

MINUTES OF
COMMISSION CONFERENCE, TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 20, 2001
COMMENCED: 9:30 a.m.
ADJOURNED: 11:00 a.m.

COMMISSIONERS PRESENT: Chairman Jacobs
Commissioner Deason
Commissioner Jaber
Commissioner Baez
Commissioner Palecki

Parties were allowed to address the Commission on items designated by double asterisks (**).

1** Consent Agenda

PAA A) Applications for certificates to provide pay telephone service.

DOCKET
NO.

COMPANY NAME

010081-TC

James Fairhurst

010082-TC

Royal Tech Communications, Inc.

010161-TC

Concepts for Advanced Technology Inc.

PAA B) Applications for certificates to provide alternative local exchange telecommunications service.

DOCKET
NO.

COMPANY NAME

001717-TX

American Fiber Systems, Inc.

001675-TX

Delta Phones, Inc.

001686-TX

Digital Access Communications of Florida, Inc.

001803-TX

Movie, Television, & Graphics Corp. d/b/a M.T.G.

PAA C) Applications for certificates to provide interexchange telecommunications service.

Minutes of
 Commission Conference
 February 20, 2001

ITEM NO. CASE

1** Consent Agenda

(Continued from previous page)

<u>DOCKET NO.</u>	<u>COMPANY NAME</u>
001716-TI	American Fiber Systems, Inc.
001687-TI	Digital Access Communications of Florida, Inc.

PAA D) Requests for exemption from requirement of Rule 25-24.515(13), F.A.C., that each pay telephone station shall allow incoming calls.

<u>DOCKET NO.</u>	<u>COMPANY NAME</u>	<u>PHONE NO. & LOCATION</u>
010077-TC	BellSouth Public Communications, Inc.	954-341-9165 Omega I 1801 University Dr. Coral Springs
		305-868-9296 City of Miami Beach Building W 6401 Collins Ave. Miami Beach
010088-TC	BellSouth Public Communications, Inc.	407-299-9651 The Groves M.H. Community 6775 Stardust Lane Orlando

Minutes of
 Commission Conference
 February 20, 2001

ITEM NO. CASE

1** Consent Agenda

(Continued from previous page)

<u>DOCKET NO.</u>	<u>COMPANY NAME</u>	<u>PHONE NO. & LOCATION</u>
010162-TC	BellSouth Public Communications, Inc.	407-420-9812 Orlando Housing Authority 775 W. Gore Ave.
		407-897-5348 Orlando Housing Authority 301 Victor Ave.
		407-298-9931 407-298-9915 Orlando Housing Authority 624 Bethune Drive
		407-298-9811 Orlando Housing Authority 3526 S. Wilts Circle
		407-298-9920 Orlando Housing Authority 3527 S. Wilts Circle
		305-751-9238 305-756-9472 305-751-9175 305-757-9159 305-758-9190 Little River Food 570 NW 79th St. Miami
		305-326-8918 305-326-9097 305-326-9098 305-326-9839 305-326-8215 305-545-9613

Minutes of
 Commission Conference
 February 20, 2001

ITEM NO. CASE

1** Consent Agenda

(Continued from previous page)

<u>DOCKET NO.</u>	<u>COMPANY NAME</u>	<u>PHONE NO. & LOCATION</u>
010163-TC	BellSouth Public Communications, Inc.	407-850-9096 407-850-9433 407-850-9032 407-850-9097 407-850-9029 Lancaster Square 667 W. Lancaster Road Orlando 407-251-9711 Lancaster Square 659 W. Lancaster Road Orlando 407-438-8916 Lancaster Square 627 W. Lancaster Road Orlando 561-495-9295 Country Lake HOA, Inc. 16300 Country Lake Cir. Delray Beach 305-919-8309 305-919-8102 North Miami Beach Public Library 1601 NE 164 Street North Miami Beach

Minutes of
 Commission Conference
 February 20, 2001

ITEM NO. CASE

1** Consent Agenda

(Continued from previous page)

PAA E) Request for cancellation of interexchange telecommunications certificate.

DOCKET NO.	COMPANY NAME	EFFECTIVE DATE
---------------	--------------	-------------------

010045-TI	Murdock, Remmers & Associates, Inc.	12/12/00
-----------	-------------------------------------	----------

F) Requests for approval of resale agreements.

DOCKET NO.	COMPANY NAME	CRITICAL DATE
---------------	--------------	------------------

010014-TP	BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.; Mainstream Communications, L.L.C. d/b/a Mainstream New Media	04/02/01
-----------	--	----------

010015-TP	BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.; Local Line America, Inc.	04/02/01
-----------	--	----------

010021-TP	BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.; EXCELINK COMMUNICATIONS, INC.	04/04/01
-----------	---	----------

010038-TP	Alternative Phone, Inc.; BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.	04/09/01
-----------	---	----------

010041-TP	ALLTEL Florida, Inc.; TeleConex, Inc. d/b/a TeleConex	04/10/01
-----------	---	----------

G) Request for approval of pre-paid resale agreement.

Minutes of
 Commission Conference
 February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

1**

Consent Agenda

(Continued from previous page)

DOCKET NO.	COMPANY NAME	CRITICAL DATE
001740-TP	ALLTEL Florida, Inc.; State Discount Telephone, L.L.C.	02/27/01

H) Requests for approval of interconnection, unbundling, resale, and collocation agreements.

DOCKET NO.	COMPANY NAME	CRITICAL DATE
001771-TP	Sprint-Florida, Incorporated; XO Florida, Inc.	03/08/01
010034-TP	BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.; XSPEDIUS Corp.	04/08/01
010049-TP	BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.; WaKuL, Inc.	04/11/01
010058-TP	BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.; Z-Tel Communications, Inc.	04/16/01

I) Requests for approval of amendments to interconnection, unbundling, resale, and collocation agreements.

DOCKET NO.	COMPANY NAME	CRITICAL DATE
010032-TP	BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.; Network Telephone Corporation	04/08/01

Minutes of
 Commission Conference
 February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

1**

Consent Agenda

(Continued from previous page)

<u>DOCKET NO.</u>	<u>COMPANY NAME</u>	<u>CRITICAL DATE</u>
010039-TP	BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.; Global Crossing Local Services, Inc.; Global Crossing Telemanagement, Inc.	04/09/01
010061-TP	BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.; BlueStar Networks, Inc.	04/16/01

J) Request for approval of second amendment to interconnection, unbundling, and resale agreement.

<u>DOCKET NO.</u>	<u>COMPANY NAME</u>	<u>CRITICAL DATE</u>
010047-TP	Business Telecom, Inc. d/b/a BTI; Verizon Florida Inc.	04/11/01

K) Request for approval of interconnection, unbundling, and resale agreement.

<u>DOCKET NO.</u>	<u>COMPANY NAME</u>	<u>CRITICAL DATE</u>
010057-TP	BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.; Knology of Florida, Inc.	04/16/01

L) Request for approval of two amendments to interconnection, unbundling, and resale agreement.

Minutes of
 Commission Conference
 February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

1**

Consent Agenda

(Continued from previous page)

DOCKET NO.	COMPANY NAME	CRITICAL DATE
010060-TP	BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.; IDS Long Distance, Inc.	04/16/01

M) Request for approval of resale agreement and name change amendment.

DOCKET NO.	COMPANY NAME	CRITICAL DATE
010033-TP	BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.; Worldwide Internet Services, Inc.	04/08/01

N) Request for approval of interconnection agreement.

DOCKET NO.	COMPANY NAME	CRITICAL DATE
010042-TP	ALLTEL Florida, Inc.; AT&T Wireless Services, Inc.	04/10/01

PAA O) DOCKET NO. 010080-TX -
 Application for transfer of and
 name change on ALEC Certificate
 No. 5228 from IDS Long Distance,
 Inc. to IDS Telcom LLC.

PAA P) DOCKET NO. 010085-TI -
 Application for transfer of and
 name change on IXC Certificate
 No. 2467 from IDS Long Distance,
 Inc. to IDS Telcom LLC.

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

<u>ITEM NO.</u>		<u>CASE</u>
1**		Consent Agenda (Continued from previous page)
PAA	Q)	DOCKET NO. 010043-TP - Request for approval of transfer of ALEC Certificate No. 7218 and IXC Certificate No. 7383 from The Basico Group, Inc. to Oronoco Networks, Inc.
PAA	R)	DOCKET NO. 010040-TI - Petition for approval of transfer of control of Capsule Communications, Inc. (Holder of IXC Certificate No. 2993) from Gold & Appel Transfer, S.A. and Foundation for the International Non-Governmental Development of Space to Startec Global Communications Corporation.
PAA	S)	DOCKET NO. 010090-TX - Request for approval of pro forma corporate reorganization whereby TOTALink of Florida, LLC (holder of ALEC Certificate No. 5276) will become a wholly owned subsidiary of Utilicom Networks Holdings, LLC.

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

<u>ITEM NO.</u>	<u>CASE</u>
1**	Consent Agenda (Continued from previous page)
T)	DOCKET NO. 001783-GU - Application by Florida Public Utilities Company (FPUC) for authority to issue and sell and/or exchange any combination of long-term debt, short-term notes and equity securities and/or to assume liabilities or obligations as guarantor, endorser or surety in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$60 million during the calendar year 2001.

Recommendation: The Commission should approve the action requested in the dockets referenced above and close these dockets, with the exception of Docket No. 001783-GU, which must remain open for monitoring purposes.

DECISION: The recommendation was approved.

Commissioners participating: Jacobs, Deason, Jaber, Baez, Palecki

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

2**

DOCKET NO. 010105-GU - Proposed amendment to Rule 25-7.063, F.A.C., Meter Accuracy at Installation.

Critical Date(s): None

Rule Status: Proposed

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrg Officer ADM

Staff: APP: Moore
ECR: Hewitt
LEG: Elias
SER: Mills

Issue 1: Should the Commission propose amendments to Rule 25-7.063, Florida Administrative Code, titled Meter Accuracy at Installation, to revise the requirements for meter accuracy at the time of installation, and to revise the testing requirements for new meters?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should propose amendments to Rule 25-7.063, Florida Administrative Code.

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. If no requests for hearing or comments are filed, the rule amendments as proposed should be filed for adoption with the Secretary of State and the docket closed.

DECISION: The recommendations were approved.

Commissioners participating: Jacobs, Deason, Jaber, Baez, Palecki

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

3**

DOCKET NO. 010104-GS - Proposed amendment to Rule 25-12.005, F.A.C., Codes and Standards Adopted.

Critical Date(s): None

Rule Status: Proposed

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrg Officer ADM

Staff: APP: Moore
ECR: Hewitt
LEG: Elias
SER: Mills

Issue 1: Should the Commission propose amendments to Rule 25-12.005, Florida Administrative Code, titled Codes and Standards Adopted, to update the version of federal standards that are incorporated by reference in the rule?

Recommendation: Yes, the Commission should propose amendments to Rule 25-12.0053, Florida Administrative Code.

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. If no requests for hearing or comments are filed, the rule amendments as proposed should be filed for adoption with the Secretary of State and the docket closed.

DECISION: The recommendations were approved.

Commissioners participating: Jacobs, Deason, Jaber, Baez, Palecki

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

4**

DOCKET NO. 000035-TI - Initiation of show cause proceedings against American Network Exchange, Inc. d/b/a AMNEX for apparent violation of Rule 25-4.043, F.A.C., Response to Commission Staff Inquiries; and fine assessment for violation of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies. (Deferred from 6/6/00 Commission Conference and revised recommendation filed.)

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrg Officer ADM

Staff: CMP: Isler
LEG: Fordham

Issue 1: Should the Commission reconsider its vote from the February 1, 2000, Agenda Conference on its own motion and grant American Network Exchange, Inc. d/b/a AMNEX's request for cancellation of its Certificate No. 1527?

Recommendation: Yes. The company had filed for bankruptcy protection prior to the Commission's vote. Therefore, the Commission should reconsider its vote from the February 1, 2000, Agenda Conference, in which AMNEX's certificate was involuntarily canceled, and grant the company a bankruptcy cancellation of its Certificate No. 1527 with an effective date of June 30, 1999. In addition, the Division of Administration should not forward the outstanding RAFs to the Comptroller's Office for collection at this time.

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. This docket should be closed upon issuance of the Final Order.

DECISION: The recommendations were approved.

Commissioners participating: Jacobs, Deason, Jaber, Baez, Palecki

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

5**

DOCKET NO. 001418-TX - Initiation of show cause proceedings against First Touch, Inc. for apparent violation of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrg Officer ADM

Staff: CMP: Isler
LEG: K. Pena, B. Keating

Issue 1: Should First Touch, Inc. be ordered to show cause why a fine of \$500 should not be imposed for apparent violation of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should order First Touch, Inc. to show cause in writing within 21 days of the issuance of the Commission's Order why it should not be fined \$500 for apparent violation of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C. The company's response should contain specific allegations of fact or law. If First Touch, Inc. fails to respond to the show cause order, the fine should be deemed assessed. If the fine and fees are not paid within ten business days after the Order becomes final, they should be forwarded to the Office of the Comptroller for collection. If the fine and fees are paid, the fine should be remitted by the Commission to the State of Florida General Revenue Fund pursuant to Section 364.285, Florida Statutes.

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

5**

DOCKET NO. 001418-TX - Initiation of show cause proceedings against First Touch, Inc. for apparent violation of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: No. If the Commission approves staff's recommendation in Issue 1, the company will have 21 days to respond in writing to the Commission's show cause order explaining why it should not be fined in the amount proposed. If the company timely responds to the show cause order, this docket should remain open pending resolution of the show cause proceeding. If the company fails to respond to the show cause order, and the fine and fees, including statutory penalty and interest charges, are not received within ten business days after the expiration of the show cause response period, then the fine should be imposed for the violation cited in Issue 1 and the fine and fees forwarded to the Comptroller's Office for collection. This docket can then be closed.

DECISION: The recommendations were approved.

Commissioners participating: Jacobs, Deason, Jaber, Baez, Palecki

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

6**

DOCKET NO. 001224-TI - Initiation of show cause proceedings against BN1 Telecommunications, Inc. for apparent violation of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrg Officer ADM

Staff: CMP: Isler
LEG: Elliott

Issue 1: Should BN1 Telecommunications, Inc. be ordered to show cause why a fine of \$1,000 should not be imposed for apparent violation of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies?
Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should order BN1 Telecommunications, Inc. to show cause in writing within 21 days of the issuance of the Commission's Order why it should not be fined \$1,000 for apparent violation of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C. The company's response should contain specific allegations of fact or law. If BN1 Telecommunications, Inc. fails to respond to the show cause order, the fine should be deemed assessed. If the fine and fees are not paid within ten business days after the Order becomes final, they should be forwarded to the Office of the Comptroller for collection. If the fine and fees are paid, the fine should be remitted by the Commission to the State of Florida General Revenue Fund pursuant to Section 364.285, Florida Statutes.

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

6**

DOCKET NO. 001224-TI - Initiation of show cause proceedings against BN1 Telecommunications, Inc. for apparent violation of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: No. If the Commission approves staff's recommendation in Issue 1, the company will have 21 days to respond in writing to the Commission's show cause order explaining why it should not be fined in the amount proposed. If the company timely responds to the show cause order, this docket should remain open pending resolution of the show cause proceeding. If the company fails to respond to the show cause order, and the fine and fees, including statutory penalty and interest charges, are not received within ten business days after the expiration of the show cause response period, then the fine should be imposed for the violation cited in Issue 1 and the fine and fees forwarded to the Comptroller's Office for collection. This docket can then be closed.

DECISION: The recommendations were approved.

Commissioners participating: Jacobs, Deason, Jaber, Baez, Palecki

ITEM NO.

CASE

7**

DOCKET NO. 001808-TI - Investigation and determination of appropriate method for refunding overcharges and interest on intrastate 0+ calls made from pay telephones and in a call aggregator context by CenturyTel Telecommunications, Inc.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrg Officer DS

Staff: CMP: K. Craig, Kennedy
ECR: D. Draper
LEG: Fudge

PAA

Issue 1: Should the Commission accept CenturyTel Telecommunications, Inc.'s offer to submit a lump sum payment to the General Revenue Fund in the amount of \$8,130.65, plus accrued interest of \$981.07, for a total of \$9,111.72, for overcharging end users on intrastate 0+ calls made from pay telephones and in a call aggregator context from February 1, 1999 to August 16, 1999?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should accept CenturyTel's refund calculation of \$8,130.65, adding interest of \$981.07, for a total of \$9,111.72, and proposal to refund all amounts due in connection with the overcharges by lump sum payment into the Florida General Revenue Fund. This refund amount, including interest, should be remitted to the Commission by April 6, 2001, and forwarded to the Comptroller for deposit in the General Revenue Fund, pursuant to Section 364.285(1), Florida Statutes. In addition, CenturyTel should be required to file a report consistent with Rule 25-4.114, Florida Administrative Code, Refunds, with the Commission by April 6, 2001.

Issue 2: Should CenturyTel Telecommunications, Inc. be required to show cause why it should not pay a fine for overbilling of calls in excess of the rate cap established in Rule 25-24.630, Florida Administrative Code, Rate and Billing Requirements?

Recommendation: No.

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

7**

DOCKET NO. 001808-TI - Investigation and determination of appropriate method for refunding overcharges and interest on intrastate O+ calls made from pay telephones and in a call aggregator context by CenturyTel Telecommunications, Inc.

(Continued from previous page)

PAA

Issue 3: Should the Commission grant CenturyTel Telecommunications, Inc. (formerly Century Telecommunications, Inc.) a voluntary cancellation of Certificate No. 4072?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should grant CenturyTel's request for a voluntary cancellation of Certificate No. 4072 with a effective date of December 31, 2000.

Issue 4: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: No. If no person whose interests are substantially affected by the proposed agency action files a protest of the Commission's decision on Issues 1 and 3 within the 21-day protest period, the Commission's Order will become final upon issuance of a consummating order. This docket should, however, remain open pending the completion of the refund and receipt of the final report on the refund. After completion of the refund and receipt of the final refund report, this docket may be closed administratively.

DECISION: The recommendations were approved.

Commissioners participating: Jacobs, Deason, Jaber, Baez, Palecki

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

8**PAA

DOCKET NO. 001265-TI - Cancellation by Florida Public Service Commission of IXC Certificate No. 4802 issued to S.J. Investments, Inc. d/b/a Access Long Distance of Florida, Inc. for violation of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrg Officer ADM

Staff: CMP: Isler
LEG: Walker

Issue 1: Should the Commission impose a \$1,000 fine or cancel S.J. Investments, Inc. d/b/a Access Long Distance of Florida, Inc.'s certificate for apparent violation of Rule 25-4.0161, Florida Administrative Code, Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should impose a \$1,000 fine or cancel the company's certificate if the fine and the regulatory assessment fees, including statutory penalty and interest charges, are not received by the Commission within five business days after the issuance of the Consummating Order. The fine should be paid to the Florida Public Service Commission and forwarded to the Office of the Comptroller for deposit in the State General Revenue Fund pursuant to Section 364.285(1), Florida Statutes. If the Commission's Order is not protested and the fine and regulatory assessment fees, including statutory penalty and interest charges, are not received, the company's Certificate No. 4802 should be cancelled administratively and the collection of the past due fees should be referred to the Office of the Comptroller for further collection efforts.

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

8**PAA

DOCKET NO. 001265-TI - Cancellation by Florida Public Service Commission of IXC Certificate No. 4802 issued to S.J. Investments, Inc. d/b/a Access Long Distance of Florida, Inc. for violation of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. The Order issued from this recommendation will become final upon issuance of a Consummating Order, unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the Commission's decision files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the proposed agency action order. The docket should then be closed upon receipt of the fine and fees or cancellation of the certificate.

DECISION: The recommendations were approved.

Commissioners participating: Jacobs, Deason, Jaber, Baez, Palecki

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

9**

DOCKET NO. 001280-TI - Cancellation by Florida Public Service Commission of Interexchange Telecommunications Certificate No. 2670 issued to National Operator Services, Inc. for violation of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrg Officer ADM

Staff: CMP: Isler
LEG: Isaac

Issue 1: Should the Commission grant National Operator Services, Inc. a voluntary cancellation of its Certificate No. 2670?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should grant the company a voluntary cancellation of its Certificate No. 2670 with an effective date of December 29, 2000.

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. If the Commission approves staff's recommendation in Issue 1, this docket should be closed.

DECISION: The recommendations were approved.

Commissioners participating: Jacobs, Deason, Jaber, Baez, Palecki

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

10**PAA

DOCKET NO. 001412-TX - Cancellation by Florida Public Service Commission of Alternative Local Exchange Telecommunications Certificate No. 5260 issued to Florida City-Link Communications, Inc. for violation of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrg Officer ADM

Staff: CMP: Isler
LEG: Walker

Issue 1: Should the Commission impose a \$1,000 fine or cancel Florida City-Link Communications, Inc.'s certificate for apparent violation of Rule 25-4.0161, Florida Administrative Code, Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should impose a \$1,000 fine or cancel the company's certificate if the fine and the regulatory assessment fees, including statutory penalty and interest charges, are not received by the Commission within five business days after the issuance of the Consummating Order. The fine should be paid to the Florida Public Service Commission and forwarded to the Office of the Comptroller for deposit in the State General Revenue Fund pursuant to Section 364.285(1), Florida Statutes. If the Commission's Order is not protested and the fine and regulatory assessment fees, including statutory penalty and interest charges, are not received, the company's Certificate No. 5260 should be cancelled administratively and the collection of the past due fees should be referred to the Office of the Comptroller for further collection efforts.

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

10**PAA

DOCKET NO. 001412-TX - Cancellation by Florida Public Service Commission of Alternative Local Exchange Telecommunications Certificate No. 5260 issued to Florida City-Link Communications, Inc. for violation of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. The Order issued from this recommendation will become final upon issuance of a Consummating Order, unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the Commission's decision files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the proposed agency action order. The docket should then be closed upon receipt of the fine and fees or cancellation of the certificate.

DECISION: The recommendations were approved.

Commissioners participating: Jacobs, Deason, Jaber, Baez, Palecki

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

11**PAA

DOCKET NO. 001413-TX - Cancellation by Florida Public Service Commission of Alternative Local Exchange Telecommunications Certificate No. 5269 issued to Pinnacle Telcom, Inc. for violation of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrg Officer ADM

Staff: CMP: Isler
LEG: K. Pena, B. Keating

Issue 1: Should the Commission impose a \$1,000 fine or cancel Pinnacle Telcom, Inc.'s certificate for apparent violation of Rule 25-4.0161, Florida Administrative Code, Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should impose a \$1,000 fine or cancel the company's certificate if the fine and the regulatory assessment fees, including statutory penalty and interest charges, are not received by the Commission within five business days after the issuance of the Consummating Order. The fine should be paid to the Florida Public Service Commission and forwarded to the Office of the Comptroller for deposit in the State General Revenue Fund pursuant to Section 364.285(1), Florida Statutes. If the Commission's Order is not protested and the fine and regulatory assessment fees, including statutory penalty and interest charges, are not received, the company's Certificate No. 5269 should be cancelled administratively and the collection of the past due fees should be referred to the Office of the Comptroller for further collection efforts.

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. The Order issued from this recommendation will become final upon issuance of a Consummating Order, unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the Commission's decision files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the proposed agency action order. The docket should then be closed upon receipt of the fine and fees or cancellation of the certificate.

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

DECISION: The recommendations were approved.

Commissioners participating: Jacobs, Deason, Jaber, Baez, Palecki
12**PAA Cancellation by Florida Public Service Commission of
alternative local exchange telecommunications
certificates for violation of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C.,
Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies.

DOCKET NO. 001481-TX - Jake & Associates, Inc.
DOCKET NO. 001482-TX - Alliance Network, Inc. d/b/a C2K,
Inc.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrg Officer ADM

Staff: CMP: Isler
LEG: K. Pena, B. Keating

Issue 1: Should the Commission impose a \$500 fine or
cancel each company's respective certificate as listed on
page 5 of staff's February 8, 2001 memorandum for
apparent violation of Rule 25-4.0161, Florida
Administrative Code, Regulatory Assessment Fees;
Telecommunications Companies?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should impose a
\$500 fine or cancel each company's respective certificate
as listed on page 5 if the fine and the regulatory
assessment fees, including statutory penalty and interest
charges, are not received by the Commission within five
business days after the issuance of the Consummating
Order. The fine should be paid to the Florida Public
Service Commission and forwarded to the Office of the
Comptroller for deposit in the State General Revenue Fund
pursuant to Section 364.285(1), Florida Statutes. If the
Commission's Order is not protested and the fine and
regulatory assessment fees, including statutory penalty
and interest charges, are not received, the certificates
listed on page 5 should be cancelled administratively and
the collection of the past due fees should be referred to

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

12**PAA

Cancellation by Florida Public Service Commission of alternative local exchange telecommunications certificates for violation of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies.

(Continued from previous page)

the Office of the Comptroller for further collection efforts.

Issue 2: Should these dockets be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. The Order issued from this recommendation will become final upon issuance of a Consummating Order, unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the Commission's decision files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the proposed agency action order. The dockets should then be closed upon receipt of the fine and fees or cancellation of the certificate. A protest in one docket should not prevent the action in a separate docket from becoming final.

DECISION: The recommendations were approved.

Commissioners participating: Jacobs, Deason, Jaber, Baez, Palecki

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

13**PAA

Cancellation by Florida Public Service Commission of pay telephone certificates for violation of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies.

DOCKET NO. 001653-TC - U.S. Paytel, Inc.
DOCKET NO. 001656-TC - Albert T. Stoll

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrg Officer ADM

Staff: CMP: Isler
LEG: K. Pena, B. Keating

Issue 1: Should the Commission impose a \$500 fine or cancel each company's respective certificate as listed on page 5 of staff's February 8, 2001 memorandum for apparent violation of Rule 25-4.0161, Florida Administrative Code, Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should impose a \$500 fine or cancel each company's respective certificate as listed on page 5 if the fine and the regulatory assessment fees, including statutory penalty and interest charges, are not received by the Commission within five business days after the issuance of the Consummating Order. The fine should be paid to the Florida Public Service Commission and forwarded to the Office of the Comptroller for deposit in the State General Revenue Fund pursuant to Section 364.285(1), Florida Statutes. If the Commission's Order is not protested and the fine and regulatory assessment fees, including statutory penalty and interest charges, are not received, the certificates listed on page 5 should be cancelled administratively and the collection of the past due fees should be referred to the Office of the Comptroller for further collection efforts.

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

13**PAA

Cancellation by Florida Public Service Commission of pay telephone certificates for violation of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 2: Should these dockets be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. The Order issued from this recommendation will become final upon issuance of a Consummating Order, unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the Commission's decision files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the proposed agency action order. The dockets should then be closed upon receipt of the fine and fees or cancellation of the certificate. A protest in one docket should not prevent the action in a separate docket from becoming final.

DECISION: The recommendations were approved.

Commissioners participating: Jacobs, Deason, Jaber, Baez, Palecki

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

14**PAA

Cancellation by Florida Public Service Commission of alternative local exchange telecommunications certificates for violation of Rules 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies, and 25-24.835, F.A.C., Rules Incorporated.

DOCKET NO. 001461-TX - National Phone Corporation
DOCKET NO. 001473-TX - TranStar Communications USA, L.C.
DOCKET NO. 001477-TX - YourTel Telecom Corporation
DOCKET NO. 001491-TX - Spartan Communications Corporation of North Carolina

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrg Officer ADM

Staff: CMP: Isler
LEG: K. Pena, B. Keating, Banks, Elliott

Issue 1: Should the Commission impose a \$500 fine or cancel each telecommunications company's respective certificate as listed on page 6 of staff's February 8, 2001 memorandum for apparent violation of Rule 25-4.0161, Florida Administrative Code, Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should impose a \$500 fine or cancel each company's respective certificate as listed on page 6 if the fine and the regulatory assessment fees, including statutory penalty and interest charges, are not received by the Commission within five business days after the issuance of the Consummating Order. The fine should be paid to the Florida Public Service Commission and forwarded to the Office of the Comptroller for deposit in the State General Revenue Fund pursuant to Section 364.285(1), Florida Statutes. If the Commission's Order is not protested and the fine and regulatory assessment fees, including statutory penalty and interest charges, are not received, the certificates listed on page 6 should be canceled administratively and the collection of the past due fees should be referred to

ITEM NO.

CASE

14**PAA

Cancellation by Florida Public Service Commission of alternative local exchange telecommunications certificates for violation of Rules 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies, and 25-24.835, F.A.C., Rules Incorporated.

(Continued from previous page)

the Office of the Comptroller for further collection efforts.

Issue 2: Should the Commission impose a \$500 fine or cancel each telecommunications company's respective certificate as listed on page 6 for apparent violation of Rule 25-24.835, Florida Administrative Code, Rules Incorporated?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should impose a \$500 fine or cancel each company's respective certificate as listed on page 6 if the information required by Rule 25-24.835, F.A.C., and fine are not received by the Commission within five business days after the issuance of the Consummating Order. The fine should be paid to the Florida Public Service Commission and forwarded to the Office of the Comptroller for deposit in the State General Revenue Fund pursuant to Section 364.285(1), Florida Statutes. If the Commission's Order is not protested and the fine and required information are not received, the certificates listed on page 6 should be canceled administratively.

Issue 3: Should these dockets be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. The Order issued from this recommendation will become final upon issuance of a Consummating Order, unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the Commission's decision files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the proposed agency action order. The dockets should then be closed upon receipt of the fines, fees, and required information or cancellation of the certificate. A protest in one docket should not prevent the action in a separate docket from becoming final.

DECISION: The recommendations were approved.

Commissioners participating: Jacobs, Deason, Jaber, Baez, Palecki

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

14**PAA

Cancellation by Florida Public Service Commission of alternative local exchange telecommunications certificates for violation of Rules 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies, and 25-24.835, F.A.C., Rules Incorporated.

(Continued from previous page)

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

15**

DOCKET NO. 010103-GU - Petition to increase transportation cost recovery factor by the Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation.

Critical Date(s): 3/25/01 (60-day suspension date)

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrg Officer ADM

Staff: CMP: Makin, Bulecza-Banks
LEG: C. Keating

Issue 1: Should the Commission grant the Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation's petition to increase its transportation cost recovery factor effective February 20, 2001, the date of the Commission's vote in this matter?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should approve the Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation's petition to increase its transportation cost recovery factor effective February 20, 2001, the date of the Commission's vote in this matter.

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. If no protest is filed by a person whose substantial interests are affected within 21 days of the issuance of the Order, this docket should be closed upon the issuance of a Consummating Order.

DECISION: The recommendations were approved.

Commissioners participating: Jacobs, Deason, Jaber, Baez, Palecki

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

16**PAA

DOCKET NO. 010099-GU - Petition for approval of Special Gas Transportation Service agreement with Montenay Power Corp. by City Gas Company of Florida.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrg Officer ADM

Staff: CMP: Makin, Bulecza-Banks
ECR: L. Romig
LEG: C. Keating
SER: Mills

Issue 1: Should the Commission approve City Gas Company of Florida's (City Gas or Company) petition for a special transportation service agreement with Montenay Power Corp. (Montenay)?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should approve City Gas' petition for a special transportation service agreement with Montenay Power Corp., effective February 20, 2001, the date of the Commission's vote in this matter.

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. This docket should be closed upon issuance of a Consummating Order unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the Commission's decision files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the proposed agency action.

DECISION: The recommendations were approved.

Commissioner Palecki recused himself from participation on this item.

Commissioners participating: Jacobs, Deason, Jaber, Baez

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

17**

DOCKET NO. 001442-TP - Request by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. for approval of collocation amendment to existing interconnection, unbundling, and resale agreement with DIECA Communications, Inc. d/b/a Covad Communications Company.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrg Officer ADM

Staff: CMP: Logue
LEG: Knight

Issue 1: Should the Commission reconsider its vote at the December 19, 2000, Agenda Conference and approve the collocation amendment to the existing interconnection, unbundling, and resale agreement between BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. and DIECA Communications, Inc. d/b/a Covad Communications Company?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should reconsider its vote and approve the collocation amendment to the existing interconnection, unbundling, and resale agreement.

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. If the Commission approves staff's recommendation in Issue 1, this docket should be closed upon issuance of the Commission's Order.

DECISION: The recommendations were approved.

Commissioners participating: Jacobs, Deason, Jaber, Baez, Palecki

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

18**

DOCKET NO. 001701-TP - Request by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. for approval of interconnection, unbundling, resale, and collocation agreement with KMC Telecom Inc., KMC Telecom II, Inc., KMC Telecom III, Inc., and KMC Telecom V, Inc.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrg Officer ADM

Staff: CMP: Logue
LEG: K. Peña, B. Keating

Issue 1: Should the Commission reconsider its vote at the January 2, 2001, Agenda Conference and approve the interconnection, unbundling, resale, and collocation agreement between BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. and KMC Telecom Inc., KMC Telecom II, Inc., KMC Telecom III, Inc. and KMC Telecom V, Inc.?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should reconsider its vote and approve the interconnection, unbundling, resale, and collocation agreement.

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. If the Commission approves Issue 1, this docket should be closed upon issuance of the Commission's Order.

DECISION: The recommendations were approved.

Commissioners participating: Jacobs, Deason, Jaber, Baez, Palecki

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

19**

DOCKET NO. 001588-TP - Request by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. for approval of amendment to interconnection, unbundling, and resale agreement with Daytona Telephone Company.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrg Officer ADM

Staff: CMP: Logue
LEG: K. Peña, B. Keating

Issue 1: Should the Commission reconsider its vote at the January 2, 2001, Agenda Conference and approve the amendment to the existing interconnection, unbundling, and resale agreement between BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. and Daytona Telephone Company?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should reconsider its vote and approve the amendment to the existing interconnection, unbundling, and resale agreement.

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. If the Commission approves Issue 1, this docket should be closed upon issuance of the Commission's Order.

DECISION: The recommendations were approved.

Commissioners participating: Jacobs, Deason, Jaber, Baez, Palecki

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

20**

DOCKET NO. 001578-TP - Request by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. for approval of amendment to existing interconnection, unbundling, resale, and collocation agreement with BlueStar Networks, Inc.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrg Officer ADM

Staff: CMP: Logue
LEG: K. Peña, B. Keating

Issue 1: Should the Commission reconsider its vote at the January 2, 2001, Agenda Conference and approve the amendment to the existing interconnection, unbundling, resale, and collocation agreement between BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. and BlueStar Networks, Inc.?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should reconsider its vote and approve the amendment to the existing interconnection, unbundling, resale, and collocation agreement.

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. If the Commission approves Issue 1, this docket should be closed upon issuance of the Commission's Order.

DECISION: The recommendations were approved.

Commissioners participating: Jacobs, Deason, Jaber, Baez, Palecki

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

21**

DOCKET NO. 001718-TP - Petition by Verizon Florida Inc. (f/k/a GTE Florida Incorporated) and SBC National, Inc. d/b/a SBC Telecom, Inc. for acknowledgement of adoption of collocation and DS3 terms of interconnection agreement between SBC Telecom, Inc. and Verizon Northwest Incorporated (f/k/a GTE Northwest).

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrg Officer ADM

Staff: CMP: Arant
LEG: B. Keating, K. Peña

Issue 1: Should the Commission acknowledge Verizon Florida Inc. (f/k/a GTE Florida Incorporated) and SBC National, Inc. d/b/a SBC Telecom, Inc.'s adoption of Collocation and DS3 Terms of the Interconnection Agreement between SBC Telecom, Inc. and Verizon Northwest Incorporated (f/k/a GTE Northwest Incorporated)?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should acknowledge Verizon Florida Inc. and SBC National, Inc. d/b/a SBC Telecom, Inc.'s adoption of Collocation and DS3 Terms of the Interconnection Agreement between SBC Telecom, Inc. and Verizon Northwest Incorporated.

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. Since no other issues need to be addressed by this Commission, this docket should be closed upon issuance of the Commission Order.

DECISION: The recommendations were approved.

Commissioners participating: Jacobs, Deason, Jaber, Baez, Palecki

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

22**PAA

DOCKET NO. 010112-EI - Request for extension of time to file 2001 forecasted earnings surveillance report by Florida Power Corporation.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrg Officer ADM

Staff: ECR: Slemkewicz
LEG: Walker

Issue 1: Should the Commission grant Florida Power Corporation's request to extend the filing deadline of its 2001 Forecasted Earnings Surveillance Report to May 1, 2001?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should grant Florida Power Corporation's request to extend the filing deadline of its 2001 Forecasted Earnings Surveillance Report to May 1, 2001.

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. If no person whose substantial interests are affected by the proposed agency action files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the order, this docket should be closed upon the issuance of a consummating order.

DECISION: The recommendations were approved with the modification to Issue 1 that money collected will be placed subject to refund in the event that the Commission must take action on the PAA (given the 60-day extension being granted) in order to go back to the date of the action to recapture the funds if that becomes necessary.

Commissioners participating: Jacobs, Deason, Jaber, Baez, Palecki

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

23**

DOCKET NO. 001543-EU - Petition for variance from or waiver of Rule 25-6.049(5)(a), F.A.C., by Sundestin International Homeowners Association, Inc.
DOCKET NO. 001544-EU - Petition for variance from or waiver of Rule 25-6.049(5)(a), F.A.C., by Dunes of Panama Owners Association, Inc.

Critical Date(s): None (waived by petitioner)

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrg Officer ADM

Staff: ECR: Wheeler
LEG: Walker

Issue 1: Should the Commission grant Sundestin International Homeowners Association's request for waiver of the requirements of Rule 25-6.049(5)(a), Florida Administrative Code?

Recommendation: Yes. Staff believes that the requested rule waiver should be granted, provided that SI allocates the cost of electricity to the individual unit owners using a reasonable apportionment method, as required by Rule 25-6.049(6)(a), Florida Administrative Code, and provided that the waiver is effective only so long as the condominium is operated and licensed as a transient occupancy facility. At such time the condominium is no longer so operated and licensed, SI must immediately inform the utility, at which time the utility will install individual meters on all the occupancy units.

Issue 2: Should the Commission grant Dunes of Panama Owners Association, Inc.'s request for waiver of the requirements of Rule 25-6.049(5)(a), Florida Administrative Code?

Recommendation: Yes. Staff believes that the requested rule waiver should be granted, provided that DP allocates the cost of electricity to the individual unit owners using a reasonable apportionment method, as required by Rule 25-6.049(6)(a), Florida Administrative Code, and provided that the waiver is effective only so long as the condominium is operated and licensed as a transient

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

23**

DOCKET NO. 001543-EU - Petition for variance from or waiver of Rule 25-6.049(5)(a), F.A.C., by Sundestin International Homeowners Association, Inc.
DOCKET NO. 001544-EU - Petition for variance from or waiver of Rule 25-6.049(5)(a), F.A.C., by Dunes of Panama Owners Association, Inc.

(Continued from previous page)

occupancy facility. At such time the condominium is no longer so operated and licensed, DP must immediately inform the utility, at which time the utility will install individual meters on all the occupancy units.

Issue 3: Should these dockets be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. If no person whose substantial interests are affected by the proposed agency action files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the order, these dockets should be closed upon the issuance of a consummating order.

DECISION: The recommendations were approved.

Commissioners participating: Jacobs, Deason, Jaber, Baez, Palecki

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

24**

DOCKET NO. 010123-TX - Initiation of show cause proceedings against Alternative Telecommunication Services, Inc. d/b/a Second Chance Phone for apparent violation of Section 364.183(1), F.S., Access to Company Records.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrg Officer ADM

Staff: LEG: Vaccaro
CMP: M. Watts

Issue 1: Should the Commission order Alternative Telecommunication Services, Inc. d/b/a Second Chance Phone to show cause why it should not be fined \$25,000 or Certificate Number 5620 should not be canceled for apparent failure to provide the Commission access to information in accordance with Section 364.183(1), Florida Statutes, Access to Company Records?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should order Alternative Telecommunication Services, Inc. d/b/a Second Chance Phone to show cause in writing within 21 days of the issuance of the Commission's Order why it should not be fined \$25,000 or have Certificate Number 5620 canceled for apparent failure to provide the Commission access to information in accordance with Section 364.183(1), Florida Statutes, Access to Company Records. The company's response should contain specific allegations of fact and law. If Alternative Telecommunication Services, Inc. d/b/a Second Chance Phone fails to respond to the show cause order or request a hearing pursuant to Section 120.57, Florida Statutes, within the 21-day response period and the fine is not paid within ten business days after the 21-day response period, the facts should be deemed admitted and the right to a hearing should be deemed waived and Certificate Number 5620 should be canceled. If the fine is paid, it should be remitted by the Commission to the State of Florida General Revenue Fund pursuant to Section 364.285, Florida Statutes.

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

24**

DOCKET NO. 010123-TX - Initiation of show cause proceedings against Alternative Telecommunication Services, Inc. d/b/a Second Chance Phone for apparent violation of Section 364.183(1), F.S., Access to Company Records.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: No. If staff's recommendation in Issue 1 is approved and Alternative Telecommunication Services, Inc. d/b/a Second Chance Phone timely responds to the Order to Show Cause, this docket should remain open pending the outcome of the show cause proceedings.

Staff recommends that if Alternative Telecommunication Services, Inc. d/b/a Second Chance Phone fails to respond to the Order to Show Cause within the 21-day show cause response period and the fine is not received within ten business days after the expiration of the show cause response period, Certificate Number 5620 should be canceled and this docket may be closed administratively. If Alternative Telecommunication Services, Inc. d/b/a Second Chance Phone pays the fine recommended in Issue 1, this docket should be closed administratively.

DECISION: This item was withdrawn.

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

25**

DOCKET NO. 010124-TX - Initiation of show cause proceedings against TotalTel USA Communications, Inc. for apparent violation of Section 364.183(1), F.S., Access to Company Records.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrg Officer ADM

Staff: LEG: Vaccaro
CMP: M. Watts

Issue 1: Should the Commission order TotalTel USA Communications, Inc. to show cause why it should not be fined \$25,000 or Certificate Number 4771 should not be canceled for apparent failure to provide the Commission access to information in accordance with Section 364.183(1), Florida Statutes, Access to Company Records? Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should order TotalTel USA Communications, Inc. to show cause in writing within 21 days of the issuance of the Commission's Order why it should not be fined \$25,000 or have Certificate Number 4771 canceled for apparent failure to provide the Commission access to information in accordance with Section 364.183(1), Florida Statutes, Access to Company Records. TotalTel USA Communications, Inc.'s response should contain specific allegations of fact and law. If TotalTel USA Communications, Inc. fails to respond to the show cause order or request a hearing pursuant to Section 120.57, Florida Statutes, within the 21-day response period and the fine is not paid within ten business days after the 21-day response period, the facts should be deemed admitted, the right to a hearing should be deemed waived and Certificate Number 4771 should be canceled. If the fine is paid, it should be remitted by the Commission to the State of Florida General Revenue Fund pursuant to Section 364.285, Florida Statutes.

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

25**

DOCKET NO. 010124-TX - Initiation of show cause proceedings against TotalTel USA Communications, Inc. for apparent violation of Section 364.183(1), F.S., Access to Company Records.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: No. If staff's recommendation in Issue 1 is approved and TotalTel USA Communications, Inc. timely responds to the Order to Show Cause, this docket should remain open pending the outcome of the show cause proceedings.

Staff recommends that if TotalTel USA Communications, Inc. fails to respond to the Order to Show Cause within the 21-day show cause response period and the fine is not received within ten business days after the expiration of the show cause response period, then Certificate Number 4771 should be canceled and this docket may be closed administratively. If TotalTel USA Communications, Inc. pays the fine recommended in Issue 1, this docket should be closed administratively.

DECISION: The recommendations were approved.

Commissioners participating: Jacobs, Deason, Jaber, Baez, Palecki

ITEM NO.

CASE

26**

DOCKET NO. 010125-TX - Initiation of show cause proceedings against Atlantic.Net Broadband, Inc. for apparent violation of Section 364.183(1), F.S., Access to Company Records.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrg Officer ADM

Staff: LEG: Vaccaro
CMP: M. Watts

Issue 1: Should the Commission order Atlantic.Net Broadband, Inc. to show cause why it should not be fined \$25,000 or Certificate Number 6070 should not be canceled for apparent failure to provide the Commission access to information in accordance with Section 364.183(1), Florida Statutes, Access to Company Records?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should order Atlantic.Net Broadband, Inc. to show cause in writing within 21 days of the issuance of the Commission's Order why it should not be fined \$25,000 or have Certificate Number 6070 canceled for apparent failure to provide the Commission access to information in accordance with Section 364.183(1), Florida Statutes, Access to Company Records. Atlantic.Net Broadband, Inc.'s response should contain specific allegations of fact and law. If Atlantic.Net Broadband, Inc. fails to respond to the show cause order or request a hearing pursuant to Section 120.57, Florida Statutes, within the 21-day response period and the fine is not paid within ten business days after the 21-day response period, the facts should be deemed admitted, the right to a hearing should be deemed waived and Certificate Number 6070 should be canceled. If the fine is paid, it should be remitted by the Commission to the State of Florida General Revenue Fund pursuant to Section 364.285, Florida Statutes.

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

26**

DOCKET NO. 010125-TX - Initiation of show cause proceedings against Atlantic.Net Broadband, Inc. for apparent violation of Section 364.183(1), F.S., Access to Company Records.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: No. If staff's recommendation in Issue 1 is approved and Atlantic.Net Broadband, Inc. timely responds to the Order to Show Cause, this docket should remain open pending the outcome of the show cause proceedings.

Staff recommends that if Atlantic.Net Broadband, Inc. fails to respond to the Order to Show Cause within the 21-day show cause response period and the fine is not received within ten business days after the expiration of the show cause response period, then Certificate Number 6070 should be canceled and this docket may be closed administratively. If Atlantic.Net Broadband, Inc. pays the fine recommended in Issue 1, this docket should be closed administratively.

DECISION: This item was deferred to the April 3, 2001 Commission Conference.

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

27**

Initiation of show cause proceedings for apparent violation of Section 364.183(1), F.S., Access to Company Records.

DOCKET NO. 010126-TX - Wireless One Network, L.P. d/b/a Cellular One of Southwest Florida
DOCKET NO. 010127-TX - CFT INC.
DOCKET NO. 010128-TX - City of Ocala
DOCKET NO. 010129-TX - Broward Business Service, Inc. d/b/a Festival Telephone Services, Inc. and d/b/a Communication Service Centers
DOCKET NO. 010130-TX - Hayes Telecommunications Services, Inc.
DOCKET NO. 010131-TX - Golden Harbor of Florida, Inc. d/b/a Hometown Telephone of Florida, Inc.
DOCKET NO. 010132-TX - International Telcom, Ltd.
DOCKET NO. 010133-TX - CRG International, Inc. d/b/a Network One

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrg Officer ADM

Staff: LEG: B. Keating, L. Fordham, Christensen, Elliott
CMP: K. Craig

Issue 1: Should the Commission order each of the companies listed on page 8 of staff's February 8, 2001 memorandum to show cause why it should not be fined \$10,000 or its respective certificate, as listed on page 8, should not be canceled for apparent failure to provide the Commission access to information in accordance with Section 364.183(1), Florida Statutes, Access to Company Records?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should order each of the companies listed on page 8 to show cause in writing within 21 days of the issuance of the Commission's Order why it should not be fined \$10,000 or have its respective certificate, as listed on page 8, canceled for apparent failure to provide the Commission access to information in accordance with Section 364.183(1), Florida Statutes,

ITEM NO.

CASE

27**

Initiation of show cause proceedings for apparent violation of Section 364.183(1), F.S., Access to Company Records.

(Continued from previous page)

Access to Company Records. Each company's response should contain specific allegations of fact and law. If any of the companies listed on page 8 fail to respond to the show cause order or request a hearing pursuant to Section 120.57, Florida Statutes, within the 21-day response period and the fine is not paid within ten business days after the 21-day response period, the facts should be deemed admitted, the right to a hearing should be deemed waived and the company's respective certificate, as listed on page 8, should be canceled. If the fine is paid, it should be remitted by the Commission to the State of Florida General Revenue Fund pursuant to Section 364.285, Florida Statutes.

Issue 2: Should these dockets be closed?

Recommendation: No. If staff's recommendation in Issue 1 is approved and each of the companies listed on page 8 timely responds to its respective show cause order, its respective docket should remain open pending the resolution of the show cause proceedings.

Staff recommends that if any of the companies listed on page 8 fail to respond to the Order to Show Cause within the 21-day show cause response period and the respective fine is not received within ten business days after the expiration of the show cause response period, the company's respective certificate, as listed on page 8, should be canceled and its respective docket may be closed administratively. If any of the companies listed on page 8 pay the fine recommended in Issue 1, the company's respective docket should be closed. A protest in one docket should not prevent the action in a separate docket from becoming final.

DECISION: The recommendations were approved. Dockets Nos. 010126-TX, 010128-TX, 010131-TX, 010132-TX, 010133-TX were deferred to a later Commission Conference.

Commissioners participating: Jacobs, Deason, Jaber, Baez, Palecki

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

27**

Initiation of show cause proceedings for apparent violation of Section 364.183(1), F.S., Access to Company Records.

(Continued from previous page)

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

28**

Initiation of show cause proceedings for apparent violation of Section 364.183(1), F.S., Access to Company Records.

DOCKET NO. 010135-TX - Quintelco, Inc.
DOCKET NO. 010136-TX - Teleglobe Business Solutions Inc.
DOCKET NO. 010137-TX - World Access Communications Corp.
DOCKET NO. 010138-TX - World Telecommunications Services, Inc.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrg Officer ADM

Staff: LEG: Knight, Banks, Fudge
CMP: M. Watts

Issue 1: Should the Commission order each of the companies listed on page 8 of staff's February 8, 2001 memorandum to show cause why it should not be fined \$10,000 or its respective certificate, as listed on page 8, should not be canceled for apparent failure to provide the Commission access to information in accordance with Section 364.183(1), Florida Statutes, Access to Company Records?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should order each of the companies listed on page 8 to show cause in writing within 21 days of the issuance of the Commission's Order why it should not be fined \$10,000 or have its respective certificate, as listed on page 8, canceled for apparent failure to provide the Commission access to information in accordance with Section 364.183(1), Florida Statutes, Access to Company Records. Each company's response should contain specific allegations of fact and law. If any of the companies listed on page 8 fail to respond to the show cause order or request a hearing pursuant to Section 120.57, Florida Statutes, within the 21-day response period and the fine is not paid within ten business days after the 21-day response period, the facts should be deemed admitted, the right to a hearing should be deemed waived and the

ITEM NO.

CASE

28**

Initiation of show cause proceedings for apparent violation of Section 364.183(1), F.S., Access to Company Records.

(Continued from previous page)

company's respective certificate, as listed on page 8, should be canceled. If the fine is paid, it should be remitted by the Commission to the State of Florida General Revenue Fund pursuant to Section 364.285, Florida Statutes.

Issue 2: Should these dockets be closed?

Recommendation: No. If staff's recommendation in Issue 1 is approved and each of the companies listed on page 8 timely responds to its respective show cause order, its respective docket should remain open pending resolution of the show cause proceedings.

Staff recommends that if any of the companies listed on page 8 fail to respond to the Order to Show Cause within the 21-day show cause response period and the respective fine is not received within ten business days after the expiration of the show cause response period, the company's respective certificate, as listed on page 8, should be canceled and its respective docket may be closed administratively. If any of the companies listed on page 8 pay the fine recommended in Issue 1, the company's respective docket should be closed administratively. A protest in one docket should not prevent the action in a separate docket from becoming final.

DECISION: The recommendations were approved.

Commissioners participating: Jacobs, Deason, Jaber, Baez, Palecki

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

29**

DOCKET NO. 000678-EI - Complaint against Florida Power & Light Company regarding placement of power pole and lines by Pablo Acosta.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrg Officer DS

Staff: LEG: Isaac
CAF: Raspberry
SER: Breman, Ruehl

Issue 1: Should the Commission approve the settlement agreement between Florida Power & Light Company and Pablo Acosta, Anisia Cid, and other concerned residents of Southwest 27th Terrace, Miami, Florida?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should approve the settlement agreement because it provides a satisfactory resolution of the issues in this complaint and satisfies the requirements of Rule 25-22.032(10), Florida Administrative Code.

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. This docket should be closed because no further action by the Commission is necessary.

DECISION: The recommendations were approved.

Commissioners participating: Jacobs, Deason, Jaber, Baez, Palecki

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

30**PAA

DOCKET NO. 001753-TL - Complaint of Ron Johnson on behalf of International Media Solution against Sprint-Florida, Incorporated for alleged improper billing.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrg Officer ADM

Staff: LEG: Christensen
CAF: Stokes
CMP: Kennedy

Issue 1: Should Sprint be required to credit or refund International Media Solution for the remaining disputed balance of \$585 based upon alleged improper billing for installation and subsequent cancellation of service to International Media Solution?

Recommendation: No. Staff recommends that the Commission find that Sprint did not improperly bill International Media Solutions for the installation and subsequent cancellation of service. Staff further recommends that Sprint not be required to credit or refund International Media Solutions for the remaining disputed balance of \$585.

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. If no person whose substantial interests are affected by the Commission's order in this docket timely files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of this order, this docket should be closed upon the issuance of a consummating order.

DECISION: The recommendations were approved.

Commissioners participating: Jacobs, Deason, Jaber, Baez, Palecki

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

31**

DOCKET NO. 010089-TP - Complaint of Charlene Hoag against Verizon Florida Inc. and Sprint Communications Company, Limited Partnership d/b/a Sprint for alleged improper billing.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrg Officer ADM

Staff: LEG: Fudge
CAF: Stokes
RGO: McCoy

Issue 1: Should the request for an informal conference by Ms. Charlene Hoag be granted?

Recommendation: No. Pursuant to Section 25-22.032(8)(c), Florida Administrative Code, the Commission should dismiss Ms. Hoag's request because it states no basis upon which relief may be granted.

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. ~~If no person whose substantial interests are affected by the Commission's order in this docket timely files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of this order, t~~ This docket should be closed. ~~upon the issuance of a consummating order.~~

DECISION: The recommendations were approved with noted modification to Issue 2.

Commissioners participating: Jacobs, Deason, Jaber, Baez, Palecki

ITEM NO.

CASE

32**

DOCKET NO. 991486-WU - Investigation into retention of certificated area of Ellis & Company, Ltd. (Holiday Mall) by Floralino Properties, Inc.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrg Officer PL

Staff: RGO: Christensen
LEG: Walden

Issue 1: Should the Commission initiate proceedings to investigate whether certain territory authorized in Floralino Properties, Inc.'s Certificate No. 153-W should be deleted?

Recommendation: Yes. Staff recommends that the Commission initiate a proceeding to investigate whether certain territory authorized in Floralino Properties, Inc.'s Certificate No. 153-W, encompassing Holiday Mall, should be deleted. Further, staff recommends that the Commission issue legal notice of the investigation to determine if certain territory should be deleted pursuant to Section 367.045(6), Florida Statutes.

Issue 2: Should the Commission grant Floralino's Motion to Strike and Dismiss Application?

Recommendation: No. Staff recommends that Floralino's Motion to Strike and Dismiss Application should be denied. The application for deletion of territory by Holiday Mall should be treated as a Complaint.

Issue 3: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: No. If the Commission approves Staff's recommendation in Issue 1, this docket should remain open pending the conclusion of the investigation.

DECISION: The recommendations were approved with a modification to the portion of the recommendation indicating initiation of an investigation is denied. Staff will instead initiate a proceeding to delete the portion of Floralino's certificate that encompasses Holiday Mall. Issuance of the legal notice will proceed; the notice will indicate proceedings to delete a portion of the certificate have been initiated.

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

32**

DOCKET NO. 991486-WU - Investigation into retention of
certificated area of Ellis & Company, Ltd. (Holiday Mall)
by Floralino Properties, Inc.

(Continued from previous page)

Commissioners participating: Jacobs, Deason, Jaber, Baez, Palecki

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

33**

DOCKET NO. 000504-TP - Joint application for approval of agreement and plan of merger whereby STAR Telecommunications, Inc. (holder of IXC Certificate No. 5255) and its subsidiaries AS Telecommunications, Inc. (holder of IXC Certificate No. 3510), PT-1 Communications (holder of IXC Certificate No. 4432), PT-1 Long Distance, Inc. (holder of IXC Certificate No. 7110), CEO Telecommunications, Inc. (holder of IXC Certificate No. 4073), will merge with and into STI Merger Co., a wholly-owned subsidiary of World Access, Inc.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrg Officer ADM

Staff: RGO: T. Williams
LEG: Banks

Issue 1: Should Order No. PSC-00-1230-PAA-TP, issued July 7, 2000, and PSC-00-1413-CO-TP, issued August 2, 2000, in Docket No. 000504-TP be vacated?

Recommendation: Yes. Interexchange Telecommunications Certificates Nos. 5255, 3510, 4432, 7110, and 4073 shall remain with STAR Telecommunications, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. This docket should be closed upon issuance of the Commission's vacating order.

DECISION: The recommendations were approved.

Commissioners participating: Jacobs, Deason, Jaber, Baez, Palecki

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

34**

DOCKET NO. 010001-EI - Fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause and generating performance incentive factor.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrg Officer JB

Staff: SER: Bohrmann
ECR: E. Draper
LEG: C. Keating

Issue 1: Should the Commission approve Florida Power & Light Company's (FPL) petition for a mid-course correction to its currently authorized fuel and purchased power cost recovery factor, effective on FPL's cycle day 3 for April 2001 billings?

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

DECISION: The recommendation was deferred to the March 6, 2001 Commission Conference.

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

35**

DOCKET NO. 990538-EI - Establishment of electric requirements for small photovoltaic systems (10 kW or less) requesting interconnection and parallel operation with an investor-owned utility.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrg Officer ADM

Staff: SER: Colson
LEG: Stern
PAI: Dean

Issue 1: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. The issues will be addressed in the rulemaking docket.

DECISION: The recommendation was approved.

Commissioners participating: Jacobs, Deason, Jaber, Baez, Palecki

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

36 DOCKET NO. 990517-TL - Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 904 area code.

Critical Date(s): 1/1/02 (Exhaust date for the 904 area code.)

Hearing Date(s): Available upon request

Commissioners Assigned: JC DS BZ
Prehrg Officer DS

Staff: CMP: Ileri
LEG: B. Keating

Issue 1: Based on the results of the subscriber survey, should the proposed Sanford exception area (Osteen) customers' telephone numbers (area code + 7-digit number) be changed from the 407/321 overlay area code to the 386 area code along with the remainder of Volusia County?

Recommendation: No. Based on the results of the subscriber survey, staff recommends that the customers' telephone numbers should not be changed.

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: No. Staff recommends that this docket should remain open until a final order has been issued in this docket.

DECISION: The recommendations were approved.

Commissioners participating: Jacobs, Deason, Baez

Minutes of
Commission Conference
February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.

CASE

37**

DOCKET NO. 000084-TP - Petition by BellSouth
Telecommunications, Inc. for arbitration of an
interconnection agreement with US LEC of Florida, Inc.
pursuant to the Telecommunications Act of 1996.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: JB BZ
Prehrg Officer JB

Staff: CMP: Hinton
LEG: Elliott

Issue 1: Should this docket be closed?
Recommendation: Yes.

DECISION: The recommendation was approved.

Commissioners participating: Jacobs, Baez

Table of Contents
 Commission Conference Agenda
 February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.	CASE	PAGE
1**	Consent Agenda	2
2**	DOCKET NO. 010105-GU - Proposed amendment to Rule 25-7.063, F.A.C., Meter Accuracy at Installation.	11
3**	DOCKET NO. 010104-GS - Proposed amendment to Rule 25-12.005, F.A.C., Codes and Standards Adopted.	12
4**	DOCKET NO. 000035-TI - Initiation of show cause proceedings against American Network Exchange, Inc. d/b/a AMNEX for apparent violation of Rule 25-4.043, F.A.C., Response to Commission Staff Inquiries; and fine assessment for violation of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies. (Deferred from 6/6/00 Commission Conference and revised recommendation filed.)	13
5**	DOCKET NO. 001418-TX - Initiation of show cause proceedings against First Touch, Inc. for apparent violation of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies.	14
6**	DOCKET NO. 001224-TI - Initiation of show cause proceedings against BN1 Telecommunications, Inc. for apparent violation of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies.	15

Table of Contents
 Commission Conference Agenda
 February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.	CASE	PAGE
7**	DOCKET NO. 001808-TI - Investigation and determination of appropriate method for refunding overcharges and interest on intrastate O+ calls made from pay telephones and in a call aggregator context by CenturyTel Telecommunications, Inc.	17
8**PAA	DOCKET NO. 001265-TI - Cancellation by Florida Public Service Commission of IXC Certificate No. 4802 issued to S.J. Investments, Inc. d/b/a Access Long Distance of Florida, Inc. for violation of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies.	19
9**	DOCKET NO. 001280-TI - Cancellation by Florida Public Service Commission of Interexchange Telecommunications Certificate No. 2670 issued to National Operator Services, Inc. for violation of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies.	20
10**PAA	DOCKET NO. 001412-TX - Cancellation by Florida Public Service Commission of Alternative Local Exchange Telecommunications Certificate No. 5260 issued to Florida City-Link Communications, Inc. for violation of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies.	21

Table of Contents
 Commission Conference Agenda
 February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.	CASE	PAGE
11**PAA	DOCKET NO. 001413-TX - Cancellation by Florida Public Service Commission of Alternative Local Exchange Telecommunications Certificate No. 5269 issued to Pinnacle Telcom, Inc. for violation of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies.	22
12**PAA	Cancellation by Florida Public Service Commission of alternative local exchange telecommunications certificates for violation of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies.	23
13**PAA	Cancellation by Florida Public Service Commission of pay telephone certificates for violation of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies. DOCKET NO. 001653-TC - U.S. Paytel, Inc. DOCKET NO. 001656-TC - Albert T. Stoll	25
14**PAA	Cancellation by Florida Public Service Commission of alternative local exchange telecommunications certificates for violation of Rules 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies, and 25-24.835, F.A.C., Rules Incorporated. DOCKET NO. 001461-TX - National	

Table of Contents
 Commission Conference Agenda
 February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.	CASE	PAGE
	Phone Corporation DOCKET NO. 001473-TX - TranStar Communications USA, L.C. DOCKET NO. 001477-TX - YourTel Telecom Corporation DOCKET NO. 001491-TX - Spartan Communications Corporation of North Carolina	27
15**	DOCKET NO. 010103 - Petition to increase transportation cost recovery factor by the Florida Division of Chesapeake Utilities Corporation.	29
16**PAA	DOCKET NO. 010099-GU - Petition for approval of Special Gas Transportation Service agreement with Montenay Power Corp. by City Gas Company of Florida.	30
17**	DOCKET NO. 001442-TP - Request by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. for approval of collocation amendment to existing interconnection, unbundling, and resale agreement with DIECA Communications, Inc. d/b/a Covad Communications Company.	31
18**	DOCKET NO. 001701-TP - Request by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. for approval of interconnection, unbundling, resale, and collocation agreement with KMC Telecom Inc., KMC Telecom II, Inc., KMC Telecom III, Inc., and KMC Telecom V, Inc.	32
19**	DOCKET NO. 001588-TP - Request by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. for approval of amendment to	

Table of Contents
 Commission Conference Agenda
 February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.	CASE	PAGE
	interconnection, unbundling, and resale agreement with Daytona Telephone Company.	33
20**	DOCKET NO. 001578-TP - Request by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. for approval of amendment to existing interconnection, unbundling, resale, and collocation agreement with BlueStar Networks, Inc.	34
21**	DOCKET NO. 001718-TP - Petition by Verizon Florida Inc. (f/k/a GTE Florida Incorporated) and SBC National, Inc. d/b/a SBC Telecom, Inc. for acknowledgement of adoption of collocation and DS3 terms of interconnection agreement between SBC Telecom, Inc. and Verizon Northwest Incorporated (f/k/a GTE Northwest).	35
22**PAA	DOCKET NO. 010112-EI - Request for extension of time to file 2001 forecasted earnings surveillance report by Florida Power Corporation.	36
23**	DOCKET NO. 001543-EU - Petition for variance from or waiver of Rule 25-6.049(5)(a), F.A.C., by Sundestin International Homeowners Association, Inc. DOCKET NO. 001544-EU - Petition for variance from or waiver of Rule 25-6.049(5)(a), F.A.C., by Dunes of Panama Owners Association, Inc.	37
24**	DOCKET NO. 010123-TX - Initiation of show cause proceedings against	

Table of Contents
 Commission Conference Agenda
 February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.	CASE	PAGE
	Alternative Telecommunication Services, Inc. d/b/a Second Chance Phone for apparent violation of Section 364.183(1), F.S., Access to Company Records.	39
25**	DOCKET NO. 010124-TX - Initiation of show cause proceedings against TotalTel USA Communications, Inc. for apparent violation of Section 364.183(1), F.S., Access to Company Records.	41
26**	DOCKET NO. 010125-TX - Initiation of show cause proceedings against Atlantic.Net Broadband, Inc. for apparent violation of Section 364.183(1), F.S., Access to Company Records.	43
27**	Initiation of show cause proceedings for apparent violation of Section 364.183(1), F.S., Access to Company Records. DOCKET NO. 010126-TX - Wireless One Network, L.P. d/b/a Cellular One of Southwest Florida DOCKET NO. 010127-TX - CFT INC. DOCKET NO. 010128-TX - City of Ocala DOCKET NO. 010129-TX - Broward Business Service, Inc. d/b/a Festival Telephone Services, Inc. and d/b/a Communication Service Centers DOCKET NO. 010130-TX - Hayes Telecommunications Services, Inc. DOCKET NO. 010131-TX - Golden Harbor of Florida, Inc. d/b/a Hometown Telephone of Florida, Inc. DOCKET NO. 010132-TX - International Telcom, Ltd.	

Table of Contents
 Commission Conference Agenda
 February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.	CASE	PAGE
	DOCKET NO. 010133-TX - CRG International, Inc. d/b/a Network One	45
28**	Initiation of show cause proceedings for apparent violation of Section 364.183(1), F.S., Access to Company Records.	
	DOCKET NO. 010135-TX - Quintelco, Inc. DOCKET NO. 010136-TX - Teleglobe Business Solutions Inc. DOCKET NO. 010137-TX - World Access Communications Corp. DOCKET NO. 010138-TX - World Telecommunications Services, Inc.	47
29**	DOCKET NO. 000678-EI - Complaint against Florida Power & Light Company regarding placement of power pole and lines by Pablo Acosta.	49
30**PAA	DOCKET NO. 001753-TL - Complaint of Ron Johnson on behalf of International Media Solution against Sprint-Florida, Incorporated for alleged improper billing.	50
31**	DOCKET NO. 010089-TP - Complaint of Charlene Hoag against Verizon Florida Inc. and Sprint Communications Company, Limited Partnership d/b/a Sprint for alleged improper billing.	51
32**	DOCKET NO. 991486-WU - Investigation into retention of certificated area of Ellis & Company, Ltd. (Holiday Mall) by Floralino Properties, Inc.	52

Table of Contents
 Commission Conference Agenda
 February 20, 2001

ITEM NO.	CASE	PAGE
33**	DOCKET NO. 000504-TP - Joint application for approval of agreement and plan of merger whereby STAR Telecommunications, Inc. (holder of IXC Certificate No. 5255) and its subsidiaries AS Telecommunications, Inc. (holder of IXC Certificate No. 3510), PT-1 Communications (holder of IXC Certificate No. 4432), PT-1 Long Distance, Inc. (holder of IXC Certificate No. 7110), CEO Telecommunications, Inc. (holder of IXC Certificate No. 4073), will merge with and into STI Merger Co., a wholly-owned subsidiary of World Access, Inc.	53
34**	DOCKET NO. 010001-EI - Fuel and purchased power cost recovery clause and generating performance incentive factor.	54
35**	DOCKET NO. 990538-EI - Establishment of electric requirements for small photovoltaic systems (10 kW or less) requesting interconnection and parallel operation with an investor-owned utility.	55
36	DOCKET NO. 990517-TL - Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 904 area code.	56
37**	DOCKET NO. 000084-TP - Petition by BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. for arbitration of an interconnection agreement with US LEC of Florida, Inc. pursuant to	

Table of Contents
Commission Conference Agenda
February 20, 2001

<u>ITEM NO.</u>	<u>CASE</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
	the Telecommunications Act of 1996.	57