State of Florida



Hublic Service Commission

CAPITAL CIRCLE OFFICE CENTER • 2540 SHUMARD OAK BOULEVARD TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32399-0850

-M-E-M-O-R-A-N-D-U-M-

- **DATE:** September 4, 2008
- **TO:** Office of Commission Clerk (Cole)
- **FROM:** Division of Regulatory Compliance (M. Watts) Office of the General Counsel (Brooks, McKay)
- **RE:** Docket No. 080521-TP Joint petition for waiver of carrier selection requirements of Rule 25-4.118, F.A.C., to allow transfer of certain local and long distance service customers from Navigator Telecommunications, LLC to Access Integrated Networks, Inc. d/b/a Birch Communications.
- AGENDA: 09/16/08 Regular Agenda Proposed Agency Action Interested Persons May Participate

COMMISSIONERS ASSIGNED: All Commissioners

PREHEARING OFFICER: Administrative

CRITICAL DATES: None

SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS: None

FILE NAME AND LOCATION: S:\PSC\RCP\WP\080521.RCM.DOC

Case Background

On August 4, 2008, Navigator Telecommunications, LLC (Navigator) and Access Integrated Networks, Inc. d/b/a Birch Communications (Birch), both competitive local exchange telecommunications companies (CLECs) and intrastate interexchange companies (IXCs), submitted a joint request for a waiver of the carrier selection requirements of Rule 25-4.118, Florida Administrative Code, for the transfer of certain customers from Navigator to Birch. At the conclusion of the transaction, Navigator will continue to service its remaining customers and will, therefore, retain its CLEC certificate and IXC registration.

Docket No. 080521-TP Date: September 4, 2008

Birch is acquiring approximately 43 business customers and 41 residential customers currently served by Navigator. Birch seeks the waiver so it will not have to obtain each customer's authorization. With the waiver, Birch can protect itself from possible complaints of unauthorized carrier changes. Customers will benefit because they will not be subject to a loss of service during the transfer.

The Commission is vested with jurisdiction in this matter pursuant to Sections 364.02, 364.335, 364.336, 364.337, 364.345, and 364.603, Florida Statutes. Accordingly, staff believes the following recommendations are appropriate.

Discussion of Issues

<u>Issue</u> 1: Should the Commission approve the request for waiver of the carrier selection requirements of Rule 25-4.118, Florida Administrative Code, in the transfer of Navigator Telecommunications, LLC's local and long distance customers to Access Integrated Networks, Inc. d/b/a Birch Communications?

Recommendation: Yes, the Commission should approve the request for waiver of the carrier selection requirements of Rule 25-4.118, Florida Administrative Code. Any waiver approved by the Commission should only apply to the specific set of customers identified in the petition. The petitioners should be required to provide the Commission notification of the actual date when the transaction is consummated. If for any reason the transaction is not consummated, any waiver approved by the Commission shall be null and void. (M. Watts/Brooks/McKay)

<u>Staff Analysis</u>: Pursuant to Rule 25-4.118(1), Florida Administrative Code, a customer's carrier cannot be changed without the customer's authorization. Rule 25-4.118(2), Florida Administrative Code, provides that a carrier shall submit a change request only if one of the following has occurred:

(a) The provider has a letter of agency (LOA) . . . from the customer requesting the change;

(b) The provider has received a customer-initiated call for service . . . ;

(c) A firm that is independent and unaffiliated with the provider . . . has verified the customer's requested change . . .

Pursuant to Rule 25-24.475(3), Florida Administrative Code, Rule 25-4.118, Florida Administrative Code, is incorporated into Chapter 25-24, and applies to IXCs.

Rule 25-24.455(2), Florida Administrative Code, states:

An IXC may petition for a waiver of any provision of this Part. The waiver shall be granted in whole, granted in Part or denied based on the following:

(a) The factors enumerated in Section 364.337(4), Florida Statutes;(b) The extent to which competitive forces may serve the same function as, or obviate the necessity for, the provision sought to be waived;

(c) Alternative regulatory requirements for the company which may serve the purposes of this part; and

(d) Whether the waiver is in the public interest.

Pursuant to Rule 25-24.845, Florida Administrative Code, Rule 25-4.118, Florida Administrative Code, is incorporated into Chapter 25-24, and applies to CLECs.

Section 364.337(2), Florida Statutes, states in pertinent part:

A certificated competitive local exchange telecommunications company may petition the commission for a waiver of some or all of the requirements of this chapter, except ss. 364.16, 364.336, and subsections (1) and (5). The commission may grant such petition if determined to be in the public interest.

The authority for Rule 25-4.118, Florida Administrative Code, is found in Section 364.603, Florida Statutes, which is a section the Commission is authorized to waive.

Birch has attested that it will provide for a seamless transition while ensuring that the affected customers understand available choices with the least amount of disruption to the customers. Staff has reviewed the notice that will be sent to Navigator's customers and found it to be adequate. The customers should not experience any interruption of service, rate increase, or switching fees.

Birch has one outstanding complaint as of the filing date of this recommendation, and Navigator has no outstanding complaints. After the transaction is consummated, Birch agreed to take the lead in working with Navigator to resolve any customer complaints that arise from issues occurring prior to the transfer.

Further, neither Birch nor Navigator has any outstanding regulatory assessment fees, penalties or interest associated with its IXC registration or CLEC certification.

Staff believes that in this instance it is appropriate to waive the carrier selection requirements of Rule 25-4.118, Florida Administrative Code. If prior authorization is required in this event, customers may fail to respond to a request for authorization, neglect to select another carrier, and lose their local and long distance services. Furthermore, staff believes that granting this waiver will avoid unnecessary slamming complaints during this transition.

Therefore, staff recommends that the Commission approve the request for waiver of the carrier selection requirements of Rule 25-4.118, Florida Administrative Code. Any waiver approved by the Commission should only apply to the specific set of customers identified in the petition. The petitioners should be required to provide the Commission notification of the actual date when the transaction is consummated. If for any reason the transaction is not consummated, any waiver approved by the Commission shall be null and void.

Docket No. 080521-TP Date: September 4, 2008

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: If no person whose substantial interests are affected by the proposed agency action files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the order, this docket should be closed upon the issuance of a consummating order. (Brooks/McKay)

<u>Staff Analysis</u>: If no person whose substantial interests are affected by the proposed agency action files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the order, this docket should be closed upon the issuance of a consummating order.