I. Meeting Packet



State of Florida

Public Service Commission INTERNAL AFFAIRS AGENDA

Tuesday – May 19, 2020 9:30 AM Room 148 – Betty Easley Conference Center

- 1. Presentation by Gary Williams, Florida Rural Water Association
- 2. General Counsel's Report
- 3. Executive Director's Report
- 4. Other Matters

BB/aml

OUTSIDE PERSONS WISHING TO ADDRESS THE COMMISSION ON ANY OF THE AGENDAED ITEMS SHOULD CONTACT THE OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR AT (850) 413-6463.

II. Outside Persons Who Wish to Address the Commission at Internal Affairs

<u>Note</u>: The records reflect that no outside persons addressed the Commission at this Internal Affairs meeting.

III.Supplemental Materials for Internal Affairs

<u>Note</u>: The records reflect that there were no supplemental materials provided to the Commission during this Internal Affairs meeting.

IV. Transcript

1	DI ODIDI	BEFORE THE
2	FLORIDA	A PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	PROCEEDINGS:	INTERNAL AFFAIRS
9	COMMISSIONERS PARTICIPATING:	CHAIRMAN GARY F. CLARK COMMISSIONER ART GRAHAM
10		COMMISSIONER JULIE I. BROWN COMMISSIONER DONALD J. POLMANN
11		COMMISSIONER ANDREW GILES FAY
12	DATE:	Tuesday, May 19, 2020
13	TIME:	Commenced: 9:30 a.m. Concluded: 11:30 a.m.
14	PLACE:	Betty Easley Conference Center
15		Room 148 4075 Esplanade Way
16		Tallahassee, Florida
17	REPORTED BY:	DEBRA R. KRICK Court Reporter and
18		Notary Public in and for the State of Florida at Large
19		
20		
21		PREMIER REPORTING 114 W. 5TH AVENUE
22		TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA (850) 894-0828
23		(330) 331 3320
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right. Well, we will go
3	ahead and get start then with that announcement.
4	We will go ahead and kick things off this morning.
5	This is IA, and it is Tuesday, May 19th.
6	Thank you for joining us today, those of you that
7	are joining on-line and those of you that are here
8	with us live. We have several things to go
9	through, so we will go ahead and good morning,
10	Commissioner Polmann, there he is. He is back with
11	us now.
12	We will go ahead and get started. We are
13	going to begin today with a presentation by Gary
14	Williams of the Florida Rural Water Association.
15	Gary, are you on the line this morning?
16	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, I am on the line. Thank
17	you.
18	CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right. The floor is
19	yours.
20	MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. Thank you.
21	I want to make this kind of a discussion
22	related thing. I have got three, four, five
23	topics, but I would love for you to interrupt me at
24	any time if you have anything you want to ask or if
25	you have any other the topics you want to discuss.

I wanted to go over first of all some of the stuff related to the Corona 19, the pandemic situation related for water and wastewater utilities.

The -- one of the concerns that we had early on related to it was the human resource side, and if operators at water utilities were unable to perform their duties. So as part of Florida water and FlaWARN -- and FlaWARN is the Florida Water Agency Response Network. And it's essentially water utilities helping water utilities.

So we spent quite a bit of time initially getting ready on a preparedness standpoint. So one of the first things we did was to reach out to water utility operators and ask them if they were willing to help out neighboring water utilities if their operators were either sick, come in contact with somebody or were quarantined. So we had a list, like, say 125, there were probably a dozen in every area of the state.

I'm happy to report we haven't had to use very many of those volunteers. Everybody stayed pretty healthy. A lot of the precautions that water utilities took to shelter in place, protect their workforce worked well.

2.

1 We had a few isolated situations where 2. somebody at a water utility came in contact with somebody that had symptoms of the virus. what happened is that person would be in quarantined, and everybody that they came in So it might have been other people contact with. at the water utility.

> And in that scenario, typically what we did is we had people go in and just backstop that utility, provide for operations and help them.

> And in all of those situations, we essentially did it without any publicity. We didn't necessarily want it getting in the media that their operator was sick, and for the public to think it you have it could have some impact on the water quality. So we just kind of maintained the operation and went forward.

> Another thing that we did is Florida recently adopted a new water tracking system. In the past, there used to be a thing called Storm Tracker, which allowed systems to indicate after an event if they were having operational problems, if, like, after a hurricane they needed generators, that type of stuff. A new system has been developed that allows them to indicate their operational status

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and indicate need and any resources that they have to help other water utilities.

We were shooting for that to be live on

June 1st for hurricane season. Because of the

pandemic, we pushed it forward and we essentially

said, all of the water utilities are operational,

but we wanted them to be able to enter in there any

needs they had.

And as you would probably guess, most of the stuff that was requested was PPE, specifically masks, gloves, sanitizers, that type of thing.

So one of the things that happened was Florida Rural Water, we went ahead and we bought 8,000 KNN masks -- KN95 masks and 8,000 fiber masks to make available for the industry, and then we sold them at cost. And the thought there was that a critical worker, to use a KN95 mask and put a fiber mask over to make it last longer.

So all 8,000 of those are sold. And the reason we did it is because all of the vendors wanted payment up front. And that's really not how water utilities work. They typically issue a PO, or something like that, and then they, you know, they go ahead and get the product and pay for it.

1	MR. WILLIAMS: Yes.
2	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Mr. Chairman, if I may,
3	just a quick question about that system that was
4	developed, and it's great that you have some type
5	of resource like that for your members.
6	Are all of the members currently enrolled in
7	that type of system, and are they having are you
8	seeing a good membership attracted to that?
9	Because I think that will be really helpful for
10	hurricane season.
11	MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah, Commissioner Brown. That
12	actually was developed in partnership with DEP
13	FlaWARN and Florida Rural Water. And so there is a
14	requirement after an event for water utilities to
15	report to DEP their operational status. So the
16	system was created to replace the one that existed
17	before by DEP, but it grouped together essentially
18	systems being able to ask for resources.
19	So it's very robust. There are about 7,000
20	water utilities of course, there is 5,000 public
21	drinking water utilities and about 2,000 wastewater
22	utilities. So they all have requirements to report
23	and post after that, and we are trying to get them
24	to all log in now to be ready for hurricane season.
25	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you.

1 So there is a number of them in MR. WILLIAMS: 2. there now. I don't think we have everybody that's 3 logged in and set their password, but I am sure it 4 will happen by June 1st, as DEP has been calling 5 the systems trying to help them through it. Oh, good. 6 COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you. 7 MR. WILLIAMS: The other thing I want to say 8 on masks is EPA came out with 180,000 cloth masks 9 for the Florida water and wastewater industry. 10 we've got all of those, and all of the associations 11 in Florida are helping deliver those to water 12 They are free of charge. utilities. I think about 13 100,000 have been distributed now, and there is 14 about 80,000 remaining. 15 They are -- we've got pickup locations in 16 Pompano Beach, Bonita Springs, Pinellas County, 17 Orlando, Daytona, Jacksonville, Palm Coast, 18 Gainesville, Tallahassee and Destin. So what 19 happens is somebody just lets that utility know 20 that they would like five masks per employee at no 21 charge, and they go pick them up at that utility. 22 So we are still distributing them, and will until 23 they are all, you know, all the utilities have 24 those. 25 Actually, today, right now, we just sent out a phone message to all of the 7,000 utilities with an automatic dialer to let them know that the masks were available, and to go to FlaWARN to find their closest distribution site to get the masks. We had already emailed out and let people know by website, but we want to make sure everybody, including the smallest systems, knew that they were available.

So that's good. We think that as we go back into opening up fully, that everybody will be encouraged to wear a mask, and we want the water utility people to protect each other. So that is occurring currently also.

Another thing that we ran into a little bit of a problem with initially was getting access to some of the smallest utilities by contract operation firms. As they were trying to go visit their water plant, they would be stopped and be wondering why they were running around out in -- when they are supposed to be sheltering at home.

So for those that didn't have a utility, you know, identification, we had to get a letter for them, essentially saying that they were a critical infrastructure and critical service, so that if they did get stopped, they had -- they could get access to the water plant. And that actually was

2.

developed by EPA, and Florida signed on to it and provided it for water utility personnel.

One of the things that we are doing right now is we are more into trying to figure out how to open up related to the pandemic the water utilities. So one of the things we are doing and we developed some SOPs and some BMPs, is a lot of commercial buildings, large ones, shut down. And when they go to open up, there is going to be stagnant water in those buildings. So we've developed a number of tools to encourage water utilities to get up with their customers and let them know that they need to flush those buildings before they occupy them.

And what our suggestion has been is to look at your meter records, and somebody that was used to, you know, using 10,000 gallons a month, for example, if they were using very few gallons, that probably shows their building had been shut down. So we needed to communicate directly with them, that when they go back they need to flush.

There is also a BMP that can be sent to the customer telling them how much to flush, and if the water utility is involved, we're even suggesting for, like schools, that they may want to help the

school flush, and also then maybe take a bacteriological sample.

The other thing we are concerned about with schools, it's not only the stagnate water for bacteriological, but we are worried about lead and copper. If the water has been sitting there stagnant for six months, that first draw is going to be high, and we need to get that water out of there. So we are communicating with water utilities about -- about the things they should do to be available to open up commercial facilities.

Another thing that I will mention related to FlaWARN is we are getting ready now to start hurricane season. Typically in a hurricane event, the people that are the most able to help a damaged water utility is another water utility, and that's been very successful for 15 years now in Florida.

We are a little bit concerned that water utilities are going to be willing to share equipment with other water utilities, but they may not be as willing to share the human resources, their staff.

So we are -- we are looking at, if we do have a hurricane during this pandemic event, how do we get equipment to damaged utilities, how do we deal

1	with logistics if the assisting utilities are not
2	going to bring the equipment themselves? And then
3	how are we going to have enough staff there to
4	deploy the equipment needed, generators, bypass
5	pumps to run the water system and the wastewater
6	system?
7	And if anybody has ideas on that, we are open
8	to all ideas on that as we continue to try to think
9	about that. But it's a concern related to this
10	hurricane season, as we don't think people are
11	going to be willing to share their human resources
12	as freely as they have in the past.
13	Any questions on that?
14	Anything else you can think of together that
15	the industry should the water industry should be
16	doing?
17	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Commissioner Polmann.
18	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, Mr.
19	Chairman.
20	Mr. Williams, you had mentioned in the water
21	system the idea of before buildings reopen the
22	larger water users and typically public buildings,
23	schools and such. I appreciate you identifying the
24	old water from a water quality perspective, the
25	bacteriological circumstances, the concern about

backfeed testing, for example, and I appreciate you highlighting the lead and copper concerns. I think that's particularly important, very insightful for you to bring that to the attention of your members.

I am wondering if there is a corresponding concern at all in the wastewater systems that with regard to those types of customers, that they've had very low flow corresponding to the low water flow, have you identified any issues in the wastewater collection system that may also arise? I can't think of any off the top of my head, but have you given consideration to that?

MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah, there is one specific that we were a little bit concerned about, that systems have told us about, is related to lead and copper, and treatments to make sure that systems don't have lead and copper problems, many of them are feeding a polyphosphate, and that's a protective layer for the lead and copper.

Thank you, sir.

As that water sits there and becomes stagnate, the phosphates build up, too, and when they are flushed and they are released to the wastewater plant, we are going to have phosphates going to the wastewater plant that may not be able to be dealt

2.

with in the treatment because of the slugs that
they are getting. So there is a possibility we
could see some additional phosphorus levels for a
period of time after some of these sites start
flushing.

And we have been -- we have been warning wastewater systems about that, and probably suggesting the larger ones communicate with the water utility side to make sure that they know whether they are feeding polyphosphates and they may see them at the wastewater plant.

COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Yeah. One solution that immediately comes to mind is a slower rate of flushing rather than having a big slug all at once. But the interesting aspect of that is, depending on what type of wastewater treatment you are working with, you know, the biological aspect of the wastewater treatment could be affected by the -and again, depending if the phosphate comes into the plant, there could be an impact on the biological treatment, you also could be potentially discharging from that wastewater facility a big slug of phosphate out into the environment. really depends on the individual circumstances. But I appreciate you giving that consideration as

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	well. It's very helpful. Thank you.
2	MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah. And that is a big
3	concern related to, you know and I was going to
4	get into a that a little bit, some of the
5	legislative things that happened last year related
6	to nutrient reduction. And if we get that slug of
7	phosphorus at the wastewater plant and it goes into
8	a receiving stream, we are not going to be as
9	effective as we need to be in reducing algae and
10	nutrients in discharges. So that's why we want
11	people to be mindful they might be getting slugs of
12	polyphosphate.
13	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Exactly.
14	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Commissioner Brown has a
15	question.
16	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
17	Gary, just one thing that's been on my mind
18	regarding the pandemic is for some of those
19	struggling water and wastewater utility companies
20	that are your members, how are they going to deal
21	with the issue of customers not paying their bill
22	and having significant bad debt from that? Have
23	you given some thought to expanding reserve funds?
24	What are your thoughts on that?
25	MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you a lot. That's a

great segue into what I was just going to talk about.

Yeah, and I will tell you that most water utilities have kind of adopted a non-shut-off policy in this pandemic, really for protection of public health, you know, hygiene and all of those type things. You can't wash your hands if you don't have water. And we were very concerned about that initially what would be the financial impact on water utilities of having a non-shut-off policy?

And it's the right thing to do, but I will tell you that we are seeing systems, their shut-offs, you know, nonpayments, I guess I would say, double, triple and even be higher. And what we've noticed is people that can pay are not paying.

That doesn't necessarily mean that they don't have the obligation to pay later, but it is causing a revenue issue at water utilities related to -- they have certain expenses they have to meet, or we want them to meet, you know, related to chemicals, chlorine, you know, electricity, pay staff, all of that type of stuff.

So we are concerned about it in the short-term, and one of the things that you probably

1 have seen is that the industry has been trying to 2. contact Congress about that in the different 3 stimulus activity. To date, nothing has been adopted, but there is \$1.5 billion in the next 4 5 stimulus that's being proposed by the House. Ι don't have any idea of what the status of that will 6 7 be going forward, but at least it's something 8 that's getting recognition.

Other than that, you bring up a good point too. You know, I know a number of years ago we talked about the reserve accounts, and we set those up. I don't know how widely they have been adopted and accepted by the -- the private water system industry, and it's possible we need to look at that again and see if reserves, and encouraging water utilities to take advantage of that policy and process that you established, needs to be promoted more. Because reserves at this point would be a very healthy safety net for these water utilities to use in this situation.

You know, I know we always worry about the reserves, if they have them, them not using them for the correct purposes. And, you know, I guess we need to assure ourselves we have a process to protect them, but we probably need to have them

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	more firmly adopted to be able to protect the
2	customers in situations like this.
3	So I would love to have continued discussions
4	about that with you and staff. You know, I really
5	don't know how many water utilities have actually
6	set up reserve accounts, but it would be something
7	that we should probably encourage. Of course you
8	know about that, you helped on that in the study
9	committee.
10	COMMISSIONER BROWN: And you helped on that
11	too.
12	Well, I Mr. Chairman, I think that it is
13	something that we should look at as the utilities
14	continue to deal with the bad debt issues, and
15	hopefully the Congress will pass that
16	billion-dollar additional stimulus package that
17	will benefit the utilities that we'll definitely
18	see some significant revenue issues as we move
19	forward.
20	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you, Commissioner
21	Brown.
22	Mr. Williams.
23	MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah, and switching gears just
24	a second to sum up the stuff that passed in the
25	State Legislature in the past year that you

1 probably saw.

2.

Many of the things are going to have a huge impact on wastewater utilities. There was a significant bill that passed that you probably saw that is going to transfer the on-site septic program from the Department of Health to DEP. It's going to require on-site remediation plans.

And when I talk about these things, a lot of this is going to be teed up, I think, by rule from DEP, and probably will need to have some of your involvement in that also related to water -- water and wastewater utilities. And I don't know how long it will take, you know, to actually adopt some of those rules, but they are going to have a huge financial impact on wastewater systems.

One of the things that they are encouraging is to try to take septic tanks off-line and get people hooked up to wastewater utilities. One of the things in there is that every county is going to have to have some kind of wastewater plan.

There was a specific thing in the bill that

Indian River Lagoon area, every wastewater system

there will have to become AWT, which is advanced

wastewater treatment. Now, for very small systems,

these going to be very tough. It's going to be

very expensive, and it's going to have a huge impact on rates if we have small systems that have to attain that.

And I think, in talking to the folks that worked on that, it's an expansion of other areas where they have AWT requirements and they are now looking at whether that might make sense statewide.

So we are really going to have to be concerned about the financial impact of that, and I think what's going to happen in the Indian River Lagoon area, we are going to have to look at is it going to make sense to make all these small systems AWT, or is it going to make more sense to regionalize and consolidate? And that's going to be a tough -- that's going to be a tough situation, because people like to keep their own systems.

So the other couple of things that happened is in the bill there was a reduction of the ability to land -- land dispose biosolid. And so in that scenario, you no longer can essentially take your biosolids and take them and land apply them. The other options for that are taking them to a landfill. Well, it's a whole lot more expensive to pay the tipping fees in landfills. So that's going to cause costs to go up.

2.

1	The other thing that we get very concerned
2	about related to that message is one of the biggest
3	problems we have at wastewater plants is the owners
4	don't want to pay to haul sludge, and so they leave
5	the sludge in the plant too long, and it kills the
6	plant. And so we create, essentially, a lot more
7	environmental problems them trying to save that
8	money than to actually understand that's part of
9	the maintenance, is to hall and dispose of that
10	biosolid and sludge. So as those rules are
11	developed, we are going to have to make sure that
12	systems understand what their options are going
13	forward.
14	The other thing that the bill did was it put a
15	lot of emphasis on asset management and on I&I
16	reduction for wastewater utility. And I&I is
17	inflow and infiltration that our system that
18	have deteriorated infrastructure, and we need to
19	try to help correct that, and that's why asset
20	management is being promoted so much in the bill.
21	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Commissioner Polmann has a
22	question.
23	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, Mr.
24	Chairman.
25	Gary, you raised the issue about improper

1	about the improper management or control of solids
2	in the plant and disposal of the sludge, and I
3	understand the inherent cost of hauling and
4	disposal, particularly if they are a reformed land
5	application and gone to treating sludge as a solid
6	waste, which of course it's not predominantly
7	liquid.
8	But do you foresee any any industry action
9	to help develop improved operations training, not
10	just from the from the mechanical aspect, if you
11	will, of the operators, but also the management,
12	because there is a as you said, there is a loss
13	of operational efficiency and a deterioration in
14	the plant as an effective treatment system, but
15	there is a consequential cost impact to the
16	owner/operator from this poor technique.
17	So there is a feedback, even though they are
18	trying to reduce costs by not hauling and disposal
19	properly, there is an impact within the operation
20	of the facility itself, is what I am imaging.
21	So my question is, do you foresee some type of
22	education, training, something else that should be
23	done, could be done to help turn this mindset
24	around?
25	MR. WILLIAMS: Excellent point. It's most

1	definitely education, and we're probably fighting
2	an uphill battle there in the fact that a lot of
3	these smaller systems, the owners look at today's
4	costs, not the incremental costs you are talking
5	about, you know, what's it going to cost me in
6	terms of asset management, the plant dying, I got
7	to go get new seed sludge, I got to go, you know,
8	restore the biological action.
9	So we have got to do a better job, I guess,
10	all of us, in convincing people that they need to
11	make the best long-term management decision, and
12	probably the best long-term financial decisions,
13	too, but it's just really hard to get them out of
14	the mindset of if I can delay that that cost of
15	hauling 60 days, that helps me, but that 60 days
16	may really hurt them.
17	So you are correct. We just got to do a
18	better job of explaining, showing, getting data to
19	demonstrate the fact that they got to make the best
20	long-term decision.
21	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: So as a follow-up, Mr.
22	Chairman.
23	I understand your your observation, from
24	the operator's perspective, it's a
25	long-term/short-term cost and how they choose to

1	deal with the capital and operating expense. So
2	so a follow-up would be any suggestion, not here
3	today, but going forward, perhaps consider the
4	Commission and our technical staff might look in
5	more detail, or look differently at prudent
6	operating costs for this type of thing. How is the
7	operator claiming expenses for the appropriate way
8	to operate the plant as a wastewater plant,
9	planning for reinvestment and maintenance, and
10	now I am just thinking off the top of my head, but
11	if there is something that's wasteful in costs to
12	the customer, what is it that we, as a commission,
13	and our technical staff who are reviewing these
14	types of things and the accounting staff looking at
15	how the costs are being expended, maybe you and
16	others in the industry who are more knowledgeable
17	might might help us think through that in the
18	future.
19	Just a thought. I appreciate your
20	consideration. Maybe just some suggestions back
21	from our technical folks, so thank you very much.
22	MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you.
23	So anyway, that's kind of the main part of the
24	legislative stuff, except for there was also a bill
25	that doubled all of the fines on a lot of different

1 industries for spills, but it's going to have a 2. major impact on water/wastewater systems as they 3 have sanitary sewer overflows. And I think what it is -- this is the situation where in a emergency 4 5 event, loss of power, people probably kind of understand there is going to be spills. 6 7 power in the pumps, that type of thing. But we are 8 having sanitary sewer overflows and spills on blue 9 sky days.

And those, it's really more we got to identify the problem and fix the problem. It's an asset management situation. We got to make sure we have redundancy at lift stations. We got to make sure our collection systems are tight and sustainable.

And so I think the fines were proposed to try to get all of the industry's attention to the fact that they need to invest back in their wastewater utility.

One good thing on that, even though the fines have doubled -- we had a discussion with the Secretary of DEP, and he has discretionary authority to return the fine monies back to the utility if they will use those fines to correct problems. And that's been one of our concerns, is if we take the money away, it would have been money

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

they might have been able to use to fix the problem.

So he assured us that even in this situation, if they will provide some match funds back, that the money will be made available in the fine to fix problems, fix pumps at lift stations, whatever is causing the problem.

So I think that will help. We will just have to make sure that the utilities understand that they are going to have to ask for that and make the right commitment to make the investment back in their system.

And this doesn't affect any of your smaller private systems, but one of the things that we see in our industry on the governmental side of municipal and county is many of those systems transfer money out of their water utility to general government.

And so one of the things that has happened there is the Secretary said that if there are any transfers leaching the water and wastewater funds for general government that aren't being held to be invested back in the water utilities for asset management, that they won't be eligible to get the fine money back.

1	So we'll see how that works out, but at least
2	from a private system, and most small systems,
3	nonprofits, there is no transfers, so that gives
4	them, you know, the ability to demonstrate that
5	they should get the monies back.
6	CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right.
7	MR. WILLIAMS: Any questions on any of that?
8	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Any other questions for
9	Mr. Williams? Anybody?
10	Okay. Mr. Williams.
11	MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. A little bit on some of
12	our services, and I won't try to go a lot into
13	this, but I was asked to talk about it.
14	So we have 35 staff, most of them are, you
15	know, in regions of the state, live in an area and
16	work to help water utilities, wastewater utilities
17	deal with groundwater, stormwater issues and all of
18	that type of stuff. And our folks have continued
19	to be out in the field helping water and wastewater
20	systems through this, and will continue on.
21	Another one that I think probably not
22	getting too much into this, but they are
23	specifically working on operational problems,
24	compliance problems, emergency response, which is
25	the pandemic, or if there is some issue at a

system, we look at that as being an emergency and are going to try to get support to them as soon as possible at no charge. So whether they are a member or not, we are going to help all water utilities and all wastewater utilities.

The other one is that we have had to -- or we have expanded our engineering staff significantly. We have two full-time engineers on staff, but we have so many projects that come in that we have developed an essential relationship with a number of consultants, and we call them subengineers for the Florida Rural Water.

So what we do is we have about I think eight of them now all across the state. And so as we get hundreds and hundreds of projects every year, our two engineers on staff will work on the ones they can, but we will reach out to our subengineers and ask them if they can help systems on projects at a very low charge.

So what ends up happening there, we don't really charge for a service, but if somebody wants to make a contribution, then we would give that contribution to the subengineer to perform the project.

And so many times projects that we are doing,

2.

1	and we may be asking for a contribution of \$1,000
2	to say \$3,000, and in that scenario, there is
3	typically they are not real large projects, and
4	so most larger consulting firms are probably it
5	would cost them a lot to actually do these real
6	small projects, so we go to, like, one-person firms
7	and help them do that.
8	So for your standpoint, we have more
9	engineering resources, permitting, development of
10	treatment strategies, and all of that type of thing
11	that we have had in the past, and we can manage
12	many more projects than we used to. So if any of
13	those come up to you that we can help, we want to
14	do it for the small systems as low a cost as
15	possible.
16	Is there any I wasn't going to go too much
17	into the services unless anybody had any questions
18	on that.
19	CHAIRMAN CLARK: No questions. Proceed.
20	MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. Another thing I want to
21	talk about is compliance related stuff on the water
22	utility.
23	We are in pretty good shape. Florida has
24	97 percent compliance with all of the health-based
25	standards that are essentially compliance related

that affect human and public health.

2.

A lot of times there are compliance issues related to monitoring and reporting, but those are more -- those have less to do with public health and more to do with not filling out the right forms and submitting them in a timely manner. So we are pretty happy that it's, you know, less than three percent of the systems have any health-based levels.

The last report for Florida, there were 117 systems that had health-based violations. There are 5,100 public water systems, so that's how you get the 97 percent.

The other thing I will mention is that 99 of those 117 violations were disinfection, disinfection byproducts. And that is a specific concern for Florida in the fact we have organics in our source water, our well water, and when we combine those organics with chlorine, it's creating disinfection byproducts. And it's not an acute, you know, health concern. It's more of a chronic health concern.

So 87 percent of our violations are that. And it -- it's a tough issue in the fact that as the water age goes up, as the water temperature goes

up, the levels of disinfection byproducts go up. So we typically say to the systems is the way to attain compliance the cheapest way is to reduce water age. And what that means is you are suggesting that they flush.

The problem is is that they run up against the water management district consumptive use permit, and actually the water management district suggests they only use one percent of their water for flushing. In almost all these situations one percent, is not enough. So we have to help the utilities to petition the water management district for a higher percent for flushing.

Now, there are systems that when they do what we will call adequate operations, adequate flushing, they will attain compliance, and then their operational protocols will get more lax, will do less flushing, and then they go out of compliance again.

So we see quite a few that are close to the maximum contaminant level, can get below it and then you go above it again. So we see a lot of people that are in compliance for a quarter, out of compliance for a quarter, that type of thing. So there are probably some things we need to do better

2.

1	in that sense in identifying the water utilities
2	that are at that break point, that level where they
3	are in compliance or out, and we need to make their
4	flushing more robust, or we need to identify that
5	flushing is probably not going go to get them in
6	compliance on a consistent basis and suggest to
7	them alternate disinfection and treatment.
8	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Commissioner Brown, I
9	believe, has a question regarding robust flushing.
10	MR. WILLIAMS: Okay.
11	COMMISSIONER BROWN: I do I do have a
12	question about that. And I was curious about
13	your what you said regarding petitioning the
14	water management districts in those cases where
15	for a higher percentage for flushing.
16	Is DEP supportive of that type of avenue when
17	it works? And how can the Commission engage on
18	that issue when you believe that's the appropriate
19	remedy?
20	MR. WILLIAMS: I am glad you asked that, and I
21	was hoping you guys would ask that.
22	I think we probably need help in convincing
23	DEP that water quality should trump water quantity
24	in all situations. And as you know, the water
25	management districts are a subset of DEP, but they
i .	

do have larger budgets, and so many times the water
management district issues drive the train instead
of the water quality side.

And so I don't know how to do it on an overall basis. We have only been able to, at this point, do it on a case-by-case basis, where we have demonstrated that somebody can achieve compliance if they flush three percent, and then we approach the water management district and explain that, and get their approval to use up to three percent. But it would be best if they didn't set it at one percent and -- because when they tell the water utilities that, the water utilities look at it like, I guess I am supposed to be out of compliance with disinfection byproduct to be in compliance with the water management district.

So it's a great point. I am open to additional ideas. I probably need to take it to higher levels in DEP to, you know, have a discussion on this. And I don't know, it's probably going to take something from DEP down to all the water management districts for them to reset their expectations on flushing for water quality.

25 COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: All right.

1	Commissioner
2	COMMISSIONER BROWN: I think Commissioner
3	Polmann has a point, so I will defer to him.
4	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Commissioner Polmann.
5	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, Mr.
6	Chairman. I have so many opinions on this that I
7	will say as little as I can.
8	The disinfection byproduct is the primary
9	standard that is an EPA national standard and DEP
10	is I forget what the right word is, but
11	basically promulgating the national standard. The
12	water management district has control over the
13	water quantity, and I think Gary is identifying
14	that conflict. Interesting that you would put it
15	in the context of who has the most money, and that
16	being the water management district in most cases
17	around the state.
18	But, you know, I think from our perspective,
19	looking at the public health, safety and welfare,
20	the water quality issue we would agree with you, I
21	think, that that is the primary concern. As we
22	review our regulated utilities, we are always
23	considering whether they are in compliance with
24	their permits, in particular their DEP permit. So
25	the water quality issue is is the major concern

for us.

2.

The disinfection byproduct, our experience has typically been that the treating technology, which then correlates directly to the cost for the owner/operator, which then impacts directly the customer. So we often struggle with the public interest test of the rate impact and the value of that.

It's a very complex problem. I appreciate your concern for it. I -- as I said, I have a lot of opinions. I don't have an answer. There is a very simple answer, which is fix the problem from an engineering perspective and pay the cost, which is nearly impossible to implement in many, many cases.

So I think unfortunately, because the water quality we have in Florida with the high organic content in many of the groundwater sources that this is an ongoing problem we have had for decades and will continue on in the future.

I think it's just something we need to -- to continue to talk about and work together on. One concern I have with increasing the rates or percentage of flushing is how do you deal with the disinfected water as you return it back to the

1	environment, whether that's being appropriately
2	addressed in terms of I will just I will say
3	removing the disinfectant before you return that to
4	the environment. For example, you have whether
5	you dechlorinated, and so forth. If those
6	practices are being appropriately followed and you
7	are protecting environmental systems, but that's
8	another thing.
9	So anyway, I will stop there. I appreciate
10	your concern. I recognize your concern. It's a
11	very significant issue, particularly for the small
12	utilities. I would encourage our commission to
13	continue to participate in the discussion. So I am
14	grateful that you brought it up. Thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you, Commissioner
16	Polmann.
17	Any other questions from other Commissioners?
18	Anybody? Anything?
19	All right. Mr. Williams, we are wrapping up.
20	MR. WILLIAMS: Let me yeah, let me just say
21	one thing on that, is one of the things related to
22	flushing is a lot of systems will go out and flush
23	a very large volume at one time. And there does
24	become an issue of where is that going? And are we
25	properly, you know, containing that chlorinated
İ.	

1 water?

2.

One of the things that we try to advocate is they identify their dead end and their volume lines and they put an automatic flushing valve on those locations, so that on a timer, it flushes the appropriate amount, which is probably not going to have as much environmental impact. It's going to lower the disinfection byproduct, and it's going to keep the water less stagnate.

Now, one of the things that happens on with that is you can build it relatively cheaply with a timer, but somebody has to maintain, you know, the batteries and check on that.

And when you say automatic, a lot of times they think, well, it's automatic, I don't have to worry about it. But we would have to encourage test utilities to go out and check on those devices.

And one of the things we have talked to DEP about is that maybe they should, when they have those devices, request that the meter reading on the automatic flushing device be recorded monthly and put on the monthly operating report, because it would require people to go out and check on there monthly to see what the volume was that was

1	flushed, and it would cause them to check the
2	battery; and if there was no flow, it would tell
3	you that the flusher wasn't working. And that if
4	sampling at that site later occurred, it's quite
5	likely you are not going to pass the disinfection
6	byproduct. So that's one thing that we have been
7	trying to get the industry to understand.
8	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Commissioner Polmann.
9	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, Mr.
10	Chairman.
11	I Gary, I appreciate your last comment with
12	regard to metering the automatic flushing. We
13	recently had a discussion on a particular docket
14	where the utility using automatic flushing devices
15	and there was a discussion about estimating the
16	amount of water being flushed. And I think
17	metering that, requiring reporting on that would be
18	extremely helpful, but I I appreciate that you
19	raised that issue with DEP, and I would encourage
20	that discussion to continue.
21	Thank you.
22	The put on the monthly operating report and
23	require people to go out and vehicle check on the
24	monthly to see what the volume was that was flushed
25	and cause them to check the battery and if there.

1	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you, Commissioner
2	Polmann.
3	Mr. Williams.
4	MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah, and I will say it's not
5	often that we are advocating for additional
6	regulation of water utilities, but if it's going to
7	have an improvement on water quality, and actually
8	it would probably save some operational cost, too,
9	if we do it periodically instead of large volumes
10	at one time. So we think there is some advantages
11	to looking at that.
12	So the one thing, too, on that, is that, you
13	know, a lot of water systems will look at the
14	cheapest option on alternate disinfection, which is
15	chloramine, which is feeding ammonia and chlorine,
16	but there becomes real problems related to that
17	with nitrification, biofilm and a bunch of other
18	issues. So we try to discourage people from only
19	considering the initial costs, but also considering
20	the operational concern of an alternate
21	disinfectant.
22	One of the things that we have been working
23	with a lot of utilities on is hydrogen peroxide
24	because it's an oxidant that improves the water
25	quality, improves the efficiency of chlorine, and

actually is a product that cleans up the water instead of putting ammonia in the water that may not be a cleaning agent.

so there are some things there that we are really trying to advocate for and help people on. I know a number of systems looked at carbon filtration, which is very, very effective in disinfection byproduct removal until the media gets used up, and so it becomes an operational cost that many don't plan for and they don't keep up with when they have the breakthrough of the activated carbon being effective. So we get concerned about that as being a long-term answer that unless maintain right, they are back into a disinfection byproduct situation.

So I am sure you are dealing with all of those as people bring stuff forward related to treatment to meet disinfection byproduct, and we are with you trying to come up with the best option, and we do a lot of pilot testing of different treatments for systems to try to figure out what might be effective.

One of the things on hydrogen peroxide I wanted to say is we haven't figured out yet what characteristics in the water make hydrogen peroxide

2.

ı		
	1	very effective as compared to not effective. So at
	2	this point, we are having to pilot test hydrogen
	3	peroxide at every system that's considering it to
	4	find out whether it's an effective treatment or
	5	it's not going to work.
	6	So maybe the more we do we will be able to
	7	figure out the water characteristic trends to be
	8	able to better predict its effectiveness, but at
	9	this point we are pilot testing it and jar testing
	10	at every utility to find out its effectiveness.
	11	CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right. Any other
	12	questions for Mr. Williams this morning?
	13	Mr. Williams, did you have anything to wrap up
	14	with?
	15	MR. WILLIAMS: Two other things that I was
	16	asked about.
	17	We probably are going to see some additional
	18	costs from water utilities as the new lead and
	19	copper rule is released. It's been proposed, it's
	20	open for comment now, and it is significantly more
	21	impactful on water utilities than the current lead
	22	and copper rule, and I guess you could attribute
	23	that to one word, flint.
	24	The good history of most water utilities was
	25	negated by flint, and so it has caused additional
Į		

regulation instead of probably taking 20 years of
good history for sampling and water quality at
water utilities and letting that be our guiding
light. So you will see some additional costs that
are going to come back probably into rates related
to the lead and copper rule as it goes forward.

That's the last one I had. I don't have a problem trying to answer any questions, or I don't have a problem working with the Commission on anything we can do to help water utilities and the Commission.

CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right. Well, thank you so much -- Commissioner Brown.

COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

And in the interest of time, I want to thank you, Gary, for your presentation. It's nice to hear you come back before the Commission and give us some of these updates.

And with that, I did want to ask if it's okay with the Commissioners to ask staff to continue the dialogue with regard to some of the issues that were raised here today, including the issue of potential bad debt and the creation, or in helping the utilities with the creation of a reserve fund potentially, as well as the issue of flushing that

we discussed. And all I am asking is that we
continue some discussions and see what we can come
up with.
MR. BAEZ: Thank you, Commissioner Brown.
Those conversations are going on already
in-house, and I certainly took a lot of notes about
what Gary was proposing and there are some good
things in there to focus on. So thank you for the
direction.
CHAIRMAN CLARK: Great.
COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you, Braulio.
CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right. Commissioner
Polmann.
COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, Mr.
Chairman. I would certainly support the comments
from Commissioner Brown in line with my thoughts as
well.
Mr. Williams, I want to thank you very much
for your time today. This is the first
presentation that I believe I received from your
organization. My office had talked about having
you come forward and joining us for one of these
meetings.
I am very enlightened. I learned a lot today
about what your association does and provides, and

1	T thoule won for more williams and and account
1	I I thank you for your willingness and your
2	energy, quite frankly, to work with us and the
3	water management districts and DEP, and everyone
4	else in the industry here. I am very encouraged
5	going forward.
6	All the issues you presented today I think are
7	right on point. So anything we can do to help you
8	move forward on these important issues, please let
9	us know. Like Mr. Braulio just indicated, you are
10	already working together, and anything the
11	Commission can do to further the interest that we
12	collectively have, let us know.
13	Thank you for coming today. Much appreciated.
14	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you.
15	MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you. And I look forward
16	to getting back together in person.
17	CHAIRMAN CLARK: As do we all.
18	All right. Any other comments from any
19	Commissioners?
20	All right. Thank you, Mr. Williams. Have a
21	great day. We appreciate your presentation today.
22	MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you all.
23	CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right. The next item on
24	the agenda is our General Counsel report, Mr.
25	Hetrick.
1	

1	MR. HETRICK: Thank you, Mr. Chair.
2	I just want to report to the Commission that
3	GCL is functional and operating at a high level.
4	We have been focusing in the past two weeks on
5	interviewing some new potential lawyers. We are
6	four down now and trying to fill a few positions.
7	We have also been focusing on the
8	technological side of conducting a hearing remotely
9	if we need to do that. So we have got a couple of
10	examples coming up in June dealing with aspects of
11	the TECO settlement that's been filed, and also the
12	Orange County need determination, but all is going
13	well so far in terms of our preparation, and we
14	hope to be able to conduct seamless hearings in
15	those two matters in June.
16	Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Hetrick.
18	Any questions from any Commissioners for Mr.
19	Hetrick?
20	All right. Seeing none, we will move into our
21	Executive Director's report.
22	Mr. Baez.
23	MR. BAEZ: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Good
24	morning, Commissioners. I have got a couple of
25	pocket items, which I will refer to in a moment.

1 First, a legislative update.

2.

At this time, we haven't seen any action on legislation on the bills coming out of the '20-'21 session, that includes our budget, so that's on hold as well. And there have been reports and messaging coming out of the Governor's Office that he is not necessarily in a hurry on the budget issue since we do have time with a July 1st implementation, so we are keeping our eye on that. And as soon as there is any movement on any of our track legislation, as well as the budget, our legislative affairs people will be in contact with you and your advisers.

That being said, we are, of course, still waiting to be see what happens with Senate Bill 7018 on the essential state infrastructure, specifically the electric vehicle charging station master plan for that infrastructure.

IDM under Cayce Hinton will be taking the lead in developing the PSC's contribution to the Florida Department of Transportation's plan. We have already started working on putting together an implementation plan based on our responsibilities in the bill, but we are still awaiting a more -- a more robust contact with FDOT as they get their

process started so that we can receive guidance that will then funnel into our final work.

Our legislative staff has been in contact with FDOT's legislative affairs, and they have already appointed a chief planner to ride herd over the efforts, so more updates to come on that.

The second item I had, which I am going to kick over to IDM -- to Cayce shortly, concerns the New England Ratepayers Association petition to FERC regarding net metering.

You will recall on April 14th, NRA filed a petition with FERC for a declaratory order concerning some unlawful pricing of certain wholesale sales. Generally, that encompasses and focuses on the net metering activities. And they have asked FERC to declare some net metering sales from rooftop solar as wholesale -- as wholesale transactions, which would -- which would reverse FERC's current standing and treatment of such transactions as retail and, therefore, within the State's purview.

I am going to particular it over to Cayce for a brief -- what we wanted to bring you was a very quick briefing on the issue. The point of which is we have an opportunity -- or at least you, as the

1	Commission, as a decision point here on on
2	direction whether we want to participate in the
3	traditional way through NARUC, or any other ways
4	some of the options that might be laid out by the
5	folks that are on hand to answer your questions.
6	We've got Cayce Hinton and Matthew Vogel and
7	our legal team, Samantha Cibula and Adria Harper
8	are on should be on line to answer any
9	questions.
10	And with that, I am going to kick it over to
11	case for a quick a quick intro on the on the
12	matter.
13	Cayce.
14	MR. HINTON: Thank you. Before I start
15	rambling, can I just confirm that you can hear me?
16	MR. BAEZ: Yes.
17	MR. HINTON: Okay, very good.
18	And Braulio provided some of the summary I was
19	planning on giving, but, yeah, just to reiterate
20	the point, FERC disclaimed jurisdiction over net
21	metering nearly 20 years ago, where they concluded
22	that no wholesale sale occurs when an individual
23	customer sells generation and accounts for its
24	dealings with the utility through the practice of
25	netting. And only when production exceeds
1	

consumption over the course of the state determined netting periods there are wholesale sales subject to federal pricing regulation.

And FERC later affirmed this conclusion and, like, eight years after that, the first order was in 2001, and then in 2009 they affirmed that decision. And then even recently, in 2018-2019, cited back to the decision as well. So in spite of a recent 2010 DC circuit decision that NERA points to, saying that, you know, the underlying assumptions of FERC misplaced, I think FERC -- it appears that FERC still feels like it's on solid ground with those net metering decisions.

But what NERA argues is that these state-regulated net metering arrangements -- and as you are aware, the transactions -- the transfer of energy from the retail customer to the utility in Florida is governed by our net metering statute and rule, and -- but NERA argues that these state regulated net metering arrangements are preempted by federal law and that they believe that the netting between consumption and production should be calculated on an hourly basis, and that any excess hourly production is a wholesale sale in interstate commerce from the ratepayers to the

2.

1	utility. Basically any energy that the customers
2	is putting back on the grid is essentially a sale
3	for resale, and is subject to wholesale rates.
4	Comments, FERC has set a deadline of June 15th
5	for comments, and NARUC is planning to file
6	comments and has requested participation in the
7	drafting process by state commissions. And
8	currently we are participating in that process. To
9	what degree hasn't been determined because we
10	haven't our first call, I think, is going to
11	happen this week, but we are playing a part in
12	that.
13	That's the conclusion of my summary. I will
14	be happy to try to answer any questions.
15	CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right. Do we have any
16	Commissioner Graham.
17	Commissioner Graham, unmute your phone. We
18	can't hear you. You are still showing muted. We
19	still can't hear you, Commissioner Graham.
20	Commissioner Brown, you have I see your
21	green right on.
22	COMMISSIONER BROWN: I will talk for him.
23	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Commissioner Brown will be
24	speaking on your behalf.
25	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Thank you.

1	So, Commissioners, there are 18 at least 18
2	other states that have filed for intervention.
3	This is a critical issue with regard to our state
4	sovereignty and our rights. And I think that it
5	would be most prudent for us to also file comments,
6	and the due date, I think, is June 15th, and I
7	think we can have that discussion with Cayce as
8	well as to what those comments should look like.
9	CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right. Let's try you
10	again, Commissioner Graham.
11	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Can you hear me now?
12	CHAIRMAN CLARK: We can hear you now, yes.
13	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. I evidently
14	well, I am on both my computer and my phone,
15	because for some reason the mic in my computer
16	doesn't work well, and I guess whoever is
17	controlling the system had my phone muted.
18	CHAIRMAN CLARK: We unmuted you now.
19	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Cayce, quick question
20	for you. You said 20 years ago, and even it
21	confirmed eight years ago, that just as long as
22	it's under the net level, they considered that on
23	the on the state level. What happens when it
24	goes over net? What currently happens when it goes
25	over net?

MR. HINTON: Well, what FERC said was that within a -- as long as it didn't -- there wasn't an excess energy netted going -- let me rephrase.

Under a netting situation where the customer ends up putting more energy back on the grid than they take off within a determined cycle, billing cycle, then that would -- that excess energy should be priced on a wholesale basis. That's -- FERC said that. But they did leave it to the states, it appears, to establish what this netting cycle is.

And in Florida, we have established a calendar year as the netting cycle, where, you know, from January through December, excess energy is -- that's put on the grid, it comes back as a kilowatt interest credit on the customer's bill. But at the end of the year, we have determined that that's the end of that netting cycle, and so we then have required the utility to purchase those excess energy credits at the as-available energy rate, which is the wholesale rate.

COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: All right. Well, now I understand that, but when they do exceed that level, when they exceed more into the -- into the grid than they are taking out of the grid, are they setting that wholesale rate now, or who is setting

2.

1	that rate?
2	MR. HINTON: We have established that it's the
3	as-available energy rate, which is the wholesale
4	rate that is probably need to we definitely need
5	to have somebody more knowledgeable how that rate
6	is set, but that is, you know, from my
7	understanding, a FERC approved rate.
8	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Is that how is that
9	how is that how everybody is doing it in the
10	United States, or is that just how Florida is
11	handling that situation?
12	MR. HINTON: A number of jurisdictions handle
13	it handle it different with different billing
14	cycles that they address. I don't know I
15	definitely wouldn't say everybody handles it like
16	us.
17	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: No, I am saying
18	regardless of the billing cycle, because you said
19	each state determines what net is and how that's
20	determined, either six months, 12 months billing
21	cycle. But regardless, whenever you go over that
22	in every state, however they determine that is, who
23	sets who currently sets that wholesale rate?
24	MR. HINTON: Wholesale rates are governed by
25	FERC.

1	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. If so we have
2	somebody in Florida now that went over what we
3	considered net, they are putting more back into the
4	grid than they are taking out, then FERC is
5	currently setting that wholesale rate for the state
6	of Florida?
7	MR. HINTON: I am not I may need to defer
8	to Mark, if he is there, to establish how exactly
9	that as-available energy rate is established.
10	CHAIRMAN CLARK: I am going to before I
11	turn it over to Mr. Futrell, I want to ask on that
12	same question.
13	I think we've got a wholesale rate and a
14	retail rate. Maybe we are talking two different
15	languages here. Most of the utilities are actually
16	doing if you do a kilowatt hour per kilowatt
17	hour credit, you are essentially giving the retail
18	rate credit, is that correct, Mr. Futrell?
19	MR. FUTRELL: That's correct. Although it
20	as Cayce said, it shows up as a kilowatt hour. It
21	reduces the total kilowatt hours that the ultimate
22	rates are applied to, but effectively it's the
23	retail equivalent, because it's a full kilowatt
24	hour.
25	CHAIRMAN CLARK: And as Commissioner Graham

1 was asking, though, the FERC -- the FERC date is a 2. wholesale credit, which is different than what most 3 Florida utilities are giving in terms of a retail 4 credit. 5 Correct, month-to-month, that's MR. FUTRELL: correct. 6 But as Cayce explained, at the end of the 7 calendar year, if there is any remaining excess 8 energy that has not -- that has not been returned 9 back to the customer, then it's priced at the 10 as-available wholesale rate, and that shows up as a 11 dollar credit on the bill at the end of the -- at 12 the end of the year. 13 And, Commissioner Graham, the Commission has 14 rules regarding the calculation of as-available 15 energy, and it's compliant with PURPA and all the 16 federal regulations, but essentially it represents 17 the avoided fuel cost and some variable O&M that 18 may be included, and it's utility specific, but 19 it's compliant with, as I understand you, our 20 traditional compliance with PURPA regulations as 21 well. 22 CHAIRMAN CLARK: Mr. Futrell, on that note, 23 would you explain the difference between an 24 as-available right and avoided cost? 25 MR. FUTRELL: Sure.

1	Avoided costs can include various components,
2	including an energy component, or it can include
3	and it can include a capacity component, which
4	represents the cost of construction of a generating
5	unit. So avoided costs can include those two
6	components, the capacity for the cost to build the
7	facility and the energy, which is the cost to
8	operate the facility, primarily fuel.
9	As-available energy is what this what the
10	utility when energy is sold to the utility
11	without a firm commitment, the utility pays for
12	that energy pursuant to our rules and PURPA at the
13	rate of avoided fuel, essentially. In other words,
14	it's what the utility would have paid for that
15	incremental kilowatt hour of energy, which is
16	primarily fuel.
17	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you.
18	Commissioner Graham, did that address you
19	are recognized.
20	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Yes. Thank you, sir.
21	All right. So Florida does the as-available
22	cost for their wholesale rates. Is that what the
23	all the other states do, or that just happens to be
24	how we handle it? That's my question.
25	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Mr. Futrell.

1 Yeah, Commissioner Graham, MR. FUTRELL: 2. there -- it's quite a mixed bag. Some states do it 3 very differently. They have got -- they define 4 avoided costs differently. And in some states, 5 there has been some -- some issues with FERC that FERC has raised and other companies have raised in 6 7 those states about their definition of avoided 8 costs, and so it -- it varies across -- across the 9 country.

And even within Florida, we have got some municipal or cooperative utilities that use that differently. Again, they have the authority under statute to decide their net metering position, policies. Some have credits that run off into perpetuity, for example. They are not settled up at the end of the calendar years. Others are paid at the wholesale as-available energy rate at the end of each month. So it's quite a varying set of policies that we have observed.

CHAIRMAN CLARK: Commissioner Graham.

COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: So now I guess I am trying to understand. What FERC is trying to deal with now is what happens when it exceeds the net for everybody, or they want to -- they want to handle anything coming -- anything that's being

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	generated?
2	MR. HINTON: This is Cayce. If I could jump
3	in.
4	FERC, I don't think is this isn't something
5	that FERC has initiated. This is NERA is
6	requesting that FERC establish that any excess
7	energy measured on an hourly basis should be
8	treated as a wholesale transaction. So this is
9	NERA looking to establish that change in policy.
10	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: So it's not exceeding
11	the net, it's anything that's going back into the
12	grid?
13	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Correct. Mr. Futrell.
14	MR. FUTRELL: Commission Graham
15	MR. HINTON: That netting that netting
16	should be measured on an hourly basis, which you
17	are going to essentially you are going to end up
18	with, yes, whatever is going back to the grid from
19	the customer is going to be priced at that at
20	the wholesale rate. I think that's effectively the
21	impact of measuring it on an hourly basis.
22	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Okay. Thank you.
23	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Mr. Futrell, did you want to
24	add something to that?
25	So I would ask a question on that same note.

1 And it's -- we keep talking about going back at the 2. wholesale rate. I think NERPA's requests was that 3 it actually -- what if FERC is considering is they 4 go back at an avoided cost, is that right? 5 I believe so, but that would be MR. FUTRELL: whole -- effectively a wholesale rate. 6 7 that -- whatever that avoided cost is is a 8 wholesale rate that the utility would pay for that 9 energy and treat -- and treat rooftop solar, behind 10 the meter rooftop solar as they do a generator that is -- that is connected to the grid directly. 11 12 I also would ask CHAIRMAN CLARK: Right. 13 wouldn't you think that would also depend on how 14 they define avoided costs, as you just mentioned 15 just then? Because my definition of avoided cost 16 is the avoided cost of future -- the next available 17 generating asset that you would be bringing on-line 18 is the way I would define avoided costs. 19 wouldn't FERC probably need to define that a little 20 more clearly? 21 Yes, sir. I believe so. MR. FUTRELL: 22 Again, there has been many debates at FERC 23 about that given that some states have -- have 24 taken different approaches to defining avoided 25 Florida has traditionally stuck to a very costs.

1	traditional approach and has held to that for many
2	decades. And I think Cayce was going to
3	MR. HINTON: Yeah. This is Cayce.
4	NERA argues that a majority of customers with
5	their own generation will qualify as they are a
6	qualifying facility, QFs under PURPA, and so they
7	should be paid they should be paid the PURPA
8	voided cost rate. And from what I understand, FERC
9	has has delegated how to establish that PURPA
10	voided costs rate to the states.
11	CHAIRMAN CLARK: And what is that threshold
12	for QF facility? Is that a megawatt or kW
13	capacity? Is it 25 kW, or something like that?
14	MR. HINTON: Oh, boy. I think under one
15	megawatt, you are automatically, you don't even
16	have to self certify, but there is a certain
17	threshold that you have to certify that you are a
18	QF. I apologize, I didn't come prepared to answer
19	that question.
20	CHAIRMAN CLARK: So the implication here they
21	are dealing with is that most of the facilities
22	that they are talking about are QFs, meaning they
23	are larger than one megawatt production?
24	MR. HINKLE: Smaller than.
25	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Smaller than. Okay, that's

where I was going. I didn't see the possibility of them being larger.

MR. FUTRELL: Correct. And this is Mark.

The NERA petition specifically speaks to rooftop solar behind the meter as a prime example of what's proliferated under this full net metering approach that they are arguing FERC should take jurisdiction over.

CHAIRMAN CLARK: Okay. And I would just add one comment before I turn it to Commissioner Brown. I am certainly in favor of us responding that we are wholeheartedly opposed to FERC usurping any authority in this area. I would maintain that that's our sovereign right as a state to make that, and as a commission, to make those qualifying decisions on how this is to be treated.

I do not necessarily disagree with the position and the statement that's being taken here, but I do -- would certainly contend that that is the decision and a discussion for this Commission to have at a later date regarding how we treat and handle net metering, and I certainly would like for us to open that discussion at some point in time, but I kind of want to keep those two issues separate here, because I don't --

1	I guess I am saying this to make sure that
2	staff understands as we lay out our position in
3	terms of the sovereignty of this issue, that that's
4	the principle we are fighting this on. We are not
5	fighting and arguing the net metering concept and
6	how that is to be calculated. We are strictly
7	arguing a sovereignty issue here. That's that's
8	my personal opinion.
9	Commissioner Brown, you are recognized.
10	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Mr. Chairman, I think you
11	hit the nail on the head, that there are two
12	separate substantive discussions regarding net
13	metering. What we are dealing with here today is
14	the NERA usurping state authority over net metering
15	and deferring that to FERC's control. And I think
16	it is imperative that our state commission file
17	comments to express our support of our state
18	authority and not FERC authority over this matter.
19	And I think, as you suggested, that issue should be
20	very limited, go to your state's sovereignty
21	rights.
22	CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right. Other
23	Commissioners, are we all in agreement to proceed
24	in that direction?
25	Commissioner Polmann.

1	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, Mr.
2	Chairman.
3	I believe I would agree that the primary issue
4	here is one of sovereignty, the issues that both
5	you and Commissioner Brown just spoke to. And I
6	would advocate that the letter that we are
7	contemplating be kept narrow in scope.
8	The issue of the state sovereignty is always
9	one I would strongly support, and I think is always
10	worth fighting for. The discussion that we just
11	had, I think, was fairly broad. I think it's
12	important for all of us to understand the issues
13	that you raised, and I thank you for doing that.
14	The communication to FERC I think does, for
15	simplicity sake, best be kept narrow, and to the
16	extent that we want to address other issues in the
17	future, a better understanding among all the
18	commissioners here is our first best step, because
19	I am a little bit unclear on several of the things
20	that were just discussed. I would like to delve
21	into them more deeply. But for current purposes, I
22	think a narrow focus would be the best best step
23	here. So thank you.
24	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you, Commissioner
25	Polmann.

1	Commissioner Fay, I was expecting your
2	comments on robust flushing but I didn't get any,
3	so we will turn to you now.
4	COMMISSIONER FAY: I missed my opportunity.
5	Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
6	I think some of the comments that touch on the
7	sovereign issue, and I think it's important and it
8	makes sense to discuss it.
9	I would like to ask maybe either Mark or Cayce
10	the process for weighing in from a state
11	perspective and then also weighing in from a NARUC
12	perspective. I am not quite sure how the timing of
13	that works for the production of how we would
14	comment.
15	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Mr. Futrell.
16	MR. FUTRELL: Sure.
17	As Cayce said, NARUC is kind of ramping up
18	their their activity. They sent an email around
19	that we saw about trying to schedule a call. And
20	typically we've we've participated greater or
21	lesser, depending upon the situation. I think with
22	what I am sensing from your discussion, you are
23	sensing giving us direction for staff to prepare
24	comments for your consideration. That will be our
25	primary focus. We will be monitoring NARUC and

1 participating as our time permits, and just to make 2. sure that we are aware kind of the direction that 3 they are headed. That's kind of -- kind of how I foresaw our 4 5 participation. It would just kind of depend on time and resources and what NARUC needs. 6 7 CHAIRMAN CLARK: And I also think we were 8 asking you to bring something back maybe at Agenda. 9 We have got time between now and then for you to 10 draft at that response and have it to the Commission for the Agenda. 11 12 I think the comment deadline MR. BAEZ: Yes. 13 is June 15th, which is after our next Internal 14 Affairs. So our -- our -- our intention was to 15 have this conversation get -- get some form of 16 direction from you all so that we could return 17 with -- with perhaps a draft product for you all 18 to, again, discuss and refine and so that we could 19 get it on out the door. 20 I -- I do want -- and maybe it's just only for 21 my understanding, and in case I missed it. 22 I am proceeding two different avenues to -- to be 23 discussing, two different options, from what I 24 heard Mark saying. I think I would agree. 25 In my mind, we can either join the NARUC

1	comments, and think that how that that's sort of
2	a decision that will develop organically as the
3	comments go, because remember, at this point the
4	score is 18 18 different jurisdictions that are
5	involved. And we have been involved in that manner
6	traditionally as a commission.
7	The other the other option is to develop
8	our own our own comments. The pros of that
9	essentially are that we get to keep the narrow lane
10	that we express. I don't know I don't know
11	whether we are getting any value of that or not,
12	depending on where NARUC is headed. And so it kind
13	of it's something to be paying attention to.
14	And, frankly, for my money, either way works.
15	Getting our views on the record is is the
16	important thing.
17	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Can we monitor the NARUC
18	position as it is formally developed and then make
19	a determination? Do we've need to go our own
20	particular route or stick
21	MR. BAEZ: Yes.
22	CHAIRMAN CLARK: with theirs? I think
23	that's Commissioner Fay, is that kind of in line
24	with what you were asking?
25	COMMISSIONER FAY: Yeah, much much better
i .	

1	said by you, Mr. Chairman. If we are in the
2	process of drafting something specifically from
3	Florida, and at the same time we are we are
4	moving along with NARUC's potential proposed
5	comments, then I think we need to consider the
6	uniqueness of our state, and maybe how we would
7	want to weigh in, because I I think my I
8	guess I would confirm with that.
9	And then just the other part is I just want to
10	make sure I understand, maybe from Samantha or
11	whoever can answer this, that if FERC does move
12	forward and essentially preempts that field of net
13	metering, what what would our commission or
14	state do in response to that or what would be
15	our options, I guess, in response to that?
16	MS. CIBULA: Yeah. This is Samantha Cibula.
17	What we are talking about here is the
18	difference between, like Braulio said, filing
19	comments with NARUC, which would be you know,
20	NARUC would be the party. The other option would
21	be file we would a notice of intervention, which
22	would make the Commission a party to the
23	proceeding.
24	If we file a notice of intervention, we would
25	file separate comments. And the due date for that,

1	for the notice of intervention and the comments, is
2	the same. It's June 15th.
3	But as a party, we would have the we would
4	have the authority to appeal any decision that FERC
5	makes. So that's really the big difference.
6	Because if we are not a party, we don't have any
7	rights as a party to appeal any decision that comes
8	out of FERC.
9	COMMISSIONER FAY: Great, and I think that's
10	relevant. So I would just agree, Mr. Chairman,
11	with your thoughts. And that's all I have.
12	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you, Commissioner Fay.
13	Any other comments, concerns?
14	All right. Mr. Baez, do you have clear
15	direction?
16	MR. BAEZ: Well, I believe we do. And I want
17	to I want to restate what I would propose is our
18	approach and then you waive me off if
19	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Hold one second.
20	Commissioner Polmann, did you have a question?
21	I am sorry, I missed you.
22	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Yes, thank you, Mr.
23	Chairman.
24	Perhaps staff and legal counsel can can
25	clarify this when they bring it back, and that

1 is -- and I understand what Ms. Cibula has stated, 2. but being a party separate from NARUC I think is an 3 important factor for the Commission to examine 4 and -- and determine. But also being supportive of 5 NARUC I think has -- has value to the State of Florida. 6 7 So if there is an opportunity to somehow to be 8 an independent party and also to be supportive of the national organization, and if there is no 9 10 conflict of -- of from both of those, I would like 11 to have that a consideration for the Commission, 12 and not be decided today, but when it comes back to 13 you. 14 No, I agree with you CHAIRMAN CLARK: 15 100 percent, Commissioner Polmann. I think you are 16 right on target. That would always be the position 17 we would take. 18 Mr. Baez, go ahead and --19 MR. BAEZ: Mr. Chairman, I was about to say 20 that from what I have -- what I have heard the

21

22

23

24

NARUC as a whole -- as an entire effort is taking, and whether we feel comfortable tagging along in that respect.

And I don't mean to imply that we wouldn't be supportive of the NARUC effort or not, Commissioner Polmann, to your comments, but rather we may have -- it may turn out that the -- that the -- that the point that we want to drive home is a much -- much narrower, a much more refined point that we want to make.

The -- to Commissioner Fay's question, I

think -- I think the notion of preserving our

appeal rights is always attractive, but I also

don't think that we are losing anything if, in -
if, in the end, we decide to sign on -- or you all,

rather, pardon me -- if the Commission decides that

it's more effective to sign on to the -- to the

NARUC comments once and for all.

I -- I -- I admit that's sort of -- you know, you are seeding your advantage at participating further in the process, but I -- I don't think -- excuse me -- I -- I don't think that's a whole lot to lose in this sense, because NARUC has a -- has a long history of taking these things to the wire. So an adverse decision would most -- more than

1	likely be appealed with them, so
2	CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right.
3	MR. BAEZ: We will we will continue
4	monitoring and participating on the NARUC calls and
5	developing our own our own draft set of
6	comments, I think both efforts can walk in
7	parallel.
8	CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right.
9	MR. BAEZ: Thank you.
10	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Anything else?
11	MR. BAEZ: Yes, I I wanted to say a word
12	on on the quote/unquote reopening, the subject
13	of reopening, and I want to start out by before
14	I get to that, I want to start out by, once again,
15	thanking our staff, your staff. They have
16	exceeded, from my point of view, all of my
17	expectations. I I hope I hope that you
18	agree.
19	They have they have performed we've had
20	over a month-and-a-half now, which has included
21	public meetings such as these, Agenda Conferences.
22	And as and as Keith had alluded to earlier,
23	we've got a few more formal and more, perhaps,
24	complex proceedings in the offing that we are
25	working very hard to provide for.

1 The staff has been remarkable. I think our 2. administrative staff, April Lynn's folks in 3 administration and the IT department, in 4 particular, have done a wonderful job of making 5 these types of meetings possible, and they have been supremely responsive to any and all issues 6 7 day-to-day that any of our -- our technical staff 8 and -- have had in carrying on the work of the -of the Commission. 9

The commitment of the -- of the staff has been remarkable. I -- I hope that but for the remoteness of -- of our -- of our contact and our conversation, you can -- you can see that this has been largely a seamless process. And I think that that has created a certain amount of flexibility and what I will call a luxury in context.

In terms of the reopening, there has been no -- at this point, there hasn't been any specific guidance from -- from the State. We have been -- we are in regular contact with the -- with the executive office of the Governor, getting feedback from them, and with DMS and other contacts to try and understand what -- what our expectations ought to be. Nothing definite has -- has emanated from that process, but we cannot ignore the fact that --

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	that the rest of the world around us, as you
2	have if you if you pick up a newspaper or
3	or even a cell phone these days, you know that
4	there is a reopening of of sorts going on.
5	So so stores and folks are coming out of of
6	quarantine and stay at home to varying degrees.
7	That has not impacted the work of the Commission
8	yet.
9	However, having said that, we are, certainly
10	executive management and with with the input,
11	certainly, of senior management and on down the
12	line, we are trying to take in as much information
13	as possible. We are monitoring and staying in
14	contact with with our with our respective
15	agencies of impact, and trying to trying to come
16	up with what our particular solution is going to
17	be. I say that with without any knowledge of
18	what the intentions generally are going to be.
19	There is two principles that that at
20	least speaking from personal my personal view
21	are the things that are trying to guide my thinking
22	at least, and and hopefully you will feel the
23	same way.
24	The first, obviously, is the safety of the
ا م	

25

staff. This is not a game that we are playing, and

so we cannot put lives at risk. And -- and that
would include in the thinking, you know, whatever
new and best practices from the work to home
universe, we can carry forward into our everyday
operations. So we are thinking along those lines,
looking forward to the day when -- when we are all
together again.

But the most important one for me, and the most meaningful one for me, is -- is to try and engender confidence in -- in our staff. And I hope that some of them, if not all of them, might be listening today.

Our -- our -- our prime concern, obviously, is of safety, but -- but for me, it's the confidence of the staff that -- that when that day comes, if they return to work at Gunter, that they can feel confident that we do have safety measures in place, that they -- that they do feel confident in -- in the plan as it -- as it should be developed. And that's going to come with a lot of communication, a lot of understanding. And like I said, those luxuries of -- that we have developed throughout these last couple of months, of flexibility and adaptability.

All of those things are going to be key for

1	us. Not just going forward generally, but coming
2	back home more specifically. And and I want
3	to I want to tell our staff and you,
4	Commissioners, that those are the kinds of things
5	that we are thinking of. Those are the kinds of
6	principles and goals and objectives that we have
7	and that we are working towards.
8	And, again, I want to I want to reiterate,
9	and I and I don't do it enough to to the
10	staff at how well they have worked together in
11	order to put us in this position to be thinking
12	this way. So I want to thank them again, all of
13	them out there.
14	Commissioners, I am available for questions,
15	any thoughts and any guidance and advice that you
16	may have.
17	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you, Mr. Baez.
18	I I want to say again to our staff thank
19	you. You know, after the first the first
20	hearing, I believe, or the first Agenda we had
21	remotely after we closed the offices and everyone
22	went home, you I spent a lot of time during that
23	meeting thanking and bragging on our staff, and
24	someone that was watching the hearing made a
25	comment to me. He said, you know, how many times

are going to thank the staff and brag on them

during that meeting? And -- and my answer was real

simple and quick, not enough.

He was making the point that I had kind of

He was making the point that I had kind of overdone it. I really don't believe I did. And I am not going to fail to recognize our folks for the hard work, the commitment that they made, and the diligence to which they applied themselves in making this transition work. It was incredible.

And I want to just reiterate, on behalf of the Commissioners, I speak for my colleagues here, thank you for your hard work, and we are committed to the safety of our staff when we are able to open and return back to work. That is our number one priority. And as Director Baez stated, having the confidence of our staff that when we do reopen, that we are going to do so in a safe and competent manner is very, very important to us, and we are going to remain focused on making sure that, when that time comes, that we are adequately prepared. So thank you again.

All right. Commissioners, any comments or questions for Mr. Baez this morning?

All right. I will -- Commissioner Polmann.

25 COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Thank you, Mr.

1 Chairman.

2. A few -- a few thoughts in response to our 3 executive director, and also reflecting on your 4 comments, Mr. Chairman. And I -- I appreciate the 5 thoughts -- the -- the emotion, and I will simply 6 say at the outset, and not because I am one and 7 have been for most of my career as a government 8 employee, that among the government employees 9 that -- that I have known, and the places that I 10 have worked, and the folks that I have interacted 11 with at -- at so many levels from the federal 12 government down to the smallest towns in Florida, 13 government employees are not appreciated. 14 do not appreciate, do not understand what we do. 15 And I will brag all day along with you in how 16 dedicated folks are to their work and to public 17 service and, in particular, to public health, 18 safety and welfare.

So anybody who wants to -- who wants to talk about the dedication of our staff, bring them on, because I will tell them. And again, I will say not because I am one. It's because I know what people do and how dedicated they are.

And -- and, Mr. Chairman, I don't mean to pick on words, but when you say return to work, I know

19

20

21

22

23

24

1	you mean return to the office.
2	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Correct.
3	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: We have never we
4	have never stopped working.
5	CHAIRMAN CLARK: That's right.
6	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: And when people when
7	we when we talk about essential services, the
8	Florida Public Service Commission is an essential
9	service. And as Mr. Baez Mr. Baez has
10	indicated, we have continued to work through all
11	this, and we have made accommodations. And I
12	applaud the administration and, as he said,
13	particularly IT services to facilitating that.
14	And again, I don't mean in any regard to
15	to to take issue with how this is being
16	described, it is not a luxury to work at home. It
17	is simply a necessity to have been here in order to
18	provide that essential service, and and with
19	regard and I am saying this in the public forum
20	because I think it's important for the public to
21	understand this.
22	We we are an essential service. We have
23	been providing critical function to the public in
24	order to serve the customers, to serve the state of
25	Florida. We continue to work through these

1	circumstances. We continue to utilize the
2	technology and the abilities that we have. Our
3	staff has risen to the challenge. And returning to
4	the office will be important, but it is not
5	necessary for us to continue to function at the
6	high level that we have been. And we have not
7	heard from anyone at the State.

And I think returning to the office for many of us can be a personal choice, to some degree.

And when I am looking around and reading the news, and on those few occasions when I need to be out, you still will see people with personal -- personal protective equipment because that's their personal choice.

This idea of opening up, people will make their own decisions about how they do that. I have made my decision. My family has made my decision. I expect many of the staff have made their decisions. And being required to return to the office when it's not necessary, I think we all should be, as Commissioners, as the Commission as a body and as the executive leaders, we should think carefully about what we are requiring and what we believe is necessary. And I will leave it at that.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

1	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Thank you for your
2	comments, Commissioner Polmann.
3	Any other questions or comments for Mr. Baez
4	this morning and the Executive Director's report?
5	All right. I have two items for discussion
6	this morning, and I will try to make them rather
7	quick.
8	We do have a one o'clock, we are one
9	o'clock hurricane preparedness meeting that we will
10	be starting promptly at 1:00. So just wanted to
11	remind everyone of that.
12	There are two items that have come up over the
13	last couple of weeks for your attention.
14	No. 1, we did receive some comments and
15	and out of NARUC last over the last couple of
16	weeks regarding some, I guess, expressions coming
17	out of Congress regarding a federally mandated debt
18	collection requirement and service disconnection
19	moratorium. And I have some very general concerns
20	about Congress taking action regarding these.
21	No. 1, I would remind the commissioners that
22	this commission took very early action in regards
23	to this situation. Our utilities, in many cases,
24	even before we took action, had already stepped up
25	and put into play their own requirements and

procedures for how they were going to handle service interruptions during this pandemic. But as Congress is considering to take action on this, I think it is incumbent upon us as a commission to express our concerns.

I have very serious concerns about federal mandates regarding service interruptions, and how that interaction plays, and how may actually do more harm than it does good by potentially limiting a utility's ability to be flexible and work with consumers.

I came from the world of customer service, and know firsthand how important that customer relationship is with that utility company, and I am extremely concerned that that could hamper how our utility companies are working with consumers, specifically in a crisis that may become a smaller, or more localized event.

And we were asked to consider sending a letter to our congressional representatives to express our opinions regarding this federal mandate, and I would open that up for your consideration and thoughts. If you so desire, with your support, I would work with our technical and government relations staff to draft a letter to our

2.

1	congressional delegation urging them to reject any
2	proposal to preempt or intrude on our jurisdiction.
3	So it's open for your consideration.
4	Commissioner Brown.
5	COMMISSIONER BROWN: Mr. Chairman, that would
6	be my motion.
7	CHAIRMAN CLARK: All right. We will just work
8	off consensus. Let's let's anybody have any
9	objections? Commissioner Polmann?
10	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: No objection whatsoever
11	with regard to writing to our delegation. Every
12	elected official knows that they work for their
13	constituency, and as the saying goes, all politics
14	is local. All customer service, as you have
15	identified, is personal. And I believe and I
16	wholeheartedly support that any of these issues
17	should be dealt with at the at the local level,
18	and I think you are right on point on point, Mr.
19	Chairman.
20	Thank you so much.
21	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you, Commissioner
22	Polmann.
23	Any other comments or questions?
24	All right. We will have something drafted up
25	for your consideration and review over the next

1 couple of days.

2.

One other issue that I wanted to talk about is an issue that really kind of raised itself as we were having the hearings last week regarding the potential water rate increases in a couple of our -- a couple of our systems, and I have some concerns.

I have really become concerned over the last -- became more prevalent during this rate case about the quality of the information that we as a commission have when it comes to water quality issue in regards to how we are setting rates.

The Legislature was pretty clear when they asked us that we should take into account secondary water quality standards, taste, color, smell, pressure. And we end up relying on DEP tests each time we come into one of these rate cases and one of these evaluations. And these standards, these tests don't really seem to be answering the questions that most of us have. They are dealing with the primary water standards. They are dealing with water testing from different locations throughout the systems, but it really doesn't attest to the water quality that customers are receiving in their home, and that bothers me.

1	I I would like your indulgence to work with
2	staff to come up with some options. And what I am
3	interesting in doing is coming up with options to
4	evaluate the potential cost of us doing
5	at-the-meter testing, water quality testing when we
6	are involved in a rate case, so that we have the
7	definitive absolute information showing us that the
8	product that the utilities are delivering to the
9	customer are a quality product, and and not
10	prior to the transmission service from the utility.
11	I also want to make sure that we are looking
12	at some potential standards that take into
13	consideration the quality of the customer's own
14	service, their own piping. But I think
15	at-the-meter testing and I reiterate that point.
16	From my personal perspective, at the meter is where
17	we need to be testing these systems and determining
18	water quality at that level.
19	I think that with this type of information, we
20	certainly will have a better understanding of how
21	well the companies that we are regulating are
22	actually serving the customers, and we wouldn't
23	continually be guessing at the quality of the

24

25

product.

And I realize that the biggest issue here is

1	going to be how the cost is determined, how the
2	cost is shared, and how that cost is spread back
3	out toward ratepayers. I realize that in small
4	companies that may be seen to be burdensome.
5	That's why I would like the staff to to look at
6	all of the options that are available to us,
7	whether we did some sort of in-house testing,
8	whether we did a third-party independent
9	contractor. In some cases the utility may can do
10	third-party independent contracting themselves and
11	provide us with those test results.
12	But I would like at least your initial
13	thoughts and reactions to proceeding down this road
14	before I I get too far into it and find out I am
15	the only one with these concerns.
16	Any comments?
17	Commissioner Graham.
18	COMMISSIONER GRAHAM: Mr. Chairman, it's
19	interesting. I know myself and dockets both have
20	gone down this path, and I wish you the best of
21	luck.
22	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Well, that's all I am going
23	to get from him, is just good luck on this.
24	All right, Doc.
25	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: Well, thank you,
i .	

1 Commissioner Graham and Mr. Chairman. 2. We had gone so far some time ago to examine 3 the potential of rule-making on -- on the water 4 quality issue, and came to certain conclusions on 5 I won't get into the details, but -- but we did raise a number of questions and came to the 6 7 conclusion not to pursue rule-making at that time. 8 I am not going to say it wasn't a good idea, it 9 was, to examine that issue. 10 The -- the matter that you raised has so many 11 different aspects that we -- we could try to 12 identify many different technical alternatives. Μy 13 immediate response to -- to your comments -- and --14 and you -- you have included in your comments 15 the -- the key question in a concern regard, and 16 that is the cost and who pays. 17 I would encourage -- because it -- it is 18 always a question and almost a roadblock, is who is 19 qoing to pay? Because we -- we hear from the 20 utilities that there is a cost issue and the -- and 21 the customer is going to pay. 22 What is the extent of sampling for secondary 23 standards? How many samples? Where to sample? 24 we sample it only in response to complaints? 25 which case, in my opinion, we are not really

1 addressing the system issues, and so forth. 2. I -- I would encourage an alternative 3 examination, Mr. Chairman, rather than from a 4 technical perspective, that what are the 5 alternatives for funding? And not simply to say, well, whatever the -- whatever the cost is in the 6 7 aggregate for the system, the customers are going 8 to pay it. And I have no idea what that answer is, but I 9 10 think that the first question is what are the --11 what are the available alternatives, or what are --12 what are the alternatives not currently available 13 to fund the examination of secondary water quality 14 concerns? How do we identify the problem -- the 15 magnitude of the problem? 16 Forget about the solution. The solutions are 17 usually -- I don't know, maybe insoluble, but we 18 can't even determine that unless we can pay 19 somebody to do something to figure out that the 20 problem can't be solved. 21 So if there is no money available, which is 22 kind of where we are stuck right now. Well, you 23 know, if we are going to do anything, we have to 24 figure out who is going to pay for what. Well, you 25 can't afford it. Okay. So we -- we don't even --

1	we can't get anybody to do anything.
2	So, you know, let's let's go to the
3	executive director and say, come up with \$100,000.
4	And he is going to say, I don't have that money in
5	my budget, which is currently true.
6	So I am just talking off the top of my head,
7	but I would like to examine what are the funding
8	alternatives, which is a completely blank sheet of
9	paper and an open mind.
10	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Great point.
11	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: That's my suggestion.
12	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Great point. Thank you.
13	COMMISSIONER POLMANN: I am going to get no
14	support for that, but unless there is funds
15	funding available to start an examination of what
16	is causing the the the customer to have the
17	water quality problem, we can't we can't examine
18	the effect on the whole issue.
19	CHAIRMAN CLARK: Thank you, Commissioner
20	Polmann. Great points. That certainly will be
21	taken absolutely into consideration.
22	Any other comments or thoughts?
23	All right. Is are there any other items
24	that any of the commissioners would like to bring
25	up in IA today? Comments or questions?

```
If we have none -- Mr. Baez, did
1
               All right.
2
          you have anything else for us?
 3
               MR. BAEZ:
                           No, Chairman.
                                            Thank you.
 4
               CHAIRMAN CLARK:
                                  All right.
                                               Well, thank you
5
          all for your indulgence today, and we will be back
 6
          here at one o'clock.
                                  IA is adjourned.
                                                     Thank you.
7
               (Proceedings concluded.)
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	STATE OF FLORIDA)
3	COUNTY OF LEON)
4	
5	I, DEBRA KRICK, Court Reporter, do hereby
6	certify that the foregoing proceeding was heard at the
7	time and place herein stated.
8	IT IS FURTHER CERTIFIED that I
9	stenographically reported the said proceedings; that the
10	same has been transcribed under my direct supervision;
11	and that this transcript constitutes a true
12	transcription of my notes of said proceedings.
13	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I am not a relative,
14	employee, attorney or counsel of any of the parties, nor
15	am I a relative or employee of any of the parties'
16	attorney or counsel connected with the action, nor am I
17	financially interested in the action.
18	DATED this 27th day of May, 2020.
19	
20	
21	Debli K Krici
22	DEBRA R. KRICK
23	NOTARY PUBLIC COMMISSION #GG015952
24	EXPIRES JULY 27, 2020
25	