&M in the months in which restoration work was performed and, therefore, appropriately did not include an ICCA adjustment. However, fuel costs for the prior three years for the months in which Hurricane Milton storm restoration activities were incurred exceeded the fuel costs charged to O&M by \$81,633. Therefore, FPL appropriately applied an ICCA adjustment to the Hurricane Milton incremental fuel cost. Specifically, FPL removed \$81,633 from the overall fuel cost calculation as the non-incremental portion of fuel costs, which is correctly reflected in Exhibit AM-3.