

**Florida Public Service Commission Staff Workshop  
Evaluation of the Costs and Benefits of Utility-Sponsored  
Energy Efficiency and Demand-Side Management Programs**

**April 25, 2008**

**Agenda**

The purpose of the workshop is to discuss how the costs and benefits of utility-sponsored energy efficiency and demand-side programs should be evaluated. Following formal presentations, a roundtable discussion open to all interested parties will focus on the topics attached to the agenda.

9:30 – 10:00 Opening remarks by staff

Staff presentation -

The framework for evaluating energy efficiency costs and benefits, including the FPSC's current approach

10:00 – 12:00 Formal presentations -

Alternative methods for evaluating energy efficiency costs and benefits

Susan Glickman - The Climate Group

Holly Binns – Environment Florida

Leon Jacobs – Williams & Jacobs

John Wilson - Southern Alliance for Clean Energy

Chris James – Synapse Energy Economics, on behalf of Jerry Karnas,  
Environmental Defense

Gary Brinkworth - City of Tallahassee

David Barclay – Gainesville Regional Utilities

John McWhirter – on behalf of the Florida Industrial Power Users Group

Henry Lilly – CF Industries

12:00 – 1:00 Lunch

1:00 – 4:00 Roundtable discussion open to all interested parties on alternative methods for evaluating energy efficiency costs and benefits – see topics

4:00 – 4:30 Public comment

4:30 Next steps

Request for written comments and schedule for next energy efficiency workshop

5:00 Adjourn

**Florida Public Service Commission Staff Workshop  
Evaluation of the Costs and Benefits of Utility-Sponsored  
Energy Efficiency and Demand-Side Management Programs**

**April 25, 2008**

**Topics to be Addressed During Roundtable Discussion**

- (1) What is each cost-effectiveness test designed to achieve?
- (2) Are the tests capturing all the benefits and costs of energy efficiency and demand-side management?
- (3) How do the tests used affect the level of conservation goals?
- (4) Should the tests be modified to address other concerns?
- (5) Should non-economic benefits and costs be included, and if so, how?