Please provide comments you have on legal aspects of the Clean Power Plan or proposed standards of performance for Modified and Reconstructed Sources that you believe are important for the Commission to review.

1.I don't think it's legal to have a different standard for each State.

2. Please provide comments you have on technical aspects of the Clean Power Plan or proposed standards of performance for Modified and Reconstructed Sources that you believe are important for the Commission to review.

3. Please provide input on the assumptions EPA employed in setting the Florida-specific interim and final emission targets in the Clean Power Plan. Why is Florida's goal 38% CO2 reduction when many States have 10+% less goal of reduction. Why do Floridian's have to pay more?

4. Should the effects of actions implemented after 2005, which resulted in a lower C0₂ footprint, be included in the EPA's Clean Power Plan, and if so, explain how and why? Yes 2005 should be the baseline not 2012 because the State and the utility industry doesn't get credit for their efforts in efficiency and conservation from 2005-2012.

5. Please discuss the achievability of meeting EPA's proposed Florida-specific interim and final emission targets in the Clean Power Plan. The proposed rule isn't achievable. the CO2/ megawatt hour is not attainable for natural gas much less coal. A 90% reduction in Florida's coal fleet will create drastic increases in electricity prices. Reliability will also be in jeopardy as we remove generation fuel sources.

Sincerely,

Robert Thomas Lake City, FL