

MINUTES OF

SPECIAL COMMISSION CONFERENCE, FRIDAY, SEPTEMBER 29, 2000

COMMENCED: 9:30 a.m.

ADJOURNED: 12:30 p.m.

COMMISSIONERS PRESENT: Chairman Deason
Commissioner Jacobs

- 1 DOCKET NO. 990455-TL - Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 305/786 area code - Dade County and Monroe County/Keys Region.
DOCKET NO. 990456-TL - Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 561 area code.
DOCKET NO. 990457-TL - Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 954 area code.
DOCKET NO. 990517-TL - Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 904 area code.

Critical Date(s): 10/1/01 (exhaust date for 305 area code)
10/1/04 (exhaust date for 305/786 area codes)
10/1/02 (exhaust date for 561 area code)
01/1/02 (exhaust date for 904 area code)
10/1/02 (exhaust date for 954 area code)

Hearing Date(s): Available upon request

Commissioners Assigned: DS JC
Prehrg Officer - Pending

Staff: CMP: Ileri, Audu, Barrett
LEG: B. Keating, Vaccaro, Fordham

Issue 1: (a) Should the Commission approve the industry's consensus relief plans, and (b) If the Commission does not approve the industry's consensus relief plan, what alternative plans should be approved for the following area codes:

- A) 305/786
- B) 561
- C) 954
- D) 904

Primary Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Commission approve the industry's consensus relief plan for the 954 area code, and reject the industry's

Minutes of
Special Commission Conference
September 29, 2000

<u>ITEM NO.</u>	<u>CASE</u>
1	DOCKET NO. 990455-TL - Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 305/786 area code - Dade County and Monroe County/Keys Region. DOCKET NO. 990456-TL - Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 561 area code. DOCKET NO. 990457-TL - Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 954 area code. DOCKET NO. 990517-TL - Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 904 area code.

(Continued from previous page)

consensus relief plans for the 305/786, 561, and 904 area codes. Staff recommends that the Commission approve Alternative #11 for the 561 area code, Alternative #12 for the 305/786 area codes, and the modified version of Alternative #6 for the 904 area code.

DECISION: The Commissioners approved the recommendation as modified:

- B) 561: The Commissioners approved Alternative #4, Geographic Split.
- A) 305-786: As a proposed agency action, the Commissioners approved rate center consolidation and code sharing with the understanding that the Keys and Miami are to be treated as separate issues. Alternative #1, Expanded Overlay, was approved as back up.
- C) 954: The Commissioners approved Alternative #1, Distributed Overlay.

Alternative Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Commission approve the modified version of Alternative #6 for the 904 area code, with the caveat that the Sanford exception area be excluded from the proposed 386 area code (Region B.) There are no alternative recommendations regarding the 305/786, 561, or 954 area codes.

DECISION: The recommendation was approved with the modification that the Osteen customers are to be balloted regarding number changes.

ITEM NO.

CASE

- 1 DOCKET NO. 990455-TL - Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 305/786 area code - Dade County and Monroe County/Keys Region.
DOCKET NO. 990456-TL - Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 561 area code.
DOCKET NO. 990457-TL - Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 954 area code.
DOCKET NO. 990517-TL - Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 904 area code.

(Continued from previous page)

Issue 2: (a) What number conservation measure(s), if any, should be implemented, and (b) If conservation measures are to be implemented, when should they be implemented for the following area codes:

- A) 305/786
- B) 561
- C) 954
- D) 904

Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Commission adopt and order various number conservation measures as follows. First, staff recommends that the Commission implement thousand-block number pooling in the Daytona Beach MSA in the 904 area code and Fort Pierce-Port St. Lucie MSAs in the 561 area code with the time lines presented in the analysis portion of staff's September 15, 2000 memorandum. Second, staff recommends that the Commission order 75 percent utilization thresholds at the NXX level for all non-pooling carriers in the 305, 561, 786, 904, and 954 area codes as presented in the staff analysis. Third, in non-jeopardy and jeopardy situations, staff recommends that the Commission adopt the aging periods as presented in the staff analysis. Fourth, staff recommends that the Commission limit the ability of code holders to assign administrative numbers to multiple 1,000 blocks, as described in the staff analysis. Lastly, staff recommends that the Commission limit the allocation of NXX codes through rationing to three NXXs per month in the 561, 904, and 954 area codes beginning on March 1, 2001, April 1, 2001, and February 1, 2001, respectively, according to the procedure described in the staff analysis.

Minutes of
Special Commission Conference
September 29, 2000

ITEM NO.

CASE

- 1 DOCKET NO. 990455-TL - Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 305/786 area code - Dade County and Monroe County/Keys Region.
 DOCKET NO. 990456-TL - Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 561 area code.
 DOCKET NO. 990457-TL - Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 954 area code.
 DOCKET NO. 990517-TL - Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 904 area code.

(Continued from previous page)

DECISION: The recommendation was approved.

Issue 3: What should be the dialing pattern for local, toll, EAS, and ECS calls for the following area codes?

- A) 305/786
- B) 561
- C) 954
- D) 904

Recommendation: The dialing patterns for local, toll, EAS, and ECS calls for the 305/786, 561, 954, and 904 area codes should be as follows: Local, EAS, and ECS calls on routes closed to IXC competition should be on a 7-digit basis within a geographic area code, a 10-digit basis within an overlay area, and 10-digit basis between area codes and outside of an overlay area. Toll and ECS calling on routes open to IXC competition should be on a 1+10-digit basis. A summary is given in Table 3-1 on the following page:

ITEM NO.

CASE

- 1 DOCKET NO. 990455-TL - Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 305/786 area code - Dade County and Monroe County/Keys Region.
 DOCKET NO. 990456-TL - Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 561 area code.
 DOCKET NO. 990457-TL - Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 954 area code.
 DOCKET NO. 990517-TL - Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 904 area code.

(Continued from previous page)

<u>TYPE OF CALL</u>	<u>DIALING PATTERNS</u>		
	Within Geographic Area Code	Within Overlay	Between Area Codes, Outside Overlay
Local/EAS	7	10	10
ECS Routes Closed to IXC Competition	7	10	10
ECS Routes Open to IXC Competition	1 +10	1 +10	1 +10
Toll	1 +10	1 +10	1 +10

Table 3-1: Dialing patterns for area code relief

DECISION: The recommendation was approved.

Issue 4: What is the appropriate relief plan implementation schedule for the following area codes?

- A) 305/786
- B) 561
- C) 954

Minutes of
 Special Commission Conference
 September 29, 2000

ITEM NO.

CASE

- 1 DOCKET NO. 990455-TL - Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 305/786 area code - Dade County and Monroe County/Keys Region.
 DOCKET NO. 990456-TL - Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 561 area code.
 DOCKET NO. 990457-TL - Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 954 area code.
 DOCKET NO. 990517-TL - Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 904 area code.

(Continued from previous page)

D) 904

Recommendation: Upon approval of Issue 1, staff recommends that the Commission approve the implementation schedule shown in the following table for the 305/786 and 904 area codes. In addition, staff recommends that the Commission withhold the approval of implementation schedules for the 561 and 954 area codes, pending the outcome of number pooling trials. Staff also recommends that the Commission order that the affected LECs jointly file a notice: (1) to inform the Commission of the outcome of various number conservation measures, and (2) to recommend the permissive and mandatory dialing periods for the 561 and 954 NPAs. This notice should be submitted to the Commission no later than October 1, 2001. Staff will file a recommendation for final Commission approval of the implementation dates filed in the notice. The Commission should also order the affected LECs to send a letter to alarm monitoring companies advising them of the need to reprogram their equipment as necessary nine months before the mandatory dialing period. The letter should be submitted to Commission staff for review in an expeditious manner so as to ensure that the reprogramming activities can be completed within the respective permissive dialing period.

<u>AREA CODE</u>	<u>PERMISSIVE DIALING PERIOD BEGINS</u>	<u>MANDATORY DIALING PERIOD BEGINS</u>
305/786	November 6, 2000	August 6, 2001
561	June 4, 2001	June 3, 2002

Minutes of
Special Commission Conference
September 29, 2000

ITEM NO.

CASE

- 1 DOCKET NO. 990455-TL - Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 305/786 area code - Dade County and Monroe County/Keys Region.
DOCKET NO. 990456-TL - Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 561 area code.
DOCKET NO. 990457-TL - Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 954 area code.
DOCKET NO. 990517-TL - Request for review of proposed numbering plan relief for the 904 area code.

(Continued from previous page)

954	March 5, 2001	March 11, 2002
904	January 15, 2001	November 5, 2001

DECISION: The recommendation was approved with the following modifications:

- A) 305/786: Permissive and mandatory dialing periods left open.
B) 904: Permissive dialing period begins 2/15/01.

Issue 5: Should these dockets be closed?

Recommendation: No. Staff recommends that these dockets should remain open pending the implementation of the relief plans and additional number conservation measures in accordance with the time frames discussed in Issues 2 and 4.

DECISION: The recommendation was approved.

Commissioners participating: Deason, Jacobs