

Escambia River Electric Cooperative  
Report to the Florida Public Service Commission  
Pursuant to Rule 25-6.0343,F.A.C.  
Calendar Year 2016

## **1) Introduction**

Escambia River Electric Cooperative is located in Santa Rosa County and serves the Northern parts of Escambia and Santa Rosa Counties. EREC serves approximately 11,957 meters with approximately 1,631 miles of distribution line and no transmission lines or structures. EREC owns all of the distribution, which operates at 12,470 V, and our generation and transmission partner owns all of the transmission and substations that are used to serve our customers.

### **Contact Information**

For additional information contact:

Clay Campbell

GM/CEO

P.O. Box 428

Jay, FL 32565

Phone: 850-675-4521

Email: [clay@erec.com](mailto:clay@erec.com)

## **2) Number of meters served in the calendar year 2016**

Escambia River Electric Cooperative served 11,957 meters in 2016.

## **3) Standards of Construction**

### **a. National Electric Safety Code Compliance**

Construction standards, policies, guidelines, practices, and procedures at Escambia River Electric Cooperative comply with the National Electrical Safety Code (ANSI C-2) [NESC]. For electrical facilities constructed on or after February 1, 2012, the 2012 NESC applies. Electrical facilities constructed prior to February 1, 2012, are governed by the edition of NESC in effect at the time of the facility's initial construction.

### **b. Extreme Wind Loading Standards**

Construction standards, policies, guidelines, practices, and procedures at Escambia River Electric Cooperative are guided by the extreme wind loading standards specified by Figure 250-2(d) of the 2012 edition of the

NESC for major planned work, including expansion, rebuild, or relocation of existing facilities, assigned on or after December 10, 2006.

- 1. New construction;**
- 2. Major planned work, including expansion, rebuild, or relocation of existing facilities, assigned on or after the effective date of this rule;**
- 3. Targeted critical infrastructure facilities and major thoroughfares taking into account political and geographical boundaries and other applicable operational considerations.**

**c. Flooding and Storm Surges**

Escambia River Electric Cooperative is a non-coastal utility; therefore, storm surge is not an issue.

**d. Safe and Efficient Access of New and Replacement Distribution Facilities**

Electrical construction standards, policies, guidelines, practices, and procedures at Escambia River Electric Cooperative provide for placement of new and replacement distribution facilities so as to facilitate safe and efficient access for installation and maintenance. Wherever new facilities are placed (i.e. front, back or side of property), all facilities are installed so that Escambia River Electric Cooperative's facilities are accessible by its crews and vehicles to ensure proper maintenance/repair is performed as expeditiously and safely as possible. Escambia River Electric Cooperative decides on a case-by-case basis whether existing facilities need to be relocated. If it is determined that facilities need to be relocated, they will be placed in the safest, most accessible area available.

**e. Attachments by Others**

The pole attachment agreements between Escambia River Electric Cooperative and third-party attachers include language which specifies that the attacher, not the cooperative, has the burden of assessing pole strength and safety, as set forth in the NESC, before they attach to the pole. Escambia River Electric Cooperative performs follow-up audits of attachments to ensure the attachment is properly installed, maintained, and meet NESC requirements for pole attachments.

#### 4) Facility Inspections

- a. Describe the utility's policies, guidelines, practices, and procedures for inspecting transmission and distribution lines, poles, and structures including, but not limited to, pole inspection cycles and pole selection process.**

Escambia River Electric Cooperative inspects each distribution pole on an 8 year cycle using visual, sound and boring techniques in accordance with RUS standards. Additionally, Escambia River Electric Cooperative uses data gathered during outages to proactively identify troubled lines, poles, equipment, and right-of-way. All of the data feeds back to our pole selection process, which provides a method to determine which poles not to purchase.

- b. The number and percentage of transmission and distribution inspections planned and completed.**

We planned for 4,107 (12.5%) of distribution poles to be inspected for the 2016 year. The amount of poles inspected in 2016 was 1774 (5%) of distribution poles were inspected. The lower amount of pole inspections was due to restrictions posed by contracted pole inspectors/treaters. Escambia River Electric plans to perform inspections and treat approximately 6000 poles in 2017 to maintain our 8 year cycle.

Escambia River Electric Cooperative does not own any transmission poles.

- c. Describe the number and percentage of transmission poles and structures and distribution poles failing inspection in 2016 and the reason for the failure.**

A total of 57 poles did not pass inspection. The common reason for failure was pole rot.

- d. Describe the number and percentage of transmission poles and structures and distribution poles, by type and class of structure, replaced or for which remediation was taken after inspection in 2016, including a description of the remediation taken.**

Poles replaced were of various size and class, and were replaced with the appropriate size and class.

## **5) Vegetation Management**

- a. Describe the utility's policies, guidelines, practices, and procedures for vegetation management, including programs addressing appropriate planting, landscaping, and problem tree removal practices for vegetation management outside of road right of-ways or easements, and an explanation as to why the utility believes its vegetation management practices are sufficient.**

Escambia River Electric Cooperative uses a 5-year vegetation management cycle for all distribution lines. The primary reason for this is that the right-of-way is cleared 10 feet on both sides of the lines making a total clearance of 20 feet. While the crews are managing vegetation on a line they look for foreseeable future problems and take care of them at that time. If at anytime there is a problem tree or landscaping, Escambia River Electric Cooperative works with the home owner toward trimming, if possible, or removal, if necessary, while providing restitution if necessary for trees or landscaping that is outside the easement or right-of-ways. In all cases our current policy is providing the necessary vegetation management needed to reduce outages due to vegetation.

- b. Describe the quantity, level, and scope of vegetation management planned and completed for transmission and distribution facilities in 2016.**

As described in question 5(a), Escambia River Electric Cooperative planned to manage vegetation on 20% or 310 miles of the overhead distribution power lines. In 2016, we managed vegetation of approximately 210 miles of distribution power lines, or 13.4 %. The lower percentage of vegetation management is due to the theft of some of our Right-of-Way equipment. With the recovery of our equipment and the addition of additional staff on those crews, we hope to make up for our losses in 2016.

# **Report on Collaborative Research for Hurricane Hardening**

Provided by

The Public Utility Research Center  
University of Florida

To the

Utility Sponsor Steering Committee

February 2017

## **I. Introduction**

The Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC) issued Order No. PSC-06-00351-PAA-EI on April 25, 2006 (Order 06-0351) directing each investor-owned electric utility (IOU) to establish a plan that increases collaborative research to further the development of storm resilient electric utility infrastructure and technologies that reduce storm restoration costs and outages to customers. This order directed IOUs to solicit participation from municipal electric utilities and rural electric cooperatives in addition to available educational and research organizations. As a means of accomplishing this task, the IOUs joined with the municipal electric utilities and rural electric cooperatives in the state (collectively referred to as the Project Sponsors) to form a Steering Committee of representatives from each utility and entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with the University of Florida's Public Utility Research Center (PURC). The third extension of this MOU was approved last year by the Research Collaboration Partners and now extends through December 31, 2018.

PURC manages the work flow and communications, develops work plans, serves as a subject matter expert, conducts research, facilitates the hiring of experts, coordinates with research vendors, advises the Project Sponsors, and provides reports for Project activities. The collaborative research has focused on undergrounding, vegetation management, hurricane-wind speeds at granular levels, and improved materials for distribution facilities.

This report provides an update on the activities of the Steering Committee since the previous report dated February 2016.

## **II. Steering Committee Workshop**

On September 29, the Steering Committee organized a workshop for 26 participants from the Project Sponsors at TECO Plaza in Tampa. The workshop was held to orient new members on the work that the cooperative has accomplished, and to serve as a forum for new ideas in the field of storm preparedness and outage response.

The opening speaker was Matt Corey from Weatherflow, Inc. who discussed their wind monitoring network “HurrNet.” The network consists of approximately 90 wind monitoring stations, 44 in Florida, and 21 on utility property. This data is available at no charge to the Project Sponsors. He also outlined Weatherflow’s new capabilities, specifically their StormTrack/StormPrint model (on which he displayed, ironically, Hurricane Matthew) and their new line of Smart Weather weather stations for domestic to commercial users.

Next was Ted Kury from PURC with an update on the undergrounding model developed by the Project Sponsors. The current capabilities, which include both probabilistic and deterministic modeling, were reviewed.

The next item on the agenda was a roundtable on vegetation management. Participants discussed current procedures and best practices. All noted that utilities continue to face challenges regarding access to facilities that need to be managed, particularly within municipal boundaries due primarily to municipal codes. Some noted that municipalities may not be aware of the impact that their codes may have on system reliability, and that education is critical in these areas. Each utility then outlined their current trim cycle and approach. Finally, the participants discussed the evolution of customer expectations regarding communications with their utilities.

Next on the agenda was a discussion on the collection and usage of forensic storm damage data. Participants reviewed the existing platform and data framework.

Finally, the participants engaged in a roundtable discussion of topics that might be explored further in future workshops, and discussed the importance and the form of follow-up efforts.

Overall, the participants left the workshop with a greater appreciation and understanding of the work conducted at the various transmission and distribution segments of the Florida utilities.

## **III. Undergrounding**

The collaborative research on undergrounding has been focused on understanding the existing research on the economics and effects of hardening strategies, including undergrounding, so that informed decisions can be made about undergrounding policies and specific undergrounding projects.

The collaborative has refined the computer model developed by Quanta Technologies and there has been a collective effort to learn more about the function and functionality of the computer code. PURC and the Project Sponsors have worked to fill information gaps for model inputs and significant efforts have been invested in the area of forensics data collection. Since the state has not been affected by any hurricanes since the database software was completed, there is currently no data. Therefore, future efforts to refine the undergrounding model will occur when such data becomes available.

In addition, PURC has worked with doctoral and master's candidates in the University of Florida Department of Civil and Coastal Engineering to assess some of the inter-relationships between wind speed and other environmental factors on utility equipment damage. PURC has also been contacted by engineering researchers at the University of Wisconsin and North Carolina State University with an interest in the model, though no additional relationships have been established. In addition to universities, PURC was again contacted by researchers at the Argonne National Laboratory who expressed interest in modeling the effects of storm damage. The researchers developed a deterministic model, rather than a probabilistic one, but did use many of the factors that the Collaborative have attempted to quantify. They are currently working to incorporate stochastic elements into their model and have consulted PURC for guidance. Every researcher that contacts PURC cites the model as the only non-proprietary model of its kind.

The research discussed in previous years' reports on the relationship between wind speed and rainfall is still under review by the engineering press. Further results of this and related research can likely be used to further refine the model.

#### **IV. Wind Data Collection**

The Project Sponsors entered into a wind monitoring agreement with WeatherFlow, Inc., in 2007. Under the agreement, Florida Sponsors agreed to provide WeatherFlow with access to their properties and to allow WeatherFlow to install, maintain and operate portions of their wind monitoring network facilities on utility-owned properties under certain conditions in exchange for access to wind monitoring data generated by WeatherFlow's wind monitoring network in Florida. WeatherFlow's Florida wind monitoring network includes 50 permanent wind monitoring stations around the coast of Florida, including one or more stations located on utility-owned property. The wind monitoring agreement expired in early 2012; however, the wind, temperature, and barometric pressure data being collected at these stations is being made available to the Project Sponsors on a complimentary basis.

#### **V. Public Outreach**

In last year's report we discussed the impact of increasingly severe storms on greater interest in storm preparedness. PURC researchers continue to discuss the collaborative effort in Florida with the engineering departments of the state regulators in Connecticut, New York, and New Jersey, Pennsylvania, and regulators in Jamaica, Grenada, Curacao,

Samoa, and the Philippines. While all of the regulators and policymakers showed great interest in the genesis of the collaborative effort, and the results of that effort, they have not, at this point, shown further interest in participating in the research effort.

## **VI. Conclusion**

In response to the FPSC's Order 06-0351, IOUs, municipal electric utilities, and rural electric cooperatives joined together and retained PURC to coordinate research on electric infrastructure hardening. The steering committee has taken steps to extend the research collaboration MOU so that the industry will be in a position to focus its research efforts on undergrounding research, granular wind research and vegetation management when significant storm activity affects the state.

