MINUTES OF

COMMISSION CONFERENCE, TUESDAY, SEPETMBER 26, 2000

COMMENCED: 9:30 a.m. **ADJOURNED:** 12:30 p.m.

COMMISSIONERS PRESENT: Chairman Deason

Commissioner Jacobs Commissioner Jaber Commissioner Baez

Parties were allowed to address the Commission on items designated by double asterisks (**).

1 Approval of Minutes

August 15, 2000 Commission Conference

DECISION: The minutes were approved.

Commissioners participating: Deason, Jacobs, Jaber

2** Consent Agenda

PAA A)

Applications for certificates to provide pay telephone service.

	DOCKET NO.	COMPANY NAME		
	001218-TC	SOBE Communications Corp.		
	001248-TC	Juan Hermoza		
	001276-TC	Pomona Enterprises, Inc. d/b/a ROTEL Communications		
	001232-TC	Robert E Jennings and Jeff S Jennings d/b/a R & J Communications		
PAA	В)	Applications for certificates to provide alternative local exchange telecommunications service.		
	DOCKET NO.	COMPANY NAME		
	000788-TX	Verizon Advanced Data Inc.		

000788-TX Verizon Advanced Data Inc.

ITEM NO.	CASE				
2**	Consent Agenda				
	(Continued from previous page)				
	DOCKET NO.	COMPANY NAME			
	000573-TX	GTC Telecom, Inc. d/b/a Curbside Communications			
	001142-TX	Telera Communications, Inc.			
	000734-TX	Everest Connections Corporation			
	000821-TX	Vitts Networks, Inc.			
	000792-TX	ServiSense.com, Inc.			
	000832-TX	Seven Bridges Communications, L.L.C.			
	001117-TX	Suntel Metro, Inc.			
	000695-TX	Looking Glass Networks, Inc.			
	000811-TX	IPVoice Communications, Inc.			
	000862-TX	Frontier Communications of America, Inc.			
	001007-TX	Enron Broadband Services, Inc.			
PAA	C)	Applications for certificates to provide interexchange telecommunications service.			
	DOCKET NO.	COMPANY NAME			
	000794-TI	CoreComm Florida, Inc.			
	001143-TI	Telera Communications, Inc.			
	000822-TI	Vitts Networks, Inc.			
	000812-TI	IPVoice Communications, Inc.			
	000840-TI	Broadband Communications Corporation			

d/b/a BCI d/b/a BCC

ITEM NO.	CASE				
2**	Consent Agenda (Continued from previous page)				
	DOCKET NO.	COMPANY NAME			
	000850-TI	Enhanced Global Convergence Services, Inc. d/b/a eGCS			
	000863-TI	Frontier Communications of America, Inc.			
	000741-TI	Birch Telecom of the South, Inc.			
PAA	D)	DOCKET NO. 001206-TX - Request for cancellation of Alternative Local Exchange Telecommunications Certificate No. 6060 by Megsinet-CLEC, Inc., effective 8/22/00.			
PAA	E)	Requests for cancellation of interexchange telecommunications certificate.			
	DOCKET				
	NO.	COMPANY NAME			
	001272-TI	Northwest Nevada Telco, Inc.			
	001286-TI	Dearborn Street Station, Inc. d/b/a DSS Communications			
	001205-TI	Megsinet-CLEC, Inc.			
PAA	F)	DOCKET NO. 001191-TI - Request for approval of asset purchase agreement whereby Arel Acquisition, Inc. will purchase substantially all assets of W2Com, L.L.C., which assets include 100% of the membership interest in W2COM International,			

_	CASE				
2**	Consent Agenda				
ITEM NO. 2**	(Continued from previous page)				
		LLC (holder of IXC Certificate No. 7373).			
	G)	DOCKET NO. 000983-TP - Petition by Sprint-Florida, Incorporated for approval of interconnection agreement with Sprint Spectrum, L.P. and SprintCom, Inc. (Critical Date: 10/26/00)			
	Н)	Requests for approval of resale agreements.			
	DOCKET NO. 000857-TP -Verizon Florida Inc. (f/k/a GTE Florida Incorporated) with Southern ReConnect, Inc. (Critical Date: 10/12/00) DOCKET NO. 000993-TP -BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. with NorCom, Inc. (Critical Date: 10/29/00)				
	I)	DOCKET NO. 000847-TP - Petition by Sprint-Florida, Incorporated for approval of interconnection and resale agreement with JATO Operating Two Corp. (Critical Date: 10/10/00)			
	J)	DOCKET NO. 000984-TP - Petition by Sprint-Florida, Incorporated for approval of interconnection, unbundling, and resale agreement with Ernest Communications, Inc. (Critical Date: 10/26/00)			
	К)	Requests for approval of paging agreements.			
		TP -BellSouth Telecommunications, epers Electronics, Inc.			

(Critical Date: 10/18/00)

ITEM NO. CASE

2** Consent Agenda

M)

(Continued from previous page)

DOCKET NO. 000905-TP -BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. with Priority Paging, Inc.

(Critical Date: 10/19/00)

DOCKET NO. 000947-TP - Petition by Verizon Florida Inc. (f/k/a GTE Florida Incorporated) for approval of amendment to existing interconnection agreement with BellSouth Cellular Corp.

(Critical Date: 10/23/00)

Requests for approval of amendments to resale agreements.

Docket NO. 000860-TP -BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. with Budget Phone, Inc.

(Critical Date: 10/12/00)

DOCKET NO. 000861-TP -BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. with 1-800-RECONEX, Inc.

(Critical Date: 10/12/00)

DOCKET NO. 000870-TP -BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. with CAT Communications International, Inc.

(Critical Date: 10/15/00)

DOCKET NO. 000871-TP -BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. with DPI Teleconnect, LLC.

(Critical Date: 10/15/00)

DOCKET NO. 000874-TP -BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. with EZ Talk Communications, LLC. (Critical Date: 10/15/00)

N)

Requests for approval of amendments to interconnection unbundling, and resale agreements.

ITEM NO. CASE

2** Consent Agenda

(Continued from previous page)

DOCKET NO. 000858-TP -BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. with 2nd Century Communications, Inc.

(Critical Date: 10/12/00)

DOCKET NO. 000859-TP -BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. with Kexa Corp d/b/a Capital Exploration.

(Critical Date: 10/12/00)

DOCKET NO. 000872-TP -BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. with Actel Integrated Communications, Inc.

(Critical Date: 10/15/00)

DOCKET NO. 000873-TP -BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. with Level 3 Communications, LLC.

(Critical Date: 10/15/00)

DOCKET NO. 000875-TP -BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. with Interloop, Inc.

(Critical Date: 10/15/00)

DOCKET NO. 000880-TP -BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. with Allegiance Telecom of Florida, Inc.

(Critical Date: 10/16/00)

O) Requests for approval of amendments to interconnection, unbundling, resale, and

collocation agreements.

DOCKET NO. 000876-TP -BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. with Pathnet, Inc. d/b/a Pathnet Communications, Inc.

(Critical Date: 10/15/00)

DOCKET NO. 000877-TP -BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. with NorthPoint Communications, Inc.

(Critical Date: 10/15/00)

DOCKET NO. 001274-TP -AT&T Communications of the Southern States d/b/a AT&T with GTE Florida Incorporated (n/k/a Verizon Florida, Inc.)

(Critical Date: 11/28/00)

ITEM NO. CASE

2** Consent Agenda

Q)

(Continued from previous page)

P) DOCKET NO

DOCKET NO. 001060-EI - Tampa Electric Company's application for authority to issue and sell securities for the twelve-month period beginning January 1, 2001 and ending December 31, 2001. The Company seeks approval pursuant to Chapter 25-8, Florida Administrative Code, and Section 366.04, Florida Statutes, for authority to issue and sell long-term debt and equity securities, as well as short-term debt. In addition, the Company also seeks authority to enter into interest rate swaps or other derivative instruments on debt securities and notes. The amount of all long-term debt and equity securities issued will not exceed \$900 million. Company also proposes to issue short-term debt to be sold in the commercial market, the total amount of commercial paper not to exceed \$400 million.

Application for certificate to provide alternative access vendor services.

DOCKET COMPANY NAME

NO.

001408-TA Enron Broadband Services, Inc.

<u>Issue</u>: The Commission should approve the action requested in the dockets referenced above and close these dockets,

ITEM NO. CASE

2** Consent Agenda

(Continued from previous page)

with the exception of Docket No. 001060-EI, which must

remain open for monitoring purposes.

DECISION: The recommendation was approved.

CASE ITEM NO.

3 * *

DOCKET NO. 981104-EU - Proposed amendment of Rule 25-6.049, F.A.C., Measuring Customer Service.

Critical Date(s): None

Rule Status: Proposed

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission Prehrg Officer JC

Staff: APP: Bellak ECR: Wheeler

<u>Issue 1</u>: Should the amendment to Rule 25-6.049, F.A.C.,

be withdrawn?

Recommendation: Yes. The amendment to Rule 25-6.049,

F.A.C., should be withdrawn.

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. This docket should be closed.

DECISION: The recommendations were approved.

ITEM NO. CASE

4

DOCKET NO. 000643-EU - Petition for declaratory statement regarding applicability of individual meter rule exemption in Rule 25-6.049(5)(a)3, F.A.C., to Valencia Area Condominium Association, Inc. (Deferred from 9/5/00 Commission Conference.)

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrq Officer ADM

Staff: APP: Bellak ECR: Wheeler

(PARTIES MAY PARTICIPATE AT THE COMMISSION'S DISCRETION.)

<u>Issue 1</u>: Should the Commission grant Florida Power & Light's petition to intervene?

<u>Recommendation</u>: Yes. FPL's petition to intervene should be granted.

<u>Issue 2</u>: Should the Commission conduct an informal hearing regarding Valencia's request for declaratory statement?

<u>Recommendation</u>: No. The request for an informal hearing should be denied.

<u>Issue 3</u>: Should the Commission grant Valencia Area Condominium Association's petition for declaratory statement?

Recommendation: No.

Issue 4: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes, unless post-decision motions are

filed.

DECISION: This item was withdrawn.

ITEM NO. CASE

5

DOCKET NO. 000798-TP - Petition for declaratory statement interpreting provisions of Sections 364.052(2) and 364.337(1), F.S. by Quincy Telephone Company d/b/a TDS Telecom/Quincy Telephone.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrg Officer ADM

Staff: APP: Bellak CMP: Dowds

(PARTIES MAY PARTICIPATE AT THE COMMISSION'S DISCRETION.)

<u>Issue 1</u>: Should the Commission issue a declaratory statement to explain how the referenced statutes apply to TDS?

<u>Recommendation</u>: Yes. The Commission should issue a Declaratory Statement as requested by TDS.

<u>Issue 2</u>: Under the facts as alleged, will TDS be rate base, rate of return regulated or subject to price regulation as of January 1, 2001 and thereafter (absent an ALEC providing basic telecommunications service in TDS's territory)?

<u>Recommendation</u>: TDS will be rate base, rate of return regulated, under those facts.

<u>Issue 3</u>: Would the ALEC's provision of basic telecommunications service on either a stand-alone or bundled basis trigger TDS's being subject to price regulation? When would the triggering event occur?

<u>Recommendation</u>: Only the provision of stand-alone basic telecommunications service by an ALEC would trigger TDS's being subject to price regulation.

<u>Issue 4</u>: Could TDS, prior to January 1, 2001, voluntarily permit an ALEC to resell basic service, if TDS has not chosen to be price regulated?

<u>Recommendation</u>: No. TDS cannot decline price regulation during the pre-January 1, 2001 regime and permit an ALEC to resell basic service.

<u>Issue 5</u>: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. This docket should be closed.

ITEM NO. CASE

5

DOCKET NO. 000798-TP - Petition for declaratory statement interpreting provisions of Sections 364.052(2) and 364.337(1), F.S. by Quincy Telephone Company d/b/a TDS Telecom/Quincy Telephone.

(Continued from previous page)

DECISION: The recommendations were approved.

ITEM NO. CASE

6**

DOCKET NO. 001059-GU - Petition by Tampa Electric Company d/b/a Peoples Gas System for approval of revisions to natural gas tariff, to extend applicability of Trip Charge/Collection at Customer Premises to West Florida Region.

Critical Date(s): 10/3/00 (60-Day suspension date)

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrq Officer JB

Staff: CMP: Brown, Bulecza-Banks

LEG: K. Walker

<u>Issue 1</u>: Should the Commission approve Peoples Gas System's (Peoples) petition for approval of revisions to its Natural Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 3, which would extend the applicability of the Company's Trip Charge/Collection at Customer Premises to the Company's West Florida Region?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should approve Peoples' petition for approval of revisions to its Natural Gas Tariff, which would extend the applicability of its Trip Charge/Collection at Customer Premises to its West Florida Region.

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes, if no protest is filed within 21 days of the issuance of the Order. If a protest is filed with in 21 days from the issuance of the Order, the tariff should remain in effect with any increase held subject to refund, pending resolution of the protest.

DECISION: The recommendations were approved.

ITEM NO. CASE

7**

DOCKET NO. 000922-GU - Joint petition for approval of gas transportation agreement, request for authority to accrue allowance for funds used during construction (AFUDC), and request for expedited treatment, by Florida Public Utilities Company and Lake Worth Generation, LLC.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrq Officer ADM

Staff: CMP: McKee, Makin, Bulecza-Banks

ECR: C. Romig, L. Romig

LEG: Hart

<u>Issue 1</u>: Should the Commission approve the Gas Transportation Agreement between Florida Public Utilities Company (FPUC or Company) and Lake Worth Generation, LLC (LWG)?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should approve the Gas Transportation Agreement between FPUC and LWG, effective the date of the Commission vote.

Issue 2: Should the Company's request to accrue AFUDC on the FPUC/LWG project be approved?

Recommendation: No. The interest the Company is authorized to capitalize should be limited to the interest calculated using the method prescribed by Section 263(A), Internal Revenue Code (IRC), as agreed to by the Company.

<u>Issue 3</u>: Should the Commission authorize FPUC to charge an overall rate of return of 11.17% on its net investment in dedicated facilities serving LWG?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should approve the Gas Transportation Agreement providing the negotiated return by FPUC and LWG. Approval of the Agreement will not change the authorized return on equity for FPUC as a whole.

<u>Issue 4</u>: Should this docket be closed?

<u>Recommendation</u>: Yes. If no protest is filed by a person whose substantial interests are affected within 21 days of the issuance of the Order, this docket should be closed upon the issuance of a Consummating Order.

ITEM NO. CASE

<u>DECISION</u>: The recommendations were approved with clarification by staff at the conference that the item is proposed agency action.

Commissioners participating: Deason, Jacobs, Jaber, Baez

8**

DOCKET NO. 000258-TL - Investigation into telephone
exchange boundary issues in St. Augustine and Palm Coast
exchanges (Daytona Beach and Jacksonville LATA
boundaries).

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission

Prehrq Officer - Pending

Staff: CMP: Ileri

LEG: B. Keating

<u>Issue 1</u>: Based on the results of the subscriber survey, should the customers in the Flagler County pocket of the St. Augustine exchange be transferred to the Palm Coast exchange?

Recommendation: No. Based on the results of the subscriber survey, staff recommends that the customers should not be transferred. The survey results, which are summarized in Table A of staff's September 14, 2000 memorandum, indicate that, although a sufficient number of ballots were returned to satisfy the criteria specified in Order No. PSC-00-0825-PAA-TL, the majority chose not to be transferred.

<u>Issue 2</u>: Should this docket be closed? <u>Recommendation</u>: Yes. If the Commission approves staff's recommendation in Issue 1, this docket should be closed.

DECISION: The recommendations were approved.

ITEM NO. CASE

9**

DOCKET NO. 001019-TC - Cancellation by Florida Public Service Commission of PATs Certificate No. 5377 issued to Kellee Communications Group, Inc. for violation of Rule No. 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrq Officer ADM

Staff: CMP: Isler LEG: Elliott

<u>Issue 1</u>: Should the Commission accept the settlement offer proposed by Kellee Communications Group, Inc. to resolve the apparent violation of Rule 25-4.0161, Florida Administrative Code, Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should accept the company's settlement proposal. Any contribution should be received by the Commission within ten business days from the date of the Commission Order and should identify the docket number and company name. The Commission should forward the contribution to the Office of the Comptroller for deposit in the State General Revenue Fund pursuant to Section 364.285(1), Florida Statutes. If the company fails to pay in accordance with the terms of the Commission Order, the company's Certificate No. 5377 should be canceled administratively.

<u>Issue 2</u>: Should this docket be closed?

<u>Recommendation</u>: Yes. If the Commission approves staff's recommendation in Issue 1, this docket should be closed upon receipt of the \$100 contribution or cancellation of the certificate.

<u>DECISION</u>: The recommendations were approved.

ITEM NO. CASE

10**PAA

DOCKET NO. 001005-TC - Cancellation by Florida Public Service Commission of Pay Telephone Certificate No. 5069 issued to Gang of Five, Inc. d/b/a Club Five for violation of Rule No. 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrq Officer ADM

Staff: CMP: Isler LEG: Elliott

<u>Issue 1</u>: Should the Commission grant Gang of Five, Inc. d/b/a Club Five a voluntary cancellation of PATS Certificate No. 5069?

Recommendation: No. The Commission should not grant the company a voluntary cancellation of its pay telephone certificate. The Commission should cancel the company's Certificate No. 5069 on its own motion, effective on the date of issuance of the Consummating Order. In the event the company fails to pay the past due fees, the collection of the fees should be referred to the Comptroller's Office for further collection efforts.

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. This docket should be closed upon issuance of a Consummating Order unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the Commission's decision files a protest within 21 days of issuance of the proposed agency action order.

DECISION: The recommendations were approved.

ITEM NO. CASE

11**PAA

Cancellation by Florida Public Service Commission of pay telephone certificates for violation of Rules 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies, and 25-24.520, F.A.C., Reporting Requirements.

DOCKET NO. 000964-TC - Stephen Dennis Atkin DOCKET NO. 001022-TC - Raymond Rodriguez

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission Prehrg Officer ADM

Staff: CMP: Isler LEG: Elliott

<u>Issue 1</u>: Should the Commission impose a \$500 fine or cancel each company's respective certificate listed on page 6 of staff's September 14, 2000 memorandum for apparent violation of Rule 25-4.0161, Florida Administrative Code, Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should impose a \$500 fine or cancel each company's respective certificate as listed on page 6 if the fine and the regulatory assessment fees, including statutory penalty and interest charges, are not received by the Commission within five business days after the issuance of the Consummating Order. The fine should be paid to the Florida Public Service Commission and forwarded to the Office of the Comptroller for deposit in the State General Revenue Fund pursuant to Section 364.285(1), Florida Statutes. Commission's Order is not protested and the fine and regulatory assessment fees, including statutory penalty and interest charges, are not received, the pay telephone certificates listed on page 6 should be canceled administratively and the collection of the past due fees should be referred to the Office of the Comptroller for further collection efforts.

ITEM NO. CASE

11**PAA

Cancellation by Florida Public Service Commission of pay telephone certificates for violation of Rules 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies, and 25-24.520, F.A.C., Reporting Requirements.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 2: Should the Commission impose a \$500 fine or cancel each company's respective certificate listed on page 6 for apparent violation of Rule 25-24.520, Florida Administrative Code, Reporting Requirements? Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should impose a \$500 fine or cancel each company's respective certificate as listed on page 6 if the information required by Rule 25-24.520, F.A.C., Reporting Requirements, and the fine are not received by the Commission within five business days after the issuance of the Consummating Order. fine should be paid to the Florida Public Service Commission and forwarded to the Office of the Comptroller for deposit in the State General Revenue Fund pursuant to Section 364.285(1), Florida Statutes. Commission's Order is not protested and the fine and required information are not received, the pay telephone certificates listed on page 6 should be canceled administratively.

Issue 3: Should these dockets be closed?

Recommendation: Yes. These dockets should be closed upon receipt of the fines, fees, and required information or cancellation of the certificate, unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the Commission's decision files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the proposed agency action order. A protest in one docket should not prevent the action in a separate docket from becoming final.

<u>DECISION</u>: The recommendations were approved.

ITEM NO. CASE

12**PAA

DOCKET NO. 000968-TC - Cancellation by Florida Public Service Commission of Pay Telephone Certificate No. 4368 issued to James M. Bracewell d/b/a Southern Tele-Communications for violation of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission Prehrg Officer ADM

Staff: CMP: Isler LEG: Banks

<u>Issue 1</u>: Should James M. Bracewell d/b/a Southern Tele-Communications' Pay Telephone Certificate No. 4368 be cancelled for apparent violation of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies?

Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should cancel James M. Bracewell d/b/a Southern Tele-Communications' Pay Telephone Certificate No. 4368 for apparent violation of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C. If the past due fee, including statutory penalty and interest charges, is not received within five business days after the issuance of the Consummating Order, the amount shall be turned over to the Office of the Comptroller for collection. If the Commission's Order is not protested, the company's Certificate No. 4368 should be cancelled administratively.

<u>Issue 2</u>: Should this docket be closed?

<u>Recommendation</u>: Yes. This docket should be closed upon cancellation of the certificate, unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the Commission's decision files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the proposed agency action order.

DECISION: The recommendations were approved.

ITEM NO. CASE

13**

DOCKET NO. 000912-TC - Cancellation by Florida Public Service Commission of Pay Telephone Certificate No. 3243 issued to R.J. Tewson d/b/a Suncoast Communications for violation of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission Prehrg Officer ADM

Staff: CMP: Isler LEG: Banks

Should the Commission accept the settlement offer proposed by R.J. Tewson d/b/a Suncoast Communications to resolve the apparent violation of Rule 25-4.0161, Florida Administrative Code, Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies? Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should accept the company's settlement proposal. Any contribution should be received by the Commission within ten business days from the date of the Commission Order and should identify the docket number and company name. The Commission should forward the contribution to the Office of the Comptroller for deposit in the State General Revenue Fund pursuant to Section 364.285(1), Florida Statutes. company fails to pay in accordance with the terms of the Commission Order, the company's Certificate No. 3243 should be canceled administratively.

Issue 2: Should this docket be closed?
Recommendation: Yes. If the Commission approves staff's recommendation in Issue 1, this docket should be closed upon receipt of the \$175 contribution or cancellation of the certificate.

DECISION: The recommendations were approved.

ITEM NO. CASE

14**PAA

DOCKET NO. 000979-TC - Cancellation by Florida Public Service Commission of Pay Telephone Certificate No. 4972 issued to Starbrite Payphones for violation of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies.

Critical Date(s): None

Commissioners Assigned: Full Commission
Prehrq Officer ADM

Staff: CMP: Isler LEG: Banks

Should the Commission impose a \$1,000 fine or cancel Starbrite Payphones' PATS certificate for apparent violation of Rule 25-4.0161, Florida Administrative Code, Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies? Recommendation: Yes. The Commission should impose a \$1,000 fine or cancel the company's certificate if the fine and the regulatory assessment fees, including statutory penalty and interest charges, are not received by the Commission within five business days after the issuance of the Consummating Order. The fine should be paid to the Florida Public Service Commission and forwarded to the Office of the Comptroller for deposit in the State General Revenue Fund pursuant to Section 364.285(1), Florida Statutes. If the Commission's Order is not protested and the fine and regulatory assessment fees, including statutory penalty and interest charges, are not received, the company's Pay Telephone Service Certificate No. 4972 should be canceled administratively. Issue 2: Should this docket be closed? Recommendation: Yes. This docket should be closed upon receipt of the fine and fees or cancellation of the certificate, unless a person whose substantial interests are affected by the Commission's decision files a protest within 21 days of the issuance of the proposed agency action order.

DECISION: The recommendations were approved.

ITEM NO. CASE

14**PAA

DOCKET NO. 000979-TC - Cancellation by Florida Public Service Commission of Pay Telephone Certificate No. 4972 issued to Starbrite Payphones for violation of Rule 25-4.0161, F.A.C., Regulatory Assessment Fees; Telecommunications Companies.

(Continued from previous page)