Report 03/31/2023 FM2A No. 008553 March 4, 2023 st Place Team, Florida Lineman Competition 4,500.⁰⁰ our thousand five hundred and oo/ falot a. Williams Rinke S. Howard

City of Tallahassee Your Own Utilities[®]

2023-2032

City of Tallahassee Utilities **Ten Year Site Plan**

Report prepared by: City of Tallahassee Electric System Integrated Planning

Photo, Tallahassoo brings home the Overall Journeyman Team Winner's Cup

CITY OF TALLAHASSEE TEN YEAR SITE PLAN FOR ELECTRICAL GENERATING FACILITIES AND ASSOCIATED TRANSMISSION LINES 2023-2032 TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Description of Existing Facilities

1.0	Introduction	1
1.1	System Capability	1
1.2	Purchased Power Agreements	2
Figure A	Service Territory Map	4
Table 1.1	FPSC Schedule 1 Existing Generating Facilities	5

II. Forecast of Energy/Demand Requirements and Fuel Utilization

2.0	Introduction	7
2.1	System Demand and Energy Requirements	7
2.1.1	System Load and Energy Forecasts	7
2.1.2	Load Forecast Uncertainty & Sensitivities	10
2.1.3	Energy Efficiency and Demand Side Management Programs	11
2.2	Energy Sources and Fuel Requirements	14
Table 2.1	FPSC Schedule 2.1 History/Forecast of Energy Consumption (Residential and Commercial Classes)	15
Table 2.2	FPSC Schedule 2.2 History/Forecast of Energy Consumption (Industrial and Street Light Classes)	16
Table 2.3	FPSC Schedule 2.3 History/Forecast of Energy Consumption (Utility Use and Net Energy for Load)	17
Figure B1	Energy Consumption by Customer Class (2013-2032)	18
Figure B2	Energy Consumption: Comparison by Customer Class (2023 and 2032)	19
Table 2.4	FPSC Schedule 3.1.1 History/Forecast of Summer Peak Demand – Base Forecast	20
Table 2.5	FPSC Schedule 3.1.2 History/Forecast of Summer Peak Demand – High Forecast	21
Table 2.6	FPSC Schedule 3.1.3 History/Forecast of Summer Peak Demand - Low Forecast	22
Table 2.7	FPSC Schedule 3.2.1 History/Forecast of Winter Peak Demand – Base Forecast	23
Table 2.8	FPSC Schedule 3.2.2 History/Forecast of Winter Peak Demand – High Forecast	24
Table 2.9	FPSC Schedule 3.2.3 History/Forecast of Winter Peak Demand – Low Forecast	25
Table 2.10	FPSC Schedule 3.3.1 History/Forecast of Annual Net Energy for Load – Base Forecast	26
Table 2.11	FPSC Schedule 3.3.2 History/Forecast of Annual Net Energy for Load – High Forecast	27
Table 2.12	FPSC Schedule 3.3.3 History/Forecast of Annual Net Energy for Load - Low Forecast	28
Table 2.13	FPSC Schedule 4 Previous Year Actual and Two Year Forecast Demand/Energy by Month	29
Table 2.14	Load Forecast: Key Explanatory Variables	30
Table 2.15	Load Forecast: Sources of Forecast Model Input Information	31
Figure B3	Reserve Margin vs. Peak Demand Forecast Scenario	32
Table 2.16	Projected DSM Energy Reductions	33
Table 2.17	Projected DSM Seasonal Demand Reductions	34
Table 2.18	FPSC Schedule 5.0 Fuel Requirements	35
Table 2.19	FPSC Schedule 6.1 Energy Sources (GWh)	36
Table 2.20	FPSC Schedule 6.2 Energy Sources (%)	37
Figure B4	Generation by Fuel Type (2023 and 2032)	38

Cover photo: From left to right - Tony Guillen, Steve Dotson, Michael Patterson, Michael Gramling, Darryln Rone, Reese Goad, Blake Burns, Coy Judd, Justin Johnson, Mike Crow, Josh Helton

III. Projected Facility Requirements

Planning Process	39
Projected Resource Requirements	39
Transmission Limitations	39
Reserve Requirements	40
Recent and Near Term Resource Changes	40
Power Supply Diversity	41
Renewable Resources	43
Future Power Supply Resources	45
FPSC Schedule 7.1 Forecast of Capacity, Demand and Scheduled Maintenance at Time of Summer Peak	46
FPSC Schedule 7.2 Forecast of Capacity, Demand and Scheduled Maintenance at Time of Winter Peak	47
FPSC Schedule 8 Planned and Prospective Generating Facility Additions and Changes	48
System Peak Demands and Summer Reserve Margins	49
Generation Expansion Plan	50
	Planning Process Projected Resource Requirements Transmission Limitations Reserve Requirements Recent and Near Term Resource Changes Power Supply Diversity Renewable Resources Future Power Supply Resources FPSC Schedule 7.1 Forecast of Capacity, Demand and Scheduled Maintenance at Time of Summer Peak FPSC Schedule 8 Planned and Prospective Generating Facility Additions and Changes System Peak Demands and Summer Reserve Margins Generation Expansion Plan

IV. Proposed Plant Sites and Transmission Lines

4.1	Proposed Plant Site	
4.2	Transmission Line Additions/Upgrades	
Table 4.1	FPSC Schedule 9 Status Report and Specifications of Proposed Generating Facilities	
Table 4.2	Planned Transmission Projects 2023-2032	
Figure D1	Hopkins Plant Site	
Figure D2	Purdom Plant Site	

Chapter I

Description of Existing Facilities

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The City of Tallahassee ("City") owns, operates, and maintains an electric generation, transmission, and distribution system that supplies electric power in and around the corporate limits of the City. The City was incorporated in 1825 and has operated since 1919 under the same charter. The City began generating its power requirements in 1902 and the City's Electric Utility presently serves approximately 127,000 customers located within a 221 square mile service territory (see Figure A). The Electric Utility operates three generating stations with a total summer season net generating capacity of 725 megawatts (MW).

The City has three fossil-fueled generating stations, which contain combined cycle (CC), combustion turbine (CT) and reciprocating internal combustion engine (RICE or IC) electric generating facilities. The Sam O. Purdom Generating Station, located in the City of St. Marks, Florida has been in operation since 1952; the Arvah B. Hopkins Generating Station, located on Geddie Road west of the City, has been in commercial operation since 1970; and the Substation 12 Distributed Generation Facility, located on Medical Drive, has been in operation since late 2018.

1.1 System Capability

The City maintains four points of interconnection with Duke Energy Florida ("Duke", formerly Progress Energy Florida); one at 69 kV, two at 115 kV, and one at 230 kV; and a 230 kV interconnection with Georgia Power Company (a subsidiary of the Southern Company ("Southern")).

As shown in Table 1.1 (Schedule 1), 222 MW (net summer rating) of CC generation is located at the City's Sam O. Purdom Generating Station. The Arvah B. Hopkins Generating Station includes 300 MW (net summer rating) of CC generation, 92 MW (net summer rating) of CT generation and 92 MW (net summer rating) of RICE generation. The Substation 12 Distributed Generation Facility includes 18 MW (net summer rating) of RICE generation. The CC and CT

units can be fired on either natural gas or diesel oil but cannot burn these fuels concurrently. The RICE generators can only be fired on natural gas.

As of December 31, 2022 the City's total net summer installed generating capability is 725 MW. The corresponding winter net peak installed generating capability is 795 MW. Table 1.1 contains the details of the individual generating units.

1.2 PURCHASED POWER AGREEMENTS (PPA)

The City has no long-term firm wholesale capacity and energy purchase agreements. On July 24, 2016, the City executed a PPA for 20 MW_{ac} of non-firm solar PV with Origis Energy USA ("Origis"), doing business as FL Solar 1, LLC (Solar Farm 1). Solar Farm 1 is located adjacent to the Tallahassee International Airport and delivers power to City-owned distribution facility. The City declared commercial operations of the project on December 13, 2017. The City also entered into a second PPA with Origis (dba FL Solar 4, LLC) for a 42 MW_{ac} non-firm solar PV facility (Solar Farm 4). Solar Farm 4 is also located adjacent to the Tallahassee International Airport and interconnected with the City-owned 230 kV transmission system. Solar Farm 4 was placed into commercial operation on December 26, 2019. Together, Solar Farms 1 and 4 are the world's largest airport-based solar facility.

The City has conducted analyses of the output of the Solar Farm 1 and Solar Farm 4 facilities that revealed that neither contribute to meeting the winter peaks but do contribute towards meeting the summer peaks. Based on these analyses, an average of approximately 50% of the facilities' total rated capacity has been available during summer peak and near peak hours. However, the City has elected to utilize a conservative estimate of 20% of the combined rated capacity of the facilities as firm capacity available for the summer peak. The City will continue to review and, if appropriate, revise the assumed firm contribution from its solar power supply resources.

Firm retail electric service is purchased from and provided by the Talquin Electric Cooperative ("Talquin") to City customers served by the Talquin electric system. Similarly, firm retail electric service is sold to and provided by the City to Talquin customers served by the City electric system. In accordance with their territorial agreement certain Talquin facilities within the geographic boundaries of the City electric system service territory will be transferred to the City over the coming years. It is anticipated that these transfers will soon be completed after which time

some City customers will continue to be served via Talquin facilities. Reciprocal service will continue to be provided to all Talquin customers currently served by the City electric system and those served by the facilities to be transferred to the City who choose to retain Talquin as their electric service provider. Payments for electric service provided to and received from Talquin and the transfer of customers and electric facilities is governed by the territorial agreement between the City and Talquin.

City of Tallahassee, Electric Utility

Service Territory Map



Schedule 1 Existing Generating Facilities As of December 31, 2022

		[5]	[5]		
(14)	pability Winter (MW)	258.0 258.0	330.0 48.0 48.0 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5	518.5 9.2 9.2	18.4 794. <u>9</u>
(13)	Net Ca Summer (MW)	222.0	300.0 46.0 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5 18.5	484.50 9.2 9.2	18.4 724.9
(12)	Gen. Max. Nameplate <u>(kW)</u>	270,100 Plant Total	458,100 [4] 60,500 60,500 18,800 18,800 18,800 18,800 18,800	Plant Total 9,300 9,300	Plant Total cember 31, 2022
(11)	Expected Retirement Month/Y ear	12/40	6/48 9/45 3/49 2/49 2/49 4/50	10/48 10/48	. Capacity as of De
(10)	Commercial In-Service Month/Y ear	7/00	6/08 [3] 9/05 11/05 3/19 2/19 2/19 2/19	10/18 10/18	Total System
(6)	Alt. Fuel Days <u>Use</u>	[1, 2]	2 2 2 2 2 2 2	NA NA	
(8)	unsport <u>Al</u> ternate	TK	T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	TK TK	
(7)	Fuel Tra <u>Primary</u>	ΡL		ЪГ ЪГ	
(9)	el <u>Alternate</u>	F02	FO2 FO2 NA NA NA NA NA	NA NA	
(5)	Fu Primary	NG	U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U U	DN NG	
(4)	Unit Type	CC	C C C C C C C C C	C C	
(3)	Location	Wakulla	Leon	Leon	
(2)	Unit No.	8	2 GT-3 IC-1 IC-2 IC-2 IC-3 IC-3 IC-5	IC-1 IC-2	
(1)	Plant	S. O. Purdom	A. B. Hopkins	Substation 12	

Due to the Purdom facility-wide emissions caps, utilization of liquid fuel at this facility is limited.

[]]

The City maintains a minimum distillate fuel oil storage capacity sufficient to operate the Purdom plant approximately 9 days and the Hopkins plant and approximately 3 days Reflects the commercial operations date of Hopkins 2 repowered to a combined cycle generating unit with a new General Electric Frame 7A combustion turbine. The original

commercial operations date of the existing steam turbine generator was October 1977. Hopkins 2 nameplate rating is the sum of the combustion turbine generator (CTG) nameplate rating of 198.9 MW and steam turbine generator (STG) nameplate rating of 259.2 MW. However, in the current 1x1 combined cycle (CC) configuration with supplemental duct firing the repowered STG's maximum output is steam limited to about 150 MW. Summer and winter ratings are based on 95 °F and 29 °F ambient temperature, respectively. 4 [5]

Table 1.1

This page intentionally left blank.

CHAPTER II

Forecast of Energy/Demand Requirements and Fuel Utilization

2.0 INTRODUCTION

Chapter II includes the City's forecasts of demand and energy requirements, energy sources and fuel requirements. This chapter also explains the impacts attributable to the City's current Demand Side Management (DSM) plan. The City is not subject to the requirements of the Florida Energy Efficiency and Conservation Act (FEECA) and, therefore, the Florida Public Service Commission (FPSC) does not set numeric conservation goals for the City. However, the City expects to continue its commitment to the DSM programs that prove beneficial to the City's ratepayers.

2.1 SYSTEM DEMAND AND ENERGY REQUIREMENTS

Historical and forecast energy consumption and customer information are presented in Tables 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 (Schedules 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3). Figure B1 shows the historical total energy sales and forecast energy sales by customer class. Figure B2 shows the percentage of energy sales by customer class (excluding the impacts of DSM) for the base year of 2023 and the horizon year of 2032. Tables 2.4 through 2.12 (Schedules 3.1.1 - 3.3.3) contain historical and base, high, and low forecasts of seasonal peak demands and net energy for load. Table 2.13 (Schedule 4) compares actual and two-year forecast peak demand and energy values by month for the 2022-2024 period.

2.1.1 SYSTEM LOAD AND ENERGY FORECASTS

The peak demand and energy forecasts contained in this plan are the results of the load and energy forecasting study performed by the City and its forecast consultant, nFront Consulting LLC ("nFront"). The forecast is developed utilizing essentially the same methodology first employed in 1980 that has since been updated and revised every one or two years. The methodology consists of a combination of multi-variable regression models and other models that utilize subjective escalation assumptions and known incremental additions. All models are based on detailed examination of the system's historical growth, usage patterns and population statistics. Several key regression formulas utilize econometric variables.

Table 2.14 lists the econometric-based regression forecasting models that are used as predictors. Note that the City uses regression models with the capability of separately predicting commercial customers and consumption by rate sub-class: general service non-demand (GS), general service demand (GSD), and general service large demand (GSLD). These, along with the residential class, represent the major classes of the City's electric customers. In addition to these customer class models, the City's forecasting methodology also incorporates into the demand and energy projections estimated reductions from interruptible and curtailable customers. The key explanatory variables used in each of the models are indicated by an "X" on the table.

Table 2.15 documents the City's internal and external sources for historical and forecast economic, weather and demographic data. These tables summarize the details of the models used to generate the system customer, consumption and seasonal peak load forecasts. In addition to those explanatory variables listed, a component is also included in the models that reflect the transfers of certain City and Talquin Electric Cooperative (Talquin) customers over the study period consistent with the territorial agreement negotiated between the City and Talquin and approved by the FPSC.

The customer models are used to predict the number of customers by customer class, some of which in turn serve as input into their respective customer class consumption models. The customer class consumption models are aggregated to form a total base system sales forecast. The effects of DSM programs and system losses are incorporated in this base forecast to produce the system net energy for load (NEL) requirements.

The seasonal peak demand forecasts are developed first by forecasting expected system load factor. Table 2.14 also shows the key explanatory variables used in developing the monthly load factor model. Based on the historical relationship of seasonal peaks to annual NEL, system load factors are projected separately relative to both summer and winter peak demand. The projected monthly load factors for January and August (the typical winter and summer peak demand months, respectively) are then multiplied by the forecast of NEL to obtain the summer and winter peak demand forecasts. Some of the most significant input assumptions for the forecast are the incremental load modifications at Florida State University (FSU), Florida A&M University (FAMU), Tallahassee Memorial Hospital (TMH) and the State Capitol Center. These four customers account for a significant percentage of the City's total annual energy sales. Their incremental additions are highly dependent upon annual economic and budget constraints, which would cause fluctuations in their demand projections if they were projected using a model. Therefore, each entity submits their proposed incremental additions/reductions to the City and these modifications are included as submitted in the load and energy forecast.

As with the 2022 forecast, the 2023 forecast utilizes mobility data published by Google as an input variable to help further explain current electric consumption deviations in the residential and non-residential classes from pre-pandemic levels. The mobility data provides information regarding people's location and activity at home versus at commercial business and workplaces and helps explain the deviations in electric consumption by class observed since the onset of the pandemic.

These deviations have diminished somewhat over time but it is clear that a return to normal is not yet complete for much of the non-residential class. As with the 2021 and 2022 forecasts, the City worked with nFront to refine its assumptions in its 2023 forecast regarding the extent and timing of an eventual return to normal, however a full return to pre-pandemic behaviours is seeming increasingly unlikely. Instead, the 2022 consumption profile across customer classes may be the new normal.

The rate of growth in residential and commercial customers is driven by the projected growth in Leon County population. Leon County population is projected to grow from 2023-2032 at an average annual growth rate (AAGR) of 0.73.%. This growth rate is below that for the state of Florida (1.07%) but is slightly higher than that for the United States (~0.71%).

Starting in the 2022 forecast the City incorporated potential increases in the penetration of electric vehicles (EV). Since an increase in EV penetration has the potential to significantly increase the NEL and peak demand requirements for the City, the 2023 forecast produced explicit estimates of the potential impact on the City's load growth related to EV adoption. Historical data obtained from the Florida Department of Motor Vehicles indicates that EV penetration in Leon County (at approximately 0.5%) is considerably lower than for Florida overall (approximately

0.7%). And the forecast results suggest that by 2040, the incremental amount of light duty EV energy sales is estimated to be 1.3 percent of NEL on a gross of DSM basis.

The City's energy efficiency and demand-side management (DSM) programs (discussed in Section 2.1.3) have decreased the average residential and commercial demand and energy requirements and are projected to somewhat offset the increased growth from population in residential and commercial customers. The Clean Energy Resolution (discussed in this chapter and further in Chapter III), which promotes electrification, may reverse this observed trend in reduced average consumption. Therefore, the average consumption for residential and commercial customers may be at or near its minimum and is forecasted to increase (Schedule 2.1).

The City believes that the routine update of forecast model inputs, coefficients and other minor model refinements continue to improve the accuracy of its forecast so that they are more consistent with the historical trend of growth in seasonal peak demand and energy consumption. The changes made to the forecast models for load and energy requirements have resulted in 2023 base forecasts for annual total retail sales/net energy for load and seasonal peak demand forecasts that are essentially equal to those previously projected.

2.1.2 LOAD FORECAST UNCERTAINTY & SENSITIVITIES

To provide a sound basis for planning, forecasts are derived from projections of the driving variables obtained from reputable sources. However, there is significant uncertainty in the future level of such variables. To the extent that economic, demographic, weather, or other conditions occur that are different from those assumed or provided, the actual load can be expected to vary from the forecast. For various purposes, it is important to understand the amount by which the forecast can be in error and the sources of error.

To capture this uncertainty, the City produces high and low range results that address potential variance in driving population and economic variables, and severe and mild weather sensitivity cases that address the potential variance in driving weather variables from the values assumed in the base case. The base case forecast relies on a set of assumptions about future population, economic activity and weather in Leon County. However, such projections are unlikely to exactly match actual experience. Population and economic uncertainty tend to result in a deviation from the trend over the long term. Accordingly, separate high and low forecast results were developed to address population and economic uncertainty. These ranges are intended to represent an 80% confidence interval, implying only a 10% chance each of being higher or lower than the resulting bounds. The high and low forecasts shown in this year's report were developed based on varied inputs of economic and demographic variables within the forecast models by nFront to capture approximately 80% of potential outcomes. These statistics were then applied to the base case to develop the high and low load forecasts presented in Tables 2.5, 2.6, 2.8, 2.9, 2.11 and 2.12 (Schedules 3.1.2, 3.1.3, 3.2.2, 3.2.3, 3.3.2 and 3.3.3).

Uncertainty regarding weather conditions has received more attention following the extreme 2021 winter weather event that impacted Texas. The City has worked, internally and with the other Florida utilities, to evaluate the increased electric load and its resultant impact on resource availability under extreme winter weather conditions consistent with such events that have occurred in Florida in the past. Given the City's winter reserve margin, backup fuel capabilities and system winterization efforts, the evaluation results indicate that the City's electric system would be well positioned to serve all of its customers during a one in forty-year extreme winter weather event like that experienced in Texas last year.

Sensitivities on the peak demand forecasts are useful in planning for future power supply resource needs. The graph shown in Figure B3 compares summer peak demand (multiplied by 117% for reserve margin requirements) for the three forecast sensitivity cases with reductions from proposed DSM portfolio and the base forecast without proposed DSM reductions against the City's existing and planned power supply resources. This graph allows for the review of the effect of load growth and DSM performance variations on the timing of new resource additions. The highest probability weighting, of course, is placed on the base case assumptions, and the low and high cases are given a smaller likelihood of occurrence.

2.1.3 ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND DEMAND SIDE MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS

The City currently offers a variety of conservation and DSM measures to its residential and commercial customers, which are listed below:

Residential Measures Energy Efficiency Loans Gas New Construction Rebates Gas Appliance Conversion Rebates Information and Energy Audits **Ceiling Insulation Grants** Low Income Ceiling Insulation Grants Low Income HVAC/Water Heater Repair Grants Low Income Duct Leak Repair Grants Neighborhood REACH Weatherization Assistance **Energy Star Appliance Rebates** High Efficiency HVAC Rebates Energy Star New Home Rebates Solar Water Heater Rebates Solar PV Net Metering Variable Speed Pool Pump Rebates Nights & Weekends Pricing Plan

<u>Commercial Measures</u> Energy Efficiency Loans Demonstrations Information and Energy Audits Commercial Gas Conversion Rebates Ceiling Insulation Grants Solar Water Heater Rebates Solar PV Net Metering

The City has a goal to improve the efficiency of customers' end-use of energy resources when such improvements provide a measurable economic and/or environmental benefit to the customers and the City utilities. During the City's last Integrated Resource Planning (IRP) Study completed in 2006 potential DSM measures (conservation, energy efficiency, load management, and demand response) were tested for cost-effectiveness utilizing an integrated approach that is based on projections of total achievable load and energy reductions and their associated annual costs developed specifically for the City. The measures were combined into bundles affecting similar end uses and /or having similar costs per kWh saved.

In 2012 the City contracted with a consultant to review its efforts with DSM and renewable resources with a focus on adjusting resource costs for which additional investment and overall market changes impacted the estimates used in the IRP Study. DSM and renewable resource alternatives were evaluated on a levelized cost basis and prioritized on geographic and demographic suitability, demand savings potential and cost. From this prioritized list the consultant identified a combination of DSM and renewable resources that could be cost-effectively placed into service by 2016. The total demand savings potential for the resources identified

compared well with that identified in the IRP Study providing some assurance that the City's ongoing DSM and renewable efforts remained cost-effective.

In 2017 the City contracted with an engineering consultant to build upon the 2006 and 2012 studies and recommend DSM opportunities that are cost-effective alternatives to the City's evolving supply-side resources. The study concluded that many of the existing measures in the City's DSM program are cost-effective and several new measures related to demand response (DR) appear to be promising based on the benefit-cost evaluation. Battery storage and thermal storage do not appear to be cost-effective at this time, based on the high capital cost, but may be in the future combined with time-of-use rates with a large differential between the on-peak cost and off-peak cost. Storage may also serve as a means for mitigating the intermittency of solar PV and/or its non-coincidence with load requirements, particularly on sunny days with mild weather.

As discussed in Section 2.1.1 the growth in customers and energy use has slowed in recent years due in part to the economic conditions observed during and following the Great Recession as well as due to changes in the federal appliance/equipment efficiency standards and state building efficiency code and, more recently, due to the coronavirus pandemic. It appears that many customers have taken steps on their own to reduce their energy use and costs in response to the changing economy – without taking advantage of the financial incentives provided through the City's DSM program – as well as in response to the aforementioned appliance efficiency standards and code changes. These "free drivers" effectively reduce potential participation in the DSM program in the future. It is uncertain whether these customers' energy use reductions will persist. In the meantime, however, demand and energy reductions achieved as a result of these voluntary customer actions as well as those achieved by customer participation in City-sponsored DSM measures appear to have had a considerable and lasting impact on forecasts of future demand and energy requirements.

The latest projections reflect a revised outlook for DSM needs over the coming years. Future DSM activities will be based in part on the recommendations in the 2017 DSM study. The City has adopted a Clean Energy Plan resolution with the goal to achieve 100% clean, renewable energy by 2050. This will likely impact the City's DSM programs and offerings. The City will provide further updates regarding progress with and any changes in future expectations of its DSM program in subsequent TYSP reports. Energy and demand reductions attributable to the DSM portfolio have been incorporated into the future load and energy forecasts. Tables 2.16 and 2.17 display, respectively, the cumulative potential impacts of the proposed DSM portfolio on system annual energy and seasonal peak demand requirements. Based on the anticipated limits on annual control events it is expected that DR/DLC will be predominantly utilized in the summer months. Therefore, Tables 2.7-2.9 and 2.17 reflect no expected utilization of DR/DLC capability to reduce winter peak demand.

2.2 ENERGY SOURCES AND FUEL REQUIREMENTS

Tables 2.18 (Schedule 5), 2.19 (Schedule 6.1), and 2.20 (Schedule 6.2) present the projections of fuel requirements, energy sources by resource/fuel type in gigawatt-hours, and energy sources by resource/fuel type in percent, respectively, for the period 2022-2031. Figure B4 displays the percentage of energy by fuel type in 2023 and 2032.

The City's generation portfolio includes combustion turbine/combined cycle (CC), combustion turbine/simple cycle (CT), and reciprocating internal combustion engine (RICE or IC) generators. The City's CC and CT units are capable of generating energy using natural gas or distillate fuel oil. The RICE units utilize natural gas only. This mix of generation types coupled with the contracted solar PPAs and opportunity purchases allows the City to satisfy total energy requirements while balancing the cost of power with the environmental quality of our community.

The projections of fuel requirements and energy sources are taken from the results of computer simulations using the Hitachi ABB Power Grids Portfolio Optimization production simulation model and are based on the resource plan described in Chapter III.

Schedule 2.1 History and Forecast of Energy Consumption and Number of Customers by Customer Class

Base Load Forecast

(6)		A verage kWh Consumption	Per Customer	83,183	82,690	83,263	82,065	81,439	80,506	80,505	72,886	72,856	74,034	75,450	75,525	75,959	76,226	76,144	75,960	75,731	75,466	75,128	74,845
(8)	Commercial	Average No. of	Customers	18,558	18,723	18,820	19,002	19,130	19,282	19,434	19,649	19,580	19,830	20,070	20,292	20,434	20,548	20,659	20,784	20,925	21,071	21,215	21,354
(7)		(GWh)		1,544	1,548	1,567	1,559	1,558	1,552	1,565	1,432	1,427	1,468	1,514	1,533	1,552	1,566	1,573	1,579	1,585	1,590	1,594	1,598
(9)		Average kWh Consumption	Per Customer	10,442	11,119	10,989	10,801	10,497	10,962	11,063	10,857	10,713	10,776	10,834	10,706	10,595	10,488	10,400	10,317	10,229	10,139	10,076	10,026
(5)	al	Average No. of	Customers	97,145	97,985	99,007	100,003	100,921	102,395	104, 104	105,829	106, 321	107,327	107,372	108,401	109,455	110,431	111,281	112,128	112,975	113,824	114,634	115,379
(4)	ural & Residenti	(GWh)		1,014	1,089	1,088	1,080	1,059	1,122	1,152	1,149	1,139	1,157	1,163	1,161	1,160	1,158	1,157	1,157	1,156	1,154	1,155	1,157
(3)	R	Members Per	Household		ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	·	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı
(2)		Population	Ē	279,468	282,471	285,651	288,972	290,466	292,700	294,200	293,800	296,400	299,130	301,500	304,000	304,900	306,760	308,620	310,480	312, 340	314,200	316,060	317,920
(1)			Year	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032

Population data represents Leon County population. Values include DSM impacts.

[]

Schedule 2.2 History and Forecast of Energy Consumption and Number of Customers by Customer Class

Base Load Forecast

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(2)	(8)
Year	(GWh)	Industrial Average No. of Customers [1]	Average kWh Consumption Per Customer	Railroads and Railways (GWh)	Street & Highway Lighting (GWh) [2]	Other Sales to Public Authorities (GWh) [3]	Total Sales to Ultimate Consumers (GWh) [4]
2013 2014 2015 2016 2017 2018 2019 2020 2021 2021						(5) 2 2 2 2 3 1 4 - 1 (7) 2 2 5 5 6 2 3 3 1 7 4 - 1 (7)	2,553 2,653 2,656 2,658 2,643 2,643 2,590 2,590 2,590
2023 2024 2025 2025 2027 2027 2029 2031 2031 2032					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2,702 2,718 2,778 2,775 2,776 2,776 2,776 2,778 2,7780
[1] [2] [4]	Average end-of-n As of 2007 Secur Reflects net of Ta served by Talquin Values include D	onth customers fo ity Lights and Stre lquin sales (for Ta). SM impacts.	r the calendar year. et & Highway Lightii lquin customers serve	ng use is included with ed by the City) and Tal	h Commercial or Iquin purchases	1 Schedule 2.1. (for City custom	sus

Schedule 2.3 History and Forecast of Energy Consumption and Number of Customers by Customer Class

Base Load Forecast

Utility Use & Losses (GWh)	Net Energy for Load (GWh) [1]	Other Customers (Average No.)	Total No. of Customers [2]
131 121	2,684 2,751	0 0	115,703 116,708
120	2,776	0	117,827
135	2,779	0	119,005
124	2,758	0	120,051
126	2,824	0	121,677
112	2,851	0	123,538
121	2,728	0	125,478
115	2,705	0	125,901
105	2,754	0	127,157
125	2,827	0	127,442
133	2,851	0	128,693
127	2,863	0	129,889
128	2,877	0	130,979
128	2,883	0	131,940
135	2,895	0	132,912
129	2,894	0	133,900
129	2,898	0	134,895
130	2,903	0	135,850
130	2,910	0	136,733
ved by City electric sy of customers for the	ystem. Values include calendar year.	DSM Impacts.	
of	135 124 126 126 112 121 115 105 125 127 128 127 128 127 128 127 129 130 130 130 130 130 130 130 130 129 129 129 129 129 128 128 128 128 128 128 128 128 128 128	135 2,779 124 2,758 126 2,821 112 2,728 115 2,728 115 2,705 115 2,754 115 2,754 121 2,754 123 2,754 125 2,754 127 2,851 123 2,851 123 2,851 123 2,863 123 2,863 123 2,863 123 2,863 123 2,863 123 2,863 128 2,863 129 2,895 129 2,895 129 2,895 130 2,903 130 2,903 130 2,903 130 2,903 130 2,903 130 2,903 130 2,903 130 2,903 130 2,903 130 2,903 130	135 2,779 0 124 2,758 0 126 2,824 0 121 2,851 0 121 2,851 0 121 2,728 0 121 2,754 0 15 2,754 0 15 2,754 0 15 2,754 0 15 2,754 0 15 2,754 0 125 2,851 0 127 2,851 0 128 2,853 0 129 2,863 0 128 2,883 0 129 2,895 0 129 2,895 0 130 2,903 0 130 2,903 0 130 2,903 0 130 2,910 0 130 2,910 0 130 2,910 0 130 2,910 0 d by City electric system. Values include DSM Impacts.

History and Forecast Energy Consumption By Customer Class (Including DSM Impacts)





Ten Year Site Plan April 2023 18



Calendar Year 2023



2023 Total Sales = 2,702 GWh

Calendar Year 2032



□ Residential
 □ Traffic/Street/Security Lights
 □ Demand
 □ Large Demand
 □ Other Sales

■Non-Demand

Curtail/Interrupt

Schedule 3.1.1 History and Forecast of Summer Peak Demand Base Forecast (MW)

(10)	Net Firm Demand	[1]	543	565	009	597	598	596	616	576	573	589	609	612	616	618	620	619	618	617	616	615	
(6)	Comm./Ind Conservation	[2], [3]										0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	3	ю	
(8) Comm./Ind	Load Management	[2]										0	0	0	0	0	0	1	ŝ	4	9	7	
(2)	Residential Conservation	[2], [3]										1	1	2	ю	5	7	8	6	10	12	13	
(6) Residential	Load Management	[2]										0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	б	4	4	
(5)		Interruptible																					
(4)		Retail	543	565	009	597	598	596	616	576	573	590	610	614	619	623	628	630	634	636	641	642	
(3)		Wholesale																					
(2)		Total	543	565	009	597	598	596	616	576	573	590	610	614	619	623	628	630	634	636	641	642	
(1)		Year	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	

[1][2][3]

Values include DSM Impacts. Reduction estimated at busbar. 2022 DSM is actual at peak. 2022 values reflect incremental increase from 2021.

e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
9
9
<u></u>
5
, j
3
L 1
÷
\frown
\smile
\sim
.=
73
\smile

Schedule 3.1.2 History and Forecast of Summer Peak Demand High Forecast (MW)

(10)	Net Firm Demand	Ξ	543	565	600	597	598	596	616	576	573	589	620	634	647	657	665	674	683	692	701	710	
(6)	Comm./Ind Conservation	[2], [3]										0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	б	Э	
(8) Comm./Ind	Load Management	[2]										0	0	0	0	0	0	1	ю	4	9	7	
(2)	Residential Conservation	[2], [3]										1	1	2	ŝ	5	7	8	6	10	12	13	
(6) Residential	Load Management	[2]										0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	ю	4	4	
(5)		Interruptible																					
(4)		Retail	543	565	009	597	598	596	616	576	573	590	621	636	650	662	673	685	669	711	726	737	
(3)		Wholesale																					
(2)		Total	543	565	600	597	598	596	616	576	573	590	621	636	650	662	673	685	669	711	726	737	
(1)		Year	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032	

Values include DSM Impacts. Reduction estimated at busbar. 2022 DSM is actual at peak. 2022 values reflect incremental increase from 2021.

[1][2][3]

e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e
9
9
<u></u>
5
, j
3
L 1
÷
\frown
\smile
\sim
.=
73
\smile

Schedule 3.1.3 History and Forecast of Summer Peak Demand Low Forecast (MW)

(10)	Net Firm Demand	Ξ	543	565	600	597	598	596	616	576	573	589	600	595	594	592	590	588	586	584	582	581
(6)	Comm./Ind Conservation	[2], [3]										0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	3	ŝ
(8) Comm./Ind	Load Management	2										0	0	0	0	0	0	1	ŝ	4	9	7
(2)	Residential Conservation	[2], [3]										1		2	ю	5	7	8	6	10	12	13
(6) Residential	Load Management	2]										0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	ŝ	4	4
(5)		Interruptible																				
(4)		Retail	543	565	009	597	598	596	616	576	573	590	601	597	597	597	598	599	602	603	607	608
(3)		Wholesale																				
(2)		Total	543	565	600	597	598	596	616	576	573	590	601	597	597	597	598	599	602	603	607	608
(1)		Year	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032

[1][2][3]

Schedule 3.2.1 History and Forecast of Winter Peak Demand Base Forecast (MW)

(10)	Net Firm Demand [1]	574	556	511	533	621	508	528	504	538	561	560	564	566	567	569	571	572	574	576	578
(6)	Comm./Ind Conservation [2], [4]										0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	2
(8) Comm /Ind	Load Management [2], [3]										0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(2)	Residential Conservation [2], [4]										1	б	4	9	7	6	10	10	11	12	13
(6) Residential	Load Management [2], [3]										0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(5)	Interruptible																				
(4)	Retail	574	556	511	533	621	508	528	504	538	562	563	568	572	574	579	582	583	586	590	593
(3)	Wholesale																				
(2)	Total	574	556	511	533	621	508	528	504	538	562	563	568	572	574	579	582	583	586	590	593
(1)	Year	2013 -2014	2014 -2015	2015 -2016	2016 -2017	2017 -2018	2018 -2019	2019 -2020	2020 -2021	2021 -2022	2022 -2023	2023 -2024	2024 -2025	2025 -2026	2026 -2027	2027 -2028	2028 -2029	2029 -2030	2030 -2031	2031 -2032	2032 -2033

Values include DSM Impacts.

 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$

Reduction estimated at busbar. 2022-2023 DSM is actual at peak. Reflects no expected utilization of demand response (DR) resources in winter. 2022-2023 values reflect incremental increase from 2021-2022.

Schedule 3.2.2 History and Forecast of Winter Peak Demand High Forecast (MW)

(10)	Net Firm Demand [1]	574	556	511	533	621	508	528	504	538	563	575	585	594	600	607	614	620	627	634	641
(6)	Comm./Ind Conservation [2], [4]										0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	3
(8) Comm (1-4	Comm./mu Load Management [2], [3]										0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(2)	Residential Conservation [2], [4]										1	б	4	9	7	6	10	10	11	12	13
(6) Particlentic1	Load Management [2], [3]										0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(5)	Interruptible																				
(4)	Retail	574	556	511	533	621	508	528	504	538	564	578	589	009	607	617	625	631	639	648	656
(3)	Wholesale																				
(2)	Total	574	556	511	533	621	508	528	504	538	564	578	589	600	607	617	625	631	639	648	656
(1)	Year	2013 -2014	2014 -2015	2015 -2016	2016 -2017	2017 -2018	2018 -2019	2019 -2020	2020 -2021	2021 -2022	2022 -2023	2023 -2024	2024 -2025	2025 -2026	2026 -2027	2027 -2028	2028 -2029	2029 -2030	2030 -2031	2031 -2032	2032 -2033

Values include DSM Impacts.

Reduction estimated at busbar. 2022-2023 DSM is actual at peak. Reflects no expected utilization of demand response (DR) resources in winter. 2022-2023 values reflect incremental increase from 2021-2022. $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$

Schedule 3.2.3 History and Forecast of Winter Peak Demand Low Forecast (MW)

(10)	n Demand	574	556	511	533	621	508	528	504	538	558	546	542	539	535	532	529	526	523	521	518
(6)	Comm./Ind Conservatior [2], [4]										0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	2	2
(8) Comm /Ind	Column Load Load [2], [3]										0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(2)	Residential Conservation [2], [4]										1	ŝ	4	9	7	6	10	10	11	12	13
(6) Docidontial	Load Management [2], [3]										0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(5)	Interruptible																				
(4)	Retail	574	556	511	533	621	508	528	504	538	559	549	546	545	542	542	540	537	535	535	533
(3)	Wholesale																				
(2)	Total	574	556	511	533	621	508	528	504	538	559	549	546	545	542	542	540	537	535	535	533
(1)	Year	13 -2014	14 -2015	15 -2016	16 -2017	17 -2018	18 -2019	19 -2020	120 -2021	121 -2022	122 -2023	123 -2024	124 -2025	125 -2026	126 -2027	127 -2028	128 -2029	129 -2030	30 -2031	131 -2032	132 -2033

Values include DSM Impacts.

Reduction estimated at busbar. 2022-2023 DSM is actual at peak. Reflects no expected utilization of demand response (DR) resources in winter. 2022-2023 values reflect incremental increase from 2021-2022.

 $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$

Schedule 3.3.1 History and Forecast of Annual Net Energy for Load Base Forecast (GWh)

(6)	Load Factor % [3]	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
(8)	Net Energy for Load [3], [5]	2,682 $2,745$ $2,745$ $2,770$ $2,751$ $2,815$ $2,730$ $2,828$ $2,828$ $2,828$ $2,828$ $2,828$ $2,829$ $2,899$ $2,904$
(7)	Utility Use <u>& Losses</u>	145 1121 1126 1144 117 126 127 128 133 128 128 128 128 128 128 128 128 128 128
(9)	Wholesale [4]	2 2 2 2 2 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 1 3 3 (0) 2 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
(5)	Retail Sales [2], [3]	2,542 2,677 2,673 2,673 2,612 2,612 2,618 2,618 2,618 2,618 2,625 2,736 2,712 2,712 2,774 2
(4)	Comm./Ind Conservation [1]	0 00064507
(3)	Residential Conservation [1]	4 4 9 2 2 2 1 1 0 4 4 5 2 2 2 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0
(2)	Total <u>Sales</u>	2,542 2,631 2,631 2,631 2,632 2,612 2,698 2,618 2,629 2,730 2,73
(1)	Year	2013 2014 2015 2016 2019 2020 2023 2023 2023 2023 2023 2023 202

Reduction estimated at customer meter. 2022 DSM is actual incremental increase from 2021. History is total sales to City customers. Forecast is sales served by City electric system. Values include DSM Impacts.

 $\Xi \Xi \Xi \Xi$

Reflects net of Talquin sales (for Talquin customers served by the City) and Talquin purchases (for City customers served by Talquin). Reflects NEL served by City electric system.

[5]

Schedule 3.3.2 History and Forecast of Annual Net Energy for Load High Forecast (GWh)

(6)	Load Factor % [3]	56 56	53	53	53	54	53	55	54	54	53	53	52	52	52	52	52	52	52	52
(8)	Net Energy for Load [3], [5]	2,661 2.755	2,796	2,746	2,760	2,813	2,845	2,757	2,734	2,764	2,855	2,924	2,973	3,016	3,050	3,089	3,114	3,146	3,179	3,220
(2)	Utility Use <u>& Losses</u>	124 131	121	120	135	124	126	112	121	115	126	132	128	130	132	140	136	138	139	148
(9)	Wholesale [4]	(5) (6)	E	ς	12	23	22	26	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
(5)	Retail Sales [2], [3]	2,542 2,631	2,677	2,623	2,612	2,666	2,698	2,618	2,588	2,625	2,704	2,767	2,819	2,860	2,892	2,923	2,953	2,983	3,014	3,047
(4)	Comm./Ind Conservation [1]									0	0	0	1	1	2	ŝ	4	5	9	7
(3)	Residential Conservation [1]									4	4	10	17	25	32	40	49	57	65	72
(2)	Total <u>Sales</u>	2,542 2,631	2,677	2,623	2,612	2,666	2,698	2,618	2,588	2,629	2,708	2,778	2,837	2,886	2,926	2,966	3,006	3,046	3,085	3,126
(1)	Year	2013 2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032

 $\Xi \Sigma \Sigma \Xi$

Reduction estimated at customer meter. 2022 DSM is actual incremental increase from 2021. History is total sales to City customers. Forecast is sales served by City electric system. Values include DSM Impacts. Reflects net of Talquin sales (for Talquin customers served by the City) and Talquin purchases (for City customers served by Talquin). Reflects NEL served by City electric system.

[5]

Schedule 3.3.3 History and Forecast of Annual Net Energy for Load Low Forecast (GWh)

(7) (8) (9)	Net Energy Load hility Use for Load Factor % <u>& Losses</u> [3], [5] [3]	145 2,682 56 121 2,745 55	112 2,788 53 144 2,770 53	126 2,751 52	129 2,848 53	79 2,724 54	117 2,730 54	107 2,756 53	123 2,799 53	124 2,768 53	118 2,749 53	118 2,734 53	118 2,716 53	123 2,703 53	117 2,679 52	117 2,661 52	116 2,644 52	121 2,634 52
(9)	Wholesale [4]	(2) (6) (5)	3 (1)	12	22	26	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	25
(5)	Retail Sales [2], [3]	2,542 2,631	2,677 2,623	2,612	2,698	2,618	2,588	2,625	2,651	2,619	2,606	2,592	2,573	2,555	2,538	2,520	2,503	2,488
(4)	Comm./Ind Conservation [1]							0	0	0	1	1	2	б	4	5	9	7
(3)	Residential Conservation							4	4	10	17	25	32	40	49	57	65	72
(2)	T otal <u>Sales</u>	2,542 2,631	2,677	2,612	2,698	2,618	2,588	2,629	2,656	2,630	2,624	2,618	2,607	2,598	2,590	2,582	2,574	2,568
(1)	Year	2013 2014	2015 2016	2017	2019 2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032

 $\Xi \Sigma \Sigma \Xi$

Reduction estimated at customer meter. 2022 DSM is actual incremental increase from 2021. History is total sales to City customers. Forecast is sales served by City electric system. Values include DSM Impacts. Reflects net of Talquin sales (for Talquin customers served by the City) and Talquin purchases (for City customers served by Talquin). Reflects NEL served by City electric system.

[5]

Schedule 4

Previous Year and 2-Year Forecast of Retail Peak Demand and Net Energy for Load by Month

(L)	2	: []	NEL	(GWh)	230	206	205	210	245	266	288	291	262	226	202	219	2,850
(9)	2024	Forecast	Peak Demand	(<u>MM</u>)	563	501	454	453	535	579	601	615	568	489	457	484	
(5)		1 2	NEL	(GWh)	229	199	204	208	243	264	286	289	260	224	201	217	2,826
(4)	2023	Forecast	Peak Demand	(<u>MM</u>)	562	501	451	450	531	575	597	610	563	485	453	480	
(3)			NEL	<u>(GWh)</u>	230	196	198	199	241	281	273	275	252	211	194	215	2,766
(2)	2022	Actua	Peak Demand	(<u>MM</u>)	538	487	388	423	518	589	590	557	570	445	442	592	
(1)				Month	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	TOTAL

Peak Demand and NEL include DSM Impacts. Represents forecast values for 2023.

[1]

City of Tallahassee, Florida

2023 Electric System Load Forecast

Key Explanatory Variables

Forecast Model

									Monthly
	RS	RS	GSND	GSND	GSD	GSD	GSLD	System	Load
Explanatory Variable	Customers	Consumption	Customers	Consumption	Customers	Consumption	Consumption	Losses	Factor [3]
Leon County Population	Х			x	X	Х			
Leon County Personal Income			×				x		
Leon County Gross Product									
Leon County Non-Store Sales				x			х		
Tallahassee MSA Taxable Sales				x					
Tallahassee MSA Per Capita Taxable Sales		Х							
Residential Customers		x							
Florida Mortgage Originations	Х								
Florida Home Vacancies	Х								
US Personal Spending			х				х		
Energy Efficiency Standards		×							
Price of Electricity		х							
Leon County Residential Location Prevalence		x							
Leon County Commercial Location Prevalence				x		Х	х		x
Cooling Degree Days [1]		Х		Х		Х	x	Х	Х
Heating Degree Days [1]		X		X				Х	x
Prior Month Cooling Degree Days [1]								Х	
Prior Month Heating Degree Days [1]								Х	
Winter Peak and Prior Day HDD [1]									x
Summer Peak and Prior Day HDD [1]									Х
Adjusted R-Squared [2]	0.992	0.931	0.982	0.919	0.952	0.939	0.876	0.871	0.714

The base from which monthly heating and cooling degree days (HDD/CDD, respectively) are computed is 65 degrees Fahrenheit (dF). Peak day HDD and CDD reflect differing bases. For winter peak HDD the base is 55 degrees Fahrenheit (°F); for summer peak CDD the base is 70°F. Ξ

- the model regression line, R Squared is 1. If there is no linear relationship between the dependent and independent variable, R Squared is 0. Adjusted R-Squared R-Squared, sometimes called the coefficient of determination, is a commonly used measure of goodness of fit of a linear model. If all observations fall on reflects a downward adjustment to penalize R-squared for the addition of regressors that do not contribute to the explanatory power of the model. 2
- As monthly load factor is essentially a stationary series, indicators of goodness of fit should be viewed differently. In combination with estimates of NEL, forecasted peak demands from this equation will have far better fit than the adjusted R-Squared here indicates. The equation also includes daytype variables. 3

2023 Electric System Load Forecast

Sources of Forecast Model Input Information

Energy Model Input Data

Source

Leon County Population

Leon County Personal Income Leon County Gross Product Leon County Non-Store Sales Cooling Degree Days Heating Degree Days AC Saturation Rate Heating Saturation Rate Real Tallahassee Taxable Sales

Real Tallahassee Taxable Sales Per Capita

Florida Population

Florida Home Vacancy Rate Florida Mortgage Originations U.S. Personal Spending Rate State Capitol Incremental FSU Incremental Additions FAMU Incremental Additions GSLD Incremental Additions Other Commercial Customers Tall. Memorial Curtailable System Peak Historical Data Historical Customer Projections by Class Historical Customer Class Energy Interruptible, Traffic Light Sales, & Security Light Additions Residential/Commercial Real Price of Electricity

Leon County Residential Location Prevalence Leon County Commercial Location Prevalence Bureau of Economic and Business Research Woods and Poole Economics Woods and Poole Economics Woods and Poole Economics Woods and Poole Economics NOAA NOAA Appliance Saturation Study; EIA Appliance Saturation Study; EIA Florida Department of Revenue, CPI Woods and Poole Economics Florida Department of Revenue, CPI Woods and Poole Economics Bureau of Economic and Business Research Woods and Poole Economics U.S. Bureau of the Census IHS Global Insight (now IHS Markit) U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis Department of Management Services FSU Planning Department FAMU Planning Department City Utility Services City Utility Services **City Utility Services** City System Planning City Utility Services City Utility Services **City Utility Services**

Calculated from Revenues, kWh sold, CPI 2022 Annual Energy Outlook Published by Google Published by Google Reserve Margin vs. Peak Demand Forecast Scenario



Reserve Margin

Ten Year Site Plan April 2023 32

2023 Electric System Load Forecast

Projected Demand Side Management Energy Reductions [1]

	Residential	Commercial	Total
Calendar	Impact	Impact	Impact
Year	<u>(MWh)</u>	<u>(MWh)</u>	<u>(MWh)</u>
2022	4 100	100	4 200
2023	4,100	199	4,299
2024	10,433	478	10,911
2025	17,395	851	18,247
2026	24,746	1,272	26,018
2027	31,729	1,714	33,443
2028	40,266	2,558	42,824
2029	48,907	3,690	52,597
2030	57,395	4,879	62,275
2031	64,887	6,070	70,957
2032	72,081	7,303	79,384

[1] Reductions estimated at generator busbar.

بە
ē
Ś
าล
aŁ
Ï
ص ً
L
f
\circ
\succ
it
\mathbf{C}

2023 Electric System Load Forecast

Projected Demand Side Management Seasonal Demand Reductions [1]

	Resid	dential مرمع ·	Comm	ercial	Resid	lential	Comn	nercial	Deman	d Side
En	ergy I Im	Efficiency <u>pact</u>	Energy Ei <u>Imp</u>	tficiency <u>act</u>	Demand <u>Imp</u>	kesponse <u>aact</u>	Demand <u>Im</u>]	Response <u>pact</u>	Manag <u>To</u>	ement <u>tal</u>
Sun	nmer	Winter	Summer	Winter	Summer	Winter [2]	Summer	Winter [2]	Summer	Winte
W	(M)	(MM)	(MM)	(MM)	(MM)	(MM)	(MM)	(MM)	(MM)	(MM)
	1	ю	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	З
(1		4	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	4
	~	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	9
		L	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	7
(*		6	1	1	0	0	0	0	8	10
×	~	10	1	1	1	0	1	0	11	11
0,	•	10	2	1	2	0	С	0	16	11
1	0	11	2	1	б	0	4	0	19	12
1	2	12	б	2	4	0	9	0	25	14
1	3	13	3	2	4	0	7	0	27	15

Ten Year Site Plan April 2023 34

Reductions estimated at busbar. [1]

Reflects no expected utilization of demand response (DR) resources in winter.

Schedule 5 Fuel Requirements

(16)	2032	0	0	00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23,297	0	22,012	1,285	0	0
(15)	2031	0	0	00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23,173	0	21,670	1,504	0	0
(14)	<u>2030</u>	0	0	00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23,048	0	21,474	1,574	0	0
(13)	2029	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23,039	0	21,283	1,756	0	0
(12)	2028	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23,030	0	21,410	1,620	0	0
(11)	2027	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22,533	0	20,587	1,946	0	0
(10)	2026	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23,017	0	21,831	1,186	0	0
(6)	2025	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22,980	0	21,801	1,179	0	0
(8)	2024	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22,583	0	20,853	1,731	0	0
(2)	2023	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	22,757	0	21,671	1,087	0	0
(9)	Actual 2022	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	б	0	0	С	0	22,529	0	20,666	1,863	0	0
(5)	Actual 2021	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	21,344	0	18,318	3,027	0	0
(4)	Units	Billion Btu	1000 Ton	1000 BBL	1000 BBL	1000 BBL	1000 BBL	1000 BBL	1000 MCF	1000 MCF	1000 MCF	1000 MCF	1000 MCF	Trillion Btu				
(3)				Total	CC	CT	Diesel	Total	Steam	CC	СŢ	Diesel	Total	Steam	CC	CT	Diesel	
(2)	Fuel Requirements	Nuclear	Coal	Residual				Distillate					Natural Gas					Other (Specify)
(1)		(1)	(2)	(3)	(2)	9	6	(8)	(6)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)	(17)	(18)

Ten Year Site Plan April 2023 35

Schedule 6.1 Energy Sources

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(2)	(8)	(6)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)
	Energy Sources		Units	Actual 2021	Actual 2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032
(1)	Annual Firm Interchange		GWh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(2)	Coal		GWh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(3)	Nuclear		GWh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(4) (6)) (6) (6) (6) (6) (6) (6)) (6) (6)) (6))) (6)) (6)) (6))) (6))) (6))) (6))) (6))) (6)))(6))(6)))(6)))(6)))(6))(6)))(6))(6)))(6))(6))(6))(6)))(6))(6	Residual	Total Steam	GWh GWh	000	000	000	000	000	000	000	000	000	000	000	000
\$£\$		CC CT Diesel	GWh GWh GWh	000	000	000	000	000	000	000	000	000	000	000	000
	Distillate	Total Steam CC CT Diesel	GWh GWh GWh GWh GWh	- 0 0 - 0	00000	00000	00000	00000	00000	00000	00000	00000	00000	00000	00000
$ \begin{array}{c} (14) \\ (15) \\ (17) \\ (17) \\ (18) \\ ($	Natural Gas	Total Steam CC CT Diesel	GWh GWh GWh GWh GWh	2,764 0 2,520 244 0	2,764 0 2,520 244 0	2,955 0 2,827 128 0	2,939 0 2,735 204	2,988 0 2,849 139 0	2,994 0 2,854 140 0	2,933 0 2,704 229 0	2,995 0 2,804 191 0	2,996 0 2,790 206	3,003 0 2,818 185 0	3,017 0 2,840 177 0	3,033 0 2,881 151 0
(19)	Hydro		GWh	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(20) (21)	Economy Interchange[1] Renewables		GWh GWh	(159) 99	(269) 114	(182) 121	(130) 120	(169) 119	(164) 119	(95) 118	(140) 118	(135)	(129) 116	(132) 116	(130) 115
(22)	Net Energy for Load		GWh	2,705	2,611	2,894	2,929	2,938	2,948	2,957	2,972	2,977	2,990	3,001	3,018
[1]	Negative values reflect expecte	id need to sel	l off-peak po	wer to satisfy g	generator mini	imum load re	quirements, p	rimarily in wir	tter and shoul	der months.					

Schedule 6.2 Energy Sources

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(2)	(9)	(2)	(8)	(6)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)	(16)
	Energy Sources		Units	Actual 2021	Actual 2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032
(1)	Annual Firm Interchange	63	%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
(2)	Coal		%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
(3)	Nuclear		%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
(5)	Residual	Total Steam	%	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0
96		CT CC	% %	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0
8		Diesel	%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
(6)	Distillate	Total	%	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		CC	%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
(12)		CT Diesel	% %	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
(14)	Natural Gas	Total	%0	107 2	105 9	1 001	1003	101	5 101	00 7	100.8	100.6	100.4	100.5	1005
(15)	mo mmm T	Steam	%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
(16)		cc	%	93.2	96.5	7.79	93.3	97.0	96.8	91.4	94.3	93.7	94.2	94.6	95.5
(17)		ст	%	9.0	9.3	4.4	7.0	4.7	4.8	7.8	6.4	6.9	6.2	5.9	5.0
(18)		Diesel	%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
(19)	Hydro		%	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
(20)	Economy Interchange		%	(5.9)	(10.3)	(6.3)	(4.4)	(5.8)	(9.6)	(3.2)	(4.7)	(4.5)	(4.3)	(4.4)	(4.3)
(21)	Renewables		%	3.7	4.4	4.2	4.1	4.1	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.8
(22)	Net Energy for Load		%	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Generation By Resource/Fuel Type



Chapter III

Projected Facility Requirements

3.1 PLANNING PROCESS

The City periodically reviews future DSM and power supply options that are consistent with the City's policy objectives. Included in these reviews are analyses of how the DSM and power supply alternatives perform under base and alternative assumptions. Revisions to the City's resource plan will be discussed in this chapter.

3.2 PROJECTED RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS

3.2.1 TRANSMISSION LIMITATIONS

The City's projected transmission import and export capability continues to be a major determinant of the type and timing of future power supply resource additions. The City's internal transmission studies have reflected a gradual deterioration of the system's transmission import and export capability into the future, due to the expected configuration and use, both scheduled and unscheduled, of the City's transmission system and the surrounding regional transmission system. The City has worked with its neighboring utilities, Duke and Southern, to plan and maintain, at minimum, sufficient transmission import capability to allow the City to make emergency power purchases in the event of the most severe single contingency, the loss of the system's largest generating unit, and sufficient export capability to allow for the sale of incidental and/or economic excess local generation.

The prospects for significant expansion of the regional transmission system around Tallahassee hinge on the City's ongoing discussions with Duke and Southern, the Florida Reliability Coordinating Council's (FRCC) regional transmission planning process, and the evolving set of mandatory reliability standards issued by the North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC). However, no substantive improvements to the City's transmission import/export capability are expected absent the City's prospective purchase of firm transmission service. In consideration of the City's limited transmission import capability internal analysis of

options tend to favor local power supply alternatives as the means to satisfy future power supply requirements.

3.2.2 RESERVE REQUIREMENTS

For the purposes of this year's TYSP report the City uses a load reserve margin of 17% as its resource adequacy criterion. This margin was established in the 1990s then re-evaluated via a loss of load probability (LOLP) analysis of the City's system performed in 2002. The City periodically conducts probabilistic resource adequacy assessments to determine if conditions warrant a change to its resource adequacy criteria. The results of more recent analyses suggest that reserve margin may no longer be suitable as the City's sole resource adequacy criterion. This issue is discussed further in Section 3.2.4.

3.2.3 RECENT AND NEAR TERM RESOURCE CHANGES

Expected future resource additions are discussed in Section 3.2.6, "Future Power Supply Resources".

In 2018, the City placed two 9.2 MW (net) Wartsila natural gas-fired RICE generators into commercial operations at its Substation 12. This substation has a single transmission feed. The addition of this generation at the substation allows for back-up of critical community loads served from Substation 12 as well as provide additional generation resources to the system. Also in 2018, the City completed construction of four 18.5 MW (net) Wartsila natural gas-fired RICE generators located at its Hopkins Generating Station. Three of these units were placed into commercial operations in February 2019 and the fourth in March 2019. A fifth 18.5 MW RICE unit was placed into commercial operations in April 2020.

The RICE generators provide additional benefits including but not necessarily limited to:

- Multiple RICE generators provide greater dispatch flexibility.
- Additional RICE generators can be installed at either the City's Hopkins plant or Purdom plant.
- The RICE generators are more efficient than the units that were retired providing significant potential fuel savings.

- The RICE generators can be started and reach full load within 5-10 minutes. In addition, their output level can be changed very rapidly. This, coupled with the number and size of each unit, makes them excellent for responding to the changes in output from intermittent resources such as solar energy systems.
- The CO₂ emissions from the RICE generators are much lower than the units that have been retired.

3.2.4 POWER SUPPLY DIVERSITY

Resource diversity, and particularly fuel diversity, has long been a priority concern for the City because of the system's heavy reliance on natural gas as its primary fuel source. This issue has received even greater emphasis due to historical and current volatility in natural gas prices. The City has addressed this concern in part by implementing an Energy Risk Management (ERM) program to limit the City's exposure to energy price fluctuations. The ERM program established an organizational structure of interdepartmental committees and working groups and included the adoption of an Energy Risk Management Policy. This policy identifies acceptable risk mitigation products to prevent asset value losses, ensure price stability and provide protection against market volatility for fuels and energy to the City's electric and gas utilities and their customers.

Other important considerations in the City's planning process are the diversity of power supply resources in terms of their number, sizes and expected duty cycles as well as expected transmission import capabilities. To satisfy expected electric system requirements the City currently assesses the adequacy of its power supply resources versus the 17% load reserve margin criterion. But the evaluation of reserve margin is made only for the annual electric system peak demand and assuming all power supply resources are available. Resource adequacy must also be evaluated during other times of the year to determine if the City is maintaining the appropriate amount and mix of power supply resources. Further, consideration must be given to the adequacy of resources' ability to provide ancillary services (voltage control, frequency response, regulating/operating/contingency reserves, etc.). Because of the high variability of load requirements at the National High Magnetic Field Laboratory (NHMFL) and the increasing penetration of intermittent, utility-scale solar PV projects, ensuring ancillary service adequacy is becoming increasingly important.

Currently, over 70% of the City's power supply comes from two generating units, Purdom 8 and Hopkins 2. The outage of either of these units can present operational challenges especially when coupled with transmission limitations (as discussed in Section 3.2.1). Further, the replacement of older generating units has altered the number and sizes of power supply resources available to ensure resource adequacy throughout the reporting period. For these reasons the City has evaluated alternative and/or supplemental probabilistic metrics/criteria to its current load reserve margin criterion that may better balance resource and ancillary service adequacy with utility and customer costs. The results of this evaluation confirmed that the City's resource adequacy and suggest that there are risks of potential resource shortfalls during periods other than at the time of the system peak demand. Therefore, the City's current deterministic load reserve margin criterion may need to be replaced with and/or supplemented by other criteria that takes these issues into consideration.

Purchase contracts can provide some of the diversity desired in the City's power supply resource portfolio. The City has evaluated both short and long-term purchased power options based on conventional sources as well as power offers based on renewable resources. The potential reliability and economic benefits of prospectively increasing the City's transmission import (and export) capabilities has also been evaluated. These evaluations indicate the potential for some electric reliability improvement resulting from the addition of facilities to achieve more transmission import capability. However, the study's model of the Southern and Florida markets reflects, as with the City's generation fleet, natural gas-fired generation on the margin most of the time. Therefore, the cost of increasing the City's transmission import capability would not likely be offset by the potential economic benefit from increased power purchase/sale opportunities.

As an additional strategy to address the City's lack of power supply diversity, planning staff has investigated options for a significantly enhanced DSM portfolio. Commitment to this expanded DSM effort (see Section 2.1.3) and an increase in customer-sited renewable energy projects (primarily solar photovoltaics) improve the City's overall resource diversity. However, due to limited availability and uncertain performance, past studies have indicated that traditional DSM and solar projects would not improve resource adequacy (as measured by loss of load expectation (LOLE)) as much as the addition of conventional generation resources.

3.2.5 RENEWABLE RESOURCES

The City believes that offering clean, renewable energy alternatives to its customers is a sound business strategy: it will provide for a measure of supply diversification, reduce dependence on fossil fuels, promote cleaner energy sources, and enhance the City's already strong commitment to protecting the environment and the quality of life in Tallahassee. The City continues to seek suitable projects that utilize the renewable fuels available within the Florida Big Bend and panhandle regions. As part of its continuing commitment to explore clean energy alternatives, the City has continued to invest in opportunities to develop viable solar photovoltaic (PV) projects as part of our efforts to offer "green power" to our customers.

On July 24, 2016, the City executed a PPA for 20 MW_{ac} of solar PV with Origis Energy USA ("Origis"), doing business as FL Solar 1 (Solar Farm 1). The project is located adjacent to the Tallahassee International Airport and delivers power to a City-owned distribution facility. The City declared commercial operations of the project on December 13, 2017. In an effort to increase the use of renewables, the City entered into a PPA with Origis, doing business as FL Solar 4 (Solar Farm 4) for a second project with an output of 42 MW_{ac}. The Solar Farm 4 project is sited on additional property adjacent to the Tallahassee International Airport and connected to the City's 230 kV transmission system. The commercial operations date for Solar Farm 4 was December 26, 2019 bringing the City's total utility-scale solar capacity to 62 MW_{ac}.

The City has conducted analyses of the output of the Solar Farm 1 and Solar Farm 4 facilities that revealed that neither contribute to meeting the winter peaks but do contribute towards meeting the summer peaks. Based on these analyses, an average of approximately 50% of the facilities' total installed capacity has been available during summer peak and near peak hours. However, given the limited operational experience with these resources, the City has elected to utilize a more conservative initial estimate of 20% of the combined capacity of the facilities as firm capacity available for the summer peak. The City will continue to review and, if appropriate, revise the assumed firm contribution from its solar power supply resources as additional operational experience is gained.

One of the potential negatives of the having both projects located adjacent to each other is that both systems will likely experience cloud cover at the same time. The intermittent nature of solar PV coupled with the high variability of FSU's National High Magnetic Field Laboratory (NHMFL) load could at times present challenges to the provision of sufficient regulating reserves. The City will continue to monitor the proliferation of PV and other intermittent resources and work to integrate them so that service reliability is not jeopardized. The "quick start" capability of the reciprocating engine/generators commissioned in 2019 and 2020 may help mitigate the intermittency of the solar resources the NHMFL load while contributing to the ongoing modernization of the City's generation fleet.

As of the end of calendar year 2022 the City has a portfolio of 223 kW_{ac} of solar PV operated and maintained by the Electric Utility and a cumulative total of 8,043 kW_{ac} of solar PV has been installed by customers. The City promotes and encourages environmental responsibility in our community through a variety of programs available to citizens. The commitment to renewable energy sources (and particularly to solar PV) by its customers is made possible through the Sustainability initiative, that includes many options related to becoming a greener community such as the City's Solar PV Net Metering offer. Solar PV Net Metering promotes customer investment in renewable energy generation by allowing residential and commercial customers with small to moderate sized PV installations to return excess generated power back to the City at the full retail value.

The City commissioned a study to determine the impacts of additional intermittent renewable resources being added to the City's system. The study was completed in 2019 and determined that the maximum expected intermittent resource penetration the system can handle without adversely impacting the reliability of the system from both a bulk power and distribution perspective to be 60 MW_{ac}. In addition, the study identified potential system modifications that may be available to increase the amount of intermittent resources that can be reliably added to the system.

On February 20, 2019, the City Commission adopted a Clean Energy Plan (CEP) resolution. The CEP resolution outlined the City's continued commitment to sustainability and established the following specific goals:

- All City facilities to be 100% renewable no later than 2035.
- All City main line buses to be 100% electric no later than 2035.
- All City light duty vehicles to be 100% electric no later than 2035.
- All City medium and heavy duty vehicles converted to 100% electric as technology allows.

• No later than 2050, have the Tallahassee community at 100% renewable, including all forms of energy. This would include the electric utility, natural gas utility and transportation.

The City issued a Request for Proposals (RFP) for consulting services related to the Energy Integrated Resource Planning (EIRP) process and public engagement plan to identify the path forward to meet the 2050 100% clean, renewable energy goal. The City executed a contract with the top-ranked RFP respondent in June 2020. The preliminary findings of the EIRP were presented to the City Commission and community focus groups in 2022. The Final EIRP and resulting Clean Energy Plan will be available to the public in 2023.

3.2.6 FUTURE POWER SUPPLY RESOURCES

The City's 2023 Ten Year Site Plan identifies that no additional power supply resources will be needed through the 2032 horizon year.

The suitability of this resource plan is dependent on the performance of the City's DSM portfolio (described in Section 2.1.3 of this report) and the City's projected transmission import capability. The City continues to monitor closely the performance of the DSM portfolio and, as mentioned in Section 2.1.3, will be revisiting and, where appropriate, updating assumptions regarding and re-evaluating cost-effectiveness of our current and prospective DSM measures. This will also allow a reassessment of expected demand and energy savings attributable to DSM.

Tables 3.1 and 3.2 (Schedules 7.1 and 7.2) provide information on the resources and reserve margins during the next ten years for the City's system. The City has identified no planned capacity changes on Table 3.3 (Schedule 8). All existing capacity resources have been incorporated into the City's dispatch simulation model in order to provide information related to fuel consumption and energy mix (see Tables 2.18, 2.19 and 2.20). Figure C compares seasonal net peak load and the system reserve margin based on summer peak load requirements. Table 3.4 provides the City's generation expansion plan for the period from 2023 through 2032.

	Fo	recast of	Capacity,	, Deman	d, and Sc.	Schedule 7.1 heduled Mai	ntenance	e at Time o	of Summer]	Peak [1]	
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(1)	(8)	(6)	(10)	(11)	(12)
Year	Total Installed Capacity (<u>MW</u>)	Firm Capacity Import <u>(MW)</u>	Firm Capacity Export (<u>MW</u>)	QF [2] (MW)	Total Capacity Available <u>(MW)</u>	System Firm Summer Peak Demand <u>(MW)</u>	Reserv Before M (<u>MW</u>)	e Margin laintenance <u>% of Peak</u>	Scheduled Maintenance <u>(MW)</u>	Reserve After Ma <u>(MW)</u>	. Margin intenance <u>% of Peak</u>
2023	725	0	0	12	737	609	128	21	0	128	21
2024	725	0	0	12	737	612	125	20	0	125	20
2025	725	0	0	12	737	616	121	20	0	121	20
2026	725	0	0	12	737	618	119	19	0	119	19
2027	725	0	0	12	737	620	117	19	0	117	19
2028	725	0	0	12	737	619	118	19	0	118	19
2029	725	0	0	12	737	618	119	19	0	119	19
2030	725	0	0	12	737	617	120	19	0	120	19
2031	725	0	0	12	737	616	121	20	0	121	20
2032	725	0	0	12	737	615	122	20	0	122	20

Approximately 20% of Solar Farms 1 and 4 combined rated AC summer capacity. [1]

All installed and firm import capacity changes are identified in the proposed generation expansion plan (Table 3.4).

Table 3.1

(12)	e Margin aintenance <u>% of Peak</u>	41	40	39	38	37	37	36	36	35	34
(11)	Reserv After Mi <u>(MW)</u>	232	227	222	220	217	214	211	208	206	203
(10)	Scheduled Maintenance <u>(MW)</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(6)	e Margin aintenance <u>% of Peak</u>	41	40	39	38	37	37	36	36	35	34
(8)	Reserve Before M (<u>MW</u>)	232	227	222	220	217	214	211	208	206	203
(2)	System Firm Winter Peak Demand (<u>MW</u>)	563	568	573	575	578	581	584	587	589	592
(9)	Total Capacity Available <u>(MW)</u>	795	795	795	795	795	795	795	795	795	795
(5)	QF (MW)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(4)	Firm Capacity Export (MW)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(3)	Firm Capacity Import (<u>MW</u>)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
(2)	Total Installed Capacity (<u>MW</u>)	795	795	795	795	795	795	795	795	795	795
(1)	Year	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	2026/27	2027/28	2028/29	2029/30	2030/31	2031/32	2032/33

All installed and firm import capacity changes are identified in the proposed generation expansion plan (Table 3.4). []

Ten Year Site Plan April 2023 47

City Of Tallahassee

Schedule 7.2 Forecast of Capacity, Demand, and Scheduled Maintenance at Time of Winter Peak [1]

e
e
v 2
2
3
Ч
В
<u> </u>
÷
\frown
$\mathbf{\cup}$
5
5
•=
\bigcirc

Schedule 8 Planned and Prospective Generating Facility Additions and Changes

(15)	Status
(14)	bility [1] Winter (MW)
(13)	<u>Net Capa</u> Summer <u>(MW)</u>
(12)	Gen. Max. Nameplate <u>(kW)</u>
(11)	Expected Retirement <u>Mo/Yr</u>
(10)	Commercial In-Service <u>Mo/Yr</u>
(6)	Const. Start Mo/Yr
(8)	sportation <u>Alt</u>
(1)	<u>Fuel Tran</u>
(9)	lat <u>Alt</u>
(5)	Pri Fi
(4)	Unit Type
(3)	Location
(2)	Unit No.
(1)	Plant Name

No Planned and Prospective Generating Facility Additions and Changes







		y											
	Total	Capacit	(MM)	737	737	737	737	737	737	737	737	737	737
	Resource Additions	(Cumulative)	(<u>MM)</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Firm	Exports	(<u>MM</u>)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Firm	Imports	(<u>MM)</u>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	Existing Capacity	Net	(<u>MM)</u>	737	737	737	737	737	737	737	737	737	737
stments	Net Peak	Demand	(<u>MM)</u>	609	612	616	618	620	619	618	617	616	615
Forecast & Adju		DSM [1]	(<u>MM)</u>	1	3	4	10	15	20	25	30	32	34
Load	Forecast Peak	Demand	(MM)	610	615	620	628	635	639	643	647	648	649
			Year	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032

Demand Side Management includes energy efficiency and demand response/control measures.

[1]

Res <u>%</u>

21 20 19 19 19 19 20 20 20

City Of Tallahassee

Generation Expansion Plan

Table 3.4

Chapter IV

Proposed Plant Sites and Transmission Lines

4.1 PROPOSED PLANT SITE

As discussed in Chapter 3, the City has determined that no power supply resource additions are required to meet system needs in the 2023-2032 planning period. The timing, site, type and size of any additional power supply resource requirements may vary as the nature of future needs become better defined.

4.2 TRANSMISSION LINE ADDITIONS/UPGRADES

As discussed in Section 3.2, the City has been working with its neighboring utilities, Duke and Southern, to identify improvements to assure the continued reliability and commercial viability of the transmission systems in and around Tallahassee. At a minimum, the City attempts to plan for and maintain sufficient transmission import capability to allow for emergency power purchases in the event of the most severe single contingency, the loss of the system's largest generating unit. The City's internal transmission studies have reflected a gradual deterioration of the system's transmission import (and export) capability into the future. This reduction in capability is driven by the expected configuration and use, both scheduled and unscheduled, of facilities in the panhandle region as well as in the City's transmission system. The City is committed to continue to work with Duke and Southern as well as existing and prospective regulatory bodies in an effort to pursue improvements to the regional transmission systems that will allow the City to continue to provide reliable and affordable electric service to the citizens of Tallahassee in the future. The City will provide the FPSC with information regarding any such improvements as it becomes available.

Beyond assessing import and export capability, the City also conducts annual studies of its transmission system to identify further improvements and expansions to provide increased reliability and respond more effectively to certain critical contingencies both on the system and in the surrounding grid in the panhandle. These evaluations have indicated that additional

infrastructure projects may be needed to address improvements in capability to deliver power from the Purdom Plant to the load center under certain contingencies.

The City's current transmission expansion plan includes a 115 kV line reconductoring to ensure continued reliable service through this Ten Year Site Plan reporting period consistent with current and anticipated FERC and NERC requirements. Table 4.2 summarizes this proposed improvement identified in the City's transmission planning study.

The City's budget planning cycle for FY 2024 is currently ongoing, and any revisions to project budgets in the electric utility will not be finalized until the summer of 2023. If any planned improvements do not remain on schedule the City will prepare operating solutions to mitigate adverse system conditions that might occur as a result of the delay in the in-service date of these improvements.

Schedule 9 Status Report and Specifications of Proposed Generating Facilities

No Proposed Generating Facilities

- (1) Plant Name and Unit Number:
- (2) Capacity a.) Summer:
 - b.) Winter:
- (3) Technology Type:
- (4) Anticipated Construction Timing

 a.) Field Construction start date:
 b.) Commercial in-service date:
- (5) Fuel
 - a.) Primary fuel:
 - b.) Alternate fuel:
- (6) Air Pollution Control Strategy:
- (7) Cooling Status:
- (8) Total Site Area:
- (9) Construction Status:
- (10) Certification Status:
- (11) Status with Federal Agencies:
- Projected Unit Performance Data
 Planned Outage Factor (POF):
 Forced Outage Factor (FOF):
 Equivalent Availability Factor (EAF):
 Resulting Capacity Factor (%):
 Average Net Operating Heat Rate (ANOHR):
- Projected Unit Financial Data Book Life (Years) Total Installed Cost (In-Service Year \$/kW) Direct Construction Cost (\$/kW): AFUDC Amount (\$/kW): Escalation (\$/kW): Fixed O & M (\$kW-Yr): Variable O & M (\$/MWH): K Factor:

Project Type	Project Name	<u>From Bus</u> <u>Name</u>	Number	<u>To Bus</u> <u>Name</u>	Number	Expected In-Service <u>Date</u>	Voltage <u>(kV)</u>	Line Length (miles)
Reconductor / Rebuild	Line 20A	Sub 7	7507	Sub 16	7516	12/2025	115	3.03
Reconductor / Rebuild	Line 20B	Sub 16	7516	Bradfordville W (DEF)	3105	12/2025	115	3.08
Reconductor / Rebuild	Line 5	Hopkins Plant (115 KV)	7550	Sub 3	7503	12/2026	115	6.7
Reconductor / Rebuild	Line 6A	Hopkins Plant (115 KV)	7550	Sub 23	7523	12/2026	115	3.49

Planned Transmission Projects, 2023-2032

Figure D-1 – Hopkins Plant Site



Figure D-2 – Purdom Plant Site



This page intentionally left blank.